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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}$ denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\Delta:=\{z:|z|<1\}$, and let $\mathscr{H}[a, p]$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{H}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=a+a_{p} z^{p}+a_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\cdots, \quad p \in N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{H}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad p \in N \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ and $g$ are analytic and there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\Delta$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(0)=0, \quad|w(z)|<1, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$, then the function $f$ is called subordinate to $g$ and is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \prec g \quad \text { or } \quad f(z)<g(z), \quad z \in \Delta . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\Delta$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=g(0), \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $h$ and $k$ are analytic functions in $\Delta$ and $\phi(r, s, t ; z): C^{3} \times \Delta \rightarrow C$. If $h$ and $\phi\left(h(z), z h^{\prime}(z), z^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ are univalent and if $h$ satisfies the second-order superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z) \prec \phi\left(h(z), z h^{\prime}(z), z^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $h$ is a solution of the differential superordination (1.6). Note that if $f$ is subordinate to $g$, then $g$ is superordinate to $f$. An analytic function $q$ is called subordinant if $q<h$ forall $h$ satisfying (1.6). A univalent subordinant $\tilde{q}$ that satisfies $q<\tilde{q}$ for all subordinants $q$ of (1.6) is said to be the best subordinant. Miller and Mocanu [1] have obtained conditions on $k, q$, and $\phi$ for which the following implication holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z)<\phi\left(h(z), z h^{\prime}(z), z^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \Longrightarrow q(z)<h(z) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ali et al. [2] have obtained sufficient conditions for certain normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_{2}(z) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are given univalent functions in $\Delta$ with $q_{1}(0)=1$ and $q_{2}(0)=1$.
Recently, Shanmugam et al. $[3,4]$ have also obtained sandwich results for certain classes of analytic functions. Further subordination results can be found in [5-8].

## 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. For $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p)$, Shams et al. [9] defined the following integral operator:

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial^{\sigma} f(z) & =\frac{(p+1)^{\sigma}}{z \Gamma(\sigma)} \int_{0}^{z}\left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\sigma-1} f(t) d t  \tag{2.1}\\
& =z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^{\sigma} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad \sigma>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For the operator, one easily gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}=(p+1) \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)-\partial^{\sigma} f(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also for $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, Shams et al. [9] defined a class $\Omega_{p}^{\sigma}(A, B ; \lambda)$ of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)<\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The family $\Omega_{p}^{\sigma}(A ; B ; \lambda)$ is a general family containing various new and known classes of analytic functions (see, e.g., $[10,11]$ ).

Definition 2.2 (see [1]). Denote by $Q$ the set of all functions $f(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{\Delta}-E(f)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(f)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \Delta: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=\infty\right\}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are such that $f^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \Delta-E(f)$.
We will require certain results due to Miller and Mocanu [1, 12], Bulboacă [13], and Shanmugam et al. [4] contained in the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3 (see [12]). Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$, and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in the domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\Delta)$. Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), h(z)=$ $\theta(q(z))+Q(z)$. Suppose that
(i) $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$;
(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left(z h^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right)>0$ for $z \in \Delta$.

If $p(z)$ is analytic in $\Delta$, with $p(0)=q(0), p(\Delta) \in D$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z))<\theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)<q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.4 (see [4]). Let $q(z)$ be a convex univalent function in $\Delta$ and $\psi, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(1+$ $\left.\left(z q^{\prime \prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)\right)\right)>\max \{0,-\operatorname{Re}(\psi / \gamma)\}$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in $\Delta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z)<\psi q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)<q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.5 (see [12]). Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$, and let $\phi(z)$ be analytic in a domain containing $q(\Delta)$. If $z q^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z))<z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)<q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.6 (see [13]). Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$, and let $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$. Suppose that
(i) $\operatorname{Re}\left[\vartheta^{\prime}(q(z)) / \varphi(q(z))\right]>0$ for $z \in \Delta$;
(ii) $z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in $z \in \Delta$.

If $p(z) \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(\Delta) \subseteq D$, and if $\vartheta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z))$ is univalent in $\Delta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))<\vartheta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q(z)<p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.
Lemma 2.7 (see [1]). Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$. Further assume that $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)>0$. If $p(z) \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and $p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)<p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $q(z)<p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

The main object of this paper is to apply a method based on the differential subordination in order to derive several subordination results.

## 3. Subordination for analytic functions

Theorem 3.1. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk $\Delta, \lambda \in \mathcal{C}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{p(p+1)}{\lambda}\right)\right\}, \quad \lambda \neq 0(p \in \mathbf{N}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ satisfies the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \prec q(z)+\frac{\lambda z q^{\prime}(z)}{p(p+1)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial^{\sigma} f(z)$ is defined by (2.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)<q(z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.4) with respect to $z$ logarithmically, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}=\frac{z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\left.\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right)}-p \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in view of (2.3), we obtain from (3.5) the following subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)+\frac{\lambda z h^{\prime}(z)}{p(p+1)}<q(z)+\frac{\lambda z q^{\prime}(z)}{p(p+1)} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of Lemma 2.4, with $\gamma=\lambda / p(p+1)$ and $\psi=1$, leads to (3.3).
Taking $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ in Theorem 3.1, we arrive at the following.
Corollary 3.2. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}((1-B z) /(1+B z))>\max \{0,-\operatorname{Re}(p(p+$ 1) $/ \lambda)\}(\lambda \neq 0), p \in \mathbf{N}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{\lambda}{p(p+1)} \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is the best dominant.
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Putting $p=1$ and $q(z)=(1+z) /(1-z)$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary.
Corollary 3.3. Let $\operatorname{Re}((1+z) /(1-z))>\max \{0,-\operatorname{Re}(2 / \lambda)\}$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z}+\frac{(1-\lambda) \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{\lambda z}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+z) /(1-z)$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.4. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$ and $0 \neq \gamma, \mu \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ and suppose that $q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \mu\left[\frac{\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right] \prec 1+\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu} \prec q(z) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let us consider a function $h(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=\left[\frac{\alpha \supset^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}, \quad \mu \neq 0, \alpha+\beta \neq 0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, differentiating (3.14) logarithmically, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}=\mu\left[\frac{\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(w)=1, \quad \phi(w)=\frac{\gamma}{w} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta(w)$ is analytic in $\mathcal{C}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0$ is analytic in $\mathcal{C} /\{0\}$. Also, we let

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)},  \tag{3.17}\\
p(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=1+\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} . \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.11) we see that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$, and from (3.18) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of Lemma 2.3 to (3.12) yields the result.
Putting $\alpha=0, \beta=1, \gamma=1$, and $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ in Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ and for $-1 \leq A<B \leq 1, \mu \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mu\left[\frac{z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right] \prec 1+\frac{(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)^{\prime}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}<\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is the best dominant.
By setting $\alpha=0, \beta=1, \gamma=1, \sigma=0, p=1$, and $q(z)=(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B}$ inTheorem 3.4, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. Suppose $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ and let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $B \neq 0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mu\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right]<\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{f(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \prec(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B}$ is the best dominant.
Remark 3.7. $q(z)=(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B}$ is univalent if and only if $|(\mu(A-B) / B)-1| \leq 1$ or $\mid(\mu(A-$ $B) / B)+1 \mid \leq 1$ (see [5]).

Again by setting $\beta=1, \mu=1, \alpha=0, \gamma=1 / b, p=1$, and $\sigma=0$, and by $q(z)=1 /(1-$ $z)^{2 b}(b \in \mathcal{C} \backslash\{0\})$ in Theorm 3.4, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. Suppose $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ and $b$ is a nonzero complex number for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right]<\frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2 b}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $1 /(1-z)^{2 b}$ is the best dominant.
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The result contained in Corollary 3.8 was earlier given by Srivastava and Lashin [7].
Theorem 3.9. Let $q$ be univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$, and let $\mu, \gamma \neq 0, \eta, \delta, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$, and $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$. Suppose that $q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\max \left\{0,-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\eta+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right)\right\}+\delta . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)<\eta q(z)+\delta+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z), \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}<q(z), \quad \alpha+\beta \neq 0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define a function $h(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, a computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}=\mu\left\{\frac{\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right\} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
z h^{\prime}(z)=\mu h(z)\left(\frac{z\left[\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z \beta\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right) . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(w)=\eta w+\delta, \quad \phi(w)=\gamma, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\gamma z q^{\prime}(z),  \tag{3.34}\\
p(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=\eta q(z)+\delta+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.26), we see that $Q(z)$ is starlike in $\Delta$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\eta}{r}+1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the hypothesis (3.26) of Theorem 3.9. Thus, applying Lemma 2.3, the proof of Theorem 3.9 is completed.

By setting $\beta=1, \gamma=1, \alpha=0$, and $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. Let $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\eta)>0$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1-B z}{1+B z}\right\}>\max \{0,-\operatorname{Re}(\eta)\} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\eta+\mu\left(\frac{z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right)\right\}+\delta \prec \eta \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\delta+z \frac{(A-B)}{(1+B z)^{2}}, \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}<\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is the best dominant.
Again by setting $\beta=1, \gamma=1, \alpha=0, p=1$, and $\sigma=0$, and by $q(z)=(1+z) /(1-z)$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{f(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\eta+\mu\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)\right\}+\delta \prec \eta \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\delta+\frac{2 z}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{f(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+z) /(1-z)$ is the best dominant.

## 4. Superordination for analytic functions

Theorem 4.1. Let $q$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose $\lambda$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda\}>0$ and $J^{\sigma} f(z) / z^{p} \in \mathscr{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{\lambda z q^{\prime}(z)}{p(p+1)}<\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)<\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}, \quad z \neq 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating logarithmically, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some computation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{\lambda z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(p+1)}=\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using Lemma 2.7, we get the desired result (4.3).
Corollary 4.2. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose $\lambda$ satisfies $R\{\lambda\}>0$ and $\partial^{\sigma} f(z) / z^{p} \in \mathscr{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

be univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda(A-B) z}{p(p+1)(1+B z)^{2}}+\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec \frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec \frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is the best subordinant.
Since the proofs of Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 are similar to the proofs of the previous theorems, we only give statements of these theorems without proofs.

Theorem 4.3. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$, and $0 \neq \gamma, \mu \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$. Let $f(z) \in \mathscr{A}(p)$. Suppose that $\left[\left(\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right) /(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}\right]^{\mu} \in \mathscr{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \mu\left[\frac{\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right] \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \prec 1+\gamma \mu\left[\frac{\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right] \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)<\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Theorem 4.4. Let $q$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$, and let $\gamma \neq 0 \in \mathcal{C}, \eta, \delta, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$, and $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$. Suppose that $\partial^{\sigma} f(z) / z^{p} \in \mathscr{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\eta q^{\prime}(z)}{r}\right\}>0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If
$\eta q(z)+\delta+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)<\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\eta+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{z \alpha\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z \beta\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right)\right\}+\delta$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

## 5. Sandwich results

Combining results of differential subordinations and superordinations, we arrive at the following "sandwich results."

Theorem 5.1. Let $q_{1}(z)$ be convex univalent, and let $q_{2}(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose $q_{1}$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda\}>0$ and $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1). If $\partial^{\sigma} f(z) / z^{p} \in \mathscr{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}, \quad \alpha+\beta \neq 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent in $\Delta$, and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z)+\frac{\lambda z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{p(p+1)}<\frac{\lambda \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}+\frac{(p-\lambda) \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec q_{2}(z)+\frac{\lambda z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{p(p+1)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z)<\left(\frac{\partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \prec q_{2}(z) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{1}(z)$ and $q_{2}(z)$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.
Theorem 5.2. Let $q_{1}(z)$ be convex univalent, and let $q_{2}(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.11). Further suppose that $\left[\left(\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right) /(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}\right]^{\mu} \in \mathscr{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$ and $1+\gamma \mu\left[\left(\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}\right) /\left(\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right)-p\right]$ is univalent in $\Delta$.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)} \prec 1+\gamma \mu\left[\frac{\alpha z\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\beta z\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right] \prec 1+\gamma \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z)<\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z), \quad \alpha+\beta \neq 0, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{1}(z)$ and $q_{2}(z)$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

Theorem 5.3. Let $q_{1}(z)$ be convex univalent, and let $q_{2}(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $q_{1}(z)$ satisfies (4.13) and $q_{2}(z)$ satisfies (3.28). Further suppose that $\left[\left(\alpha 0^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right) /(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}\right]^{\mu} \in \mathscr{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$ with $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\eta+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{z \alpha\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z \beta\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right)\right\}+\delta \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta q_{1}(z)+\delta+\gamma z q_{1}^{\prime}(z) & <\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\eta+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{z \alpha\left[\partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+z \beta\left[\partial^{\sigma} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}-p\right)\right\}+\delta \\
& <\eta q_{2}(z)+\delta+\gamma z q_{2}^{\prime}(z), \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z)<\left[\frac{\alpha \partial^{\sigma-1} f(z)+\beta \partial^{\sigma} f(z)}{(\alpha+\beta) z^{p}}\right]^{\mu}<q_{2}(z) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{1}(z)$ and $q_{2}(z)$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.
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