ON THE WEAK UNIFORM ROTUNDITY OF BANACH SPACES

WEN D. CHANG and PING CHANG

Received 10 June 2002

We prove that if $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, are Banach spaces that are weak* uniformly rotund, then their l_p product space ($p > 1$) is weak* uniformly rotund, and for any weak or weak* uniformly rotund Banach space, its quotient space is also weak or weak* uniformly rotund, respectively.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20.

1. Definitions and preliminaries. In this note, X and Y denote Banach spaces and X^* and Y^* denote the conjugate spaces of X and Y , respectively. Let $A \subset X$ be a closed subset and X/A denote the quotient space. We use $S(X)$ for the unit sphere in X and $P_{l_p}(X_i)$ for the l_p product space. We refer to [1, 3] for the following definitions and notations. For more recent treatment, one may see, for example, [2].

DEFINITION 1.1. A Banach space X is $UR^{A'}$, where A' is a nonempty subset of X^* , if and only if for any pair of sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in $S(X)$, if $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, then $f(x_n - y_n) \rightarrow 0$ for all f in A' .

DEFINITION 1.2. A Banach space X is WUR (weakly uniformly rotund) if and only if X is UR^{X^*} .

DEFINITION 1.3. The conjugate space X^* is W^*UR (weak* uniformly rotund) if and only if X is $UR^{Q(X)}$, where $Q: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is the canonical embedding.

2. Some results on the weak* and weak uniform rotundity. From the definition, we clearly have the following corollary.

LEMMA 2.1. *The Banach space X is W^*UR if and only if for any pair of sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X , if $\|x_n\| - \|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\{\|y_n\|\}$ is bounded, and $\|x_n\| + \|y_n\| - \|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \theta$.*

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose that $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, are W^*UR , then for $p > 1$, $P_{l_p}(X_i)$ is W^*UR .*

PROOF. Let $X_i = Y_i^*$, then $P_{l_p}(X_i) = [P_{l_q}(Y_i)]^*$ (where $1/p + 1/q = 1$) (see [2]). Let $\{x_n\} = \{(x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n, \dots, x_m^n, \dots)\} \in P_{l_p}(X_i)$, $\{y_n\} = \{(y_1^n, y_2^n, y_3^n, \dots, y_m^n, \dots)\} \in P_{l_p}(X_i)$, $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$. Using the properties of l_p norm and Minkowski

inequality, one can see, for each i , that there exists a subsequence of $\{n\}$, $\{n_k^i\}$, such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i^{n_k^i}\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_i^{n_k^i}\|$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i^{n_k^i} + y_i^{n_k^i}\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\|x_i^{n_k^i}\| + \|y_i^{n_k^i}\|]$. We now choose a subsequence with the diagonal method, without loss of generality, still use $\{n\}$ as the index such that for each i , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i^n\| - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_i^n\| = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\|x_i^n\| + \|y_i^n\| - \|x_i^n + y_i^n\|] = 0$. Since X_i is W^* UR for each i , by the lemma, we have

$$x_i^n - y_i^n \xrightarrow{w^*} \theta. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose that $P_{l_p}(X_i)$ is not W^* UR, then there exist sequences $\{x_n\} \in S(P_{l_p}(X_i))$, $\{y_n\} \in S(P_{l_p}(X_i))$, $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, but $x_n - y_n$ does not converge (w^*) to θ . So, there must be an $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, \dots)$ in $P_{l_q}(Y_i)$, with $a_i \in Y_i$, such that $|(x^n - y^n)(a)|$ does not converge to 0. Therefore, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence of $\{n\}$ (for simplicity, we still use $\{n\}$) such that $|(x^n - y^n)(a)| > \epsilon$, which implies that one can find an integer m , sufficiently large, so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |(x_i^n - y_i^n)(a_i)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let (n_k) be the subsequence of $\{n\}$ such that (2.1) holds. By (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |(x_i^{n_k} - y_i^{n_k})(a_i)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.3), we have a contradiction $0 > \epsilon/2$.

The proof is complete. $\square$

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that $X = Y^*$ and A is any w^* closed subspace of X . If X is W^* UR, then X/A is W^* UR.

PROOF. Let $D = \{y \in Y \mid x(y) = 0 \text{ for any } x \in A\}$, then

$$A = \{x \in X \mid x(y) = 0 \text{ for any } y \in D\}, \quad (2.4)$$

see [4]. So, We have $D^* \simeq X/A$.

Suppose that X/A is not W^* UR, then there exist $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$ in X/A such that $\|\tilde{x}_n\| = \|\tilde{y}_n\| = 1$, $\|\tilde{x}_n + \tilde{y}_n\| \rightarrow 2$, but $\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{y}_n$ does not converge (w^*) to θ . Here, $\tilde{x} = \pi(x)$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow X/A$.

Now, for each n , take $x_n \in \tilde{x}_n$ and $y_n \in \tilde{y}_n$, $1 \leq \|x_n\| \leq 1 + 1/n$, $1 \leq \|y_n\| \leq 1 + 1/n$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + y_n\| = 2$. Since X is W^* UR, we have $x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \theta$, π is w^* - w^* continuous. So, we must have $\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{y}_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \theta$. That contradicts the above, and the proof is complete. $\square$

THEOREM 2.4. Suppose that A is a closed subspace of X and X is WUR (Definition 1.2), then X/A is WUR.

PROOF. The proof is similar to the proof of [Theorem 2.3](#). □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [2] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 64, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993, copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [3] M. A. Smith, *Banach spaces that are uniformly rotund in weakly compact sets of directions*, Canad. J. Math. **29** (1977), no. 5, 963–970.
- [4] A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958.

Wen D. Chang: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL 36104, USA

E-mail address: wchang@asunet.alsu.edu

Ping Chang: Singapore Air Accounting Center in Beijing, Beijing, China

E-mail address: lovasun@charter.net