

WEAK INCIDENCE ALGEBRA AND MAXIMAL RING OF QUOTIENTS

SURJEET SINGH and FAWZI AL-THUKAIR

Received 12 November 2003

Let X, X' be two locally finite, preordered sets and let R be any indecomposable commutative ring. The incidence algebra $I(X, R)$, in a sense, represents X , because of the well-known result that if the rings $I(X, R)$ and $I(X', R)$ are isomorphic, then X and X' are isomorphic. In this paper, we consider a preordered set X that need not be locally finite but has the property that each of its equivalence classes of equivalent elements is finite. Define $I^*(X, R)$ to be the set of all those functions $f : X \times X \rightarrow R$ such that $f(x, y) = 0$, whenever $x \not\leq y$ and the set S_f of ordered pairs (x, y) with $x < y$ and $f(x, y) \neq 0$ is finite. For any $f, g \in I^*(X, R)$, $r \in R$, define $f + g$, fg , and rf in $I^*(X, R)$ such that $(f + g)(x, y) = f(x, y) + g(x, y)$, $fg(x, y) = \sum_{x \leq z \leq y} f(x, z)g(z, y)$, $rf(x, y) = r \cdot f(x, y)$. This makes $I^*(X, R)$ an R -algebra, called the *weak incidence algebra* of X over R . In the first part of the paper it is shown that indeed $I^*(X, R)$ represents X . After this all the essential one-sided ideals of $I^*(X, R)$ are determined and the maximal right (left) ring of quotients of $I^*(X, R)$ is discussed. It is shown that the results proved can give a large class of rings whose maximal right ring of quotients need not be isomorphic to its maximal left ring of quotients.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S60, 16S90, 16W20.

1. Introduction. Let X and X' be two locally finite, preordered sets, and let R be a commutative ring. Under what conditions are incidence rings $I(X, R)$ and $I(X', R)$ isomorphic? In particular, under what conditions on R can one conclude that X and X' are isomorphic, when the two incidence rings $I(X, R)$ and $I(X', R)$ are isomorphic? The latter question has been discussed by many authors. One of the earliest results in this direction is by Stanley [9], who proved that if R is a field, then the two incidence rings are isomorphic if and only if X and X' are isomorphic. Froelich [4] extended this result to the case of an indecomposable ring R . Similar questions have been examined in [1, 3, 10] in case R need not be commutative.

Now consider any preordered set X that need not be locally finite. Two elements $x, y \in X$ are said to be *equivalent*, $x \sim y$, if $x \leq y \leq x$. In Section 3, the isomorphism problem for weak incidence algebras is discussed. Let X and X' be two preordered sets in each of which every equivalence class is finite, and let R, R' be two commutative rings such that the weak incidence algebras $I^*(X, R)$ and $I^*(X', R')$ are isomorphic as rings. In case R and R' are indecomposable, Theorem 3.10 shows that X, X' are isomorphic and R, R' are isomorphic. The main aim of Section 4 is to prove some results that can help in studying the maximal ring of quotients of an $I^*(X, R)$. Similar work has been done in a recent paper [2] for certain classes of incidence algebras. In [7], Spiegel determines some essential ideals of an incidence algebra of a locally finite, partially

ordered set. Here we are in a position to determine all the essential one-sided ideals of an $S = I^*(X, R)$ whenever R is indecomposable. A particular essential right ideal T is isolated and the ring $Q = \text{Hom}_S(T, T)$ is discussed in Theorems 4.8, 4.9, and 4.10. This ring Q is used to give some results on maximal right (left) ring of quotients of S .

2. Preliminaries. All rings considered here are with identity $1 \neq 0$. As the various concepts discussed here for weak incidence algebras are similar to those for incidence algebras, for details on incidence algebras one may consult [8]. We now collect some results on rings and modules.

LEMMA 2.1. *For any commutative ring R and any positive integer n , if $M_R = R^{(n)}$ is isomorphic to its summand N , then $M = N$.*

PROOF. Now $M = N \oplus K$. For any maximal ideal P of R , the localization $M_P = N_P \oplus K_P$. As the ranks of the free R_P -modules M_P and N_P are the same and finite, $K_P = 0$. Hence $K = 0$. □

LEMMA 2.2. *Let R be a commutative ring and let K be any ring such that $M_n(R) \cong M_m(K)$. Then m divides n . If $n = m$, then $R \cong K$.*

PROOF. The first part follows from Wedderburn’s structure theorem for simple artinian algebras, and the second part is in [6]. □

LEMMA 2.3. *Let T be any ring and let e, e', f, f' be any four idempotents in T such that $eT \cong e'T, fT \cong f'T$. Then $eTf \neq 0$ if and only if $e'Tf' \neq 0$.*

PROOF. The hypothesis gives that $\text{Hom}_T(fT, eT) \cong \text{Hom}_T(f'T, e'T), eTf \cong e'Tf'$, as abelian groups. This proves the result. □

3. Isomorphism. Let X be any preordered set (i.e., X is a set with a relation \leq that is reflexive and transitive). For any $x, y \in X$, set $x \sim y$, if $x \leq y \leq x$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation. A preordered set X is said to be a *class finite, preordered set* if, for any $x \in X$, the equivalence class $[x] = \{y \in X : x \leq y \leq x\}$ is finite. Henceforth we take X to be a class finite, preordered set and R a commutative ring. The set $K^*(X, R) = \{f \in I^*(X, R) : f(x, y) = 0 \text{ whenever } x \sim y\}$ is a nil ideal. Indeed, given $f \in K^*(X, R), f^{m+1} = 0$, for $m = |S_f|$. Indeed, one can see that each member of $K^*(X, R)$ is strongly nilpotent, as defined in [8, page 176], so $K^*(X, R)$ is contained in the lower nil radical of $I^*(X, R)$. Let Y be a representative partially ordered subset of X . For any $x \in X$, let $||[x]|| = n_x$. For each $x \in X$, the set $B_x = \{f \in I^*(X, R) : f(u, v) = 0 \text{ whenever } u \not\sim x \text{ or } v \not\sim x\}$, is a ring with δ_x as identity, where $\delta_x(u, v) = 0$, whenever $u \not\sim x, v \not\sim x$, or $u \neq v$, and $\delta_x(u, u) = 1$ whenever $u \sim x$. Let δ denote the identity element of $I^*(X, R)$. For any $x, y \in X$, with $x \leq y$, let $e_{xy} \in I^*(X, R)$ be such that $e_{xy}(u, v) = 0$, for $(u, v) \neq (x, y)$, and $e_{xy}(x, y) = 1$. Each of e_{xy} is called a *matrix unit* of $I^*(X, R)$. We write $e_x = e_{xx}$. Then B_x is the $n_x \times n_x$ full matrix ring over R with $\{e_{uv} : u \sim x, v \sim x\}$ as its set of matrix units. Let $M_n(R)$ denote the $n \times n$ full matrix ring over R . Further, $D^*(X, R) = \{f \in I^*(X, R) : f(u, v) = 0 \text{ whenever } u \not\sim v\}$ is a subring of $I^*(X, R)$, each B_x is an ideal of $D^*(X, R)$. Set $S = I^*(X, R), K = K^*(X, R), D = D^*(X, R)$. For any subset Z of X , let $E_Z \in S$ be such that $E_Z(u, u) = 1$ for $u \in Z$, and $E_Z(x, y) = 0$ otherwise. For any

$f \in S$, support of f , denoted by $\text{suppt}(f)$, equals $\{(x, y) : f(x, y) \neq 0\}$, the cardinality of $\text{suppt}(f)$ is called the *weight* of f and we denote it by $\text{wt}(f)$. Let X' be another class finite, preordered set. Let R' be another commutative ring. We use the same symbols for the matrix units of $I^*(X, R)$ or $I^*(X', R')$ and so on, but $S' = I^*(X', R')$, $K' = K^*(X', R')$, and $D' = D^*(X', R')$. Let Y and Y' be fixed *representative partially ordered subsets* of X and X' , respectively. For any two distinct members y, z of Y , δ_y, δ_z are orthogonal idempotents. Any $f \in S$ will be sometimes denoted by the formal sum $\sum_{x,y} f(x, y)e_{xy}$ (or by the matrix $[f(x, y)]$ indexed by X). The following is obvious.

- LEMMA 3.1.** (i) $I^*(X, R) = D^*(X, R) \oplus K^*(X, R)$ as abelian groups.
- (ii) $D^*(X, R) = \prod_{y \in Y} B_y$, where Y is any representative partially ordered subset of X .
- (iii) $I^*(X, R)/K^*(X, R) \cong \prod_{y \in Y} M_{n_y}(R) \cong D^*(X, R)$, where Y is any representative partially ordered subset of X .
- (iv) For any $f, e_{xy} \in I^*(X, R)$, $\text{wt}(fe_{xy})$ is finite, that is, $fe_{xy} = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$, with finitely many $a_{ux} \neq 0$.

It follows from (ii) that $K^*(X, R)$ does not equal the Jacobson radical of S , unless the Jacobson radical of R is zero. For any $f \in S$, we write $f = f_D + f_K$ with $f_D \in D$ and $f_K \in K$; f_D is called the *diagonal* of f . The following is obvious.

LEMMA 3.2. For any nonempty subset Z of X , $E_Z S E_Z \cong I^*(Z, R)$.

LEMMA 3.3. For any two idempotents $f, g \in S$, $fSg \neq 0$ if and only if $f_D S g_D \neq 0$.

PROOF. In $\bar{S} = S/K$, $f + K = f_D + K$. As K is nil, we get $fS \cong f_D S$. After this, [Lemma 2.3](#) completes the proof. □

LEMMA 3.4. Let $0 \neq e = e^2 \in S$.

- (i) e_D is a nonzero idempotent and $e_D \delta_y = \delta_y e_D$ for any $y \in Y$.
- (ii) There exists $y \in Y$ such that $e_D \delta_y = \delta_y e_D \neq 0$.
- (iii) For any $y \in Y$, $e' = ee_D \delta_y e$ is an idempotent such that $e'(u, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_2)e(w_2, v)$, where the summation runs over w_1, w_2 in $[y] \cap [u, v]$. Further, $e - e', e'$ are orthogonal idempotents. If $e_D \delta_y \neq 0$, then $e' \neq 0$.

PROOF. (i) is obvious. Now $S/K = \bar{D} = \prod_{y \in K} \bar{B}_y \cong D$, $\bar{\delta} = \prod \bar{\delta}_y$, and $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_D$. It follows that for some $y \in Y$, $\bar{e}_D \bar{\delta}_y = \bar{\delta}_y \bar{e}_D \neq 0$. This proves (ii). Consider any $y \in Y$ and $e' = ee_D \delta_y e$. The definition of the product of two members of S gives that $e'(u, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_2)e(w_2, v)$, where the summation runs over all w_1, w_2 in $[y] \cap [u, v]$. Then we have $(e')^2(u, v) = \sum_{u \leq w \leq v} e'(u, w)e'(w, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_2)e(w_2, w)e(w, w_3)e(w_3, w_4)e(w_4, v)$, where summation runs over all w_i, w in $[y] \cap [u, v]$ such that $w_2 \leq w \leq w_3$. Thus $(e')^2(u, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_4)e(w_4, v) = e'(u, v)$. Hence e' is an idempotent. As $ee' = e' = e'e$, it follows that $e - e'$ is an idempotent orthogonal to e' . If $e_D \delta_y \neq 0$, as obviously $\bar{e}' = \bar{e}_D \bar{\delta}_y$ in S/K , we get $e' \neq 0$. □

LEMMA 3.5. (i) If $e \in S$ is an indecomposable idempotent, then there exists a unique $y \in Y$ such that $e = ee_D \delta_y e$.

(ii) Let $e \in S$ be a nonzero idempotent such that $e_D \in B_y$ for some $y \in Y$. Then $e = ee_D \delta_y e$; this y is uniquely determined by e .

PROOF. (i) In $\overline{S} = S/K$, $\overline{e} = \overline{e_D}$ is an indecomposable idempotent. So there exists a unique $\gamma \in Y$ such that $\overline{e} = \overline{e_D} \overline{\delta_\gamma}$. By Lemma 3.4(iii), $e' = ee_D \delta_\gamma e$ is a nonzero idempotent. As $e - e'$ is orthogonal to e' and e is indecomposable, $e = e'$.

(ii) The hypothesis gives $\overline{e} = \overline{ee_D} \overline{\delta_\gamma} \overline{e}$. Then Lemma 3.4(iii) gives $e = ee_D \delta_\gamma e$. □

THEOREM 3.6. *Let R be any indecomposable commutative ring and X any class finite, preordered set. Then for any automorphism σ of $S = I^*(X, R)$, $\sigma(K) = K$.*

PROOF. Consider any $f \in S \setminus K$. For some $x \sim \gamma$, $f(x, \gamma) \neq 0$. Then $g = e_x f e_{\gamma x}$ is such that $g(x, x) \neq 0$ and $g = e_x g e_x$. So $\sigma(g) = e \sigma(g) e$, where $e = \sigma(e_x)$ is an indecomposable idempotent. Let Y be a representative partially ordered subset of X . By Lemma 3.5, there exists unique $z \in Y$ such that $e = ee_D \delta_z e$, $e_D \in B_z$. Thus $\sigma(g) = ee_D \delta_z e \sigma(g) ee_D \delta_z e \neq 0$, $\delta_z e \sigma(g) ee_D \delta_z \neq 0$, so for some $u, v \in [z]$, $\sigma(g)(u, v) \neq 0$. Hence $\sigma(g) \notin K$. Consequently, $\sigma(f) \notin K$. This proves the result. □

LEMMA 3.7. *For some $\gamma, \gamma' \in Y$, let there exist idempotents $e \in B_\gamma, f \in B_{\gamma'}$ such that $eSf \neq 0$. Then $e_\gamma S e_{\gamma'} \neq 0$.*

PROOF. The hypothesis gives that $\delta_\gamma S \delta_{\gamma'} \neq 0$, so there exist $u \in [\gamma], v \in [\gamma']$ such that $e_u S e_v \neq 0$. After this, Lemma 2.3 completes the proof. □

LEMMA 3.8. *If for some idempotent $f \in S$, $fS \cong \delta_\gamma S$ for some $\gamma \in Y$, then $f_D = \delta_\gamma$.*

PROOF. We have $f_D S \cong \delta_\gamma S$. In $\overline{S} = S/K$, $\overline{f_D S} \cong \overline{\delta_\gamma S}$, so $f_D \in B_\gamma$ and $f_D B_\gamma \cong B_\gamma$. By Lemma 2.1, $f_D = \delta_\gamma$. □

LEMMA 3.9. *Let R, R' be indecomposable and $\sigma : S \rightarrow S'$ an isomorphism.*

There exists a one-to-one mapping η of Y onto Y' such that $\sigma(\delta_\gamma) = \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} + g_{\eta(\gamma)}$ for some $g_\gamma \in K', |[\gamma]| = |[\eta(\gamma)]|$, and $R \cong R'$.

PROOF. The hypothesis gives that for any $x \in X$, e_x is an indecomposable idempotent in S . Now $\sigma(\delta_\gamma)S' = \oplus \sum_{u \sim \gamma} \sigma(e_u)S'$. As these $\sigma(e_u)S'$ are indecomposable and isomorphic right ideals, there exist unique $\eta(\gamma) \in Y'$ such that each $\sigma(e_u)_D \in B'_{\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}$. Consequently, $\sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \in B'_{\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}$ and $\sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} = \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D$. By Lemma 3.5(ii), $\sigma(\delta_\gamma) = \sigma(\delta_\gamma) \sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma(\delta_\gamma)$. Similarly,

$$\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}) = \sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}) (\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D \delta_z \sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}) \tag{3.1}$$

for some $z \in Y$. So, $\delta_{\eta(\gamma)} = \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D) \sigma(\delta_z) \delta_{\eta(\gamma)}$. Thus, in $\overline{S'} = S'/K'$,

$$\overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma)} = \overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma) \sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D) \sigma(\delta_z) \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma(\delta_\gamma)}. \tag{3.2}$$

In $\overline{S'}$, $\overline{\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}$ is a central idempotent. Thus

$$\overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma)} = \overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma) \sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D) \sigma(\delta_z \delta_\gamma) \delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}, \tag{3.3}$$

which equals zero, if $z \neq y$. Hence $z = y$ and η is a bijection from Y onto Y' . We get $\overline{\sigma(\delta_y)} = \overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}\sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(y)}))_D\delta_y)}$ and $\overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}} = \overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}\sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(y)}))_D\delta_y)}$. Hence $\overline{\sigma(\delta_y)} = \overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}}$. This shows that $\sigma(\delta_y) = \delta_{\eta(y)} + g_{\eta(y)}$ for some $g_{\eta(y)} \in K'$. Now $\delta_y S \delta_y = B_y$. As $\sigma(\delta_y)S' \cong \delta_{\eta(y)}S'$, it follows that $B_y \cong B'_{\eta(y)}$. By Lemma 2.2, $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{Y}'|$ and $R \cong R'$. □

THEOREM 3.10. *Let X and X' be two class finite, preordered sets. Let R and R' be any two indecomposable commutative rings. If there exists an isomorphism of $I^*(X, R)$ onto $I^*(X', R')$, then X, X' are isomorphic and the rings R, R' are isomorphic.*

PROOF. We use the terminology developed before Theorem 3.10. Consider any $u, v \in Y$ such that $u \leq v$. Then $e_u S e_v \neq 0, \sigma(e_u)S'\sigma(e_v) \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that $\sigma(e_u)_D \in B'_{\eta(u)}, \sigma(e_v)_D \in B'_{\eta(v)}$. By Lemma 3.3, $\sigma(e_u)_D S' \sigma(e_v)_D \neq 0, e_{\eta(u)}S' e_{\eta(v)} \neq 0$, hence $\eta(u) \leq \eta(v)$. Thus η is an isomorphism of Y onto Y' . Also by Lemma 3.9, $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{Y}'|$, hence it follows that X and X' are isomorphic. By Lemma 3.9, R and R' are isomorphic. □

LEMMA 3.11. *For any commutative ring T and any class finite, preordered set X , the following hold.*

(i) *A central idempotent $e \in I^*(X, T)$ is centrally indecomposable if and only if $e = gE_Z$ for some indecomposable idempotent $g \in T$ and a connected component Z of X .*

(ii) *Let g and h be two indecomposable idempotents in T and let Z, Z' be two connected components of X ; the rings $gE_Z I^*(X, T), hE_{Z'} I^*(X, T)$ are isomorphic if and only if the rings gT, hT are isomorphic and Z, Z' are isomorphic.*

PROOF. (i) Consider any central idempotent $e \in I^*(X, T)$. On the same lines as for incidence algebras, it can be easily seen that $e(x, y) = 0$, whenever $x \neq y$. For any connected component Z of X , there exists an idempotent $g_Z \in T$ such that $e(x, x) = g_Z$ for every $x \in X$. Using this, (i) follows. (ii) As $gE_Z I^*(X, T) \cong I^*(Z, gT)$ and $hE_{Z'} I^*(X, T) \cong I^*(Z' \cdot hT)$, the result follows from Theorem 3.10. □

Let T be any ring. Let $\text{In}(T)$ be the set of all centrally indecomposable central idempotents of T . Two central idempotents g, h of T are said to be equivalent if the rings gT and hT are isomorphic. For any central idempotent $g \in T$, $[g]$ denotes the set of central idempotents in T equivalent to g .

THEOREM 3.12. *Let R and R' be any two commutative rings and let X, X' be two class finite, preordered sets. Let $\sigma : I^*(X, R) \rightarrow I^*(X', R')$ be a ring isomorphism. Let $g \in \text{In}(R)$ and let Z be a connected component of X .*

(i) *There exist unique $g' \in \text{In}(R')$ and unique connected component Z' of X' such that $\sigma(gE_Z) = g'E_{Z'}$; further, $Z \cong Z', |[g]| |[Z]| = |[gE_Z]| = |[g'E_{Z'}]| = |[g']| |[Z']|$.*

(ii) *If the cardinalities of $[g]$ and $[g']$ are finite and equal, then X and X' are isomorphic.*

PROOF. (i) The first part follows from Lemma 3.11(i); the second part follows from Lemma 3.11(ii). (ii) If $|[g]| = |[g']|$ and they are finite, it follows from (i) that, given any

connected component Z of X , there exists a connected component Z' of X' isomorphic to Z , and $[Z], [Z']$ have the same cardinalities. Consequently, X and X' are isomorphic. □

The following is immediate from [Theorem 3.12](#).

COROLLARY 3.13. *Let R be any commutative ring such that R admits an indecomposable idempotent g for which the equivalence class $[g]$ is finite. Let X and X' be any two class finite, preordered sets. If the rings $I^*(X, R)$ and $I^*(Y, R)$ are isomorphic, then X and X' are isomorphic.*

4. Essential right ideals and maximal ring of quotients. Throughout $S = I^*(X, R)$, where X is a class finite, preordered set and R is a commutative ring in which 1 is indecomposable. Any $x \in X$ is said to be a *maximal element* if the equivalence class $[x]$ is maximal in the partially ordered set of the equivalence classes in X . For any $x, y \in X$, we say $x < y$, if $x \leq y$ but $[x] \neq [y]$. Set $X_0 = \{x \in X : x \text{ is maximal}\}$, $Y_0 = \{(x, y) \in X \times X_0 : x \leq y\}$, $Y_1 = \{(x, y) : x < y \text{ and there does not exist any } z \in X_0 \text{ such that } y \leq z\}$, $Y_2 = \{(x, y) : x < y \text{ and there exists a } z \in X_0 \text{ such that } y < z\}$, and $Y_3 = \{(x, y) \in X_0 \times X_0 : [x] = [y]\}$. Further, $K = K^*(X, R)$. Now $L = \sum_{(x,y) \in Y_3} e_{xy}R$ is a right ideal of S . In [\[2\]](#), maximal rings of quotients of certain incidence algebras have been discussed. Here we intend to prove some results that can help in studying the maximal rings of quotients of S . Spiegel [\[7\]](#) has determined certain classes of essential ideals of an incidence algebra of a locally finite, preordered set. Here we determine all essential one-sided ideals of S . For the definitions of an *essential submodule*, *dense submodule*, and *singular submodule* of a module, one may refer to [\[5\]](#). Let M be any module, then $N \subset_e M$ ($N \subset_d M$) denotes that N is an *essential (dense)* submodule of M , and $Z(M)$ denotes the singular submodule of M . The concept of the *maximal right ring of quotients* of a ring is discussed in [\[5, Section 13\]](#).

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $K_1 = K + L$. Then K_1 is an essential right ideal of S and $l \cdot \text{ann}(K_1) = 0$. Indeed for any $0 \neq f \in S$, there exists $e_{xw} \in K_1$ such that $0 \neq fe_{xw} \in K_1$.*

PROOF. Let $0 \neq f \in S$. Then $f(u, v) \neq 0$ for some $u \leq v$. Suppose $fK_1 = 0$. If v is not maximal in X , there exists $e_{vz} \in K$, and $fe_{vz} \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence v is maximal. Then $e_v \in K_1$ with $fe_v \neq 0$, which is again a contradiction. Hence $l \cdot \text{ann}(K_1) = 0$. In any case there exists $e_{xy} \in K_1$ such that $fe_{xy} \neq 0$. By applying induction on $wt(fe_{xy})$, we prove that for some $g \in S$, $0 \neq fe_{xy}g \in K_1$, which will prove that $K_1 \subset_e S_S$. Suppose $wt(fe_{xy}) = 1$. Then $fe_{xy} = ae_{uy}$, for some $0 \neq a \in R$. If y is not maximal, for any $z > y$, $fe_{xy}e_{yz} = ae_{uz} \in K_1$. If y is maximal, then $e_y \in K_1$, so $fe_{xy}e_y = ae_{uy} \in K_1$. To apply induction, suppose that $wt(fe_{xy}) = n > 1$, and for any $h \in S$, if for some $e_{uv} \in K_1$, $wt(he_{uv}) < n$ and $he_{uv} \neq 0$, then for some $e_{vz} \in S$, $0 \neq he_{uv}e_{vz} \in K_1$. We can write $fe_{xy} = ae_{uy} + h$, where $wt(h) = n - 1$ and $h(u, y) = 0$. For some $e_{ys} \in K_1$, $ae_{uy}e_{ys} = ae_{us} \in K_1$. Then $fe_{xs} = ae_{us} + he_{ys}$ with $wt(he_{ys}) = n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $e_{sw} \in K_1$ such that $0 \neq he_{ys}e_{sw} \in K_1$. Then $0 \neq fe_{xw} \in K_1$. Hence $K_1 \subset_e S_S$. □

We call a subset B of R an *essential subset* of R if, for each $0 \neq r \in R$, there exists an $s \in B$ such that $0 \neq rs \in B$. Clearly the ideal of R generated by an essential subset is an essential ideal.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $E \subseteq_e S_S$. For any $x \leq y$ in X , let $A_{xy} = \{r \in R : re_{xy} \in E\}$, $B_{xy} = \cup_{y \leq z} A_{xz}$.*

- (i) $A_{xy} \subseteq A_{xw}$ whenever $x \leq y \leq w$.
- (ii) B_{xy} is an essential subset of R .

PROOF. (i) is trivial. Let $0 \neq r \in R$. Then for some $g \in S$, $0 \neq re_{xy}g \in E$. For some $y \leq w$, $rg(y, w) \neq 0$. This gives $re_{xy}ge_w = rg(y, w)e_{xw} \in E$, $rg(y, w) \in B_{xy}$. This proves that B is an essential subset of R . □

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $\{A_{xy} : \text{either } x < y, \text{ or } x \leq y \text{ and } y \text{ is maximal in } X\}$ be a family of ideals in R such that (i) $A_{xy} \subseteq A_{xz}$ whenever $y \leq z$, and (ii) for any $x \leq y$ in X , $B_{xy} = \cup_{y \leq z} A_{xz}$ is an essential subset of R . Then $E = \sum_{x,y} A_{xy}e_{xy}$ is an essential right ideal of S and $E \subseteq K_1$.*

PROOF. It is easy to verify that E is a right ideal of S contained in K_1 . Let $0 \neq f \in K_1$. By induction on $wt(f)$, we prove that $0 \neq fre_{xy} \in E$ for some $e_{xy} \in K_1$, $r \in R$, which will prove that $E \subseteq_e S_S$. Suppose $f = ae_{xy}$. As $a \neq 0$, there exists a $z \geq y$ and an $r \in R$ such that $0 \neq ar \in A_{xz}$. Then $0 \neq fre_{yz} = are_{xz} \in E$. Here, if y is not maximal, choose $z > y$; if y is maximal, choose $y = z$; in any case $e_{xz} \in K_1$. Thus the result holds for $wt(f) = 1$. To apply induction, let $wt(f) = n > 1$, and let the result hold for any positive integer less than n . We write $f = ae_{xy} + h$, with $0 \neq a \in R$, $e_{xy} \in K_1$, $wt(h) = n - 1$, and $h(x, y) = 0$. There exists an $re_{yz} \in K_1$ such that $0 \neq ae_{xy}re_{yz} = are_{xz} \in E$. Then $0 \neq fre_{xz} = are_{xz} + hre_{yz}$. If $hre_{yz} = 0$, $fre_{xz} = are_{xz} \in E$ and we finish. Suppose $hre_{yz} \neq 0$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $be_{zw} \in K_1$, with $b \in R$, such that $0 \neq hre_{yz}be_{zw} \in E$. Then $0 \neq frbe_{xw} \in E$. □

Let $\text{Minness}(S)$ be the set of all essential right ideals of the form given in Lemma 4.3.

LEMMA 4.4. $Z(S) = \{f \in S : fE = 0 \text{ for some } E \in \text{Minness}(S)\}$.

PROOF. Let $f \in Z(S)$. For some $E \subseteq_e S_S$, $fE = 0$. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, there exists an $E' \in \text{Minness}(S)$ such that $E' \subseteq E$. Then $fE' = 0$. This proves the result. □

THEOREM 4.5. $Z(S_S) = 0$ if and only if $Z(R) = 0$.

PROOF. Let $Z(R) \neq 0$. For some $r \neq 0$ and an essential ideal A of R , $rA = 0$. In Lemma 4.3, by taking every $A_{xy} = A$, we get an $E \subseteq_e S_S$ such that $rIE = 0$. Thus $Z(S) \neq 0$. Conversely, let $Z(S) \neq 0$. Consider any $0 \neq f \in Z(S)$. For some $E \in \text{Minness}(S)$, $fE = 0$. Now $f(u, v) \neq 0$ for some $u \leq v$. Then $0 \neq e_u f \in Z(S)$. Suppose there exists a maximal $z \geq v$. As z is maximal, it follows from Lemma 4.3(i) that $B_{vz} = A_{vz}$, so $e_v f e_{vz} A_{vz} = 0$, $f(u, v) A_{vz} = 0$, $f(u, v) \in Z(R)$. Hence $Z(R) \neq 0$. □

PROPOSITION 4.6. *For any $(x, y) \in Y_0$, set $A_{xy} = R$, for $(x, y) \in Y_1$, set $A_{xy} = R$, and for $(x, y) \in Y_2$, set $A_{xy} = 0$. Let $T = \sum_{x,y} e_{xy} A_{xy}$.*

- (i) *Then T is an ideal of S , $T \subseteq_e S_S$, and $l \cdot \text{ann}(T) = 0$.*
- (ii) *S embeds in the ring $Q = \text{Hom}(T_S, T_S)$ such that S_S is dense in Q_S .*

PROOF. That T is an essential right ideal in S follows from Lemma 4.3. Suppose that $0 \neq f \in l \cdot \text{ann}(T)$. Then $f(u, v) \neq 0$ for some $u \leq v$. Suppose there exists no maximal $z \geq v$. Choose any $w > v$. Then $e_{vw} \in T$ but $fe_{vw} \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence there exists a maximal $z \geq v$. Then $e_{vz} \in T$ and $fe_{vz} \neq 0$, which is also a contradiction. Hence $l \cdot \text{ann}(T) = 0$. Consider any $e_{xy} \in T$. By Lemma 3.1, $wt(fe_{xy})$ is finite, so $fe_{xy} = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$, a finite sum. By definition, the following two cases arise.

CASE 1. y is maximal. Then every $e_{uy} \in T$, so $fe_{xy} \in T$.

CASE 2. There does not exist any maximal $z \geq y$. Then $u < y$, $A_{uy} = R$, $e_{uy} \in T$, hence $fe_{xy} \in T$.

This proves that T is an ideal in S . For each $f \in S$, let $\lambda(f)$ be the left multiplication on T by f . Then λ is an embedding of S in Q . Consider any $\sigma, \eta \in Q$, with $\sigma \neq 0$. Then for some $f \in T$, $\sigma(f) \neq 0$. We see that $\sigma \cdot \lambda(f) = \lambda(\sigma(f)) \neq 0$ and $\eta \cdot \lambda(f) = \lambda(\eta(f)) \in \lambda(S)$. Hence S_S is dense in Q_S . □

For each $x_0 \in X_0$, set $T_{[x_0]} = \sum\{e_{xy}R : (x, y) \in Y_3 \text{ and } [x_0] = [y]\}$, and set $T' = \sum\{e_{xy}R : (x, y) \in Y_1\}$. Observe that $T_{[x_0]} = T_{[x_1]}$ if and only if $[x_0] = [x_1]$. Each of $T_{[x_0]}, T'$ is a right ideal of S contained in T , and T is a direct sum of these right ideals. Let Z_0 be the set of equivalence classes in X given by the members of X_0 . For any ring P , let \widehat{P} be the maximal right ring of quotients of P [5, Section 13]. The following result can be easily deduced from various results and exercises given in [5, Sections 8 and 13].

- THEOREM 4.7.** (I) For any family of rings $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, $P = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha$, $\widehat{P} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \widehat{P}_\alpha$.
- (II) For any two subrings A, B of a ring P , if $A_A \subset_d B_A, B_B \subset_d P_B$, then $\widehat{A} = \widehat{B}$.
- (III) For any positive integer n and any ring P , $\widehat{M_n(P)} = M_n(\widehat{P})$.

THEOREM 4.8. (i) $Q = \text{Hom}(T_S, T_S) \cong (\prod\{\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]}) : [x_0] \in Z_0\}) \times \text{Hom}_S(T', T')$.

(ii) Maximal right rings of quotients of S and Q are the same.

(iii) Let $P_{[x_0]} = \text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$ and $P' = \text{Hom}(T', T')$. Then $\widehat{S} \cong (\prod\{\widehat{P_{[x_0]}} : [x_0] \in Z_0\}) \times \widehat{P'}$.

PROOF. To prove (i) it is enough to prove that $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_1]}) = 0$ whenever $[x_0] \neq [x_1]$, $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T') = 0 = \text{Hom}_S(T', T_{[x_0]})$. Consider $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_1]})$. For any $e_{xy} \in T_{[x_0]}$, $[x_0] = [y]$, so $e_{uy} \notin T_{[x_1]}$, but $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$, $a_{uy} \in R$. Thus $\sigma(e_{xy}) = 0$, $\sigma = 0$. Similarly, we can prove that the others are also zero. As S_S is dense in Q_S , $\widehat{S} = \widehat{Q}$. Because of (i) and Theorem 4.7, we get $\widehat{S} \cong (\prod\{\widehat{P_{[x_0]}} : [x_0] \in Z_0\}) \times \widehat{P'}$. □

We now discuss matrix representations of $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$ and $\text{Hom}_S(T', T')$.

THEOREM 4.9. Let x_0 be a maximal member of X , $U_{x_0} = \{x \in X : x \leq x_0\}$. Then $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$ is isomorphic to the ring of column-finite matrices over R indexed by U_{x_0} .

PROOF. Let $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$. For $e_{xy} \in T_{[x_0]}, y \sim x_0$. If $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$, then for any other $e_{xz} \in T_{[x_0]}$, $\sigma(e_{xz}) = \sum_{u \leq z} a_{uz}e_{uz} = \sigma(e_{xy})e_{yz} = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uz}$, $a_{uy} = a_{uz}$. Conversely, any $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_R(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$, such that if $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$,

then $\sigma(e_{xz}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy} e_{uz}$ for $y \sim z$, is in $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$. Now $V_{x_0} = \{e_{xy} : x \in U_{x_0}, y \sim x_0\}$ is an R -basis of $T_{[x_0]}$. We write $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u,v} a_{uvxy} e_{uv}, e_{uv} \in V_{x_0}$. Then $a_{uvxy} = 0$, for $v \neq y$, $a_{uyxy} = a_{uzxz}$, whenever $y \sim z$. We write $b_{ux} = a_{uyxy}$ and $b_{ux} = 0$ otherwise. We get matrix $[b_{ux}]$ over R indexed by U_{x_0} . This matrix is column finite; $\sigma \mapsto [b_{ux}]$ gives the desired isomorphism. \square

THEOREM 4.10. *Let $X' = \{y \in X : \text{there exist no maximal } z \geq y\}$. Let G be the set of arrays $[a_{vxy}]$ over R indexed by $X' \times X' \times X'$ such that it has following properties:*

- (i) $a_{vxy} = 0$, whenever $x \not\leq y$, $v \not\leq y$, or $x < v < y$,
- (ii) for any fixed pair (x, y) with $x < y$, the number of v for which $a_{vxy} \neq 0$ is finite,
- (iii) for $y \leq z$, $a_{vxy} = a_{vzx}$ if $v < y$, and $a_{vzx} = 0$ if $v \not\leq y$ and $v < z$.

In G , define addition componentwise and the product by $[a_{vxy}][b_{vxy}] = [c_{vxy}]$ such that $c_{vxy} = \sum_w a_{vwxy} b_{wxy}$. Then $\text{Hom}_S(T', T') \cong G$.

In case X' has the property that for every pair of elements u, v in X' there exists a $w \in X'$ such that $u \leq w, v \leq w$, then any array $[a_{vxy}] \in G$ has the following additional properties:

- (iv) if $u, v \in X'$ are not comparable, then $a_{uxv} = 0$,
- (v) for $x < y, x < z, a_{vxy} = a_{vzx}$.

Put $b_{vx} = a_{vxy}$. Then $[b_{vx}]$ is a column finite matrix indexed by X' with the property that $b_{vx} = 0$ if $v > x$, or there exists $y > x$ such that $v \not\leq y$. Set $b_{vx} = 0$ in all other cases. Let B be the set of all such matrices. Then B is a ring isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_S(T', T')$.

PROOF. Let $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_S(T', T')$. For any $x < y \leq z \in X'$, we have $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum c_{uvxy} e_{uv}, c_{uvxy} \in R, (u, v) \in Y_1$, with $c_{uvxy} = 0$, whenever $v \neq y$. So we can write $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{v < y} e_{vy} a_{vxy}$, a finite sum. For $y \leq z, \sigma(e_{xz}) = \sigma(e_{xy}) e_{yz}$ gives $a_{vxy} = a_{vzx}$ for $v < y$ and $a_{vzx} = 0$ whenever $v \not\leq y, v < z$. Suppose we have some $x < v < y$, by considering $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sigma(e_{xv}) e_{vy}$ it follows that $a_{vxy} = 0$. For any other $(v, x, y) \in X' \times X' \times X'$, set $a_{vxy} = 0$. We get an array $[a_{vxy}]$ with the desired properties. Conversely, any such array gives an S -endomorphism of T' . This gives the desired isomorphism.

Suppose every pair of elements in X' have a common upper bound. Consider any $v, w \in X'$ that are not comparable. By (i), $a_{vwx} = 0$ for any x ; this proves (iv). Suppose $x < y, x < z$. There exists $w \in X'$ such that $y < w, z < w$. Then $\sigma(e_{xz}) e_{zw} = \sigma(e_{xy}) e_{yw} = \sigma(e_{xw})$ gives (v). Set $b_{vx} = a_{vxy}$. Because of (v), b_{vx} is well defined. It gives a matrix $[b_{vx}]$ indexed by X' , which is column finite and has the property that $b_{vx} = 0$ if either $v > x$, or there exists $y > x$ such that $v \not\leq y$. Let B be the set of all column-finite matrices $[b_{vx}]$ over R indexed by $X' \times X'$ with $b_{vx} = 0$, whenever either $v > x$ or there exists a $y > x$ such that $v \not\leq y$. Then $\text{Hom}_S(T', T')$ is isomorphic to the ring B . \square

REMARK 4.11. Let X be any locally finite, preordered set and let R be any indecomposable commutative ring. Obviously, $S = I^*(X, R)$ is a subring of $S' = I(X, R)$. But S_S need not be dense or essential in S'_S . So the maximal right rings of quotients of S and S' need not be the same; in fact, they need not be isomorphic (see the example given below). In case S_S is dense in S' , the two rings will have the same maximal right ring of quotients. In that case, S can help in studying S' .

THEOREM 4.12 [2]. *Let X be any partially ordered set such that for any $x \in X$, there exists a maximal element $z \geq x$ and $L_z = \{y \in X : y \leq z\}$ is finite. Let X_0 be the set of maximal elements of X . For each $z \in X_0$, let n_z be the number of elements $y \leq z$. For the ring $S = I(X, R)$, $\hat{S} \cong \prod \{M_{n_z}(\hat{R}) : \text{where } z \text{ runs over representatives of equivalence classes in } X_0\}$.*

PROOF. Let $f, g \in S' = I(X, R)$ with $g \neq 0$. For some $u, v \in X$, $g(u, v) \neq 0$. Then $ge_v \neq 0$. At the same time the hypothesis on X gives that the support of fe_v is finite, so $fe_v \in S = I(X, R)$. Hence S_S is dense in S' . After this, Theorems 4.7, 4.8, and 4.9 complete the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 4.13. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers and let R be any indecomposable commutative ring. Consider $S = I^*(\mathbb{N}, R)$ and $S' = I(\mathbb{N}, R)$. Let $0 \neq f \in S'$. For some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $fe_r \neq 0$. Clearly, the support of fe_r is finite. Hence S_S is dense in S' . So the maximal right quotient rings of S and S' are the same. Consider $g \in S'$ for which $g(1, n) = 1$ for every n , and $g(n, m) = 0$ otherwise. Then for any $h \in S$, $hg = 0$ or $hg = kg$ for some $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$, so ${}_S S$ is not dense in S' . Thus maximal left rings of quotients of S and S' are not the same. We now show that they need not be isomorphic. Consider $R = F$ a countable field. As \mathbb{N} has no maximal element, $K = T = T'$, $Q = \text{Hom}_S(T', T')$. By Theorem 4.10, Q is isomorphic to S' . But S' , as a right S' -module, is dense in the ring L of all column-finite matrices over F , indexed by \mathbb{N} . It is well known that the ring of all column-finite matrices over a field, indexed by any set, is right self-injective. Hence L is the maximal right ring of quotients of S and S' . Let \mathbb{N}' be the set of natural numbers with reverse ordering. As \mathbb{N}' has unique maximal element 0, $\mathbb{N}' = T_0$, by Theorem 4.9, the corresponding Q' is isomorphic to the ring of all column-finite matrices over F , indexed by \mathbb{N} . So Q' is right self-injective. However $S = I^*(\mathbb{N}, F)$ is anti-isomorphic to $S_1 = I^*(\mathbb{N}', F)$. So Q'' , the maximal left ring of quotients of S , is isomorphic to the ring of all row-finite matrices over F , indexed by \mathbb{N} . Now $e_{00}Q''$ is a countable set, and any minimal left ideal of Q'' is generated by an element of $e_{00}Q''$, so the left socle of $e_{00}Q''$ is of countable rank. For S' , the left socle is $e_{00}S'$, which is of uncountable rank. Also S' is left nonsingular. So L' , the maximal left ring of quotients of S' , is such that its left socle is of uncountable rank. This proves that the maximal left rings of quotients of S and S' are not isomorphic.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Abrams, J. Haefner, and A. del Río, *The isomorphism problem for incidence rings*, Pacific J. Math. **187** (1999), no. 2, 201-214.
- [2] F. Al-Thukair, S. Singh, and I. Zaguia, *Maximal ring of quotients of an incidence algebra*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **80** (2003), no. 4, 358-362.
- [3] S. Dăscălescu and L. van Wyk, *Do isomorphic structural matrix rings have isomorphic graphs?* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 5, 1385-1391.
- [4] J. Froelich, *The isomorphism problem for incidence rings*, Illinois J. Math. **29** (1985), no. 1, 142-152.
- [5] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [6] U. Leron and A. Vapne, *Polynomial identities of related rings*, Israel J. Math. **8** (1970), 127-137.

- [7] E. Spiegel, *Essential ideals of incidence algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **68** (2000), no. 2, 252-260.
- [8] E. Spiegel and C. J. O'Donnell, *Incidence Algebras*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 206, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, *Structure of incidence algebras and their automorphism groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 1236-1239.
- [10] E. R. Voss, *On the isomorphism problem for incidence rings*, Illinois J. Math. **24** (1980), no. 4, 624-638.

Surjeet Singh: Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, PO Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: ssingh@ksu.edu.sa

Fawzi Al-Thukair: Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, PO Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

