Research Article

Derivations of MV-Algebras

N. O. Alshehri

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science (Girl's), King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 126238, Jeddah 21352, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to N. O. Alshehri, n_alshehry@yahoo.com

Received 26 August 2010; Revised 8 November 2010; Accepted 16 December 2010

Academic Editor: Howard Bell

Copyright © 2010 N. O. Alshehri. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce the notion of derivation for an MV-algebra and discuss some related properties. Using the notion of an isotone derivation, we give some characterizations of a derivation of an MV-algebra. Moreover, we define an additive derivation of an MV-algebra and investigate some of its properties. Also, we prove that an additive derivation of a linearly ordered MV-algebra is an isotone.

1. Introduction

In his classical paper [1], Chang invented the notion of MV-algebra in order to provide an algebraic proof of the completeness theorem of infinite valued Łukasiewicz propositional calculus. Recently, the algebraic theory of MV-algebras is intensively studied, see [2–5].

The notion of derivation, introduced from the analytic theory, is helpful to the research of structure and property in algebraic system. Several authors [6–9] studied derivations in rings and near rings. Jun and Xin [10] applied the notion of derivation in ring and near-ring theory to BCI-algebras. In [11], Szász introduced the concept of derivation for lattices and investigated some of its properties, for more details, the reader is referred to [9, 12–19].

In this paper, we apply the notion of derivation in ring and near-ring theory to MV-algebras and investigate some of its properties. Using the notion of an isotone derivation, we characterize a derivation of MV-algebra. We introduce a new concept, called an additive derivation of MV-algebras, and then we investigate several properties. Finally, we prove that an additive derivation of a linearly ordered MV-algebra is an isotone.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (see [5]). An MV-algebra is a structure $(M, \oplus, *, 0)$ where $\oplus$ is a binary operation, $*$ is a unary operation, and 0 is a constant such that the following axioms are satisfied for

any $a, b \in M$:

(MV1) $(M, \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative monoid,

(MV2) $(a^*)^* = a$,

(MV3) $0^* \oplus a = 0^*$,

(MV4) $(a^* \oplus b)^* \oplus b = (b^* \oplus a)^* \oplus a$.

If we define the constant $1 = 0^*$ and the auxiliary operations $\odot, \vee$, and $\wedge$ by

$$a \odot b = (a^* \oplus b^*)^*, \quad a \vee b = a \oplus (b \odot a^*), \quad a \wedge b = a \odot (b \oplus a^*), \quad (2.1)$$

then $(M, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and the structure $(M, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a bounded distributive lattice. Also, we define the binary operation $\ominus$ by $x \ominus y = x \odot y^*$. A subset X of an MV-algebra M is called subalgebra of M if and only if X is closed under the MV-operations defined in M . In any MV-algebras, one can define a partial order $\leq$ by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \wedge y = x$ for each $x, y \in M$. If the order relation $\leq$, defined over M , is total, then we say that M is linearly ordered. For an MV-algebra M , if we define $B(M) = \{x \in M : x \oplus x = x\} = \{x \in M : x \odot x = x\}$. Then, $(B(M), \oplus, *, 0)$ is both a largest subalgebra of M and a Boolean algebra.

An MV-algebra M has the following properties for all $x, y, z \in M$

- (1) $x \oplus 1 = 1$,
- (2) $x \oplus x^* = 1$,
- (3) $x \odot x^* = 0$,
- (4) If $x \oplus y = 0$, then $x = y = 0$,
- (5) If $x \odot y = 1$, then $x = y = 1$,
- (6) If $x \leq y$, then $x \vee z \leq y \vee z$ and $x \wedge z \leq y \wedge z$,
- (7) If $x \leq y$, then $x \oplus z \leq y \oplus z$ and $x \odot z \leq y \odot z$,
- (8) $x \leq y$ if and only if $y^* \leq x^*$,
- (9) $x \oplus y = y$ if and only if $x \odot y = x$.

Theorem 2.2 (see [1]). *The following conditions are equivalent for all $x, y \in M$*

- (i) $x \leq y$,
- (ii) $y \oplus x^* = 1$,
- (iii) $x \odot y^* = 0$.

Definition 2.3 (see [1]). Let M be an MV-algebra and I be a nonempty subset of M . Then, we say that I is an ideal if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \in I$,
- (ii) $x, y \in I$ imply $x \oplus y \in I$,
- (iii) $x \in I$ and $y \leq x$ imply $y \in I$.

Proposition 2.4 (see [1]). *Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra, then $x \oplus y = x \oplus z$ and $x \oplus z \neq 1$ implies that $y = z$.*

Table 1

$\oplus$	0	a	b	1
0	0	a	b	1
a	a	a	1	1
b	b	1	b	1
1	1	1	1	1

Table 2

$*$	0	a	b	1
	1	b	a	0

3. Derivations of MV-Algebras

Definition 3.1. Let M be an MV-algebra, and let $d : M \rightarrow M$ be a function. We call d a derivation of M , if it satisfies the following condition for all $x, y \in M$

$$d(x \odot y) = (dx \odot y) \oplus (x \odot dy). \quad (3.1)$$

We often abbreviate $d(x)$ to dx .

Example 3.2. Let $M = \{0, a, b, 1\}$. Consider Tables 1 and 2.

Then $(M, \oplus, *, 0)$ is an MV-algebra. Define a map $d : M \rightarrow M$ by

$$dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, a, 1, \\ a & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Since $d(a \odot b) = 0$ and $(da \odot b) \oplus (a \odot db) = (0 \odot b) \oplus (a \odot a) = 0 \oplus a = a$, d is not derivation.

Example 3.3. Let $M = \{0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, 1\}$. Consider Tables 3 and 4.

Then, $(M, \oplus, *, 0)$ is an MV-algebra. Define a map $d : M \rightarrow M$ by

$$dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, x_1, x_3, \\ x_2 & \text{if } x = x_2, x_4, 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Then, it is easily checked that d is a derivation of M .

Proposition 3.4. Let M be an MV-algebra, and let d be a derivation on M . Then, the following hold for every $x \in M$:

- (i) $d0 = 0$,
- (ii) $dx \odot x^* = x \odot dx^* = 0$,
- (iii) $dx = dx \oplus (x \odot d1)$,
- (iv) $dx \leq x$,
- (v) If I is an ideal of an MV-algebra M , then $d(I) \subseteq I$.

Table 3

$\oplus$	0	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	1
0	0	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	1
x_1	x_1	x_3	x_4	x_3	1	1
x_2	x_2	x_4	x_2	1	x_4	1
x_3	x_3	x_3	1	x_3	1	1
x_4	x_4	1	x_4	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 4

*	0	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	1
	1	x_4	x_3	x_2	x_1	0

Proof. (i) $d0 = d(x \odot 0) = (dx \odot 0) \oplus (x \odot d0) = x \odot d0$.

Putting $x = 0$, we get $d0 = 0$.

(ii) Let $x \in M$, then

$$0 = d0 = d(x \odot x^*) = (dx \odot x^*) \oplus (x \odot dx^*), \quad (3.4)$$

and so (ii) follows from (4).

(iii) It is clear.

(iv) Let $x \in M$, from (ii), we have

$$1 = 0^* = (dx \odot x^*)^* = (dx)^* \oplus x, \quad (3.5)$$

from Theorem 2.2 we get $dx \leq x$.

(v) Let $y \in d(I)$, then $y = d(x)$ for some $x \in I$. Since $y = d(x) \leq x \in I$, thus $y \in I$ and so $d(I) \subseteq I$. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Let d be a derivation of an MV-algebra M , and let $x, y \in M$. If $x \leq y$. Then, the following hold:*

(i) $d(x \odot y^*) = 0$,

(ii) $dy^* \leq x^*$,

(iii) $dx \odot dy^* = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \leq y$, then Theorem 2.2 implies that $x \odot y^* = 0$, and so $d(x \odot y^*) = d0 = 0$.

(ii) From (i), we get

$$0 = d(x \odot y^*) = (dx \odot y^*) \oplus (x \odot dy^*), \quad (3.6)$$

and by (4), we have $x \odot dy^* = 0$. Therefore, $dy^* \leq x^*$.

- (iii) If $x \leq y$, then $dx \leq y$, thus $dx \odot dy^* \leq y \odot dy^*$, also $dy^* \leq y^*$, and so $y \odot dy^* \leq y \odot y^* = 0$. Hence, $dx \odot dy^* = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. Let M be an MV-algebra, and let d be a derivation on M . Then, the following hold:

- (i) $dx \odot dx^* = 0$,
- (ii) $dx^* = (dx)^*$ if and only if d is the identity on M .

Proof. (i) It follows directly from Proposition 3.5(iii).

- (ii) It is sufficient to show that if $dx^* = (dx)^*$, then d is the identity on M .

Assume that $dx^* = (dx)^*$, from Proposition 3.4(ii), we have $x \odot (dx)^* = 0$, which implies that $x \leq dx$. Therefore, $dx = x$. $\square$

Definition 3.7. Let M be an MV-algebra and d be a derivation on M . If $x \leq y$ implies $dx \leq dy$ for all $x, y \in M$, d is called an isotone derivation.

Example 3.8. Let M be an MV-algebra as in Example 3.3. It is easily checked that d is an isotone derivation of M .

Proposition 3.9. Let M be an MV-algebra, and let d be a derivation of M . If $dx^* = dx$ for all $x \in M$, then the following hold:

- (i) $d1 = 0$,
- (ii) $dx \odot dx = 0$,
- (iii) If d is an isotone derivation of M , then d is zero.

Proof. (i) It follows by putting $x = 0$.

- (ii) It follows from Proposition 3.6(i).

- (iii) Since d is an isotone, hence $dx \leq d1$ for all $x \in M$. By (i), we have $dx \leq 0$, and so d is zero. $\square$

Definition 3.10. Let M be an MV-algebra, and let d be a derivation on M . If $d(x \oplus y) = dx \oplus dy$ for all $x, y \in M$, d is called an additive derivation.

Example 3.11. Let M be an MV-algebra as in Example 3.3. It is easily checked that d is an additive derivation of M .

Theorem 3.12. Let M be an MV-algebra, and let d be a nonzero additive derivation of M . Then, $d(B(M)) \subseteq B(M)$.

Proof. Let $y \in d(B(M))$, thus $y = d(x)$ for some $x \in B(M)$. Then,

$$y \oplus y = dx \oplus dx = d(x \oplus x) = dx = y. \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore $y \in B(M)$, this complete the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.13. Let d be an additive derivation of a linearly ordered MV-algebra M . Then, either $d = 0$ or $d1 = 1$.

Proof. Let d be an additive derivation of a linearly ordered MV-algebra M . Hence,

$$d1 = d(x \oplus x^*) = dx \oplus dx^*, \quad (3.8)$$

also,

$$d1 = d(x \oplus 1) = dx \oplus d1, \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x \in M$. If $d1 \neq 1$, then Proposition 2.4 implies that $dx^* = d1$. Putting $x = 1$, we get that $d1 = 0$. Therefore,

$$0 = d1 = dx \oplus d1 = dx, \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in M$, and so d is zero. $\square$

Proposition 3.14. *Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra, and let d_1, d_2 additive derivations of M . Define $d_1 d_2(x) = d_1(d_2 x)$ for all $x \in M$. If $d_1 d_2 = 0$, then $d_1 = 0$ or $d_2 = 0$.*

Proof. Let $d_1 d_2 = 0$, $x \in M$, and suppose that $d_2 \neq 0$. Then,

$$0 = d_1 d_2 x = d_1(d_2 x \oplus (x \odot d_2 1)) = d_1 d_2 x \oplus d_1 x = d_1 x, \quad (3.11)$$

thus $d_1 = 0$. Similarly, we can prove that $d_2 = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 3.15. *Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra, and let d be a nonzero additive derivation of M . Then,*

$$d(x \odot x) = x \oplus x, \quad \forall x \in M. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.4(iii) and Theorem 3.13, we get that $dx = dx \oplus x$; applying (9), we have $dx \odot x = x$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \oplus x) &= (dx \odot x) \oplus (dx \odot x) \\ &= x \oplus x. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

$\square$

Theorem 3.16. *Every nonzero additive derivation of a linearly ordered MV-algebra M is an isotone derivation.*

Proof. Assume that d is an additive derivation of M , and $x, y \in M$. If $x \leq y$, then $x^* \oplus y = 1$, hence

$$1 = d1 = d(x^* \oplus y) = dx^* \oplus dy, \quad (3.14)$$

and so, $(dy)^* \leq dx^*$, from (8), we have $(dx^*)^* \leq dy$. Otherwise, $dx^* \leq x^*$, again by (8) $x \leq (dx^*)^*$. Since $dx \leq x$, we get $dx \leq dy$. $\square$

Theorem 3.17. *Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra, and let d be a nonzero additive derivation of M . Then, $d^{-1}(0) = \{x \in M \mid dx = 0\}$ is an ideal of M .*

Proof. From Proposition 3.4(i), we get that $0 \in d^{-1}(0)$. Let $x, y \in d^{-1}(0)$; this implies that $d(x \oplus y) = 0$. And so $x \oplus y \in d^{-1}(0)$.

Now, let $x \in d^{-1}(0)$ and $y \leq x$. Using Theorem 3.16, we have that $dy \leq dx$, and so $dy = 0$. $\square$

References

- [1] C. C. Chang, "Algebraic analysis of many valued logics," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 88, pp. 467–490, 1958.
- [2] G. Cattaneo, R. Giuntini, and R. Pilla, "BZMV^{dm} algebras and Stonian MV-algebras (applications to fuzzy sets and rough approximations)," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 201–222, 1999.
- [3] C. C. Chang, "A new proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 93, pp. 74–80, 1959.
- [4] R. Cignoli, I. D'Ottaviano, and D. Mundici, *Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued-Reasoning*, Kluwer Academic, Dodrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
- [5] S. Rasouli and B. Davvaz, "Roughness in MV-algebras," *Information Sciences*, vol. 180, no. 5, pp. 737–747, 2010.
- [6] H. E. Bell and L.-C. Kappe, "Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 53, no. 3-4, pp. 339–346, 1989.
- [7] H. E. Bell and G.N. Mason, "On derivations in near-rings," in *Near-Rings and Near-Fields (Tübingen, 1985)*, vol. 137 of *North-Holland Mathematical Studies*, pp. 31–35, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1987.
- [8] K. Kaya, "Prime rings with ϕ -derivations," *Hacettepe Bulletin of Natural Sciences and Engineering*, vol. 16-17, pp. 63–71, 1988.
- [9] E. C. Posner, "Derivations in prime rings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 8, pp. 1093–1100, 1957.
- [10] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, "On derivations of BCI-algebras," *Information Sciences*, vol. 159, no. 3-4, pp. 167–176, 2004.
- [11] G. Szász, "Derivations of lattices," *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, vol. 37, pp. 149–154, 1975.
- [12] Y. Çeven, "Symmetric bi-derivations of lattices," *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 241–245, 2009.
- [13] Y. Çeven and M. A. Öztürk, "On f -derivations of lattices," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 701–707, 2008.
- [14] Luca Ferrari, "On derivations of lattices," *Pure Mathematics and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 365–382, 2001.
- [15] F. Alev, "On f -derivations of BCC-Algebras," *Ars Combinatoria*, vol. 97 A, pp. 377–382, 2010.
- [16] Ş. A. Özbal and A. Firat, "Symmetric f bi-derivations of lattices," *Ars Combinatoria*, vol. 97, pp. 471–477, 2010.
- [17] M. A. Öztürk and Y. Çeven, "Derivations on subtraction algebras," *Korean Mathematical Society. Communications*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 509–515, 2009.
- [18] M. A. Öztürk, H. Yazarlı, and K. H. Kim, "Permuting tri-derivations in lattices," *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 415–425, 2009.
- [19] J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu, "On f -derivations of BCI-algebras," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, no. 11, pp. 1675–1684, 2005.