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We give a general criterion for permutation polynomials of the form $x^rf(x^{(q-1)/l})$, where $r \geq 1$, $l \geq 1$ and $l \mid (q - 1)$. We employ this criterion to characterize several classes of permutation polynomials.
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1. Introduction

Let $p$ be prime and $q = p^m$. A polynomial is a permutation polynomial (PP) of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if it induces a bijective map from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_q$. In recent years, there has been a lot of interests in studying permutation polynomials, partly due to their applications in coding theory, combinatorics, and cryptography. For more background material on permutation polynomials we refer to [1, Chapter 7]. For a detailed survey of open questions and recent results see [2–4].

In general, it is a challenging task to characterize permutation polynomials. In fact there are only a few classes of permutation polynomials that are known. Many examples of permutation polynomials can be constructed as subclasses of polynomials of the form $x^rf(x^{(q-1)/l})$, where $r \geq 1$, $l \geq 1$ and $l \mid (q - 1)$. More precisely, we observe that any polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ can be written as $a(x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l})) + b$, for some $r \geq 1$ and $l \mid (q - 1)$. To see this, without loss of generality, we can write

$$h(x) = a(x^n + a_{n-i_1}x^{n-i_1} + \cdots + a_{n-i_k}x^{n-i_k}) + b,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $a, a_{n-i_j} \neq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Here we suppose that $j \geq 1$ and $n - i_k = r$. Then $h(x) = a(x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l})) + b$, where $f(x) = x^{e_0} + a_{n-i_1}x^{e_1} + \cdots + a_{n-i_{k-1}}x^{e_{k-1}} + a_r,$
\[ l = \frac{q-1}{\gcd(n-r, n-r-i_1, \ldots, n-r-i_{k-1}, q-1)}, \quad (1.2) \]

and \( \gcd(e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1}, l) = 1. \)

Due to the importance of the polynomials of the form \( x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l}) \), it is interesting to give criteria for PPs of this type. One such criterion was given by Wan and Lidl [5, Theorem 1.2].

**Theorem 1.1 (Wan-Lidl).** Let \( g \) be a primitive element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and let \( \zeta = g^{(q-1)/l} \) be a primitive \( l \)-th root of unity in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). The polynomial \( P(x) = x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l}) \) is a PP of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) if and only if

(i) \( (r, (q-1)/l) = 1, \)
(ii) \( f(\zeta^t) \neq 0, \) for each \( t = 0, \ldots, l-1, \)
(iii) for all \( 0 \leq i < j < l, \)
\[
\text{Ind}_g \left( \frac{f(\zeta^i)}{f(\zeta^j)} \right) \not\equiv r(j-i) \pmod{l}, \quad (1.3)
\]

where \( \text{Ind}_g(f(\zeta^i)/f(\zeta^j)) \) is the residue class \( b \) modulo \( q-1 \) such that \( f(\zeta^i)/f(\zeta^j) = g^b. \)

In this paper, we give another general criterion (Theorem 2.2) for PPs of the form \( P(x) = x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l}) \). It turns out that by employing our criterion we can give a unified treatment of several classes of permutation polynomials. Along the way, by applying our theorem, we construct some new classes of permutation polynomials, and give simplified proofs for some known classes of permutation polynomials. They include the class of polynomials of the form \( P(x) = x^r f(x^{(q-1)/2}) \) (Corollary 2.4), the class of polynomials of the form \( P(x) = x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l}) \) such that \( f(\zeta)^{(q-1)/l} = 1 \) for all \( l \)-th roots of unity \( \zeta \) (Theorem 3.1), and the class of polynomials of the form \( P(x) = x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l}) \) with \( f(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^k \), where \( r \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq 0 \) (Theorem 4.4).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prove our new criterion. Then we describe some applications of this criterion in Sections 3 and 4.

### 2. A general criterion

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( l \mid q-1 \) and \( \mu_l \) be the set of all distinct \( l \)-th roots of unity in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( \xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{l-1} \) be some \( l \)-th roots of unity. Then
\[
\{\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{l-1}\} = \mu_l \iff \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \xi_t^c = 0, \quad \text{for } c = 1, \ldots, l-1. \quad (2.1)
\]

**Proof.** First note that for an \( l \)-th root of unity \( \xi \), we have
\[
1 + \xi + \cdots + \xi^{l-1} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \xi \neq 1, \\
l & \text{if } \xi = 1.
\end{cases} \quad (2.2)
\]
Now for \( t = 0, \ldots, l - 1 \), let
\[
h_t(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \xi_t^{l-j} x^j. \tag{2.3}
\]

We have
\[
h_t(\xi_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \neq j, \\ l & \text{if } t = j. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}
\]

Let
\[
h(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} h_t(x) = l + \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \xi_t^{l-j} \right) x^j. \tag{2.5}
\]

We consider \( h \) as a function from \( \mu_l \) to \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Since the degree of \( h(x) \) is less than or equal to \( l - 1 \), it is clear that \( \xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{l-1} \) are all distinct if and only if \( h(x) = l \). This implies the result. □

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following general criterion.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( q - 1 = ls \) for some positive integers \( l \) and \( s \). Let \( \zeta \) be a primitive \( l \)-th root of unity in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( f(x) \) be a polynomial over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then the polynomial \( P(x) = x^r f(x^s) \) is a PP of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) if and only if

(i) \((r,s) = 1\),
(ii) \( f(\zeta^i) \neq 0 \) for each \( t = 0, \ldots, l - 1 \),
(iii) \( \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} c_{rt} f(\zeta^t)^{cs} = 0 \) for each \( c = 1, \ldots, l - 1 \).

**Proof.** If \( P(x) = x^r f(x^s) \) is a PP, then for a primitive \( l \)-th root of unity \( \zeta \), \( f(\zeta^i) \neq 0 \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, l - 1 \). Moreover, \((r,s) = 1\). This is true, since otherwise \((r,s) = e > 1\). Let \( \omega \) be a primitive \( e \)-th root of unity. Then \( P(1) = P(\omega) \), and \( P(x) \) is not a PP.

So suppose that conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Let \( g \) be a primitive element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). We know that \( P(x) \) is a PP if and only if \( P(g^k) \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, q - 1 \) are all distinct. Let \( k = ld + t \) where \( 0 \leq t < l \). Then
\[
P(g^k) = g^{l(dr)} g^{t} f(g^{ts}) = g^{l(dr)} g^{at}, \tag{2.6}
\]
where \( g^{at} = g^{tr} f(g^{ts}) \). Here \( a_t \) is well-defined mod \( q - 1 \). Now since \((r,s) = 1\), then \( dr \) for \( 0 \leq d < s \) form a complete set of residues mod \( s \). So \( P(g^k)'s \) are distinct if and only if \( a_t \)'s form a complete set of residues mod \( l \). However, \( \{a_0, \ldots, a_{l-1}\} \) forms a complete set of residues mod \( l \) if and only if the mapping \( \phi: a \to a^t \) from \( \{g^{a_0}, \ldots, g^{a_{l-1}}\} \) to \( \mu_l \) is surjective. By Lemma 2.1 this is true if and only if
\[
\sum_{t=0}^{l-1} g^{cs_{at}} = 0, \tag{2.7}
\]
for \( c = 1, \ldots, l - 1 \). Hence we are done. □
Combining Wan-Lidl theorem (see Section 1) with our Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following equivalent conditions.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( q - 1 = ls \), let \((r,s) = 1\), let \( \zeta \) be a primitive \( l \)-th root of unity in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and let \( f(x) \) be a polynomial over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) such that none of \( \zeta^t \), \( t = 0, \ldots, l - 1 \), is a zero of \( f(x) \). Then the following are equivalent:
(i) \( \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \zeta^{ct} f(\zeta^t)^{cs} = 0 \) for each \( c = 1, \ldots, l - 1 \);
(ii) for all \( 0 \leq i < j < l \), \( \text{Ind}_q(f(\zeta^i)/f(\zeta^j)) \neq r(j-i)(\text{mod } l) \), where \( \text{Ind}_q(f(\zeta^i)/f(\zeta^j)) \) is the residue class \( b \) modulo \( q - 1 \) such that \( f(\zeta^i)/f(\zeta^j) = g^b \), where \( g \) is a fixed primitive element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

In [6], Niederreiter and Robinson proved that for odd \( q \), the binomial \( x^{(q+1)/2} + ax \) is a PP if and only if \( \eta(a^2 - 1) = 1 \). Here \( \eta \) is the quadratic character of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with the standard convention \( \eta(0) = 0 \). Next corollary gives a generalization of this theorem.

**Corollary 2.4.** For odd \( q \), the polynomial \( P(x) = x^r f(x^{(q-1)/2}) \) is a PP of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) if and only if \((r, (q-1)/2) = 1 \) and \( \eta(f(-1)f(1)) = (-1)^{r+1} \).

**Proof.** In Theorem 2.2, let \( l = 2 \). Then the result is evident since
\[
f(1)^{q-1/2} + (-1)^r f(-1)^{q-1/2} = 0 \iff \eta(f(1)f(-1)) = (-1)^{r+1}.
\]

A version of the previous corollary is due to Wan, see [7, Theorem 4.1].

**3. First application**

The following is a consequence of our general criterion.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( q - 1 = ls \). Assume that \( f(\zeta^t)^s = 1 \) for any \( t = 0, \ldots, l - 1 \). Then \( P(x) = x^r f(x^s) \) is a permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) if and only if \((r, q-1) = 1 \).

**Proof.** We have
\[
\sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \zeta^{ct} f(\zeta^t)^{cs} = \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \zeta^{ct}.
\]
This is zero if and only if \((l,r) = 1 \).

Next we show that how the above theorem can result in a unified and simplified treatment of some known classes of PPs. As a special case of Theorem 3.1, we have the following result of Wan and Lidl (see [5, Corollary 1.4]). The sufficiency part is a classical result of Rogers and Dickson [8, Theorem 85].

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( l \mid q - 1 \) and \( g(x) \) be any polynomial over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then \( P(x) = x^r g(x^t)^l \) is a permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) if and only if \((r, q-1) = 1 \) and \( g(\zeta^t) \neq 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq t \leq l - 1 \).

**Proof.** This is true since if we set \( f(x) = g(x)^l \), then we have \( f(\zeta^t)^s = g(\zeta^t)^{ls} = g(\zeta^t)^{q-1} = 1 \). The result follows from Theorem 3.1.
We next consider a class of PPs with coefficients in some appropriate subfield which has been studied in [9]. Special cases of Corollary 3.3 has also been considered in [10, 11].

**Corollary 3.3 (Laigle-Chapuy).** Let \( p \) be a prime, let \( l \) be a positive integer, and let \( v \) be the order of \( p \) in \( \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \). For any positive integer \( n \), take \( q = p^n = p^{lv} \) and \( ls = q - 1 \). Assume \( f(x) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{F}_p \) and only if \( f(x) \) is a permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) if and only if \( (r, q - 1) = 1 \) and \( f(\zeta^t) \neq 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq t \leq l - 1 \).

**Proof.** This is clear from Theorem 3.1, since we have

\[
f(\zeta^t)^{q-1/l} = f(\zeta^{t})^{(p^{ln}-1)/l} = f(\zeta^{t})^{(p^{ln}-1)/l + 1} = f(\zeta^{t})^{l} = 1.
\]

**Note.** Laigle-Chapuy has proved the previous corollary under the stronger assumption that \( (r, q - 1) = 1 \) [10, Theorem 3.1].

### 4. Second application

In this section, we give another application of our main criterion and construct some new classes of PPs.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( q - 1 = ls \), and suppose that \( \mathbb{F}_q \) (algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{F}_q \)) contains a primitive \( jl \)-th root of unity \( \eta \). Assume that \( \eta^{-at} f(\eta^j t) = 1 \) for any \( t = 0, \ldots, l - 1 \) and a fixed \( u \). Moreover, assume that \( j \mid u \). Then \( P(x) = x^r f(x^c) \) is a permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) if and only if

(i) \( (r, s) = 1 \),

(ii) \( (r + us/j, l) = 1 \).

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.2, we need to show that condition (ii) is equivalent to \( \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \eta^{jrt} f(\zeta^c) = 0 \) for \( c = 1, \ldots, l - 1 \). We have

\[
\sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \eta^{jrt} f(\zeta^c) = \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \eta^{jrt} (\eta^{jrt})^{c} = \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \eta^{jrt} (\eta^{jrt})^{c} = \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \zeta^{c(r+us/j)t},
\]

which is zero if and only if \( l \nmid c(r+us/j) \) for each \( c \) with \( 1 \leq c \leq l - 1 \). This is equivalent to \( (r + us/j, l) = 1 \).

From now on, we consider \( P(x) = x^r f(x^c) \) such that \( f(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^k \), where \( r \geq 1, k \geq 0 \) and \( q - 1 = ls \) for some positive integer \( l \). We first prove two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime, \( q - 1 = ls \). Let \( f(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^k \). Then \( f(\zeta^t) \neq 0 \) for any \( t = 0, \ldots, l - 1 \) if and only if \( (lp, k+1) = 1 \).

**Proof.** \( f(\zeta^0) = k + 1 \neq 0 \) is equivalent to \( (p, k+1) = 1 \). Moreover, \( f(\zeta^t) \neq 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq t \leq l - 1 \) is equivalent to \( (l,k+1) = 1 \).
Lemma 4.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $q - 1 = ls$, and $\alpha$ any nonzero element of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then

(i) if $p \equiv -1(\text{mod } l)$, and $l > 1$ is odd, $\alpha^2 = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

(ii) if $p \equiv -1(\text{mod } l)$, $l = 2l_1$, where $l_1 > 1$ is odd, $\alpha^2 = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. (i) See [10, Lemma 4.1].

(ii) Since $d = (p - 1, l_1) = 1$, $\alpha^{(p-1)/d} = \alpha^{p-1} = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$, and $\alpha$ is the $l_1$-th power of an element $\beta$ of $\mathbb{F}_p$ [1, Exercise 2.14], that is, $\alpha = \beta^{l_1}$. Since $p \equiv -1(\text{mod } l)$ and $l \mid q - 1$, we have $2 \mid m$ and thus $p - 1 \mid (q - 1)/2$. Therefore, $\alpha^e = (\beta^{l_1})^e = \beta^{(q-1)/2} = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$. □

Using Theorem 4.1 we can also obtain the following result which extends [11, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 4.4. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $q - 1 = ls$. Assume that either (1) $l > 1$ is odd or (2) $l = 2l_1$, where $l_1 > 1$ is odd. If $p \equiv -1(\text{mod } 2l)$, then the polynomial $P(x) = x^r(1 + x^1 + \cdots + x^{ks})$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $(r,s) = 1$, $(lp,k+1) = 1$ and $(r + ks/2,l) = 1$.

Proof. For $u = k$ and $j = 2$, let

$$A = \eta^{-ut} f(\eta^{jt}) = \frac{\eta^{(k+1)t} - \eta^{-(k+1)t}}{\eta^t - \eta^{-t}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $2l \mid p + 1$, we have

$$A^p = ((\eta^1)^k + (\eta^2)^{k-1}(\eta^{-1}) + \cdots + (\eta^1)(\eta^{-1})^{k-1} + (\eta^{-1})^k)^p$$

$$= (\eta^{pt})^k + (\eta^{pt})^{k-1}(\eta^{-pt}) + \cdots + (\eta^{pt})(\eta^{-pt})^{k-1} + (\eta^{-pt})^k$$

$$= (\eta^{-t})^k + (\eta^{-t})^{k-1}(\eta^t) + \cdots + (\eta^{-t})(\eta^t)^{k-1} + (\eta^t)^k$$

$$= A. \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore, $A \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Then we have $A^t = 1$ by Lemma 4.3. Using Theorem 4.1, we conclude our result.

Note. Note that in the case that both $p$ and $l$ are odd, $p \equiv -1(\text{mod } 2l)$ is equivalent to $p \equiv -1(\text{mod } l)$.
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