ON m -ACCRETIVE SCHRÖDINGER-TYPE OPERATORS WITH SINGULAR POTENTIALS ON MANIFOLDS OF BOUNDED GEOMETRY

OGNJEN MILATOVIC

Received 20 September 2002

We consider a Schrödinger-type differential expression $\nabla^* \nabla + V$, where ∇ is a C^∞ -bounded Hermitian connection on a Hermitian vector bundle E of bounded geometry over a manifold of bounded geometry (M, g) with positive C^∞ -bounded measure $d\mu$, and V is a locally integrable linear bundle endomorphism. We define a realization of $\nabla^* \nabla + V$ in $L^2(E)$ and give a sufficient condition for its m -accretiveness. The proof essentially follows the scheme of T. Kato, but it requires the use of a more general version of Kato's inequality for Bochner Laplacian operator as well as a result on the positivity of solution to a certain differential equation on M .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35P05, 58J50, 47B25, 81Q10.

1. Introduction and the main result

1.1. The setting. Let (M, g) be a C^∞ Riemannian manifold without boundary, with metric g , $\dim M = n$. We will assume that M is connected. We will also assume that M has bounded geometry. Moreover, we will assume that we are given a positive C^∞ -bounded measure $d\mu$, that is, in any local coordinates $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$, there exists a strictly positive C^∞ -bounded density $\rho(x)$ such that $d\mu = \rho(x) dx^1 dx^2 \cdots dx^n$.

Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle over M . We will assume that E is a bundle of bounded geometry (i.e., it is supplied by an additional structure: trivializations of E on every canonical coordinate neighborhood U such that the corresponding matrix transition functions $h_{U,U'}$ on all intersections $U \cap U'$ of such neighborhoods are C^∞ -bounded, that is, all derivatives $\partial_y^\alpha h_{U,U'}(y)$, where α is a multiindex, with respect to canonical coordinates, are bounded with bounds C_α which do not depend on the chosen pair U, U').

We denote by $L^2(E)$ the Hilbert space of square integrable sections of E with respect to the scalar product

$$(u, v) = \int_M \langle u(x), v(x) \rangle_{E_x} d\mu(x). \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E_x}$ denotes the fiberwise inner product.

Let

$$\nabla : C^\infty(E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(T^*M \otimes E) \quad (1.2)$$

be a Hermitian connection on E which is C^∞ -bounded as a linear differential operator, that is, in any canonical coordinate system U (with the chosen trivializations of $E|_U$ and $(T^*M \otimes E)|_U$), ∇ is written in the form

$$\nabla = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} a_\alpha(y) \partial_y^\alpha, \quad (1.3)$$

where α is a multiindex, and the coefficients $a_\alpha(y)$ are matrix functions whose derivatives $\partial_y^\beta a_\alpha(y)$ for any multiindex β are bounded by a constant C_β which does not depend on the chosen canonical neighborhood.

We will consider a Schrödinger type differential expression of the form

$$H_V = \nabla^* \nabla + V. \quad (1.4)$$

Here

$$\nabla^* : C^\infty(T^*M \otimes E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(E) \quad (1.5)$$

is a differential operator which is formally adjoint to ∇ with respect to the scalar product (1.1), and V is a linear bundle endomorphism of E , that is, for every $x \in M$,

$$V(x) : E_x \longrightarrow E_x \quad (1.6)$$

is a linear operator.

We make the following assumption on V .

ASSUMPTION 1.1. Assume that $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\text{End } E)$, where

- (i) $p = 2n/(n+2)$ for $n \geq 3$,
- (ii) $p > 1$ for $n = 2$,
- (iii) $p = 1$ for $n = 1$.

We will use the following notations:

$$V_1(x) := \frac{V(x) + (V(x))^*}{2}, \quad V_2(x) := \frac{V(x) - (V(x))^*}{2i}, \quad x \in M, \quad (1.7)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $(V(x))^*$ denotes the adjoint of the linear operator (1.6) (in the sense of linear algebra).

By (1.7), for all $x \in M$, we have the following decomposition:

$$V(x) = V_1(x) + iV_2(x). \quad (1.8)$$

1.2. Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(E)$. By $W^{1,2}(E)$ we will denote the set of all $u \in L^2(E)$ such that $\nabla u \in L^2(T^*M \otimes E)$. It is well known (see, e.g., [5, Section A1.1]) that $W^{1,2}(E)$ is the completion of the space $C_c^\infty(E)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ defined by the scalar product

$$(u, v)_1 := (u, v) + (\nabla u, \nabla v), \quad u, v \in C_c^\infty(E). \quad (1.9)$$

By $W^{-1,2}(E)$ we will denote the dual of $W^{1,2}(E)$.

Since (M, g) and E have bounded geometry, by [5, Section A1.1], it follows that the usual Sobolev embedding theorem (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 2.21]) holds for $W^{1,2}(E)$.

1.3. A realization of H_V in $L^2(E)$. Let V be as in [Assumption 1.1](#). We define an operator S associated to H_V as an operator in $L^2(E)$ given by $Su = H_V u$ with domain

$$\text{Dom}(S) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(E) : H_V u \in L^2(E)\}. \quad (1.10)$$

REMARK 1.2. We will show that for all $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$, we have $Vu \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(E)$ so that $H_V u$ in (1.10) can be understood in distributional sense.

Let $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$. For $n \geq 3$, by [Section 1.2](#) above and the first part of Theorem 2.21 from Aubin [1], we have the following continuous embedding

$$W^{1,2}(E) \subset L^{p'}(E), \quad (1.11)$$

where $1/p' = 1/2 - 1/n$.

Let $p = 2n/(n+2)$ be as in [Assumption 1.1](#). Since $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, by Hölder's inequality, it follows that $Vu \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(E)$.

For $n = 2$, by the first part of Theorem 2.21 from Aubin [1], we get the continuous embedding (1.11) for all $2 < p' < \infty$. By [Assumption 1.1](#), for $n = 2$, we have $p > 1$. We may assume that $1 < p < 2$ (if $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^t(\text{End } E)$ with $t \geq 2$, then $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\text{End } E)$ for all $1 < p < 2$). Given $1 < p < 2$, we can take $p' > 2$ such that $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. By Hölder's inequality, we have $Vu \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(E)$.

For $n = 1$, it is well known (see, e.g., the second part of Theorem 2.21 in [1]) that (1.11) holds with $p' = \infty$. By [Assumption 1.1](#), for $n = 1$, we have $p = 1$. Thus, by Hölder's inequality, we have $Vu \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(E)$.

We now state the main result.

THEOREM 1.3. Assume that (M, g) is a manifold of bounded geometry with a positive C^∞ -bounded measure $d\mu$. Assume that E is a Hermitian vector bundle of bounded geometry over M . Assume ∇ to be a C^∞ -bounded Hermitian connection

on E . Let V be as in [Assumption 1.1](#). Moreover, assume that for all $x \in M$,

$$V_1(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{as an operator } E_x \rightarrow E_x, \quad (1.12)$$

where $V_1(x)$ is as in [\(1.7\)](#).

Then S is m -accretive.

REMARK 1.4. The main source of inspiration for [Theorem 1.3](#) was a result of Kato [[3](#), Theorem I] which was proven for the operator $-\Delta + V$ on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $-\Delta$ is the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the standard metric and measure, and $V \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, with p as in [Assumption 1.1](#), is a complex-valued function such that $\text{Re } V \geq 0$.

Let $d : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$ be the standard differential. Then $d^*d : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is called the scalar Laplacian and will be denoted by Δ_M .

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will adopt the proof of [[3](#), Theorem I] in our context. Throughout this section, we assume that all hypotheses of [Theorem 1.3](#) are satisfied. We begin by introducing another realization of H_V .

2.1. Maximal realization of H_V between $W^{1,2}(E)$ and $W^{-1,2}(E)$. We define an operator T associated to H_V as an operator $W^{1,2}(E) \rightarrow W^{-1,2}(E)$ given by $Tu = H_V u$ with domain

$$\text{Dom}(T) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(E) : H_V u \in W^{-1,2}(E)\}. \quad (2.1)$$

REMARK 2.1. Condition $H_V u \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ for $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$ makes sense since $H_V u$ is a distributional section of E by [Remark 1.2](#). Since $\nabla^* \nabla u \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ for $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$, it follows that the condition $H_V u \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ in [\(2.1\)](#) is equivalent to $Vu \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ for $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *The following inclusion holds: $C_c^\infty(E) \subset \text{Dom}(T)$.*

PROOF. Let $u \in C_c^\infty(E)$. Then $Vu \in L^p(E)$, where p is as in [Assumption 1.1](#). By [Remark 1.2](#), it follows that $W^{1,2}(E) \subset L^{p'}(E)$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. By duality, we have $L^p(E) \subset W^{-1,2}(E)$. Thus $Vu \in W^{-1,2}(E)$, and hence $u \in \text{Dom}(T)$. $\square$

2.2. Minimal realization of H_V between $W^{1,2}(E)$ and $W^{-1,2}(E)$. By T_0 we will denote the restriction of T with $\text{Dom}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(E)$. Clearly, T_0 is a densely defined operator.

REMARK 2.3. Since $\text{Dom}(S)$, where S is as in [\(1.10\)](#), does not necessarily contain $C_c^\infty(E)$, there is no minimal realization of H_V in $L^2(E)$ (in the sense of [Section 2.2](#)).

2.3. Maximal and minimal realization of H_{V^*} . In what follows, we will denote by T' and T'_0 the maximal and minimal realization of H_{V^*} in the sense of [Sections 2.1](#) and [2.2](#), respectively, where V^* is the adjoint of V as in [\(1.7\)](#).

LEMMA 2.4. *The following holds: $T = (T'_0)^*$, where $*$ denotes the adjoint of an operator.*

PROOF. We need to show that for any $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$ and $f \in W^{-1,2}(E)$, the equation $Tu = f$ is true if and only if

$$(u, T's) = (f, s), \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.2)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the duality between $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(E)$ and $W_{\text{comp}}^{-1,2}(E)$ extending the inner product in $L^2(E)$ by continuity from $C_c^\infty(E)$.

(1) Assume that $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$, $f \in W^{-1,2}(E)$, and $Tu = f$. Then $Vu \in W^{-1,2}(E)$. By Lemma 2.2, for all $s \in C_c^\infty(E)$, we have $V^*s \in W_{\text{comp}}^{-1,2}(E)$. Since $s \in C_c^\infty(E)$, we have $V^*s \in L_{\text{comp}}^p(E)$ with p as in Assumption 1.1. By the proof in Remark 1.2, we have $u \in W^{1,2}(E) \subset L^{p'}(E)$ (continuous embedding), where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. By Hölder's inequality, $L_{\text{loc}}^{p'}(E)$ is in a continuous duality with $L_{\text{comp}}^p(E)$ by the usual integration. Thus, for all $s \in C_c^\infty(E)$, we have (after approximating u by sections $u_j \in C_c^\infty(E)$ in $W^{1,2}$ -norm in a neighborhood of $\text{supp } s$)

$$\begin{aligned} (u, V^*s) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (u_j, V^*s) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int \langle u_j(x), (V^*s)(x) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int \langle u(x), (V^*s)(x) \rangle d\mu(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.2). The second equality in (2.3) holds since $V^*s \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(E)$ by Remark 1.2 and $u_j \in C_c^\infty(E)$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (u, V^*s) &= \int \langle u(x), (V^*s)(x) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int \langle (Vu)(x), s(x) \rangle d\mu(x) = (Vu, s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.2). The first equality in (2.4) follows from (2.3). The second equality in (2.4) holds by the definition of $(V(x))^* : E_x \rightarrow E_x$. The third equality in (2.4) holds for all $s \in C_c^\infty(E)$ since $Vu \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ and $Vu \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(E)$ by Remark 1.2.

Using (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (u, T's) &= (u, \nabla^* \nabla s + V^*s) = (u, \nabla^* \nabla s) + (u, V^*s) \\ &= (\nabla^* \nabla u, s) + (Vu, s) = (Tu, s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where V^* is the adjoint of V as in (1.7) and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.2). In the third equality, we also used the integration by parts (see, e.g., [2, Lemma 8.8]).

(2) Assume that $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$, $f \in W^{-1,2}(E)$, and (2.2) holds. Then the first two equalities in (2.4) hold (we do not know a priori that $Vu \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ so the

third equality in (2.4) is not yet justified). Thus for all $s \in C_c^\infty(E)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f, s) &= (u, T's) = (u, \nabla^* \nabla s) + (u, V^* s) \\ &= (\nabla^* \nabla u, s) + \int \langle (Vu)(x), s(x) \rangle d\mu(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where the second equality follows as in (2.5), and the third equality follows from integration by parts and the second equality in (2.4).

Since $\nabla^* \nabla u \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ and $f \in W^{-1,2}(E)$, we obtain

$$(f - \nabla^* \nabla u, s) = \int \langle (Vu)(x), s(x) \rangle d\mu(x), \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.7)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.2).

Since $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$, from Remark 1.2, we know that $Vu \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(E)$. By (2.7), we get $Vu \in W^{-1,2}(E)$ since $C_c^\infty(E)$ is dense in $W^{1,2}(E)$. Thus, as in (2.4),

$$\int \langle (Vu)(x), s(x) \rangle d\mu(x) = (Vu, s), \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.8)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.2).

From (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$(f - \nabla^* \nabla u, s) = (Vu, s), \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.9)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.2).

Therefore,

$$(f, s) = (\nabla^* \nabla u, s) + (Vu, s) = (Tu, s), \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.10)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.2).

This shows that $Tu = f$, and the lemma is proven. $\square$

In what follows, we will adopt the terminology of Kato [3] and distinguish between monotone and accretive operators. Accretive operators act within the same Hilbert space, while monotone operators act from a Hilbert space into its adjoint space (antidual).

LEMMA 2.5. *The operator T_0 is monotone, that is,*

$$\operatorname{Re} (T_0 s, s) \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.11)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the duality between $W^{-1,2}(E)$ and $W^{1,2}(E)$.

PROOF. We have for all $s \in C_c^\infty(E)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} (T_0 s, s) &= \operatorname{Re} \left[(\nabla^* \nabla s, s) + \int \langle Vs, s \rangle d\mu \right] \\ &= \|\nabla s\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \left[\int \langle V_1 s, s \rangle d\mu + i \int \langle V_2 s, s \rangle d\mu \right] \\ &\geq \|\nabla s\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.11), $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the L^2 -norm, and $V_1 \geq 0$ and V_2 are linear selfadjoint bundle endomorphisms as in (1.7).

The lemma is proven. $\square$

LEMMA 2.6. *The operator $1 + T_0$ is coercive in the sense that*

$$\|(1 + T_0)s\|_{-1} \geq \|s\|_1, \quad \forall s \in \text{Dom}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.13)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$ is the norm in $W^{-1,2}(E)$, and $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the norm in $W^{1,2}(E)$.

PROOF. As in (2.12), we have for all $s \in C_c^\infty(E)$,

$$\text{Re}((T_0 + 1)s, s) \geq \|s\|^2 + \|\nabla s\|^2 = \|s\|_1^2, \quad (2.14)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.11).

Since the left-hand side of (2.14) does not exceed $\|(1 + T_0)s\|_{-1}\|s\|_1$, inequality (2.13) immediately follows from (2.14). $\square$

In what follows, $\text{Ker } A$ and $\text{Ran } A$ denote the kernel and the range of operator A , respectively, and $\bar{A}$ denotes the closure of A .

LEMMA 2.7. *The following holds:*

- (i) *the operator T_0 is closable with closure T_0^{**} ,*
- (ii) *$\text{Ran}(1 + T_0^{**})$ is closed.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.4, it follows that $T' = T_0^*$, where T' is as in Section 2.3. Since $T_0' \subset T'$ (as operators), it follows that T' is densely defined. Thus T_0^{**} exists and equals $\bar{T}_0$. This proves property (i).

We will now prove property (ii). Since $1 + T_0$ is coercive by Lemma 2.6, it follows by definition of $\bar{T}_0$ that $1 + T_0^{**} = 1 + \bar{T}_0$ is also coercive, that is,

$$\|(1 + T_0^{**})u\|_{-1} \geq \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in \text{Dom}(T_0^{**}), \quad (2.15)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$ is the norm in $W^{-1,2}(E)$, and $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the norm in $W^{1,2}(E)$.

We will now show that $\text{Ran}(1 + T_0^{**})$ is closed.

Let $f_j \in \text{Ran}(1 + T_0^{**})$ and $\|f_j - f\|_{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u_j \in \text{Dom}(1 + T_0^{**})$ be a sequence such that $(1 + T_0^{**})u_j = f_j$. Since f_j is a Cauchy sequence in $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$, by (2.15) it follows that u_j is a Cauchy sequence in $\|\cdot\|_1$. Thus u_j converges in $\|\cdot\|_1$, and we will denote its limit by u . Since $1 + T_0^{**}$ is a closed operator, it follows that $u \in \text{Dom}(1 + T_0^{**})$ and $f = (1 + T_0^{**})u$. Thus $f \in \text{Ran}(1 + T_0^{**})$, and property (ii) is proven. $\square$

In what follows, we will use the general version of Kato's inequality whose proof is given in [2, Theorem 5.7].

LEMMA 2.8. *Assume that (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold. Assume that E is a Hermitian vector bundle over M and ∇ is a Hermitian connection on E .*

Assume that $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(E)$ and $\nabla^* \nabla w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(E)$. Then

$$\Delta_M |w| \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla^* \nabla w, \operatorname{sign} w \rangle, \quad (2.16)$$

where Δ_M is the scalar Laplacian on M and

$$\operatorname{sign} w(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{w(x)}{|w(x)|} & \text{if } w(x) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

We now state and prove the key proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *The following holds: $\operatorname{Ran}(1 + T_0^{**}) = W^{-1,2}(E)$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.7, it suffices to show that if $u \in W^{1,2}(E)$ and

$$((1 + T_0)s, u) = 0, \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \quad (2.18)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.11), then $u = 0$.

Using condition (2.18) and the same arguments as in the proof of the first two equalities in (2.4) and the equation (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (s, u) + (\nabla^* \nabla s, u) + (Vs, u) \\ &= (s, u) + (s, \nabla^* \nabla u) + (s, V^* u), \quad \forall s \in C_c^\infty(E), \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in (2.11), and V^* is as in (1.7).

Therefore, the following distributional equality holds (recall that by Remark 1.2, we have $V^* u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(E)$)

$$\nabla^* \nabla u + V^* u + u = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

From (2.20), we have $\nabla^* \nabla u = -V^* u - u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(E)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_M |u| &\leq \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla^* \nabla u, \operatorname{sign} u \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \langle -u - V_1 u + iV_2 u, \operatorname{sign} u \rangle \\ &= -|u| - \langle V_1 u, \operatorname{sign} u \rangle \leq -|u|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where Δ_M , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\operatorname{sign} u$ are as in (2.16), and $V_1 \geq 0$, V_2 are linear selfadjoint bundle endomorphisms as in (1.7).

By (2.21), we get the following distributional inequality:

$$(\Delta_M + 1)|u| \leq 0. \quad (2.22)$$

Since (M, g) is a manifold of bounded geometry, by [2, Proposition B.3], inequality (2.22) implies that $|u| = 0$, that is, $u = 0$. This concludes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

COROLLARY 2.10. *The operator T_0^{**} is maximal monotone (in the sense that it is monotone and has no proper monotone extension).*

PROOF. The corollary follows immediately from Proposition 2.9, inequality (2.15), and the remark after equation (3.38) of [4, Section 5.3.10]. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.11. *The following holds:*

- (i) $T = T_0^{**}$,
- (ii) *the operator T is maximal monotone.*

PROOF. We first prove property (i). Since $T_0 \subset T$ (as operators), it follows that $T_0^{**} \subset T$ because T is closed by Lemma 2.4. By Proposition 2.9, $\text{Ran}(1 + T_0^{**}) = W^{-1,2}(E)$. By the same proposition (with V replaced by V^*), it follows that $\text{Ran}(1 + (T_0')^{**}) = W^{-1,2}(E)$, where T_0' is as in Section 2.3. Since $1 + T = 1 + (T_0')^*$ (see Lemma 2.4), it follows that $\text{Ker}(1 + T) = \{0\}$. Hence, T cannot be a proper extension of T_0^{**} . This shows that $T_0^{**} = T$.

Property (ii) follows immediately from property (i) and Corollary 2.10. $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, note that the following holds: $u \in \text{Dom}(S)$ if and only if $u \in \text{Dom}(T)$ and $Tu \in L^2(E)$ (in which case $Su = Tu$).

By Propositions 2.9 and 2.11, it follows that $\text{Ran}(1 + T) = W^{-1,2}(E)$. Therefore, $\text{Ran}(1 + S) = L^2(E)$. Furthermore, since T is maximal monotone by Proposition 2.11, it follows that

$$\text{Re}(Su, u)_{L^2(E)} = \text{Re}(Tu, u) \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \text{Dom}(S), \quad (3.1)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(E)}$ denotes the inner product in $L^2(E)$, and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the duality between $W^{-1,2}(E)$ and $W^{1,2}(E)$.

Thus we proved that S is accretive and $\text{Ran}(1 + S) = L^2(E)$. By the remark after equation (3.37) of [4, Section 5.3.10], it follows that S is m -accretive.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin, *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [2] M. Braverman, O. Milatovic, and M. Shubin, *Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger-type operators on manifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys 57 (2002), no. 4, 641–692.
- [3] T. Kato, *On some Schrödinger operators with a singular complex potential*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 5 (1978), no. 1, 105–114.
- [4] ———, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [5] M. A. Shubin, *Spectral theory of elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds*, Astérisque (1992), no. 207, 35–108.

Ognjen Milatovic: Department of Mathematics, Fitchburg State College, 160 Pearl Street, Fitchburg, MA 01420, USA

E-mail address: omilatovic@fsc.edu