

TOPOLOGICAL DUALS OF SOME PARANORMED SEQUENCE SPACES

NANDITA RATH

Received 1 October 2002

Let $P = (p_k)$ be a bounded positive sequence and let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix with all $a_{nk} \geq 0$. For normed spaces E and E_k , the matrix A generates the paranormed sequence spaces $[A, P]_\infty((E_k))$, $[A, P]_0((E_k))$, and $[A, P](E)$, which generalise almost all the well-known sequence spaces such as c_0 , c , l_p , l_∞ , and w_p . In this paper, topological duals of these paranormed sequence spaces are constructed and general representation formulae for their bounded linear functionals are obtained in some special cases of matrix A .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40C05, 40H05, 46A35, 46A45.

1. Introduction. In the last few decades, Köthe [3], Maddox [4, 5, 6], Simons [11], and several others have made a significant contribution to the study of topological duals of real- and complex-valued sequence spaces. One of the classic problems in the study of topological duals of paranormed sequence spaces is to obtain representation formulae for the elements in their dual spaces. A decisive break with the classical approach is made in this paper by introducing vector-valued sequence spaces in place of sequences of numbers. Here, we study the topological duals of vector-valued sequences which are generated by infinite matrices.

The *topological dual* of a normed linear space is the set of all continuous linear functionals on the space. Such duals of classical sequence spaces like c_0 , c , l_p , and w_p [7] are well-known sequence spaces, while the dual of l_∞ is a function space. But in the case of a sequence space which is generated by an infinite matrix, the topology is most often induced by a paranorm. While considering the paranormed sequence spaces, the topology of the dual space must be carefully chosen since the paranorm on the original space does not necessarily induce a well-behaved topology on the dual space. In such cases, it may be convenient to use the topology of uniform convergence [10] on the closed balls of the original space. In this paper, this topology has been frequently used in establishing the representation formulae for the continuous linear functionals in the dual spaces.

Attempts have been made to obtain general representation theorems for the elements in topological duals of the vector-valued sequence spaces such

as $[A, P]_0((E_k))$ and $[A, P](E)$, which are introduced and studied by the author in [9]. In fact, all the corresponding results related to the duals of the sequence spaces such as c_0 , c , l_p , and w_p (see [4, 5, 11]) follow as special cases of results established in Section 4. A topological isomorphism is established between $l^*(P, (E_k))$ and $l(Q, (E_k^*))$, for $1 < \inf_k p_k$. Finally, topological duals of the spaces $l(P, (E_k))$, for $0 < p_k \leq 1$, and $w(P, E)$, for $0 < p_k \leq 1$, are determined.

2. Definitions and notations. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers and \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers. Throughout this paper, E and E_k , for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are normed linear spaces. The topological dual of a normed linear space E is denoted as E^* . It is well known that E^* is always a Banach space. The closed unit ball in E (resp., E_k) is denoted as U (resp., U_k). We assume that for the sequence $P = (p_k)$, $p_k > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and for the infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$, $a_{nk} \geq 0$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If P is a bounded sequence, then we write $p_k = O(1)$ and $M = \max(\sup_k p_k, 1)$. If $\inf_k p_k > 1$, then $Q = (q_k)$ is the sequence for which $1/p_k + 1/q_k = 1$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The symbol z^k denotes a sequence whose k th term is z and all other terms are 0. In particular, e^k is a sequence with k th term 1 and all other terms 0. Let I denote the unit matrix, $(C, 1)$ denote the Cesaro matrix [7], and D denote the upper triangular matrix.

A vector-valued sequence space is a linear space of sequences whose elements are in other linear spaces. The symbol $S(\delta)$ stands for the closed δ -ball centered at the origin θ of such a sequence space and g denotes the paranorm [7] on a sequence space. Some of the topological properties of the following three vector-valued sequence spaces, which are generated by the infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$, were studied by Maddox [5, 7] in the special case when $E_k = \mathbb{C}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

- (i) $[A, P](E) = \{x = (x_k) \mid x_k \in E, \text{ for all } k \text{ and there exists } l \in E \text{ such that } \sum_k a_{nk} \|x_k - l\|^{p_k} \text{ converges, for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and tends to } 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ (in this case we say that $x_k \rightarrow l[A, P](E)$);
- (ii) $[A, P]_0((E_k)) = \{x = (x_k) \in \prod_k E_k \mid \sum_k a_{nk} \|x_k\|^{p_k} \text{ converges, for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and tends to } 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$;
- (iii) $[A, P]_\infty((E_k)) = \{x = (x_k) \in \prod_k E_k \mid \sup_n \sum_k a_{nk} \|x_k\|^{p_k} < \infty\}$.

If $p_k = O(1)$, then the spaces $[A, P]_0((E_k))$, $[A, P](E)$, and $[A, P]_\infty((E_k))$ are linear topological spaces [7], the topology being induced by the paranorm

$$g(x) = \sup_n \left[\sum_k a_{nk} \|x_k\|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M}. \tag{2.1}$$

These three spaces generalize almost all the well-known sequence spaces. For example, if $A=D$, the upper triangular matrix, then $[D, P]_0((E_k))=l(P, (E_k))$. In particular, if $p_k = p$ for all k , then we get the sequence space $l(p, (E_k))$ (see [5]) and if $E_k = \mathbb{C}$ for all k , then we get $l(P)$ (see [11]). Moreover, if $E_k = \mathbb{C}$ and

TABLE 2.1

Matrix	Vector-valued sequence spaces	If $E_k = \mathbb{C}$ for all k	If $E_k = \mathbb{C}, p_k = 1,$ for all k
$A = I$	$[A, P](E) = c(P, E)$	$= c(P)$	$= c$
	$[A, P]_0((E_k)) = c_0(P, E)$	$= c_0(P)$	$= c_0$
	$[A, P]_\infty((E_k)) = l_\infty(P, (E_k))$	$= l_\infty(P)$	$= l_\infty$
$A = (\mathbb{C}, 1)$	$[A, P](E) = w(P, E)$	$= w(P)$	$= w$
	$[A, P]_0((E_k)) = w_0(P, E)$	$= w_0(P)$	$= w_0$
	$[A, P]_\infty((E_k)) = w_\infty(P, (E_k))$	$= w_\infty(P)$	$= w_\infty$

$p_k = p$, for all k , then we have the special case of l_p [7]. Table 2.1 gives a few examples of special cases of $[A, P]_0((E_k)), [A, P](E),$ and $[A, P]_\infty((E_k)).$

The following spaces are used in establishing some results in Section 4:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1(P, (E_k^*)) &= \cup_{r>1} \left\{ (f_k) \in \Pi_k E_k^* \mid \sum_k \|f_k\|^{qk} r^{-qk/p_k} < \infty \right\}, \\
 M_2(P, (E_k^*)) &= \left\{ (f_k) \in \Pi_k E_k^* \mid \sum_{r \geq 0} \max_r 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| < \infty \right\}, \\
 M_3(p, E^*) &= \left\{ (f_k) \mid f_k \in E^*, \forall k, \sum_{r \geq 0} 2^{r/p} \left(\sum_r \|f_k\|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.2}$$

3. Preliminaries. In this section, we introduce the lemmas that are used to prove the main results in Section 4.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $p > 1, x, y \in \mathbb{C},$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1,$ then $|xy| \leq |x|^p + |y|^p.$*

PROOF. This follows from the well-known inequality [2, page 17],

$$a^{p'} b^{q'} \leq p' a + q' b,
 \tag{3.1}$$

where $p' > 0, q' < 1, p' + q' = 1, a \geq 0,$ and $b \geq 0$ by putting $p' = 1/p, q' = 1/q, |x| = (1/p)a^{1/p},$ and $|y| = (1/q)b^{1/q}.$ □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $p_k > 1$ and $p_k = O(1).$ Then*

$$\left| \sum_k a_k x_k \right| \leq \left[\sum_k |a_k|^{q_k} + 1 \right] g(x)
 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x = (x_k) \in l(p)$ and $a = (a_k) \in l(q),$ whenever $g(x) \leq 1.$

PROOF. By Lemma 3.1, $|a_k x_k|/g(x) \leq |a_k|^{q_k} + |x_k/g(x)|^{p_k}$, and since $g(x) \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_k a_k x_k \right| &\leq g(x) \sum_k \left| \frac{a_k x_k}{g(x)} \right| \\ &\leq g(x) \sum_k |a_k|^{q_k} + |g(x)|^{1-M} \sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} \\ &= g(x) \left[\sum_k |a_k|^{q_k} + 1 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

This proves the lemma. □

LEMMA 3.3 (Simons [11, Lemma 4]). *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$. If $x \in l(p)$ with $\sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} = \alpha$, $a \in l_\infty(p)$ with $\sup_k |a_k|^{p_k} = \beta$ and $\beta > 1$, then $\sum_k a_k x_k$ converges absolutely and $|\sum_k a_k x_k| \leq \alpha\beta$.*

4. Representation theorems. This section presents the main results established in the paper. Attempts have been made in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 to obtain some general representation formulae for elements in $[A, P]^*(E)$ and $[A, P]_0^*(E)$, which include many known cases of representation theorems for sequence spaces as special cases.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $f \in [A, P]^*(E)$, there exist uniquely defined functionals $f_k \in E^*$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$f(x) = f(y) + \sum_k f_k(x_k - l), \tag{4.1}$$

where $x_k \rightarrow l[A, P](E)$ and $y = (l, l, l, \dots)$.

PROOF. Since $x_k \rightarrow l[A, P](E)$, $\sum_k a_{nk} \|x_k - l\|^{p_k}$ converges for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So it follows that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \geq r} a_{nk} \|x_k - l\|^{p_k} = 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z^k \in [A, P](E)$ for each $z \in E$. Also $y \in [A, P](E)$ implies that $x - y - \sum_{k=1}^r (x_k - l)^k \in [A, P](E)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(x_k - l)^k$ denotes the sequence whose k th term is $x_k - l$ and all other terms are 0. Hence,

$$g \left[(x - y) - \sum_{k=1}^r (x_k - l)^k \right] = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\sum_{k \geq r} a_{nk} \|x_k - l\|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.2}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that

$$x - y = \sum_{k=1}^r (x_k - l)^k, \quad f(x) = f(y) + \sum_k f(x_k - l)^k \quad \text{for each } f \in [A, P]^*(E). \tag{4.3}$$

To show the continuity of each linear function f_k on E_k , for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_k : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f_k(z) = f(z^k)$ for any $z \in E$. Since f is continuous, for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x)| < \epsilon$, whenever $g(x) < \delta$. Now, let $\|z\| < (\delta^M)^{1/p_k} / T_k$, where $T_k = \sup_n a_{nk}$ for each k and $M = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$. It follows that

$$g(z^k) = \sup_n (a_{nk} \|z_k\|^{p_k})^{1/M} \leq T_k^{1/M} \|z_k\|^{p_k/M} < \delta \tag{4.4}$$

so that $|f_k(z)| = |f(z^k)| < \epsilon$, which proves our assertion. The required representation in (4.1) follows from this. To prove the uniqueness of the functionals f_k , note that for

$$f(x) = f(y) + \sum_k f_k(x_k - l) = f(y) + \sum_k g_k(x_k - l), \tag{4.5}$$

where $x_k \rightarrow l[A, P](E)$, we have $y = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$ when $x = z^k$. Therefore, $f_k = g_k$ for all k .

This completes the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#). □

THEOREM 4.2. *If $P = (p_k)$ and A as in [Theorem 4.1](#), then each $f \in [A, P]_0^*((E_k))$ has a unique representation of the form*

$$f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k), \tag{4.6}$$

where $f_k \in E_k^*$ are uniquely defined functionals determined by f only.

The proof of [Theorem 4.2](#) is omitted since it can be proved following the same line of argument as in [Theorem 4.1](#).

The following results are due to Maddox [8] who calculated the representation formulae for elements in $\mathbb{C}^*(E)$ and $\mathbb{C}_0^*(E)$. Note that $\mathbb{C}(E)$ (resp., $\mathbb{C}_0(E)$) is a special case of $[A, P](E)$ (resp., $[A, P]_0(E)$) obtained by substituting $A = I$ and $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

COROLLARY 4.3 [8, Proposition 6.14]. *The general form of each $f \in \mathbb{C}^*(E)$ is $f(x) = f(y) - \sum_k f_k(l) + \sum_k f_k(x_k)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}(E)$, where $x_k \rightarrow l$, $y = (l, l, l, \dots)$, $f_k \in E^*$, and $\sum_k \|f_k\| < \infty$.*

COROLLARY 4.4 [8, Proposition 6.15]. *The general form of each $f \in \mathbb{C}_0^*(E)$ is $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}_0(E)$, where $f_k \in E^*$ and $\sum_k \|f_k\| < \infty$.*

In the special case, when matrix $A = D$, a topological isomorphism has been established between $[D, P]_0^*((E_k))$ and $[D, Q]_0((E_k^*))$ for $1 < \inf_k p_k$ in [Theorem 4.5](#), while in [Theorem 4.10](#), a similar result is established for the topological dual of $[D, P]_0((E_k))$ for $0 < p_k \leq 1$. Note that $[D, P]_0((E_k)) = l(P, (E_k))$.

Köthe [3] has calculated the topological dual of $l_p((E_k))$ in the special case when $p_k = p \geq 1$ for all k . Later, Maddox [6] calculated $l^*(P)$ for $p_k \geq 1$ for all k . The following theorem generalizes and unifies both these results.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let $1 < \inf_k p_k = L$ and $p_k = O(1)$. Then for every $f \in l^*(p, (E_k))$, there exist uniquely defined functionals $f_k \in E_k^*$ for each k such that $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$ for all $x \in l(P, (E_k))$. Moreover, $(f_k)^* \in l(Q, (E_k^*))$ and the map $T : f \rightarrow (f_k)$ is a topological isomorphism between $l^*(P, (E_k))$ and $l(Q, (E_k^*))$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 4.2, each $f \in l^*(P, (E_k))$ can be uniquely expressed as $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$ for all $x = (x_k) \in l(P, (E_k))$. To prove that $(f_k) \in l(Q, (E_k^*))$, assume the contrary. So there exists integers $k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that

$$M_s = \sum_{k=k_{s-1}}^{k_s} \|f_k\|^{q_k} > 1 \tag{4.7}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f_k \in E_k^*$, there exists $z_k \in U_k$ such that

$$\|f_k\| \leq 2 |f_k(z_k)|. \tag{4.8}$$

Define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ such that

$$x_k = \begin{cases} s^{-1} M_s^{-1} |f_k(z_k)|^{q_k-1} \operatorname{sgn}(f_k(z_k)) z_k, & \text{if } k_{s-1} < k \leq k_s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} \|x_k\|^{p_k} &\leq \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} s^{-p_k} M_s^{-p_k} |f_k(z_k)|^{q_k} \|z_k\|^{p_k} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} s^{-L} M_s^{-1} \|f_k\|^{q_k} \leq s^{-L} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $L > 1$, $x \in l(P, (E_k))$. But $\sum_k f_k(x_k)$ diverges since, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} f_k(x_k) \right| &= s^{-1} M_s^{-1} \sum_{k=k_{s-1}}^{k_s} |f_k(z_k)|^{q_k} \\ &\leq 2^{-H} s^{-1} M_s^{-1} \sum_{k=k_{s-1}}^{k_s} \|f_k\|^{q_k} = 2^{-H} s^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\sup_k q_k = H$. This leads to a contradiction and therefore $(f_k) \in l(Q, (E_k^*))$.

Next, define a map $T : l^*(P, (E_k)) \rightarrow l(Q, (E_k^*))$ by $T(f) = (f_k)$. Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, one can easily show that T is linear and bijective. So it remains to establish the continuity of T and T^{-1} at the zero elements of $l^*(P, (E_k))$ and

$l(Q, (E_k^*))$, respectively. Note that $l^*(P, (E_k))$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence, while $l(Q, (E_k^*))$ has the usual paranorm topology. To prove the continuity of T^{-1} , let $\epsilon > 0$ and $y = (y_k) \in S(\delta)$, the closed δ -ball in $l(P, (E_k))$. Also let $0 < h(f_k) < \min(1, \epsilon)(\delta^M + 1)^{-1}$, where h is the paranorm on $l(Q, (E_k^*))$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(y)| &= \left| \sum_k f_k y_k \right| = \sum_k \frac{\|f_k\|}{h(f_k)} \|y_k\| h(f_k) \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_k \|y_k\|^{p_k} + \sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} [h(f_k)]^{-q_k} \right\} h(f_k) \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_k \|y_k\|^{p_k} + \sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} [h(f_k)]^{-H} \right\} h(f_k) \\
 &\leq [g^M(y) + 1] h(f_k) < \epsilon,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.12}$$

which implies the continuity of T^{-1} .

To prove the continuity of T , it is enough to show that $|h(f_k)|^{H(M-1)} < \delta^{-1/M}$, whenever $\sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in S(\delta)\} < 1$, for any $\delta^{-1/M} > 2$. Choose $z_k \in U_k$ with $|f_k(z_k)| \geq (1/2)\|f_k\|$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a sequence $x^n = (x_k^n)$ by

$$x_k^n = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{M/p_k} \operatorname{sgn}(f_k(z_k)) \|f_k\|^{q_k-1} \delta^{1/p_k} z_k}{h(f_k)^{H/p_k} |h(f_k)|}, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}
 \tag{4.13}$$

Note that $x^n \in S(\delta)$ since $g(x^n) \leq 2\delta^{1/M} ([\sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\|^{q_k}]^{1/M} / h(f_k)^{H/M}) \leq 2\delta^{1/M} < \delta$. This implies that $1 \geq |f(x^n)| \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \delta^{1/p_k} [h(f_k)]^{-H/p_k} \|f_k\|^{q_k}$ for each $n \geq 1$, whenever $\sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in S(\delta)\} < 1$. Also, since $\delta^{1/M} \leq \delta^{1/p_k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^{-1/M} &\geq \sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} [h(f_k)]^{-H/p_k} \\
 &\geq \sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} \left[\sum_r \|f_r\|^{q_r} \right]^{-1/p_k} \\
 &\geq \sum_k \|f_k\| \geq \left[\sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} \right]^{1/H},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.14}$$

which shows that $h(f_k) \leq 1$. So, $\delta^{-1/M} \geq [h((f_k))]^{-H/M} [h((f_k))]^H$, that is, $h(f_k) \leq \delta^{1/H(-M+1)}$, and therefore, T is continuous.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5. □

COROLLARY 4.6 [3]. *If $1 < p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, and E_k are Banach spaces, then $l_p^*((E_k)) = l_q((E_k^*))$ and $l_1^*((E_k)) = l_\infty((E_k^*))$.*

COROLLARY 4.7 [6, Theorem 4]. *If $\inf_k p_k > 1$ and $p_k = O(1)$, then $l^*(P)$ is linearly homeomorphic to the space $l(Q)$.*

If the condition $\inf_k p_k > 0$ is removed from the statement of [Theorem 4.5](#), then in that case we have the following partial result which gives a unique representation of each linear functional on $l(P, (E_k))$.

THEOREM 4.8. *Let $p_k > 1$ and $(p_k) = O(1)$. Then each $f \in l^*(P, (E_k))$ can be uniquely expressed as $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$ for all $x = (x_k) \in l(P, (E_k))$, where $(f_k) \in M_1(P, (E_k^*))$. Moreover, the map $T : l^*(P, (E_k)) \rightarrow M_1(P, (E_k^*))$, defined by $T(f) = (f_k)$, is a linear homomorphism.*

PROOF. Following the same line of argument as in [Theorem 4.2](#), it can be shown that each $f \in l^*(P, (E_k))$ can be uniquely expressed as $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$ for all $x = (x_k) \in l(P, (E_k))$, where $f_k \in E_k^*$. To show that $(f_k) \in M_1(P, (E_k^*))$, assume the contrary. So there exists integers $1 < k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that $M_s = \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} \|f_k\|^{q_k} s^{-q_k/p_k} > 1$ for each $s \geq 1$. Also, we can find $z_k \in U_k$ such that $\|f_k\| \leq 2^{1/q_k} |f_k(z_k)|$, for each $k \geq 1$. Define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} s^{(-1+q_k)/p_k} M_s^{-1} |f_k(z_k)|^{q_k-1} \operatorname{sgn}(f_k(z_k)) z_k, & \text{if } k_{s-1} \leq k \leq k_s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

which obviously belongs to $l(P, (E_k))$. However, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} f_k(x_k) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} s^{(-1+q_k)/p_k} M_s^{-1} |f_k(z_k)|^{q_k} \right| \\ &\geq M_s^{-1} 2^{-1} s^{-1} \sum_{k=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_s} \|f_k\|^{q_k} s^{-q_k/p_k} = \frac{1}{2s} \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for each $s \geq 1$, $\sum_k f_k(x_k)$ diverges, which leads to a contradiction. So it follows that $(f_k) \in M_1(P, (E_k^*))$.

Clearly, the map T is linear and injective. To show that T is also surjective, let $(f_k) \in M_1(P, (E_k^*))$, that is, there exists an $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r > 1$, such that $\sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} r^{-q_k/p_k} < \infty$. It follows from [Lemma 3.1](#) that for any $x \in l(P, (E_k))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |f_k(x_k)| &\leq \sum_k \frac{\|f_k\|}{r} \|x_k\| r \\ &\leq \sum_k \left[\|f_k\|^{q_k} r^{-q_k} + r^{p_k} \|x_k\|^{p_k} \right] \\ &\leq r^{-1} \sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} r^{-q_k/p_k} + r^M \sum_k \|x_k\|^{p_k} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Let $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f_k(x_k)|}{r g(x)} &\leq \frac{\|f_k\| \|x_k\|}{g(x) r} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|f_k\|}{r}\right)^{q_k} + \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{g(x)}\right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq \|f_k\|^{q_k} r^{-q_k} + \|x_k\|^{p_k} [g(x)]^{-M}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

whenever $g(x) \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq r g(x) \left\{ \sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} r^{-q_k} + \|x_k\|^{p_k} [g(x)]^{-M} \right\} \\ &= g(x) \left[\sum_k \|f_k\|^{q_k} r^{-q_k/p_k} + \aleph \right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

which establishes the continuity of f . This proves [Theorem 4.8](#). □

The following result of Maddox [[6](#), Theorem 3] is an immediate consequence of [Theorem 4.8](#).

COROLLARY 4.9. *If $p_k > 1$ and $(p_k) = O(1)$, then $l^*(P)$ is linearly homomorphic to the space $M_1(P)$.*

Note that if we impose the extra condition $1 < \inf_k p_k$ in [Corollary 4.9](#), then the space $M_1(P)$ coincides with $l(Q)$.

Next, we investigate the topological dual of $l(P, (E_k))$ for $0 < p_k \leq 1$. Köthe [[3](#)] calculated $l_1^*((E_k))$, and later, Simons [[11](#)] established a representation theorem for continuous linear functionals on $l(P)$ for $0 < p_k \leq 1$. The following theorem generalizes these results by establishing a topological isomorphism between $l^*(P, (E_k))$ and $l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$ for $0 < p_k \leq 1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

THEOREM 4.10. *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $f \in l^*(P, (E_k))$, there exist uniquely defined functionals $f_k \in E_k^*$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$, where $x = (x_k) \in l(P, (E_k))$. Moreover, $(f_k) \in l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$ and the map $T : f \mapsto (f_k)$ is a topological isomorphism between $l^*(P, (E_k))$ and $l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$.*

PROOF. Following the same argument as in [Theorem 4.2](#), one can show that $f(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$ is the unique representation of $f(x)$, where $f_k \in E_k^*$, for all k and $x = (x_k) \in l(P, (E_k))$. To show that $(f_k) \in l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$, assume the contrary, that is, there exist integers $1 < k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \dots$ such that $\|f_{k_s}\|^{p_{k_s}} > s^2$ for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $z_k \in U_k$ such that $\|f_k\| \leq 2|f_k(z_k)|$ and

define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{sgn} f_k(z_k)}{\|f_k\|} z_k, & \text{if } k = k_s \text{ for each } s \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$

Then

$$\sum_k \|x_k\|^{p_k} = \sum_s \frac{\|z_{k_s}\|^{p_{k_s}}}{\|f_{k_s}\|^{p_{k_s}}} \leq \sum_s \frac{1}{\|f_{k_s}\|^{p_{k_s}}} < \sum_s s^{-2} < \infty \quad (4.21)$$

so that $x \in l(P, (E_k))$. However,

$$\sum_k |f_k(x_k)| = \sum_s \frac{|f_{k_s}(z_{k_s})|}{\|f_{k_s}\|} > \frac{1}{2} \sum_s 1, \quad (4.22)$$

which contradicts that $\sum_k f_k(x_k)$ converges. Therefore, $(f_k) \in l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$.

Next, we show that $T: l^*(P, (E_k)) \rightarrow l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$, defined by $T(f) = (f_k)$, is a topological isomorphism. Clearly, T is linear and one to one. To show that T is also surjective, let $(f_k) \in l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$ and $y = (y_k) \in l(P, (E_k))$. Then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|f_k\|^{p_k} \leq \alpha$ for all k , and there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|y_k\|^{p_k} < 1/\alpha$, for all $k \geq k_0$, so that $|f_k(y_k)|^{p_k} < 1$, for all $k \geq k_0$. Then

$$\sum_{k \geq k_0} |f_k(y_k)| \leq \sum_{k \geq k_0} |f_k(y_k)|^{p_k} \leq \alpha \sum_{k \geq k_0} \|y_k\|^{p_k} < \infty, \quad (4.23)$$

which implies that $\sum_k f_k(y_k)$ converges. Let $f(y) = \sum_k f_k(y_k)$. The map f is linear and continuous since, by [Lemma 3.3](#), $|f(y)| \leq \alpha g(y)$. So, $f \in l^*(P, (E_k))$, which implies that T is surjective.

It remains to show the continuity of T and T^{-1} with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on the closed balls of $l(P, (E_k))$ and the usual paranorm topology on $l_\infty(P, (E_k^*))$, respectively. To prove the continuity of T^{-1} , let $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in S(\beta)$, the closed β -ball in $l(P, (E_k))$. Since $|f(x)| \leq \sum_k \|f_k\| \|x_k\| \leq \delta \beta < \epsilon$, whenever $\sup_k \|f_k\|^{p_k} < \delta$, where $\delta = \min(1, \epsilon)/\beta$, it follows that T^{-1} is continuous.

To prove the continuity of T , choose $z_k \in U_k$ for each $k \geq 1$ such that $(1/2)\|f_k\| \leq |f_k(z_k)|$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a sequence $t^n = (t_k^n)$ by

$$t_k^n = \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(f_k(z_k)) 2^{-1} \|f_k\| \delta^{1/p_k}}{f_k(z_k)}, & \text{if } k = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t^n \in s(\delta)$ since

$$g(t^n) = \sum_k \|t_k^n\|^{p_k} \leq \delta 2^{-p_n} \frac{\|f_n\|^{p_n}}{|f_n(z_n)|^{p_n}} < \delta. \tag{4.25}$$

So it follows that $1 > |f(t_n)| = 2^{-1} \delta^{1/p_n} \|f_n\|$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whenever $\sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in S(\delta)\} < 1$. This implies that $T((f_k)) < 2\delta^{-1}$, which proves the continuity of T^{-1} .

This completes the proof of [Theorem 4.10](#). □

The following result is an immediate consequence of [Theorem 4.10](#).

COROLLARY 4.11 [[11](#), Theorem 7]. *If $0 < p_k \leq 1$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *the map $(x_k) \mapsto \sum_k a_k x_k$ is a linear functional on $l(P)$;*
- (2) *$\sum_k a_k x_k$ is convergent for all $x = (x_k) \in l(P)$;*
- (3) *$(a_k) \in l_\infty(P)$.*

Since $l(P)$ admits the Schauder basis [[7](#)] (e^k) , $f(x) = \sum_k f(e_k) x_k$, for each $x = (x_k) \in l(P)$. So this result amounts to saying that $l^*(P) = l_\infty(P)$ when $0 < p_k \leq 1$, which is a special case of [Theorem 4.10](#).

The next theorem deals with the special case when $A = (\mathbb{C}, 1)$, the Cesaro matrix. The structure of the topological dual of $[(\mathbb{C}, 1), P](E) = w(P, E)$ is discussed for $0 < p_k \leq 1$. The calculation of topological dual of $w(P, E)$, for $p_k > 1$, still remains open.

Borwein [[1](#)] determined the structure of the continuous linear functionals on w_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Later, Maddox [[4](#), [5](#)] investigated $w^*(P)$ and $w_0^*(P)$, for $0 < p_k \leq 1$.

THEOREM 4.12. *Let $0 < \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$. Then, every $f \in w^*(P, E)$ can be uniquely expressed as*

$$f(x) = f(y) + \sum_k f_k(x_k) - \sum_k f_k(l), \tag{4.26}$$

where $x_k \mapsto l[w(P, E)]$, $y = (l, l, l, \dots)$, and $f_k \in E^*$ for all k . Moreover, $(f_k) \in M_2(P, E^*)$ and the map $f \mapsto (f_k)$ is a linear homomorphism between the spaces $w^*(P, E)$ and $M_2(P, E^*)$.

PROOF. By [Theorem 4.1](#), for each $f \in w^*(P, E)$, there exist uniquely defined functionals $f_k \in E^*$ such that [\(4.26\)](#) holds for each $x = (x_k) \in w(P, E)$, where $x_k \mapsto l[w(P, E)]$. To show that $(f_k) \in M_2(P, E^*)$, assume the contrary, that is,

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \max_r 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| = \infty. \tag{4.27}$$

Then, by Dini’s theorem [12, page 403], there exists a decreasing null sequence (ϵ_k) such that $\sum_{r \geq 0} \epsilon_r \max_r 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| = \infty$. Choose $z_k \in U$ such that $\|f_k\| \leq 2|f_k(z_k)|$ and let \mathbb{N}_r be the integer such that $\max_r 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| = 2^{r/p_{\mathbb{N}_r}} \|f_{\mathbb{N}_r}\|$.

Define a sequence $b = (b_k)$ by

$$b_k = \begin{cases} 2^{r/p_{\mathbb{N}_r}} \epsilon_{\mathbb{N}_r} z_{\mathbb{N}_r} \operatorname{sgn}(f_{\mathbb{N}_r}(z_{\mathbb{N}_r})), & \text{if } k = \mathbb{N}_r, \text{ for each } r \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.28}$$

Since

$$2^{-r} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} \|b_k\|^{p_k} = 2^{-r} 2^r \epsilon_{\mathbb{N}_r}^{p_{\mathbb{N}_r}} \|z_{\mathbb{N}_r}\|^{p_{\mathbb{N}_r}} \mathbb{N}_r^L \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4.29}$$

we can define $f(b) = \sum_k f_k(b_k)$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} f_k(b_k) &= \epsilon_{\mathbb{N}_r} 2^{r/p_{\mathbb{N}_r}} |f_{\mathbb{N}_r}(z_{\mathbb{N}_r})| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mathbb{N}_r} 2^{r/p_{\mathbb{N}_r}} \|f_{\mathbb{N}_r}\|, \text{ for each } r \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} |f_k(b_k)| &\geq \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mathbb{N}_r} 2^{r/p_{\mathbb{N}_r}} \|f_{\mathbb{N}_r}\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \geq 0} \epsilon_{\mathbb{N}_r} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \geq 0} \epsilon_r \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

So, it follows from (4.27) that $\sum_k f_k(b_k)$ diverges, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, $(f_k) \in M_2(P, E^*)$.

Since $\sum_k f_k(x_k - l)$ converges and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |f_k(l)| &\leq \|l\| \sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} \|f_k\| \\ &\leq \|l\| \sum_{r \geq 0} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \\ &\leq \|l\| \sum_{r \geq 0} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

for each $x = (x_k) \rightarrow l[w(P, E)]$, $\sum_k f_k(x_k)$ converges. This shows that the representation in (4.26) is valid.

Next, define the map $T : w^*(P, E) \rightarrow M_2(P, E^*)$ by $T(f) = (f_k)$. Clearly, T is linear and injective. To show that T is continuous, define a map $t : w(P, E) \rightarrow E$ by $t(x) = l$, where $x = (x_k) \rightarrow l[w(P, E)]$. Clearly, t is well defined and linear. Next, let $s = \min(\|l\|, \|l\|^{p_k})$. So, for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$s \leq 2^{-r} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} \|l\|^{p_k} \leq 2^{-r} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} \|l - x_k\|^{p_k} + 2^{-r} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} \|x_k\|^{p_k} \leq g(x) + \epsilon, \tag{4.33}$$

which implies that $\|t(x)\| = \|l\| \leq (1/2)[g(x) + g(x)^{1/L}]$ and therefore t is continuous. Then define two maps h_1 and h_2 on $w(P, E)$ by $h_1(x) = \sum_k f_k(l)$ and $h_2(x) = \sum_k f_k(x_k)$, respectively. The map h_1 is continuous since

$$\begin{aligned} |h_1(x)| &= \|l\| \sum_k \|f_k\| = \|l\| \sum_{r \geq 0} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} [g(x) + g(x)^{1/L}], \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where $\beta = \sum_{r \geq 0} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\|$. To prove the continuity of h_2 , let $\alpha = \max(1, g(x))$. Then since

$$\sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{2^{r/p_k} \alpha^{1/l}} \right)^{p_k} \leq \frac{g(x)}{\alpha^{1/l}} \leq \frac{g(x)}{\alpha} \leq 1, \tag{4.35}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} |f_k(x_k)| &\leq \alpha^{1/L} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} \|x_k\| 2^{-r/p_k} \alpha^{1/l} \\ &< \alpha^{1/l} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| \frac{g(x)}{\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |h_2(x)| &\leq \sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}} |f_k(x_k)| \\ &\leq \alpha^{-1+1/L} \left[\sum_{r \geq 0} \max_{2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}} 2^{r/p_k} \|f_k\| \right] g(x) \\ &\leq \beta \alpha^{-1+1/L} g(x), \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

which shows that h_2 is continuous. Hence f is continuous.

This completes the proof of [Theorem 4.12](#). □

The following two results are special cases of [Theorem 4.12](#).

COROLLARY 4.13 [4, Theorem 6]. *Let $0 < \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$. Then, for arbitrary $\alpha, a = (a_k) \in M_2(P)$, and $x = (x_k) \in w(P)$ with $x_k \rightarrow \ell[w(P)]$, $f(x) = \ell\alpha + \sum_k a_k x_k$ defines an element of $w^*(P)$. Conversely, every element of $w^*(P)$ can be represented in this form.*

COROLLARY 4.14 [4, Theorem 7]. *If $0 < p_k \leq 1$, for all k , then $f(x) = \sum_k a_k x_k$ defines an element of $w_0^*(P)$, for each $x = (x_k) \in w_0(P)$, where $(a_k) \in M_2(P)$. If, in addition, $0 < \inf_k p_k$, then $f(x) = \sum_k a_k x_k$ is a continuous linear functional on $w_0(P)$ if and only if $(a_k) \in M_2(P)$.*

We have not been able to obtain anything more satisfactory than linear homomorphism for $w^*(P, E)$, when $p_k = p > 1$ for all k .

THEOREM 4.15. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then, every $f \in w_p^*(E)$ can be uniquely expressed as*

$$f(x) = f(y) + \sum_k f_k x_k - \sum_k f_k(l), \quad (4.38)$$

where $x_k \rightarrow \ell[w_p(E)]$, $y = l, l, l, \dots$, and $f_k \in E^*$ for each k . Moreover, $(f_k) \in M_3(p, E^*)$ and the map $T : f \mapsto (f_k)$ is a linear homomorphism between the spaces $w_p^*(E)$ and $M_3(p, E^*)$.

The proof of the above theorem is analogous to a result given by Maddox [4] for the corresponding special case w_p .

The topological dual of $w(P, E)$, for $p_k > 1$, still remains to be determined. The space $[A, P]_\infty^*((E_k))$ has also been excluded from the current discussion because even in the special case of l_∞ , the topological dual is a function space, which will be discussed in a subsequent paper. The study of the three spaces $[A, P]_\infty((E_k))$, $[A, P]_0((E_k))$, and $[A, P]((E))$ using techniques of functional analysis generalizes and unifies many of the existing results on sequence spaces. Much more has to be investigated on the duals of these spaces. Stated otherwise, topological duals of sequence spaces by matrix transformation can be studied with a new approach and insight with the introduction of these three spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Borwein, *On strong and absolute summability*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **4** (1960), 122-139.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1967.
- [3] G. Köthe, *Topological Vector Spaces. I*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 159, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
- [4] I. J. Maddox, *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **18** (1967), 345-355.

- [5] ———, *Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **64** (1968), 335–340.
- [6] ———, *Continuous and Köthe-Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **65** (1969), 431–435.
- [7] ———, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1970.
- [8] ———, *Infinite Matrices of Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 786, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [9] N. Rath, *Vector-valued sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **26** (2001), no. 9, 547–560.
- [10] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [11] S. Simons, *The sequence spaces $l(p_\nu)$ and $m(p_\nu)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 422–436.
- [12] K. R. Stromberg, *Introduction to Classical Real Analysis*, International Mathematics Series, Wadsworth, California, 1981.

Nandita Rath: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA 6907, Australia

E-mail address: rathn@maths.uwa.edu.au



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

