THE DILATATION INVARIANT IN THE HOMOTOPY OF SPHERES

SENLIN XU and JINCHI LV

Received 15 May 2002

We obtain some results on the filtration invariant in the homotopy of spheres. Then by the relation between the dilatation invariant and filtration invariant, we get the corresponding results on the dilatation invariant in the homotopy of spheres.
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1. Introduction. Let $S^m$, respectively $S^n$, denote the standard unit sphere in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, respectively $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and let $f : S^m \to S^n$ be a smooth map. Following Olivier [5], we define $\delta(f)$, the dilatation of $f$, by

$$\delta(f) = \sup_{|X|=1} |df(X)|,$$

where $X$ ranges over all unit tangent vectors and $|\cdot|$ is a Euclidean metric. Moreover, if $\alpha \in \pi_m(S^n)$, we define $\delta(\alpha)$, the dilatation invariant of $\alpha$, to be the real number

$$\delta(\alpha) = \inf_f \delta(f),$$

where $f : S^m \to S^n$ ranges all smooth representatives $f$ of $\alpha$. It has been conjectured by Olivier [5] that $\delta(\alpha)$ is always an integer, which is still an open problem.

The filtration invariant of $\alpha \in \pi_m(S^n)$, $\text{filt}(\alpha)$, is the integer originally defined by James [2] in connection with his study of the reduced product construction. The definition is as follows [6]. For $p, q \in S^n$, $n \geq 2$, let $\Omega^* = \Omega^*(S^n; p, q)$ be the space of all continuous paths $\omega : [0, 1] \to S^n$ with $\omega(0) = p$, $\omega(1) = q$, equipped with the compact-open topology. As well known, if $p$ and $q$ are not conjugate on $S^n$, that is, $q \neq p, p'$ where $p'$ denotes the antipode of $p$, then $\Omega^*$ admits a CW-decomposition of the form

$$\Omega^* \simeq S^{n-1} \cup e^{2(n-1)} \cup \cdots \cup e^{r(n-1)} \cup \cdots. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\Omega^*_{r(n-1)} \simeq S^{n-1} \cup e^{2(n-1)} \cup \cdots \cup e^{r(n-1)}$ be its $r(n-1)$-skeleton. This allows us to define a filtration on the homotopy group $\pi_m(S^n)$ as follows. For any
\( \alpha \in \pi_m(S^n) \), filt(\( \alpha \)) is the least integer \( r \geq 0 \) for which the adjoint of \( \alpha \), \( \text{ad}(\alpha) \in \pi_{m-1}(\Omega^*) \), lies in the image of the naturally induced map
\[
\pi_{m-1}(\Omega^*_{r(n-1)}) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(\Omega^*). \tag{1.4}
\]

One important fact is that filt(\( \alpha \)) = 0 if and only if \( \alpha = 0 \) and filt(\( \alpha \)) \leq 1 if and only if \( \alpha \) is a suspension element.

The composition problem is very interesting. As we all know, filt(\( \cdot \)) and \( \delta(\cdot) \) are “submultiplicative,” that is,
\[
filt(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq filt(\alpha) \cdot filt(\beta), \quad \delta(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq \delta(\alpha) \cdot \delta(\beta). \tag{1.5}
\]
The former is verified in [2] and the latter is obvious. If \( \alpha \in \pi_{2n-1}(S^n) \) is an element of Hopf invariant unity, then we have filt([\( \alpha \)]) = r, then \( \delta(f) \geq 2[(r+1)/2] + (1 + (-1)^r)\lambda/2\pi \).

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let \( \lambda = \text{max}_{x \in S^n} d(f(x), f(-x)) \), where \( d \) is the usual unit sphere metric. If filt([\( f \)]) = \( r \), then \( \delta(f) \geq 2[(r+1)/2] + (1 + (-1)^r)\lambda/2\pi \).

It is well known [4, Theorem 17.1] that the inclusion \( i : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^* \) is a homotopy equivalence. Naturally, \( \delta(f) \) induces a map
\[
\tilde{\delta}(f) : \Omega^*_{\delta(f)} \rightarrow \Omega^* \tag{2.2}
\]
for any \( \alpha \in S^n \), and the composition
\[
i \circ \delta(f) : S^{m-1} \rightarrow \Omega^* \tag{2.3}
\]
j : \( \Omega^*_{\delta(f)} \rightarrow \Omega \) the inclusion, is precisely the adjoint of \( f \). The proof then follows from a study of the homotopy type of \( \Omega^*_{\delta(f)} \) for suitable choice of \( x \in S^m \).
The Borsuk-Ulam theorem guarantees the existence of a point \( x \in S^m \) such that \( f(x) = f(-x) \). So, \( \min_{x \in S^m} d(f(x), f(-x)) = 0 \). We first assume that \( \lambda > 0 \). Suppose that \( \delta(f) < 2[(r + 1)/2] + (-1)^r \mu/\pi \). Let \( \mu \) be a number such that \( 0 < \mu < \lambda \leq \pi \) and

\[
\delta(f) < 2 \left[ \frac{r + 1}{2} \right] + (-1)^r \mu/\pi. \tag{2.4}
\]

Thus, by the continuity of \( d \), there is a point \( x \in S^m \) such that

\[
d(f(x), f(-x)) = \mu. \tag{2.5}
\]

From (2.4), we have \( \delta(f) \pi < 2[(r + 1)/2] \pi + (-1)^r \mu \). Hence, by (2.5) and the fundamental theorem of Morse theory [4, Theorem 17.3 and Corollary 17.4], we deduce the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^{\delta(f)\pi} & \xrightarrow{j} & \Omega \\
\downarrow{i'} & & \downarrow{i} \\
\Omega^{(r-1)(n-1)} & \xrightarrow{j'} & \Omega^*
\end{array}
\]

where \( j' \) is the inclusion and \( i' \) is a homotopy equivalence. The conclusion \( \operatorname{filt}([f]) \leq r - 1 \) then follows from (2.3), which is a contradiction. Furthermore, when \( r \) is odd, we can get \( \delta(f) \geq r + 1 \) by letting \( \mu \to 0^+ \). Then, we consider the case that \( \lambda = 0 \). For any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we can choose a suitable \( C^1 \)-approximation \( g \) of \( f \) such that \( \|g - f\| < \epsilon \), and \( \lambda(g) < \epsilon \). Letting \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \), we can get the conclusions.

**Remark 2.1.** Noting that if \( \operatorname{filt}(\alpha) = r \) is even and \( \inf_f \lambda(f) = \mu \geq 0 \), then we have \( \delta(\alpha) \geq r + \mu/\pi \). If \( \delta(\alpha) \) is an integer, then we could get \( \delta(\alpha) \geq r + 1 \). The case that \( \mu = \pi \) is very interesting since if true we can get \( \delta(\alpha) \geq r + 1 \). When \( m \) is even and \( 2\alpha \neq 0 \), Lawson Jr. [3] showed that for any representative \( f \) of \( \alpha \), there exists a pair of antipodal points mapped by \( f \) into an antipodal pair, which had been contained in [7, Theorem 1].

**Corollary 2.2.** If \( \alpha \in \pi_m(S^2) \) with \( m > 2 \) and \( 2\alpha \neq 0 \), then \( \delta(\alpha) \geq 4 \).

**Proof.** According to [1], \( \operatorname{filt}(\alpha) > 3 \); so \( \operatorname{filt}(\alpha) = r \geq 4 \). Applying Theorem 1.1, we have

\[
\delta(f) \geq 2 \left[ \frac{r + 1}{2} \right] + \frac{(1 + (-1)^r)\lambda}{2\pi} \geq 4 \tag{2.7}
\]

for any smooth representative \( f \) of \( \alpha \). Thus, \( \delta(\alpha) \geq 4 \).

**3. The case of \( S^3 \).** In this section, we consider the filtration of any element in \( \pi_{3+k}(S^2) \) for \( k = 2p - 3, 2(i+1)(p-1) - 2, \) and \( 2(i+1)(p-1) - 1, \)
one. Noting that the composition is a homomorphism, the order of \( \gamma \) is \( \leq p \) for \( p \geq 2 \). Otherwise for \( p < 2(p+1)(p-1)-3 \), we have an isomorphism \( \phi \) in \( \pi_m(S^n) \) of the natural homomorphism \( \pi_m(S^n) \). Proposition 3.2, we have the following two propositions.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime, then \( \pi_{2mp-1+k}(S^{2mp-1};p) \) is \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) for \( k = 2p - 3 \), \( 2(i+1)(p-1) - 2 \), and \( 2(i+1)(p-1) - 1 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, p-1 \), and is 0 otherwise for \( k < 2(p+1)(p-1)-2 \), \( m \geq 1 \).

We identify \( S^m \) with the suspension of \( S^{m-1} \) in the usual way. Then, we have an isomorphism \( \phi : \pi_m(S^n) \to \pi_{m-1}(S^n) \) (see [2]). For any element \( \alpha \) in \( \pi_m(S^n) \) of order \( q \) which is not necessarily a prime, \( \phi(\alpha) \) is also an element of order \( q \) in \( \pi_{m-1}(S^n) \). Let \( \text{filt}(\alpha) = r \), then \( \phi(\alpha) \) lies in the image of the natural homomorphism \( \pi_{m-1}(S^n) \to \pi_{m-1}(S^n) \). When we consider the natural homomorphisms

\[
\pi_{m-1}(S^n) \to \pi_m(S^n) \to \pi_{m-1}(S^n),
\]

we know that \( \phi(\alpha) \) is also an element of order \( q \) in \( \pi_{m-1}(S^n) \) for \( k \geq r \). So, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** If \( \pi_{m-1}(S^n);p) \geq k + 1 \) for any nonzero element \( \alpha \in \pi_m(S^n);p) \).

As we all know, \( \pi_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \), so \( \pi_{2+k}(S^{2p-1};p) = 0 \) for \( k = 2p - 3 \). By Proposition 3.2, we have

\[
\pi_{2+k}(S^{2p-1};p) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2(i+1)(p-1) - 1, \ i = 1, \ldots, p-1.
\]

Thus, by the isomorphism \( \pi_i(S^{2p-1};p) \to \pi_i(S^{2p-1};p) \) for \( i \geq 2 \) and Proposition 3.3, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** The elements of order \( p \) in \( \pi_{3+k}(S^3) \) are of filtration at least \( p \) for \( k = 2p - 3 \) and \( 2(i+1)(p-1) - 1 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, p-1 \).

By Theorems 1.1 and 3.4, we can easily get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** The elements of order \( p \) in \( \pi_{3+k}(S^3) \) are of dilatation at least \( p + 1 \) for \( k = 2p - 3 \) and \( 2(i+1)(p-1) - 1 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, p-1 \).

**Corollary 3.6.** If \( \alpha \) is an element of order \( p \) in \( \pi_{3+k}(S^3) \) as in Corollary 3.5 and \( \gamma \in \pi_3(S^2) \) is the Hopf class, then \( \delta(\gamma \circ \alpha) \geq 2p + 1 \).

**Proof.** According to [2], \( \text{filt}(\gamma \circ \alpha) = 2\text{filt}(\alpha) \) since \( \gamma \) has Hopf invariant one. Noting that the composition is a homomorphism, the order of \( \gamma \circ \alpha \) is
then 1 or $p$ as $p$ is prime. As $\text{filt}(\alpha) \geq p$ by Theorem 3.4, we have $\text{filt}(\gamma \circ \alpha) = 2\text{filt}(\alpha) \geq 2p > 0$, so $\gamma \circ \alpha \neq 0$. Thus, the order of $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is $p$. It follows immediately from Remark 2.1 that $\delta(\gamma \circ \alpha) \geq 2p + 1$ since $3 + k$ is even and $p$ is an odd prime.

From [8, Theorem 13.4], we know that $\pi_{2p-3+k}(S^{2p-3};p)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for $k = 2(p - 1)^2 - 2$ and $2i(p - 1) - 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, p - 1$, and is 0 otherwise for $k < 2p(p - 1) - 2$. So we have

$$\pi_{2+k}(S^{2p-3};p) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 2(i + 1)(p - 1) - 2, i = 1, \ldots, p - 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, by the isomorphism $\pi_i(S^2_p; p) \rightarrow \pi_i(S^2_{p-2}; p)$ and Proposition 3.3, we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** The elements of order $p$ in $\pi_{3+k}(S^3)$ are of filtration at least $p - 1$ for $k = 2(i + 1)(p - 1) - 2, i = 1, \ldots, p - 1$.

By Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.7, we can easily get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.8.** The elements of order $p$ in $\pi_{3+k}(S^3)$ are of dilatation at least $p - 1$ for $k = 2(i + 1)(p - 1) - 2, i = 1, \ldots, p - 1$.

**Corollary 3.9.** If $\alpha$ is an element of order $p$ in $\pi_{3+k}(S^3)$ as in Corollary 3.8 and $\gamma \in \pi_3(S^2)$ is the Hopf class, then $\delta(\gamma \circ \alpha) \geq 2(p - 1)$.

**Proof.** According to [2], $\text{filt}(\gamma \circ \alpha) = 2\text{filt}(\alpha)$ since $\gamma$ has Hopf invariant one. As $\text{filt}(\alpha) \geq p - 1$ by Proposition 3.7, it follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 that $\delta(\gamma \circ \alpha) \geq 2(p - 1)$.

4. Further results on the case that $\pi_m(S^n; p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $p$ be a prime. We consider the case that $\pi_m(S^n; p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$. For any element $\alpha$ of order $p$ in $\pi_m(S^n)$, we denote by $\lambda(\alpha)$ the least integer $k$ such that $\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}; p) \neq 0$, then we have

$$\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}; p) = 0, \quad \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}; p) = \mathbb{Z}_p. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus by Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\text{filt}(\alpha) \geq \lambda(\alpha). \quad (4.2)$$

Let $\beta$ be an element of order $p$ in $\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}; p)$, then $\beta$ can be naturally regarded as an element in $\pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}; p)$ with order $p$. Then, $\phi^{-1}(\beta)$ is an element of order $p$ in $\pi_m(S^n)$. Since $\pi_m(S^n; p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have

$$\pi_m(S^n; p) = \langle \phi^{-1}(\beta) \rangle. \quad (4.3)$$
So, $\alpha = k\phi^{-1}(\beta)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$. Thus, $\alpha = k\phi^{-1}(\beta) = \phi^{-1}(k\beta)$ and $\phi(\alpha) = k\beta \in \pi_{m-1}(S_{n}^{n-1})$. So we have

$$\text{filt}(\alpha) \leq \lambda(\alpha). \quad (4.4)$$

By (4.2) and (4.4), we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** If $\pi_{m}(S^{n}; p) = \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then $\text{filt}(\alpha) = \lambda(\alpha)$ for any element $\alpha$ of order $p$ in $\pi_{m}(S^{n})$.

By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\pi_{2+k}(S^{2p-1}; p) = \mathbb{Z}_{p} \quad \text{for } k = 2(i + 1)(p - 1) - 2, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p - 1. \quad (4.5)$$

So we have $\lambda(\alpha) = p - 1$ for any element $\alpha$ of order $p$ in $\pi_{3+k}(S^{3})$ where $k = 2(i + 1)(p - 1) - 2, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p - 1$. Thus by Theorem 4.1, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** The elements of order $p$ in $\pi_{3+k}(S^{3})$ are of filtration $p - 1$ for $k = 2(i + 1)(p - 1) - 2, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p - 1$.
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