ON HORIZONTAL AND COMPLETE LIFTS FROM A MANIFOLD WITH $f_\lambda(7,1)$ -STRUCTURE TO ITS COTANGENT BUNDLE

LOVEJOY S. DAS, RAM NIVAS, AND VIRENDRA NATH PATHAK

Received 1 August 2003

The horizontal and complete lifts from a manifold M^n to its cotangent bundles $\overset{*}{T}(M^n)$ were studied by Yano and Ishihara, Yano and Patterson, Nivas and Gupta, Dambrowski, and many others. The purpose of this paper is to use certain methods by which $f_\lambda(7,1)$ -structure in M^n can be extended to $\overset{*}{T}(M^n)$. In particular, we have studied horizontal and complete lifts of $f_\lambda(7,1)$ -structure from a manifold to its cotangent bundle.

1. Introduction

Let M be a differentiable manifold of class c^∞ and of dimension n and let C_{TM} denote the cotangent bundle of M . Then C_{TM} is also a differentiable manifold of class c^∞ and dimension $2n$.

The following are notations and conventions that will be used in this paper.

(1) $\mathfrak{F}_s^r(M)$ denotes the set of tensor fields of class c^∞ and of type (r,s) on M . Similarly, $\mathfrak{F}_s^r(C_{TM})$ denotes the set of such tensor fields in C_{TM} .

(2) The map Π is the projection map of C_{TM} onto M .

(3) Vector fields in M are denoted by $X, Y, Z, \dots$ and Lie differentiation by L_X . The Lie product of vector fields X and Y is denoted by $[X, Y]$.

(4) Suffixes $a, b, c, \dots, h, i, j, \dots$ take the values 1 to n and $\bar{i} = i + n$. Suffixes $A, B, C, \dots$ take the values 1 to $2n$.

If A is a point in M , then $\Pi^{-1}(A)$ is fiber over A . Any point $p \in \Pi^{-1}(A)$ is denoted by the ordered pair (A, p_A) , where p is 1-form in M and p_A is the value of p at A . Let U be a coordinate neighborhood in M such that $A \in U$. Then U induces a coordinate neighborhood $\Pi^{-1}(U)$ in C_{TM} and $p \in \Pi^{-1}(U)$.

2. Complete lift of $f_\lambda(7,1)$ - structure

Let $f(\neq 0)$ be a tensor field of type $(1,1)$ and class c^∞ on M such that

$$f^7 + \lambda^2 f = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where λ is any complex number not equal to zero. We call the manifold M satisfying (2.1) as $f_\lambda(7,1)$ -structure manifold. Let f_i^h be components of f at A in the coordinate neighborhood U of M . Then the complete lift f^c of f is also a tensor field of type $(1,1)$ in C_{TM} whose components $\tilde{f}_B^A$ in $\Pi^{-1}(U)$ are given by [2]

$$\tilde{f}_i^h = f_i^h; \quad \tilde{f}_{\bar{i}}^h = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{f}_i^{\bar{h}} = P_a \left(\frac{\partial f_h^a}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_i^a}{\partial x^h} \right); \quad \tilde{f}_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{h}} = f_h^i, \quad (2.3)$$

where $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)$ are coordinates of A relative to U and p_A has a component $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$.

Thus we can write

$$f^C = (\tilde{f}_B^A) = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h & 0 \\ p_a(\partial_i f_h^a - \partial_h f_i^a) & f_h^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$.

If we put

$$\partial_i f_h^a - \partial_h f_i^a = 2\partial[i f_h^a], \quad (2.5)$$

then we can write (2.4) in the form

$$f^C = (f_B^A) = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h & 0 \\ 2p_a \partial[i f_h^a] & f_h^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus we have

$$(f^C)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h & 0 \\ 2p_a \partial[i f_h^a] & f_h^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_j^i & 0 \\ 2p_t \partial[j f_i^t] & f_i^j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

or

$$(f^C)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i & 0 \\ 2p_a f_j^i \partial[i f_h^a] + 2p_t f_h^i \partial[j f_i^t] & f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.8)$$

If we put

$$2p_a f_j^i \partial[i f_h^a] + 2p_t f_h^i \partial[j f_i^t] = L_{hj}, \quad (2.9)$$

then (2.8) takes the form

$$(f^C)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i & 0 \\ L_{hj} & f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus we have

$$(f^C)^4 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i & 0 \\ L_{hj} & f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_k^j f_l^k & 0 \\ L_{jl} & f_k^l f_j^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.11)$$

or

$$(f^C)^4 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k & 0 \\ f_k^j f_l^k L_{hj} + f_i^j f_h^i L_{jl} & f_k^l f_j^k f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

Putting again

$$f_k^j f_l^k L_{hj} + f_i^j f_h^i L_{jl} = P_{hl}, \quad (2.13)$$

then we can put (2.12) in the form

$$(f^C)^4 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k & 0 \\ P_{hl} & f_k^l f_j^k f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus,

$$(f^C)^6 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k & 0 \\ P_{hl} & f_k^l f_j^k f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_m^l f_n^m & 0 \\ L_{ln} & f_m^l f_l^m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$(f^C)^6 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k f_m^l f_n^m & 0 \\ P_{hl} f_m^l f_n^m + L_{ln} f_k^l f_j^k f_i^j f_h^i & f_m^l f_l^m f_k^l f_j^k f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

Putting again

$$P_{hl} f_m^l f_n^m + L_{ln} f_k^l f_j^k f_i^j f_h^i = Q_{hn}, \quad (2.17)$$

then (2.16) takes the form

$$(f^C)^6 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k f_m^l f_n^m & 0 \\ Q_{hn} & f_m^l f_l^m f_k^l f_j^k f_i^j f_h^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus,

$$(f^C)^7 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k f_m^l f_n^m & 0 \\ Q_{hn} & f_m^l f_n^m f_k^k f_j^j f_i^i f_h^h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_p^n & 0 \\ 2p_r \partial[p f_n^r] & f_n^p \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$(f^C)^7 = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k f_m^l f_n^m f_p^n & 0 \\ Q_{hn} f_p^n + 2p_r \partial[p f_n^r] f_m^l f_n^m f_k^k f_j^j f_i^i f_h^h & f_n^p f_m^l f_n^m f_k^k f_j^j f_i^i f_h^h \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.20)$$

In view of (2.1), we have

$$f_i^h f_j^i f_k^j f_l^k f_m^l f_n^m f_p^n = -\lambda^2 f_p^h, \quad (2.21)$$

and also putting

$$Q_{hn} f_p^n + 2p_r \partial[p f_n^r] f_m^l f_n^m f_k^k f_j^j f_i^i f_h^h = -\lambda^2 p_s \partial[p f_h^s], \quad (2.22)$$

then (2.20) can be given by

$$(f^C)^7 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda^2 f_p^n & 0 \\ -\lambda^2 p_s \partial[p f_h^s] & -\lambda^2 f_h^p \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.23)$$

In view of (2.6) and (2.23), it follows that

$$(f^C)^7 + \lambda^2 (f^C) = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Hence the complete lift f^C of f admits an $f_\lambda(7,1)$ -structure in the cotangent bundle C_{TM} .

Thus we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *In order that the complete lift of f^C of a $(1,1)$ tensor field f admitting $f_\lambda(7,1)$ -structure in M may have the similar structure in the cotangent bundle C_{TM} , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$Q_{hn} f_p^n + 2p_r \partial[p f_n^r] f_m^l f_n^m f_k^k f_j^j f_i^i f_h^h = -\lambda^2 p_s \partial[p f_h^s]. \quad (2.25)$$

3. Horizontal lift of $f_\lambda(7,1)$ -structure

Let f, g be two tensor fields of type $(1,1)$ on the manifold M . If f^H denotes the horizontal lift of f , we have

$$f^H g^H + g^H f^H = (fg + gf)^H. \quad (3.1)$$

Taking f and g identical, we get

$$(f^H)^2 = (f^2)^H. \quad (3.2)$$

Multiplying both sides by f^H and making use of the same (3.2), we get

$$(f^H)^3 = (f^3)^H \quad (3.3)$$

and so on. Thus it follows that

$$(f^H)^4 = (f^4)^H, \quad (f^H)^5 = (f^5)^H, \quad (3.4)$$

and so on. Thus,

$$(f^H)^7 = (f^7)^H. \quad (3.5)$$

Since f gives on M the $f_\lambda(7, 1)$ -structure, we have

$$f^7 + \lambda^2 f = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking horizontal lift, we obtain

$$(f^7)^H + \lambda^2 (f^H) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

In view of (3.5) and (3.7), we can write

$$(f^H)^7 + \lambda^2 (f^H) = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus the horizontal lift f^H of f also admits a $f_\lambda(7, 1)$ -structure. Hence we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let f be a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ admitting $f_\lambda(7, 1)$ -structure in M . Then the horizontal lift f^H of f also admits the similar structure in the cotangent bundle C_{TM} .*

4. Nijenhuis tensor of complete lift of f^7

The Nijenhuis tensor of a $(1, 1)$ tensor field f on M is given by

$$N_{f,f}(X, Y) = [fX, fY] - f[fX, Y] - f[X, fY] + f^2[X, Y]. \quad (4.1)$$

Also for the complete lift of f^7 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(f^7)^C, (f^7)^C(X^C, Y^C) &= [(f^7)^C X^C, (f^7)^C Y^C] - (f^7)^C [(f^7)^C X^C, Y^C] \\ &\quad - (f^7)^C [X^C, (f^7)^C Y^C] + (f^7)^C (f^7)^C [X^C, Y^C]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

In view of (2.1), the above (4.2) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} N(f^7)^C, (f^7)^C(X^C, Y^C) &= [(-\lambda^2 f)^C X^C, (-\lambda^2 f)^C Y^C] - (-\lambda^2 f)^C [(-\lambda^2 f)^C X^C, Y^C] \\ &\quad - (-\lambda^2 f)^C [X^C, (-\lambda^2 f)^C Y^C] + (-\lambda^2 f)^C (-\lambda^2 f)^C [X^C, Y^C], \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

or

$$N(f^7)^C, (f^7)^C(X^C, Y^C) = \lambda^4 \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[(f)^C X^C, (f)^C Y^C] - (f)^C [(f)^C X^C, Y^C] \\ &- (f)^C [X^C, (f)^C Y^C] + (f)^C (f)^C [X^C, Y^C] \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

We also know that [3]

$$(f)^C X^C = (fX)^C + \nu(\mathcal{L}_X f), \quad (4.5)$$

where νf has components

$$\nu f = \begin{pmatrix} O^a \\ P_a f_i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

In view of (4.5), (4.4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &N(f^7)^C, (f^7)^C X^C, Y^C \\ &= \lambda^4 \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[(fX)^C, (fY)^C] + [\nu(\mathcal{L}_X f), (fY)^C] + [(fX)^C, \nu(\mathcal{L}_Y f)] \\ &+ [\nu(\mathcal{L}_X f), \nu(\mathcal{L}_Y f)] - (f)^C [(fX)^C, Y^C] - (f)^C [\nu(\mathcal{L}_X f), Y^C] \\ &- (f)^C [X^C, (fY)^C] - (f)^C [X^C, \nu(\mathcal{L}_Y f)^C] + (f)^C (f)^C [X^C, Y^C] \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

We now suppose that

$$\mathcal{L}_X f = \mathcal{L}_Y f = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Then from (4.7), we have

$$N(f^7)^C, (f^7)^C(X^C, Y^C) = \lambda^4 \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[(fX)^C, (fY)^C] - (f)^C [(fX)^C, Y^C] \\ &- (f)^C [X^C, (fY)^C] + (f)^C (f)^C [X^C, Y^C] \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Further, if f acts as an identity operator on M [2], that is,

$$fX = X \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{V}_0^1(M), \quad (4.10)$$

then we have from (4.9)

$$N(f^7)^C, (f^7)^C(X^C, Y^C) = \lambda^8 \{ [X^C, Y^C] - [X^C, Y^C] - [X^C, Y^C] + [X^C, Y^C] \} = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Hence we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *The Nijenhuis tensor of the complete lift of f^7 vanishes if the Lie derivatives of the tensor field f with respect to X and Y are both zero and f acts as an identity operator on M .*

References

- [1] R. Dombrowski, *On the geometry of the tangent bundle*, J. reine angew. Math. **210** (1962), 73–88.
- [2] V. C. Gupta and R. Nivas, *On problems relating to horizontal and complete lifts of ϕ_μ structure*, Nepali Math Sciences Reports, Nepal, 1985.
- [3] K. Yano and S. Ishihara, *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles: Differential Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [4] K. Yano and E. M. Patterson, *Horizontal lifts from a manifold to its cotangent bundle*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967), 185–198.

Lovejoy S. Das: Department of Mathematics, Kent State University Tuscarawas, New Philadelphia, OH 44663, USA

E-mail address: lidas@kent.edu

Ram Nivas: Lucknow University, Lucknow, UP 226007, India

E-mail address: rnivas@sify.com

Virendra Nath Pathak: Lucknow University, Lucknow, UP 226007, India