We introduce nonwandering operators in infinite-dimensional separable Banach space. They are new linear chaotic operators and are relative to hypercyclic operators, but different from them. Firstly, we show some examples for nonwandering operators in some typical infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, including Banach sequence space and physical background space. Then we present some properties of nonwandering operators and the spectra decomposition of invertible nonwandering operators. Finally, we obtain that invertible nonwandering operators are locally structurally stable.

1. Introduction

In the research field of operator, hypercyclic operators and linear chaotic operators have been intensively studied recently. The first observation of hypercyclic operators was by Birkhoff (see [7]). Since then, many researchers discussed this kind of operators (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 35]). In 1991, for the first time, Godefroy and Shapiro [16] connected the research of hypercyclic operators and linear chaotic operators and pointed out that some hypercyclic operators are chaotic under the definition of Devaney (see [13, 28]). From then on, most hypercyclic operators in the literature have been proved to be chaotic. This implies that almost all hypercyclic operators are linear chaotic. It is well known that linear operators in finite-dimensional linear spaces can not be chaotic but the nonlinear operators may be. Only in infinite-dimensional linear spaces can linear operators have chaotic properties. This has attracted wide attention (see [12, 13, 15, 20, 26, 27, 31]).

While in the research field of differential dynamical system, Axiom A system is an important subject. It requires that the nonwandering set $\Omega(f)$ possesses hyperbolic structure and density of periodic points, where hyperbolic structure is based on Whitney’s decomposition and the hyperbolic property of the tangent cluster at each point. However, Axiom A system is restricted in finite-dimensional compact Riemann space. Due to the linear property of operators, its tangent bundle at each point is linear operator itself.

On the basis of the above work, we introduce nonwandering operators in infinite-dimensional Banach space, which are the generalization of Axiom A dynamic system but
different from it. They are new linear chaotic operators and are relative to hypercyclic operators, but different from them.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list the basic notations and definitions. Then in Section 3, the existence of nonwandering operators on Banach sequence space and physical space is shown. In Section 4, we obtain some basic properties of nonwandering operators. In Section 5, the spectra decomposition of invertible nonwandering operators is completed. Finally, we discuss the local structural stability of invertible nonwandering operators in Section 6.

2. Basic notations and definitions

Let \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) be an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space on real number field or complex number field \(K\). Let \(L(X)\) be the set of all bounded linear operators over \(X\). We will refer to \(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R},\) and \(\mathbb{C}\) as the sets of positive integers, integers, rational numbers, and the real and complex scalar fields, respectively.

**Definition 2.1** (see [6, 18]). Suppose \(T \in L(X)\). If there is a vector \(x \in X\) such that \(\text{Orb}(T, x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \ldots\}\) is dense in \(X\), then call \(x\) a hypercyclic vector and \(T\) a hypercyclic operator.

**Definition 2.2** (see [3, 13]). Suppose \(T \in L(X)\), call \(T\) a linear chaotic operator or a linear chaotic map if it satisfies the following two conditions:

1. \(T\) is topologically transitive, that is, \(T\) has a dense orbit in \(X\);
2. the set of periodic points \(\text{Per}(T)\) for \(T\) is dense in \(X\).

**Remark 2.3.** The definition of chaotic map in the sense of Devaney needs another condition:

3. \(T\) has a sensitive dependence on initial conditions (see [3]). In fact, conditions (1) and (2) imply condition (3) (see [13]). Thus condition (3) can be omitted from Definition 2.2.

Because of the complexity of infinite-dimensional dynamic systems, research of their chaotic properties is usually changed to the study of attractors and inertial manifolds (see [21]). Attractors and inertial manifolds in infinite-dimensional linear space are restricted to be closed invariant linear subspaces (see [21]). So we give the definition of nonwandering operators on closed invariant linear subspace.

**Definition 2.4.** Suppose \(E \subset X\) is a closed linear subspace of \(X\), and \(E_1 \subset E, E_2 \subset E\) are also closed linear subspaces in \(X\). For arbitrary \(x \in E\), if there is a unique decomposition \(x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in E_1, x_2 \in E_2, E_1 \cap E_2 = \{0\}\), then \(E\) is called the direct sum of \(E_1\) and \(E_2\), and written as \(E = E_1 \oplus E_2\), where \(\oplus\) represents direct sum.

**Definition 2.5.** Suppose \(T \in L(X)\). (1) Assume that there exists a closed subspace \(E \subset X\), which has hyperbolic structure: \(E = E^u \oplus E^s, TE^u = E^u, TE^s = E^s\), where \(E^u, E^s\) are closed subspaces. In addition, there exist constants \(\tau(0 < \tau < 1)\) and \(c > 0\), such that for any \(\xi \in E^u, k \in \mathbb{N}, \|T^k\xi\| \geq c\tau^k\|\xi\|\), and for any \(\eta \in E^s, k \in \mathbb{N}, \|T^k\eta\| \leq c\tau^k\|\eta\|\). (2) Assume also that \(\text{Per}(T)\) is dense in \(E\). Then \(T\) is said to be a nonwandering operator relative to \(E\).
Remark 2.6. (1) \( T \) may be invertible or not. When \( T \) is invertible, the spectral property of nonwandering operators is different from that of hypercyclic operators (see Theorem 4.2), but when \( T \) is not invertible, the case is much complicated. We give an example for such case. (See Remark 3.5.)

(2) If \( T \) is a nonwandering operator, then \( \text{Per}(T) \cap E = \emptyset \). In fact, we can easily get it from the hyperbolic structure of \( E \).

(3) Because \( T \) is a linear operator, the tangent bundle at each point in \( E \) is \( T \) itself. Therefore, the definition of nonwandering operators is the natural generalization of Axiom A dynamic system in finite-dimensional differentiable dynamical systems to infinite-dimensional space. And these operators are meaningful.

Definition 2.7. Suppose \( T \in L(X) \) and \( \{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is a basis in \( X \), then \( T \) is called a unilateral backward shift operator relative to \( \{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) if \( Te_n = e_{n-1} \) \((n > 1)\) and \( Te_1 = 0\).

Definition 2.8. Let \( E \subset X \) be a closed linear subspace of \( T \). If there exist countable closed invariant subsets \( E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, \ldots \) (any two of them are never intersected) such that \( E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \) and for arbitrary nonempty open subsets \( U, V \subset E_i \), there exists \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), such that \( T^n U \cap V \neq \emptyset \), then call it the spectra decomposition of \( T \) for \( E \), and \( E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, \ldots \) are called the basic sets.

Definition 2.9. Suppose that \((X, \| \cdot \|_1), (Y, \| \cdot \|_2)\) are two Banach spaces. \( f : X \to Y \) is called a Lipschitz mapping if there exists \( \alpha > 0 \), such that for any \( x, y \in X \), \( \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \leq \alpha \|x - y\|_1 \), where the smallest \( \alpha \) is written as \( \text{Lip}(f) \).

Definition 2.10. Let \( T_i : X_i \to X_i \) \((i = 1, 2)\) be two operators in Banach space \( X_i \). If there exists a homeomorphism \( \varphi : X_1 \to X_2 \) such that \( \varphi \circ T_1 = T_2 \circ \varphi \), then \( T_1 \) is called topologically conjugate to \( T_2 \).

Definition 2.11. Let \( T \in L(X) \) be a nonwandering operator relative to \( E \). \( T \) is called locally structural stable in \( L(X) \) if there is a neighborhood \( U \) of \( T \) and a nonempty open subset \( V \subset E \), such that for each linear operator \( S \in U \), \( S \) is topologically conjugate to \( T \) on \( V \).

3. Existence of nonwandering operators in Banach sequence space

3.1. Nonwandering operators in Banach sequence space.

Proposition 3.1 (see [19]). Let \( X \) be a Banach sequence space on countable infinite index set, consider the following assertions:

1. \( (e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is an unconditional basis;
2. \( (e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is a basis in some ordering, and if \( (x_i) \in X \), then also \( (e_i x_i) \in X \) whenever each \( e_i \) is either 0 or 1;
3. \( (e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is a basis in some ordering, and if \( (x_i) \in X \), then also \( (c_i x_i) \in X \) whenever \( (c_i) \) is a bounded order of scalars.

Then the following implications hold: \((1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)\).

Theorem 3.2. Each infinite-dimensional separable Banach sequence space with an unconditional basis supports a nonwandering operator.
Proof. Let $X$ be an infinite-dimensional separable Banach sequence space, in which $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is an unconditional basis, then for the unilateral backward shift operator $T$ on $X$, $\lambda T$ is a nonwandering operator on $X$ whenever $\sqrt{2} < \lambda < 2$.

In fact, we can construct a closed invariant subspace $E \subset X$ such that $E$ has hyperbolic structure. Let $l = \lambda/2$, then we have $0 < |l| < 1$. Suppose $y_0 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i e_i$ such that $\lambda Ty_0 = ly_0$, then we get a vector $y_0 = \{b_1, (1/2)b_1, (1/2)^2 b_1, \ldots\}$. Let $E^s = \text{span}\{y_0\}$, then $E^s$ is a closed invariant subspace of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue $l = \lambda/2$ for $\lambda T$. Thus, for each $x \in E^s$, there is $x = my_0$, $\|\lambda B x\| = \|\lambda B y_0\| = \|l l\| \|m y_0\| = \|l l\| \|x\|$, where $0 < |l| < 1$. Let $k = 1/l = 2/\lambda$. Suppose $y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i e_i$, which satisfies $\lambda Ty = ky$, then we get a vector $y_1 = \{b_1, (2/\lambda)^2 b_1, (2/\lambda)^2 b_1, \ldots\}$. Let $E^u = \text{span}\{y_1\}$, then $E^u$ is a closed invariant subspace of eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalue $k = 2/\lambda$ for $\lambda T$. Thus, for each $y \in E^u$, there is $y = my_1$, $\|\lambda Ty\| = \|\lambda Ty_1\| = k \|m y_1\| = k \|y\|$, where $|k| > 1$. Let $E = E^u \oplus E^s$ and take $\tau(|l| < \tau < 1)$, then for each $\xi \in E^u$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|T^k \xi\| \geq \tau^{-k} \|\xi\|$, and for each $\eta \in E^s$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|T^k \eta\| \leq \tau^k \|\eta\|$.

Next, we prove $\text{Per}(\lambda T)$ is dense in $X$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda T(|\lambda| > 1)$ has $n$-periodic points, such as $x = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_1/\lambda^n, x_2/\lambda^n, \ldots, x_n/\lambda^n, x_1/\lambda^{2n}, x_2/\lambda^{2n}, \ldots, x_n/\lambda^{2n}, \ldots\}$, where $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\bar{y} = (y_n) \in X$ be an $n_0$-periodic point for $\lambda T$, then there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $(\lambda T)^{n_0} \bar{y} = \bar{y}$ and $y_1 \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.1, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ the series
\begin{equation}
y^{(i,n_0)} := \lambda^i \sum_{k=0}^\infty \lambda^{- (i + km)} e_i k n_0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation}
converge in $X$. Moreover, if $n_0 \geq i$, then
\begin{equation}
(\lambda T)^{n_0} y^{(i,n_0)} = y^{(i,n_0)}. \tag{3.2}
\end{equation}
Therefore, each $y^{(i,n_0)}$ ($i \leq n_0$) is a periodic point for $\lambda T$.

Now we will prove that $\lambda T$ has dense set of periodic points. For each $z \in \text{span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we suppose $z = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i e_i$ and
\begin{equation}
|z_i \lambda^i| \leq C, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation}
where $C$ is a constant. Since $(e_n)$ is an unconditional basis and the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda^{-n} e_n$ converge in $X$, there exist $n_0 \geq m$, such that
\begin{equation}
\left\| \sum_{n=n_0+1}^\infty e_n \lambda^{-n} e_n \right\| < \frac{C}{cm}; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation}
where $(e_n)$ takes 0 or 1. By (3.2), $y = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i y^{(i,n_0)}$ is a periodic point for $T$ in $X$, and
\begin{equation}
\|y - z\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m z_i (y^{(i,n_0)} - e_i) \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \lambda^i \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda^{-(i+km)} e_i k n_0 \right\| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation}
\begin{align*}
\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| z_i \lambda^i \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda^{-(i+km)} e_i k n_0 \right\|.
\end{align*}
Then by (3.4), we have \( \| y - z \| \leq \varepsilon \), namely, there exists a periodic point \( y \) arbitrarily close to \( z \). We obtain that \( \text{Per}(\lambda T) \) is dense in \( X \), and so is in \( E \). Thus \( \lambda T \) is a nonwandering operator relative to \( E \).

**Remark 3.3.** In this theorem, closed invariant subspaces \( E^s, E^u \) are finite dimensional. In the next, we present an example in physics, in which \( E^s, E^u \) are infinite dimensional.

### 3.2. Nonwandering operator in physical background system

There are examples for hypercyclic and linear chaotic operators in physics (see [14, 20, 26, 31]). Similarly, nonwandering operators can occur in systems of concrete physical background. Consider a very small frictionless mass-spring system whose evolution is determined by Schrödinger equation:

\[
i\hbar \psi = -\frac{\hbar}{2m} \psi'' + \frac{k}{2} x^2 \psi
\]  

(3.6)

with displacement \( x \), mass \( m \), stiffness \( k \), natural frequency \( \omega = \sqrt{k/m} \), and wave function \( \psi \) to be determined in the complex separable Hilbert space \( X = L^2(-\infty, \infty) \). It is easy to see that (3.6) can be rewritten as

\[
i\psi = -\psi'' + x^2 \psi.
\]  

(3.7)

The stationary states \( \psi \) satisfy

\[
\psi'' - x^2 \psi = -\lambda \psi
\]  

(3.8)

and so do the polynomial

\[
\psi_n = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{H_n(x)}{\sqrt{\pi} n!}
\]  

(3.9)

where \( H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2} (d/dx^n) e^{x^2} \) is the \( n \)-Hermite polynomial.

Noting that \( H_n'(x) = 2nH_{n-1}(x) \), we have the following iteration:

\[
B\psi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( x + \frac{d}{dx} \right) \psi_n = \sqrt{n} \psi_{n-1}.
\]  

(3.10)

The unobservable differential (annihilation, lowering) operator \( B \) of (3.10) is an unbounded densely defined and weighted backward shift operator in \( X = L^2(-\infty, +\infty) \).

The natural space for the quantum harmonic oscillator is the Banach space \( F \) of all rapidly decreasing functions, that is,

\[
F = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(-\infty, +\infty), \phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 (n+1)^r < \infty, \forall r \geq 0 \right\}.
\]  

(3.11)

The norm \( \| \cdot \| \) of \( F \) is defined as

\[
\forall \phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n \in F, \quad \| \phi \| = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 (n+1)^r \right]^{1/2} (r \geq 0) \text{ (see [26]).}
\]  

(3.12)
Under the norm, $B$ is continuous on space $F$ (see [26]). So $B$ is bounded operator on space $F$.

**Theorem 3.4.** The annihilation operator $B$ on Banach space $F$ is a nonwandering operator.

*Proof.* For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to obtain that $\phi_{\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^n / \sqrt{n!}) \psi_n$ is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda$. Let $E_1 = \{ \phi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \phi_{\lambda_i} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i^n / \sqrt{n!}) \psi_n \mid B \phi_{\lambda_i} = \lambda \psi_{\lambda_i}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i^n < \infty, where \lambda_i \geq 2, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, $E'' = \overline{E_1}$, then $E'' \subset F$ is a closed subspace.

For each $\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \psi_n \in E''$, we have

$$\|B \psi\| = \|B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \psi_n\| = \|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (B \psi_n)\| = \|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i^n / \sqrt{n!}) \psi_n\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i^n / \sqrt{n!} = \|\psi\|,$$

and

$$\|B \psi\| = \|B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \psi_n\| = \|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (B \psi_n)\| = \|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i^n / \sqrt{n!}) \psi_n\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i^n / \sqrt{n!} = \|\psi\|.$$  

By (3.13) we have $\|B^k \phi\| \geq 2^k \|\phi\|$. Let $E_2 = \{ \psi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i \psi_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t_i^n / \sqrt{n!}) \phi_n \mid B \psi_n = \tau \psi_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t_i^n < \infty, where 0 < \tau_i \leq 1/2, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $E'' = \overline{E_2}$. Then $E'' \subset F$ is a closed subspace and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi \in E_k$, we have $\|B^k \psi\| \leq (1/2)^k \|\psi\|$.

In the following we will prove that $E''$ and $E''$ are invariant under the operator $B$.

On one hand, for each $\psi \in E''$, $\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \phi_{\lambda_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i^n / \sqrt{n!}) \psi_n \in BE''$, $E'' \subset BE''$. On the other hand, for each $\phi \in BE''$, there exists $\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n \in E''$, such that $B \phi = \psi$, then $\phi = B(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \phi_{\lambda_n}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n \lambda_n) \phi_{\lambda_n} \in E''$, such that $BE'' \subset E''$. In conclusion, we get $BE'' = E''$. Similarly, $BE'' = E''$ holds.

Finally we prove that $\text{Per}(B)$ is dense in $F$. Let $\phi_{\lambda}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda$, where $\lambda$ are roots of unity. Then $\phi_{\lambda}$ initiate periodic orbits of $B$. Thus $\phi_{\lambda}$ are dense in $F$. If not, then there is some function $\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n$ in $F$ which is orthogonal to each such $\phi_{\lambda}$, that is,

$$\langle \phi_{\lambda}, \alpha \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \lambda^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \equiv 0. \quad (3.14)$$

But the zeros of analytic functions are isolated, so $\text{Per}(B)$ is dense in $F$, thus $B$ is a nonwandering operator. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.5.** (1) The annihilation operator $B$ is not an invertible nonwandering operator. Here $\sigma(B) \cap \partial D \neq \Phi$, where $\sigma(B)$ is the spectrum of $B$, and $\partial D$ is unit circle. In fact, we have $\sigma(B) = \mathbb{C}$.

(2) Although nonwandering operators are relative to hypercyclic operators, some hypercyclic operators are not nonwandering operators. For example, the “Bergman” backward shift operator $B$ (see [11, Section3.8]), corresponding to weight sequence $\beta(n) = 1/n + 1$, is hypercyclic (see [34]), but is not a nonwandering operator because it does not possess dense set of periodic points (see [34]).

(3) There exists a nonwandering operator, but it is not hypercyclic. For example, let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, and let $B$ be a nonwandering operator relative to $E = E'' \oplus E''$ given in Theorem 3.4. But $B$ is not a hypercyclic operator on space $E$. Otherwise, there
exists a vector \( x \in E \) such that \( \{ B^n x : n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \) is dense in \( E \) (see Definition 2.1). Suppose \( x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in E^n, x_2 \in E^2 \). For each \( y \in E \), there exist \( n_i \) such that

\[
\|B^n x - y\| = \|B^n x_1 + (B^n x_2 - y)\| \geq \|B^n x_1\| - \|B^n x_2 - y\| \\
\geq \|B^n x_1\| - \|B^n x_2\| - \|y\| \geq c^\tau^{-n_i} \|x_1\| - c^\tau^{-n_i} \|x_2\| - \|y\| \xrightarrow{n_i \to +\infty} 0.
\]

(3.15)

Thus it is contrary to the density of the orbit under \( B \), and then \( B \) is not hypercyclic on \( E \).

4. Properties of nonwandering operators

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose \( T \in L(X, \| \cdot \|) \) and \( E \subset X \) is a closed subspace, then \( T \) is a nonwandering operator relative to \( E \) if and only if the following conditions hold: (1) \( E = E^n \oplus E^2, TE^n = E^n, TE^2 = E^2 \), and there exists some norm \( \| \cdot \| \), which is equivalent to \( \| \cdot \| \), such that \( |T_{|n}| = |T|_{E^n} \| > 1, |T_{|s}| = \|T|_{E^2} \| < 1 \). (2) \( \text{Per}(T) \) is dense in \( E \).

By [37], the following spectral properties of nonwandering operators are obtained.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( T \in L(X) \) be invertible, and let \( E \subset X \) be a closed subspace. Then \( T \) is an invertible nonwandering operator relative to \( E \) if and only if (1) its spectral set \( \sigma(T) \) does not intersect the unit circle on complex plane, that is, \( \sigma(T) \cap \partial D = \emptyset \); (2) \( \text{Per}(T) \) is dense in \( E \).

**Remark 4.3.** (1) Theorem 4.2 requires that \( T \) is invertible; otherwise, Theorem 4.2 may be not true, see Remark 3.5.
(2) For hypercyclic operator \( T \in L(X) \), we have \( \sigma(T) \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset \) (see [24]). However, the above Theorem 4.2 shows that nonwandering operators differ from hypercyclic operator when it is an invertible operator. Hence they have completely different properties, although they are actually both connected to linear chaotic operators (see Remark 3.5).

Ansari [1] obtained the following result: if \( T \) is a hypercyclic operator on complex separable Banach space, then so is \( T^m \); moreover, \( T \) and \( T^m \) have the same hypercyclic vectors. Similarly we obtain the following results for nonwandering operators.

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose \( T \in L(X) \) and \( T \) is an invertible nonwandering operator relative to closed subspace \( E \), then so are \( T^m \) and \( T^{-m} \) for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Proof.** Obviously, \( T^m \) and \( T^{-m} \) satisfy condition (1) in Definition 2.5. We have that periodic points of \( T \) are also the ones of \( T^m \) and \( T^{-m} \). Because \( \text{Per}(T) \) is dense in \( E \), then \( \text{Per}(T^m) \) and \( \text{Per}(T^{-m}) \) are also dense in \( E \). Therefore \( T^m \) and \( T^{-m} \) are also nonwandering operators relative to \( E \).

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) be an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space, and let \( E_1, E_2 \) be closed subspaces in \( X \) and \( E_1 \cap E_2 = \{0\} \). If the restrictions \( T|_{E_1}, T|_{E_2} \in L(X) \) are invertible nonwandering operators relative to \( E_1, E_2 \), respectively, then \( T|_E \) is a nonwandering operator relative to \( E = E^n \oplus E^2 \).

**Proof.** Since \( T|_{E_i} \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)) is a nonwandering operator relative to \( E_i \), then \( E_i \) has hyperbolic structure: \( E_i = E^n_i \oplus E^2_i, TE^n_i = E^n_i, TE^2_i = E^2_i \), where \( E^2_i \) is a closed subspace. Furthermore, there exist \( 0 < \tau_i < 1 \) and constant \( c_i > 0 \), such that, for each \( \xi_i \in E^n_i, \eta_i \in E^2_i \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we have \( \|T^{-k} \xi_i\| \leq c_i \tau_i^k \|\xi_i\|, \|T^k \eta_i\| \leq c_i \tau_i^k \|\eta_i\| \), and \( \text{Per}(T|_{E_i}) \) is dense in \( E_i \).
Let $E^u = E^u_1 \oplus E^u_2$, we define the following norm on $E^u$: for all $x \in E^u$, $x = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \in E^u_1$, $x_2 \in E^u_2$, $\|x\|_0 = \max\{\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|\}$, then $\| \cdot \|_0$ is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|$ (see Lemma 5.3).

Namely, for all $x \in E^u$, there exist constants $c_i > 0$ $(i = 3, 4)$, such that $c_4 \|x\| \leq \|x\|_0 \leq c_3 \|x\|$.

For each $x \in E^u$, $x = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \in E^u_1$, $x_2 \in E^u_2$, we have $\|T^{-k}x\| = \|T^{-k}(x_1 + x_2)\| \leq \|T^{-k}x_1\| + \|T^{-k}x_2\| \leq c_1 \tau^k \|x_1\| + c_2 \tau^k \|x_2\| \leq c_1 \tau^k \|x\|_0 + c_2 \tau^k \|x\| \leq c_1 \tau^k \|x\| + c_2 c_3 \tau^k \|x\|$. Let $c = \max\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, $\tau = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$, then $c > 0$, $0 < \tau < 1$, and for each $x \in E^u$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\|T^{-k}x\| \leq 2c \tau^k \|x\|$ holds. Let $E^s = E^s_1 \oplus E^s_2$, then for each $y \in E^s$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\|T^k y\| \leq 2c \tau^k \|y\|$. Therefore, $E = E^u \oplus E^s$, $TE^u = TE^u_1 \oplus TE^u_2 = E^u_1 \oplus E^u_2 = E^u$, $TE^s = TE^s_1 \oplus TE^s_2 = E^s_1 \oplus E^s_2 = E^s$. Since $\text{Per}(T|_{E^s})$, $\text{Per}(T|_{E^u})$ are dense in $E^1$, $E^2$, respectively, $\text{Per}(T|_E)$ is dense in $E^1 \oplus E^2 = E^u \oplus E^s = E$. Thus $T|_E$ is a nonwandering operator relative to $E$.

5. Spectra decomposition of nonwandering operators

In this section, we give the spectra decomposition of invertible nonwandering operators $T$ relative to infinite-dimensional closed subspace.

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose $T \in L(X)$ is an invertible nonwandering operator relative to infinite-dimensional closed subspace $E$, then there exist closed disjoint nonempty subsets $E_i \subset X$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$, such that $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, and for arbitrary nonempty open sets $U, V \subset E_i$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $T^n U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

In order to prove the theorem, we firstly introduce the following notations. For $y \in X$, let

$$W^u_\eta(y) = \left\{ x \in E \mid \|T^k(y - x)\| > \eta, \lim_{k \to +\infty} \|T^{-k}(y - x)\| = 0 \right\},$$

$$W^s_\eta(y) = \left\{ x \in E \mid \|T^k(y - x)\| < \eta, \lim_{k \to +\infty} \|T^{k}(y-x)\| = 0 \right\},$$

$$W^u(y) = \left\{ x \in E \mid \lim_{k \to +\infty} \|T^{-k}(y - x)\| = 0 \right\},$$

$$W^s(y) = \left\{ x \in E \mid \lim_{k \to +\infty} \|T^{k}(y-x)\| = 0 \right\},$$

$$W^u(p, T) \bigcap E, \quad X_p = \overline{W}_p, \quad B_\eta(s) = \{ y \in E \mid \|y - s\| < \eta \},$$

where $s \in E$, $p \in \text{Per}(T)$. Thus we have $X_p \subset B_\eta(W_p) \subset B_\eta(X_p)$. Now we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\| \cdot \|$ and let $\| \cdot \|_0$ be two different norms on it. If there exist some constant $a > 0$, such that $\|x\| \leq a \|x\|_0$, then $\| \cdot \|$ is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|_0$, that is, there exist constants $c_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, such that $c_2 \|x\| \leq \|x\|_0 \leq c_1 \|x\|$.

**Proof.** By equivalent norm theorem and Banach inverse operator theorem, we can easily obtain this result. \qed

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $E = E^u \oplus E^s$ be closed subspace with the norm $\| \cdot \|$, we define a new norm $\| \cdot \|_0$ in $E$ as follows: for each $x \in E$, $x = x + y$, $y \in E^u$, $\eta \in E^s$, $\|x\|_0 = \max\{\|x\|, \|\eta\|\}$, then
Then there exists a unique point $x \delta<\varepsilon$ according to the fact that $\delta<\varepsilon$. We can easily prove that $x$ is unique. If not, there exists another point $x_0 \in W^u_\delta(y) \cap W^s_\varepsilon(z)$. Let $x_0 = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \in E^u$, $x_2 \in E^s$, then by Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\|T^{-k}(x-y)\| = \|T^{-k}(\xi_1 - \xi_0)\| \leq c\tau \|\xi_1 - \xi_0\| \to 0 \ (k \to \infty),$$

$$\|T^k(x-z)\| = \|T^k(\eta_1 - \eta_0)\| \leq c\tau \|\eta_1 - \eta_0\| \to 0 \ (k \to \infty).$$

Hence $x \in W^u_\varepsilon(y) \cap W^s_\varepsilon(z)$. We conclude that $x$ is unique. If not, there exists another point $x_0 \in W^u_\delta(y) \cap W^s_\varepsilon(z)$. Let $x_0 = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \in E^u$, $x_2 \in E^s$, then by Lemma 5.3, we have

$$x - x_0 = (\xi_1 - x_1) + (\eta_1 - x_2), \quad \xi_1 - x_1 \in E^u, \eta_1 - x_2 \in E^s,$$

$$\|\xi_1 - x_1\| \leq \tau \|T^k(\xi_1 - x_1)\| \leq \tau \|T^k(x - x_0)\|_0 \leq c_1 \tau \|T^k(x - x_0)\|,$$

$$\leq c_1 \tau \{\|T^k(x-z)\| + \|T^k(x - x_0)\|\} \leq 2c_1 \tau \varepsilon \to 0 \ (k \to +\infty),$$

$$\|\eta_1 - x_2\| \leq \tau \|T^k(\eta_1 - x_2)\| \leq 2c_1 \tau \varepsilon \to 0 \ (k \to +\infty).$$

Therefore $x = x_0$. Thus Lemma 5.4 is proved.

**Lemma 5.5.** (1) There exists $\delta > 0$, such that $X_p = B_\eta(X_p)$ holds for $0 < \eta < \delta$ and $p \in \text{Per}(T)$. (2) If $p, q \in \text{Per}(T)$, and $q \in X_p$, then $X_q = X_p$.

**Proof.** (1) Obviously, $X_p \subset B_\eta(X_p)$. In the following we will prove that $B_\eta(X_p) \subset X_p$.

Firstly, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$, let $x \in B_\eta(X_p) \cap \text{Per}(T)$. Since $X_p \subset B_\eta(W_p) \subset B_\eta(X_p)$, there exists $\omega \in W_p$, such that $\|x - \omega\| < \eta < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 5.4, there exists a unique point $y$ such that $y \in W^u_\varepsilon(\omega) \cap W^s_\varepsilon(x)$. Thus $y \in W^u_\varepsilon(\omega) \cap E \subset W^u(\omega) \cap E \subset W^u(\omega) \cap E = W_p$ and $y \in W^s_\varepsilon(x)$. Suppose the period of $x$ is $l$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|T^{kl}x - T^{kl}y\| \to 0 \ (k \to +\infty)$. So $\|x - T^{kl}y\| \to 0 \ (k \to +\infty), x = \lim_{k \to -\infty} T^{kl}y \in \overline{W_p} = X_p$. Thus $B_\eta(X_p) \cap \text{Per}(T) \subset X_p$.

Secondly, we have $B_\eta(X_p) = \overline{B_\eta(X_p)} \subset B_\eta(X_p) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(T)} \subset B_\eta(X_p) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(T)} \subset \overline{X_p} = X_p$. Then $X_p = B_\eta(X_p)$.

(2) Since $X_p$ is the invariant set of $T$, then for each $y \in X_q$, there exists $z \in X_q$ such that for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $y = T^{lm}z$, where $m$ is the period of periodic point $q$. Furthermore, according to the fact that $\overline{W^u(q)} = X_q$, there exist $z_i \in W^u(q)$ such that $\lim_{i \to +\infty} z_i = z$, and $y = \lim_{i \to -\infty} T^{lm}z_i$. Since $z_i \in W^u(q)$, there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and some constant $\eta > 0$, such that when $n > n_0$, $\|T^n(z_i - q)\| > \eta$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|T^n(T^{lm}(z_i - q))\| > \eta$, that is.
Structural stability is the key subject in the differentiable dynamical systems (see [25, 29, 30, 32, 33, 36]). It is well known that hyperbolic linear shift operators can keep their hyperbolic invariant properties under small perturbation, which inspires us to make an attempt to study the local structural stability of the nonwandering operators.
Let \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) be a Banach space, \(\mathcal{R}(X) = \{ f : f : X \to X \text{ is a continuous linear mapping with sup}_{x \in X} \| f(x) \| < \infty \}.\) Obviously, it is a Banach space with the norm \(\| f \|_\mathcal{R} = \sup_{x \in X} \| f(x) \|\).

**Lemma 6.1.** Let \(T\) be an invertible nonwandering operator relative to closed subspace \(E \subset X, S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{R}(E),\) which satisfy \(\text{Lip}(S_1), \text{Lip}(S_2) < \min\{1 - \tau, \| T^{-1} \|^{-1}\}.\) Then \(T + S_1\) and \(T + S_2\) are mutually topologically conjugate.

**Proof.** We only need to verify that there exists a homeomorphism \(H = I + A (A \in \mathcal{R}(E))\) satisfying

\[
H \circ (T + S_1) = (T + S_2) \circ H. \quad (6.1)
\]

By reducing (6.1), we have

\[
A \circ (T + S_1) = T \circ A + S_2 \circ (I + A) - S_1. \quad (6.2)
\]

Projecting (6.2) onto subspace \(E^u, E^s\) then we can get

\[
A_s \circ (T + S_1) = T_s \circ A_s + S_{2s} \circ (I + A) - S_{1s},
\]

\[
A_u \circ (T + S_1) = T_u \circ A_u + S_{2u} \circ (I + A) - S_{1u},
\]

where \(A_s = P_s A, A_u = P_u A, T_s = P_s T, T_u = P_u T, S_{1s} = P_s S_1, S_{2s} = P_s S_2, S_{1u}, P_s, P_u\) are, respectively, the projective operators from \(E\) onto subspaces \(E^s, E^u.\)

For all \(T \in \mathcal{R}(E), x \in E, x = x_s + x_u, x_s \in E^s, x_u \in E^u, T x = T_s x + T_u x,\) thus we have \(T_s x = T_s x_s = T x_s \in E^s, T_u x = T_u x_u = T x_u \in E^u.\)

Take equivalent norm \(\| T \|_\mathcal{R} = \sup_{x \in E} \| T x \| = \sup_{x \in E} \{ \| T s x \|, \| T u x \| \} = \max \{ \| T s \|_\mathcal{R}, \| T u \|_\mathcal{R} \}.\)

Due to \(\text{Lip}(S_1) < \| T^{-1} \|^{-1},\) \(T + S_1\) is invertible and also a Lipschitz mapping. By the reversibility of map \(T_u = T \mid_{E_u}\), we modify (6.3) and have

\[
A_s = T_s A_s (T + S_1)^{-1} + S_{2s} (I + A) (T + S_1)^{-1} - S_{1s} (T + S_1)^{-1},
\]

\[
A_u = -T_u^{-1} [S_{2u} (I + A) - S_{1u} - A_u (T + S_1)]. \quad (6.4)
\]

Now we write the right part of (6.4) as \(\Gamma_s(A), \Gamma_u(A),\) then

\[
\| \Gamma_s(\eta) - \Gamma_s(\xi) \|_\mathcal{R} \leq (\tau + \text{Lip}(S_2)) \| \eta - \xi \|_\mathcal{R},
\]

\[
\| \Gamma_u(\eta) - \Gamma_u(\xi) \|_\mathcal{R} \leq (1 + \text{Lip}(S_2)) \| \eta - \xi \|_\mathcal{R}, \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in \mathcal{R}(E). \quad (6.5)
\]

Obviously, the norms of \(\| \Gamma_s \|\) and \(\| \Gamma_u \|\) are no more than \(\tau + \text{Lip}(S_2).\)

Define \(\Gamma : \mathcal{R}(E) \to \mathcal{R}(E), \Gamma(A) = \Gamma_s(A) + \Gamma_u(A),\) and \(\| \Gamma \|_\mathcal{R} = \max \{ \| \Gamma_s \|_\mathcal{R}, \| \Gamma_u \|_\mathcal{R} \},\) then \(\| \Gamma(\eta) - \Gamma(\xi) \|_\mathcal{R} \leq (\tau + \text{Lip}(S_2)) \| \eta - \xi \|_\mathcal{R},\) for all \(\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{R}(E).\) So \(\Gamma\) is a contractive mapping. By the Banach contraction mapping principle, there exists a unique map \(A \in \mathcal{R}(E),\) such that

\[
(I + A) \circ (T + S_1) = (T + S_2) \circ (I + A). \quad (6.6)
\]
Reciprocating $S_1, S_2$, we can get a unique map $P \in \mathcal{R}(E)$, such that
\[(I + P) \circ (T + S_2) = (T + S_1) \circ (I + P).\]  
(6.7)
From (6.6) and (6.7), we deduce
\[(I + P)(I + A)(T + S_1) = (T + S_1)(I + P)(I + A),\]  
(6.8)
or
\[(I + Q)(T + S_1) = (T + S_1)(I + Q), \quad \text{where } Q = A + P(I + A).\]  
(6.9)
In the operator equation (6.8), $Q = 0 \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ is unique, so $(I + P)(I + A) = I$.
Similarly, $(I + A)(I + P) = I$ holds, then $H = I + A : E \to E$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore, $T + S_1$ and $T + S_2$ are mutually topologically conjugate. \[\square\]

**Remark 6.2.** The unique solution $H = I + A$ satisfying (6.1) only exists in the field of $A \in \mathcal{R}(E)$. Otherwise, we can obtain solutions $I + 0$ ($0 \in \mathcal{R}(E)$) and $I + I$ ($I \notin \mathcal{R}(E)$) when $S_1 = S_2 = 0$.

**Theorem 6.3.** Let $T$ be an invertible nonwandering operator relative to closed subspace $E \subset X$, then $T$ is locally structurally stable.

**Proof.** Choosing a neighborhood $U_{\varepsilon}(T)$ of $T$ in $L(X)$ as $U_{\varepsilon}(T) = \{S \mid S \in B(X), \|S - T\| \leq \varepsilon\}$, where $0 < \varepsilon < (1/2) \min\{1 - \tau, \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}\}$.

Let
\[S_1(x) = \begin{cases} (S - T)(x), & \|x\| \leq r, \\ (S - T)\left(\frac{rx}{\|x\|}\right), & \|x\| \geq r, \end{cases}\]  
(6.10)
\[(r > 0),\text{ then it is easily established that } S_1 \in \mathcal{R}(E), \text{ and } \text{Lip}(S_1) \leq 2\|S - T\| \leq \varepsilon < \min\{1 - \tau, \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}\}.\]  
Moreover, if $V = \{x \in E \mid \|x\| < r\}$, we get $T + S_1 \mid_V = S$. Considering special case of Lemma 6.1, that is, $S_2 = 0$, we know that $T$ and $S$ are topologically conjugate on $V$, thus $T$ is locally structurally stable. \[\square\]
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