A CLASSIFICATION OF PERIODIC TURTLE SEQUENCES

J. HOLDENER and A. WAGAMAN

Received 11 September 2002

A turtle sequence is a word constructed from an alphabet of two letters: F , which represents the forward motion of a turtle in the plane, and L , which represents a counterclockwise turn. In this paper, we investigate such sequences and establish links between the combinatoric properties of words and the geometric properties of the curves they generate. In particular, we classify periodic turtle sequences in terms of their closure (or lack thereof).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R01, 68R15.

1. Introduction. In [1], Abelson and diSessa wrote “A final important difference between turtle geometry and coordinate geometry is that turtle geometry characteristically describes geometric objects in terms of procedures rather than in terms of equations. In formulating turtle-geometric descriptions we have access to an entire range of procedural mechanisms (such as iteration) that are hard to capture in the traditional algebraic formalism (pages 14-15).”

In this note, we show that iteration is, in fact, fairly simple to capture using algebraic formalism. Using the algebraic structure of words constructed from an alphabet of turtle commands, we examine the geometry of the turtle trajectories produced by repeated iteration of a given turtle program. In particular, we provide a classification of periodic turtle sequences based on the closure (or lack thereof) of the polygonal curves they encode. In doing so, we revisit some previously known results from [1]: the Closed-Path theorem, the POLY Closing theorem, and the Looping lemma. Such results fall out easily once the algebraic formalism is in place.

A turtle trajectory can be encoded efficiently as a string of characters. Let F represent a forward motion of the turtle by one unit and L a change in the turtle's heading by a fixed angle θ in the counterclockwise direction. For our purposes, forward steps always have length one and the angle of rotation is fixed at $\theta = 2\pi/N$ for some integer N . Then a string, or word, of F 's and L 's represents a succession of turtle commands and hence a polygonal curve in the plane. Any curve described in this way is said to be a *turtle trajectory*. Following the definition given in [1], a turtle trajectory is said to be *closed* if its turtle program restores the turtle's original heading and position.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Turtle sequences. Let Σ be the alphabet $\Sigma = \{L, F\}$. Define a *turtle sequence* w to be any word over Σ , that is, any finite sequence of letters of Σ . The length of the turtle sequence, denoted by $|w|$, is the number of letters in w . The set of all words over Σ , denoted by Σ^* , is a monoid under the operation of *concatenation* of words, that is, for $w_1, w_2 \in \Sigma^*$, $w_1 \cdot w_2 = w_1 w_2$. The word that has no letters is the empty word and we denote it by ε . The empty word signifies no change in the turtle's heading or position. Clearly, ε is the identity element of the monoid Σ^* since $\varepsilon \cdot w = w = w \cdot \varepsilon$.

Each turtle sequence w in Σ^* has a unique representation of the form

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^k L^{n_i} F^{m_i}, \quad (2.1)$$

where all m_i and n_i are positive, except perhaps n_1 and m_k , which may be zero. Note that each factor $L^{n_i} F^{m_i}$ represents a linear segment of the turtle trajectory of length m_i , so that the word w encodes a curve composed of k linear segments (or $k-1$ linear segments if $m_k = 0$). By $|w|_L$, we denote the number of L 's in w , so that $|w|_L = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. Similarly, $|w|_F$ denotes the number of F 's in w and $|w|_F = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$. The latter quantity, $|w|_F$, measures the total length of the turtle trajectory described by w , while $|w|_L$ measures the total turning.

2.2. Turtle geometry and the turtle transformation group. A turtle state is an ordered pair $(\vec{r}, \hat{v})$ consisting of a position vector $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and a unit vector $\hat{v}$ describing the turtle's heading. The basic turtle commands F and L define certain changes in the turtle's state; the command F represents the transformation T_F mapping the state $(\vec{r}, \hat{v})$ to the state $(\vec{r} + \hat{v}, \hat{v})$, and L represents the transformation T_L mapping $(\vec{r}, \hat{v})$ to $(\vec{r}, R_\theta \hat{v})$, where R_θ is the rotation matrix

$$R_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \theta = \frac{2\pi}{N}. \quad (2.2)$$

A string w of F 's and L 's then describes the general turtle transformation T_w consisting of compositions of these two basic transformations. As it turns out, such transformation T_w can be expressed in the form $T_w(\vec{r}, \hat{v}) = (\vec{r} + M\hat{v}, R\hat{v})$, where M is a matrix of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ and $R = R_\theta^k$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and some positive integer k [2]. To illustrate, if $w = LF$, then $T_w(\vec{r}, \hat{v}) = T_F(T_L(\vec{r}, \hat{v})) = T_F(\vec{r}, R_\theta \hat{v}) = (\vec{r} + R_\theta \hat{v}, R_\theta \hat{v})$. Hence, if $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the set

$$M_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

and $\langle R_\theta \rangle = \{R_\theta^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then every turtle transformation can be described using a pair of matrices $(M, R) \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \langle R_\theta \rangle$. For example, F corresponds to

the pair (I, I) , L corresponds to $(0, R_\theta)$, and LF corresponds to (R_θ, R_θ) , where I is the 2×2 identity matrix and 0 the 2×2 zero matrix. Equipped with the right binary operation, the set of pairs (M, R) forms a group $\mathcal{G}$ called the *turtle transformation group*.

THEOREM 2.1. *The set $\mathcal{G} = M_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \langle R_\theta \rangle$ is a group under the binary operation*

$$(M_1, R_1)(M_2, R_2) = (M_1 + R_1 M_2, R_1 R_2). \quad (2.4)$$

PROOF. To see that the given operation is a binary operation, note that $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under matrix addition and multiplication and that $\langle R_\theta \rangle$ is a subgroup of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, $M_1 + R_1 M_2 \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $M_1, M_2 \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $R_1 \in \langle R_\theta \rangle$. The identity in the group is $(0, I)$, and the inverse of (M, R_θ^k) in $\mathcal{G}$ is $(-R_\theta^{-k} M, R_\theta^{-k})$. The associativity follows from a straightforward computation. $\square$

The reader may recognize $\mathcal{G}$ as the semidirect product of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\langle R_\theta \rangle$ with respect to the group action $\phi : \langle R_\theta \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M_2(\mathbb{R}))$ defined by $\phi(R_\theta^k)(M) = R_\theta^k M$, $M \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ [3]. As it turns out, this group is a very useful computational tool. Indeed, $\mathcal{G}$ provides an efficient way of computing the turtle's new position and heading following a succession of motions defined by a string in Σ^* . Given a word $w = \prod_{i=1}^k L^{n_i} F^{m_i} \in \Sigma^*$, define the mapping $\psi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by

$$\psi(w) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k m_j R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^j n_i}, R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

THEOREM 2.2. *The function $\psi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a homomorphism.*

PROOF. Let $w_1 = \prod_{i=1}^k L^{n_i} F^{m_i}$ and $w_2 = \prod_{i=1}^l L^{s_i} F^{r_i}$ be two words in Σ^* , and consider $\psi(w_1 \cdot w_2)$. Set $n_{k+i} = s_i$ and $m_{k+i} = r_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w_1 \cdot w_2) &= \psi \left(\prod_{i=1}^k L^{n_i} F^{m_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l L^{s_i} F^{r_i} \right) = \psi \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} L^{n_i} F^{m_i} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+l} m_j R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^j n_i}, R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{k+l} n_i} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^k m_j R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^j n_i} + \sum_{j=1}^l r_j R_\theta^{(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i) + \sum_{i=1}^j s_i}, R_\theta^{(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i) + \sum_{i=1}^l s_i} \right) \quad (2.6) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^k m_j R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^j n_i}, R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^l r_j R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^j s_i}, R_\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^l s_i} \right) \\ &= \psi(w_1) \psi(w_2). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Next, let $\pi_1 : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \langle R_\theta \rangle$ be, respectively, the projection mappings

$$\pi_1((M, R)) = M, \quad \pi_2((M, R)) = R. \quad (2.7)$$

Then, for any given turtle program in Σ^* , the projection $\pi_1 \circ \psi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R})$ describes the turtle's change of position, while $\pi_2 \circ \psi$ describes the change in heading. Sequences in Σ^* encoding closed turtle trajectories are precisely those elements in the kernel of ψ , while sequences encoding turtle trajectories that restore the turtle's position are identified with the kernel of $\pi_1 \circ \psi$. Similarly, the kernel of $\pi_2 \circ \psi$ contains those sequences representing trajectories that restore the turtle's heading.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let w be a nonempty turtle sequence. Then w is defined to be a *closed* sequence if $w \in \ker \psi$; w is *position-preserving* (PP) if $w \in \ker(\pi_1 \circ \psi)$, and w is *heading-preserving* (HP) if $w \in \ker(\pi_2 \circ \psi)$.

Note that the definition of a closed sequence is consistent with the definition of a closed turtle trajectory. Certainly, $w \in \ker(\psi)$ if and only if $w \in \ker(\pi_1 \circ \psi)$ and $w \in \ker(\pi_2 \circ \psi)$, so w is a closed sequence if and only if w is both PP and HP. The theorems to follow illustrate the benefit of reformulating closure in this algebraic way.

We will start by proving the Closed-Path theorem of Abelson and diSessa, which states that the total turning along any closed turtle path is an integer multiple of 2π [1]. Since L represents a counterclockwise rotation of $2\pi/N$, where N is an integer, the quantity $|w|_L \cdot 2\pi/N$ measures the total turning of a turtle following the trajectory defined by w . Clearly, $|w|_L \cdot 2\pi/N$ is an integer multiple of 2π if and only if $|w|_L$ is an integer multiple of N . Hence, the Closed-Path theorem of Abelson and diSessa translates into the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *If $w \in \Sigma^*$ is a closed sequence, then $|w|_L = kN$ for some positive integer k .*

PROOF. If $w = \prod_{i=1}^k L^{n_i} F^{m_i} \in \Sigma^*$ encodes a closed turtle path, then w must preserve the turtle's heading, meaning that $w \in \ker(\pi_2 \circ \psi)$. But $w \in \ker(\pi_2 \circ \psi)$ if and only if $R_\theta^{|w|_L} = I$, and $R_\theta^{|w|_L} = I$ if and only if $|w|_L = kN$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

3. Periodic turtle sequences and the classification theorem. Our purpose now is to examine those turtle trajectories produced by the repeated iteration of a given turtle program w in Σ^* . Iterations of w correspond to products of the form w^n in Σ^* , where n is the number of iterations. That is, iterations correspond to certain periodic turtle sequences.

A word $w = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \in \Sigma^*$ is said to be *periodic* if there exists a positive integer p such that

$$a_{p+i} = a_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-p. \quad (3.1)$$

Such an integer p is called a *period* of w . The smallest period p of w is called *the period*, and it is denoted by $p(w)$, and the positive rational number $|w|/p(w)$, denoted by $\text{ord}(w)$, is called the *order* of w . Finally, a word $u \in \Sigma^*$ is said to be a *prefix* of $w \in \Sigma^*$ if $w = ux$ for some $x \in \Sigma^*$.

Because the turtle program w is applied in full with each iteration, it makes sense to consider only those periodic turtle sequences having integer-valued orders. Indeed, every iterated turtle program will have the form $z = w^n$, where $w \in \Sigma^*$ and n is a positive integer. We think of w , in this situation, as the generating sequence of the turtle trajectory.

DEFINITION 3.1. A nonempty turtle sequence w is said to *generate a closed curve* if there exists a positive integer n such that w^n is a closed sequence, that is, if for some n , $w^n \in \ker \psi$.

Clearly, a closed turtle sequence will generate a closed curve. The theorem to follow classifies all the turtle sequences in terms of the closure of their encoded turtle trajectories. As the theorem indicates, most turtle sequences will generate closed curves.

THEOREM 3.2 (classification of turtle sequences). *Any nonempty turtle sequence w will generate a closed curve except for those sequences that are HP but not PP. If w is HP and not PP, then the turtle trajectory generated by w is not closed.*

PROOF. Let $w \in \Sigma^*$, $w \neq \varepsilon$. If w is itself a closed sequence, then we are done; w will generate a closed curve. If $w \notin \ker \psi$, then we consider two cases: w is HP (but not PP) and w is not HP.

CASE 1. If w is HP but not PP, then $\psi(w) = (M, I)$ for some $M \neq 0$. Hence, $\psi(w^j) = (\psi(w))^j = (M, I)^j = (jM, I)$. Since $jM = 0$ only when $j = 0$, $w^j \notin \ker \psi$ for all $j > 0$. Hence, w does not generate a closed curve.

CASE 2. If w is not HP, $\psi(w) = (M, R_\theta^\beta)$, where $\beta = |w|_L$, $\theta = 2\pi/N$, and $R_\theta^\beta \neq I$. Consider $\psi(w^N)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w^N) &= (\psi(w))^N = ((M, R_\theta^\beta))^N \\ &= (MR_\theta^\beta + MR_\theta^{2\beta} + MR_\theta^{3\beta} + \cdots + MR_\theta^{N\beta}, R_\theta^{N\beta}) \\ &= (M(R_\theta^\beta + R_\theta^{2\beta} + R_\theta^{3\beta} + \cdots + R_\theta^{N\beta}), I). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

To show that $w^N \in \ker \psi$, it suffices to show that $R_\theta^\beta + R_\theta^{2\beta} + R_\theta^{3\beta} + \cdots + R_\theta^{N\beta} = 0$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N R_\theta^{j\beta} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} \cos(j\beta\theta) & -\sin(j\beta\theta) \\ \sin(j\beta\theta) & \cos(j\beta\theta) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^N \cos(j\beta\theta) & -\sum_{j=1}^N (\sin(j\beta\theta)) \\ \sum_{j=1}^N \sin(j\beta\theta) & \sum_{j=1}^N \cos(j\beta\theta) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The result then follows from the two identities

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \cos(j\beta\theta) = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \sin(j\beta\theta) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

which can be proved by entering the realm of complex numbers. Note that, for $\theta = 2\pi/N$, Euler's formula produces

$$(e^{i\beta\theta})^N = \cos(N\beta\theta) + i \sin(N\beta\theta) = 1, \quad (3.5)$$

and hence

$$\frac{(e^{i\beta\theta})^N - 1}{e^{i\beta\theta} - 1} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Since

$$\frac{(e^{i\beta\theta})^N - 1}{e^{i\beta\theta} - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (e^{i\beta\theta})^j, \quad (3.7)$$

the identities emerge after applying De Moivre's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (e^{i\beta\theta})^j = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\cos(j\beta\theta) + i \sin(j\beta\theta)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \cos(j\beta\theta) + i \sum_{j=1}^N \sin(j\beta\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, $\psi(w^N) = (0, I)$ and w generates a closed curve. Note that, in general, N will not be the smallest integer to produce an element in the kernel. Indeed, as [Theorem 4.1](#) indicates, $\alpha = N / \gcd(N, \beta)$ is the most efficient choice. $\square$

Case 1 of the classification theorem shows that the converse of the Closed-Path theorem is false. If $w \in \Sigma^*$ is such that $|w|_L = kN$ for some integer k ,

then w will not generate a closed sequence unless w is PP. More interesting, however, is the fact that any sequence w with $|w|_L \neq kN$, for any integer k , will generate a closed trajectory.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $w \in \Sigma^*$. If $|w|_L \neq kN$, for any integer k , then w generates a closed curve.*

PROOF. The corollary follows directly from [Case 2](#) of the previous proof because $|w|_L = kN$ if and only if $w \in \ker(\pi_2 \circ \psi)$. $\square$

Our discussion thus far has focused on the classification of periodic sequences generated by a given sequence $w \in \Sigma^*$. More generally, to classify any periodic sequence v , we simply locate the generating sequence w of v . The theorem to follow illustrates how this can be done. Again, we will assume that the periodic sequences have integer-valued orders.

THEOREM 3.4. *Assume that $w \in \Sigma^*$ is periodic and that $\text{ord}(w)$ is an integer. If u is the prefix of w of length $p(w)$, then w generates a closed curve if and only if u generates a closed curve.*

PROOF. Assume that $w \in \Sigma^*$ is periodic with $w = u^n$, where u is the prefix of w of length $p(w)$ and $n = \text{ord}(w)$. Then $w^k \in \ker \psi$ implies that $u^{nk} \in \ker \psi$. Hence, if w generates a closed curve, then u does also. Conversely, if $u^k \in \ker \psi$ for some positive integer k , then $\psi(w^k) = \psi(u^{nk}) = \psi(u^k)^n = (0, I)$. Thus, $w^k \in \ker \psi$ and w generates a closed curve. $\square$

4. Other results. If w generates a closed curve, then $w^n \in \ker \psi$ for some n . But, what is the most efficient choice for n ? That is, what is the smallest possible integer n to yield a closed sequence? [Theorem 4.1](#) answers this question.

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume that $w \in \Sigma^*$ generates a closed curve and that $\alpha = N / \gcd(N, \beta)$, where $\beta = |w|_L$. Then $w^\alpha \in \ker \psi$ and $w^k \notin \ker \psi$ for all integers $0 < k < \alpha$. That is, α is the smallest positive integer to produce a closed sequence.*

PROOF. Following the argument presented in [Case 2](#) of the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#), we find that $w^k \in \ker \psi$ if and only if $R_\theta^\beta + R_\theta^{2\beta} + R_\theta^{3\beta} + \cdots + R_\theta^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ and $R_\theta^{k\beta} = I$. The latter two equalities hold true if and only if $k\beta$ is a multiple of N , and $\alpha = N / \gcd(N, \beta)$ is the smallest positive integer to yield a multiple of N . $\square$

Next, we revisit Abelson and diSessa's POLY Closing theorem. A POLY program is the simplest of programs; it consists of the repeated iteration of a forward motion followed by a rotation. The POLY Closing theorem states that a turtle path drawn by a POLY program closes precisely when the total turning

reaches a multiple of 360 degrees [1]. The result can be reformulated using turtle sequences and proved using Theorems 3.2 and 4.1. The word $w = FL^\beta$ corresponds to the turtle program consisting of a forward motion followed by a rotation of $\beta/N \cdot 2\pi$ radians. Hence, considering $w = FL^\beta$, we allow for a rotation of any rational amount. One could also consider $w = F^k L^\beta$ to allow for forward motions of any integer-valued length.

THEOREM 4.2. *If $1 \leq \beta < N$, then the word $w = FL^\beta$ generates a closed curve. This curve closes precisely when the total turning reaches an integer multiple of 2π radians.*

PROOF. Note that $\psi(FL^\beta) = \psi(L^0 FL^\beta F^0) = (R_\theta^0 + 0 \cdot R_\theta^\beta, R_\theta^\beta) = (I, R_\theta^\beta)$, so $\pi_1 \circ \psi(FL^\beta) = I$ and $\pi_2 \circ \psi(FL^\beta) = R_\theta^\beta$. Assuming that $\theta = 2\pi/N$ and $1 \leq \beta < N$, we conclude that $FL^\beta \notin \ker(\pi_1 \circ \psi)$ and $FL^\beta \notin \ker(\pi_2 \circ \psi)$. Hence, $w = FL^\beta$ is neither PP nor HP, and Case 2 of the classification theorem applies. If $\alpha = N/\gcd(N, \beta)$, then α is the smallest positive integer having the property that $w^\alpha \in \ker \psi$. The total turning of w^α is

$$\begin{aligned} |w^\alpha|_L \cdot \frac{2\pi}{N} &= \alpha\beta \cdot \frac{2\pi}{N} = \left(\frac{N}{\gcd(N, \beta)}\right)\beta \cdot \frac{2\pi}{N} \\ &= \text{lcm}(N, \beta) \cdot \frac{2\pi}{N} = \left(\frac{\text{lcm}(N, \beta)}{N}\right) \cdot 2\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

□

Our final theorem is a translation of Abelson and diSessa's Looping lemma, which states that "any program that is just a repetition of some basic loop of turtle instructions has precisely the structure of POLY with an angle input equal to T , the total turning in the loop." The language of turtle sequences clarifies both the statement and the proof of this nice result.

THEOREM 4.3. *Assume that $w_1 \in \Sigma^*$, $w_1 \notin \ker(\pi_1 \circ \psi)$, and let $w_2 = L^{|w_1|_L} F$. Then w_1^n is a closed sequence if and only if w_2^n is a closed sequence.*

PROOF. Assume that $\psi(w_1) = (M, R_\theta^\beta)$, where $\beta = |w_1|_L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w_1^n) &= (\psi(w_1))^n = \left((M, R_\theta^\beta)\right)^n \\ &= (MR_\theta^\beta + MR_\theta^{2\beta} + MR_\theta^{3\beta} + \cdots + MR_\theta^{n\beta}, R_\theta^{n\beta}) \\ &= (M(R_\theta^\beta + R_\theta^{2\beta} + R_\theta^{3\beta} + \cdots + R_\theta^{n\beta}), R_\theta^{n\beta}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and because $\psi(L^\beta F) = (R_\theta^\beta, R_\theta^\beta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w_2^n) &= (\psi(w_2))^n = \left((R_\theta^\beta, R_\theta^\beta)\right)^n \\ &= (R_\theta^\beta + R_\theta^{2\beta} + R_\theta^{3\beta} + \cdots + R_\theta^{n\beta}, R_\theta^{n\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Hence, if $M \neq 0$, then $\psi(w_1^n) = (0, I)$ if and only if $\psi(w_2^n) = (0, I)$. □

5. Further exploration. In this note, we examined turtle sequences representing forward motions of fixed length (one) and rotations of the form $\theta = 2\pi/N$ for some integer N . Further exploration could address the more general situation, where forward motions can vary in length and θ might be irrational.

Further exploration could also address more complicated turtle trajectories, including curves that are defined by iterating a set of rewriting rules on $\{F, L\}$. In particular, it would be interesting to apply the formalism presented here to fractal curves encoded by Lindenmayer systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abelson and A. A. diSessa, *Turtle Geometry*, MIT Press Series in Artificial Intelligence, MIT Press, Massachusetts, 1981.
- [2] J. King, *Geometry and computers*, Computers and Mathematics, MAA Notes, vol. 9, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1981, pp. 101–114.
- [3] M. Suzuki, *Group Theory. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 247, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.

J. Holdener: Department of Mathematics, Kenyon College, Gambier, OH 43022, USA
E-mail address: holdenerj@kenyon.edu

A. Wagaman: Department of Mathematics, Kenyon College, Gambier, OH 43022, USA
E-mail address: wagamana@kenyon.edu