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We construct a new iterative scheme by hybrid methods and prove strong convergence theorem for approximation of a common fixed point of two countable families of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings which is also a solution to a system of equilibrium problems in a uniformly smooth and strictly convex real Banach space with Kadec-Klee property using the properties of generalized $f$-projection operator. Using this result, we discuss strong convergence theorem concerning variational inequality and convex minimization problems in Banach spaces. Our results extend many known recent results in the literature.

1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space with dual $E^*$ and $C$ a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is called nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

A point $x \in C$ is called a fixed point of $T$ if $Tx = x$. The set of fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $F(T) := \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$.

We denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $E$ to $2^{E^*}$ defined by

$$J(x) = \left\{ f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2 \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)
The following properties of $J$ are well known (the reader can consult [1–3] for more details).

1. If $E$ is uniformly smooth, then $J$ is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of $E$.
2. $J(x) \neq \emptyset$, $x \in E$.
3. If $E$ is reflexive, then $J$ is a mapping from $E$ onto $E^*$.
4. If $E$ is smooth, then $J$ is single valued.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\phi$ the functional on $E \times E$ defined by

$$
\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2 \langle x, J(y) \rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E. 
$$

(1.3)

It is obvious from (1.3) that

$$
(\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.
$$

(1.4)

**Definition 1.1.** Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of $E$, and let $T$ be a mapping from $C$ into $E$. A point $p \in C$ is said to be an **asymptotic fixed point** of $T$ if $C$ contains a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which converges weakly to $p$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$. The set of asymptotic fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $\hat{F}(T)$. We say that a mapping $T$ is **relatively nonexpansive** (see, e.g., [4–9]) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $F(T) \neq \emptyset$,
2. $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$, for all $x \in C$, $p \in F(T)$,
3. $F(T) = \hat{F}(T)$.

If $T$ satisfies (R1) and (R2), then $T$ is said to be **relatively quasi-nonexpansive**. It is easy to see that the class of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings contains the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings. Many authors have studied the methods of approximating the fixed points of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings (see, e.g., [10–12] and the references cited therein). Clearly, in Hilbert space $H$, relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings and quasi-nonexpansive mappings are the same, for $\phi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$, for all $x, y \in H$, and this implies that

$$
\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x) \iff \|Tx - p\| \leq \|x - p\|, \quad \forall x \in C, \ p \in F(T). 
$$

(1.5)

The examples of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings are given in [11].

Let $F$ be a bifunction of $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$. The equilibrium problem (see, e.g., [13–25]) is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$
F(x^*, y) \geq 0, \quad y \in C.
$$

(1.6)

for all $y \in C$. We will denote the solutions set of (1.6) by $EP(F)$. Numerous problems in physics, optimization, and economics reduce to find a solution of problem (1.6). The equilibrium problems include fixed point problems, optimization problems, and variational inequality problems as special cases (see, e.g., [26]).
In [7], Matsushita and Takahashi introduced a hybrid iterative scheme for approximation of fixed points of relatively nonexpansive mapping in a uniformly convex real Banach space which is also uniformly smooth: \( x_0 \in C \),

\[
y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JT x_n),
\]

\[
H_n = \{ w \in C : \phi(w, y_n) \leq \phi(w, x_n) \},
\]

\[
W_n = \{ w \in C : \langle x_n - w, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0 \},
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{H_n \cap W_n} x_0, \quad n \geq 0.
\]

They proved that \( \{ x_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_{F(T)}x_0 \), where \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \).

In [27], Plubtieng and Ungchittrakool introduced the following hybrid projection algorithm for a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings: \( x_0 \in C \),

\[
z_n = J^{-1} \left( \beta_n^{(1)} Jx_n + \beta_n^{(2)} JT x_n + \beta_n^{(3)} JS x_n \right),
\]

\[
y_n = J^{-1} \left( \alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Jz_n \right),
\]

\[
C_n = \left\{ z \in C : \phi(z, y_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \alpha_n \left( \| x_0 \|^2 + 2\langle w, Jx_n - Jx_0 \rangle \right) \right\},
\]

\[
Q_n = \left\{ z \in C : \langle x_n - z, Jx_n - Jx_0 \rangle \leq 0 \right\},
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n} x_0,
\]

where \( \{ \alpha_n \}, \{ \beta_n^{(1)} \}, \{ \beta_n^{(2)} \}, \) and \( \{ \beta_n^{(3)} \} \) are sequences in \((0, 1)\) satisfying \( \beta_n^{(1)} + \beta_n^{(2)} + \beta_n^{(3)} = 1 \) and \( T \) and \( S \) are relatively nonexpansive mappings and \( J \) is the single-valued duality mapping on \( E \). They proved under the appropriate conditions on the parameters that the sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) generated by (1.8) converges strongly to a common fixed point of \( T \) and \( S \).

In [9], Takahashi and Zembayashi introduced the following hybrid iterative scheme for approximation of fixed point of relatively nonexpansive mapping which is also a solution to an equilibrium problem in a uniformly convex real Banach space which is also uniformly smooth: \( x_0 \in C, C_1 = C, x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0 \),

\[
y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JT x_n),
\]

\[
F(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n}(y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
\]

\[
C_{n+1} = \{ w \in C_n : \phi(w, u_n) \leq \phi(w, x_n) \},
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

where \( J \) is the duality mapping on \( E \). Then, they proved that \( \{ x_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_{F} x_0 \), where \( F = EP(F) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset \).
Furthermore, in [28], Qin et al. introduced the following hybrid iterative algorithm for approximation of common fixed point of two countable families of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex and uniform smooth real Banach space:

\[
 z_{i,n} = J^{-1}\left(\beta_{1,n,i} J x_n + \beta_{2,n,i} JT_i x_n + \beta_{3,n,i} JS_i x_n\right),
\]

\[
 y_{i,n} = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n,i} J x_0 + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) J z_{i,n}),
\]

\[
 C_{n,i} = \left\{ z \in C : \phi(z, y_{i,n}) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \alpha_{n,i} \left(\|x_0\|^2 + 2 \langle z, J x_n - J x_0\rangle\right) \right\},
\]

\[
 C_n = \bigcap_{i \in I} C_{n,i}, \quad (1.10)
\]

\[
 Q_0 = C,
\]

\[
 Q_n = \{ z \in Q_{n-1} : \langle x_n - z, J x_0 - J x_n\rangle \geq 0\},
\]

\[
 x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_n} x_0, \quad n \geq 0.
\]

They proved that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to a common fixed point of the countable families \( \{T_i\} \) and \( \{S_i\} \) of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space under some appropriate conditions on \( \{\beta_{1,n,i}\} \), \( \{\beta_{2,n,i}\} \), \( \{\beta_{3,n,i}\} \), and \( \{\alpha_{n,i}\} \).

Recently, Li et al. [29] introduced the following hybrid iterative scheme for approximation of fixed points of a relatively nonexpansive mapping using the properties of generalized \( f \)-projection operator in a uniformly smooth real Banach space which is also uniformly convex: \( x_0 \in C, C_0 = C \),

\[
 y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J T x_n),
\]

\[
 C_{n+1} = \{ w \in C : G(w, J y_n) \leq G(w, J x_n) \}, \quad (1.11)
\]

\[
 x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}^f x_0, \quad n \geq 0.
\]

They proved a strong convergence theorem for finding an element in the fixed points set of \( T \). We remark here that the results of Li et al. [29] extended and improved on the results of Matsushita and Takahashi [7].

Quite recently, motivated by the results of Takahashi and Zembayashi [9], Cholamjiak and Suantai [30] proved the following strong convergence theorem by hybrid iterative scheme for approximation of common fixed point of a countable family of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings which is also a solution to a system of equilibrium problems in uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( E \) be a uniformly convex real Banach space which is also uniformly smooth, and let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of \( E \). For each \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), let \( F_k \) be a bifunction from
Let $E$ be a real Banach space. The modulus of smoothness of $E$ is the function \( \rho_E : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) defined by

\[
\rho_E(t) := \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \|x + y\| + \|x - y\| \right) - 1 : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq t \right\}. \tag{2.1}
\]

$E$ is uniformly smooth if and only if

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\rho_E(t)}{t} = 0. \tag{2.2}
\]

Let \( \dim E \geq 2 \). The \textit{modulus of convexity} of $E$ is the function \( \delta_E : [0,2] \to [0,1] \) defined by

\[
\delta_E(\epsilon) := \inf \left\{ 1 - \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1; \epsilon = \|x - y\| \right\}. \tag{2.3}
\]
$E$ is uniformly convex if, for any $\epsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|y\| \leq 1$, and $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$, then $||(1/2)(x + y)|| \leq 1 - \delta$. Equivalently, $E$ is uniformly convex if and only if $\delta_E(\epsilon) > 0$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 2]$. A normed space $E$ is called strictly convex if for all $x, y \in E$, $x \neq y$, $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, we have $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| < 1$, for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive real Banach space, and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Following Alber [31], the generalized projection $\Pi_C$ from $E$ onto $C$ is defined by

$$
\Pi_C(x) := \arg \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x), \quad \forall x \in E.
$$

The existence and uniqueness of $\Pi_C$ follows from the property of the functional $\phi(x, y)$ and strict monotonicity of the mapping $J$ (see, e.g., [3, 31–34]). If $E$ is a Hilbert space, then $\Pi_C$ is the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$. Next, we recall the concept of generalized $f$-projector operator, together with its properties. Let $G : C \times E^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a functional defined as follows:

$$
G(\xi, \varphi) = \|\xi\|^2 - 2 \langle \xi, \varphi \rangle + \|\varphi\|^2 + 2\rho f(\xi),
$$

where $\xi \in C$, $\varphi \in E^*$, $\rho$ is a positive number, and $f : C \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is proper, convex, and lower semicontinuous. From the definitions of $G$ and $f$, it is easy to see the following properties:

(i) $G(\xi, \varphi)$ is convex and continuous with respect to $\varphi$ when $\xi$ is fixed,

(ii) $G(\xi, \varphi)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous with respect to $\xi$ when $\varphi$ is fixed.

Definition 2.1 (see Wu and Huang [35]). Let $E$ be a real Banach space with its dual $E^*$. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. We say that $\Pi_C^f : E^* \to 2^C$ is a generalized $f$-projection operator if

$$
\Pi_C^f \varphi = \{u \in C : G(u, \varphi) = \inf_{\xi \in C} G(\xi, \varphi)\}, \quad \forall \varphi \in E^*.
$$

For the generalized $f$-projection operator, Wu and Huang [35] proved the following theorem basic properties.

Lemma 2.2 (see Wu and Huang [35]). Let $E$ be a real reflexive Banach space with its dual $E^*$. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Then, the following statements hold:

(i) $\Pi_C^f(\varphi)$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $C$ for all $\varphi \in E^*$,

(ii) if $E$ is smooth, then, for all $\varphi \in E^*$, $x \in \Pi_C^f(\varphi)$ if and only if

$$
\langle x - y, \varphi - Jx \rangle + \rho f(y) - \rho f(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
$$

(iii) if $E$ is strictly convex and $f : C \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is positive homogeneous (i.e., $f(tx) = tf(x)$ for all $t > 0$ such that $tx \in C$ where $x \in C$), then $\Pi_C^f(\varphi)$ is a single-valued mapping.
Fan et al. [36] showed that the condition $f$ is positive homogeneous which appeared in Lemma 2.2 can be removed.

**Lemma 2.3** (see Fan et al. [36]). Let $E$ be a real reflexive Banach space with its dual $E^*$ and $C$ a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Then, if $E$ is strictly convex, then $\Pi_C^E$ is a single-valued mapping.

Recall that $J$ is a single-valued mapping when $E$ is a smooth Banach space. There exists a unique element $\varphi \in E^*$ such that $\varphi = Jx$ for each $x \in E$. This substitution in (2.5) gives

$$G(\xi, Jx) = \|\xi\|^2 - 2\langle \xi, Jx \rangle + \|x\|^2 + 2\rho f(\xi).$$

(2.8)

Now, we consider the second generalized $f$-projection operator in a Banach space.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $C$ a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. We say that $\Pi_C^E : E \to 2^C$ is a generalized $f$-projection operator if

$$\Pi_C^E x = \left\{ u \in C : G(u, Jx) = \inf_{\xi \in C} G(\xi, Jx) \right\}, \quad \forall x \in E. \quad (2.9)$$

Obviously, the definition of $T : C \to C$ is a relatively quasi-nonexpansive mapping and is equivalent to

(R’1) $F(T) \neq \emptyset$,

(R’2) $G(p, JT x) \leq G(p, Jx)$, for all $x \in C$, $p \in F(T)$.

**Lemma 2.5** (see Li et al. [29]). Let $E$ be a Banach space, and let $f : E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a lower semicontinuous convex functional. Then, there exists $x^* \in E^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle + \alpha, \quad \forall x \in E. \quad (2.10)$$

We know that the following lemmas hold for operator $\Pi_C^E$.

**Lemma 2.6** (see Li et al. [29]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a smooth and reflexive Banach space $E$. Then, the following statements hold:

(i) $\Pi_C^E x$ is a nonempty closed and convex subset of $C$ for all $x \in E$,

(ii) for all $x \in E$, $\tilde{x} \in \Pi_C^E x$ if and only if

$$\langle \tilde{x} - y, Jx - J\tilde{x} \rangle + \rho f(y) - \rho f(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \quad (2.11)$$

(iii) if $E$ is strictly convex, then $\Pi_C^E x$ is a single-valued mapping.

**Lemma 2.7** (see Li et al. [29]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a smooth and reflexive Banach space $E$. Let $x \in E$ and $\tilde{x} \in \Pi_C^E x$. Then,

$$\phi(y, \tilde{x}) + G(\tilde{x}, Jx) \leq G(y, Jx), \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (2.12)$$
The fixed points set $F(T)$ of a relatively quasi-nonexpansive mapping is closed and convex as given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8** (see Chang et al. [37]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a uniformly smooth and strictly convex real Banach space $E$ which also has Kadec-Klee property. Let $T$ be a closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself. Then, $F(T)$ is closed and convex.

Also, this following lemma will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.9** (see Cho et al. [38]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex real Banach space. For arbitrary $r > 0$, let $B_r(0) := \{ x \in E : \| x \| \leq r \}$ and $\lambda, \mu, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that $\lambda + \mu + \gamma = 1$. Then, there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function

$$g : [0, 2r] \to \mathbb{R}, \ g(0) = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

such that, for every $x, y, z \in B_r(0)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\| \lambda x + \mu y + \gamma z \|^2 \leq \lambda \| x \|^2 + \mu \| y \|^2 - \lambda \mu g(\| x - y \|). \quad (2.14)$$

For solving the equilibrium problem for a bifunction $F : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$, let us assume that $F$ satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) $F(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$,

(A2) $F$ is monotone, that is, $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$,

(A3) for each $x, y \in C$, $\lim_{t \to 0} F(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \leq F(x, y)$,

(A4) for each $x \in C$, $y \mapsto F(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

**Lemma 2.10** (see Blum and Oettli [26]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$, and let $F$ be a bifunction of $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)–(A4). Let $r > 0$ and $x \in E$. Then, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in K. \quad (2.15)$$

**Lemma 2.11** (see Takahashi and Zembayashi [39]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$. Assume that $F : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A1)–(A4). For $r > 0$ and $x \in E$, define a mapping $T^F_r : E \to C$ as follows:

$$T^F_r(x) = \left\{ z \in C : F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\} \quad (2.16)$$

for all $z \in E$. Then, the following hold:

(1) $T^F_r$ is singlevalued,

(2) $T^F_r$ is firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, that is, for any $x, y \in E$,

$$\langle T^F_r x - T^F_r y, JT^F_r x - JT^F_r y \rangle \leq \langle T^F_r x - T^F_r y, Jx - Jy \rangle, \quad (2.17)$$
(3) $F(T^F_k) = EP(F),$

(4) $EP(F)$ is closed and convex.

**Lemma 2.12** (see Takahashi and Zembayashi [39]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$. Assume that $F : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A1)–(A4), and let $r > 0$. Then, for each $x \in E$ and $q \in F(T^F_k),$

$$\phi(q, T^F_k x) + \phi(T^F_k x, x) \leq \phi(q, x). \quad (2.18)$$

For the rest of this paper, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to $p$ and will be denoted by $x_n \to p$ as $n \to \infty$, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to $p$ and will be denoted by $x_n \rightharpoonup p$ and we will assume that $\beta_{n,i} \in [0, 1]$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ such that $\beta_{n,i} + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} = 1$, for all $n \geq 0$.

We recall that a Banach space $E$ has Kadec-Klee property if, for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset E$ and $x \in E$ with $x_n \to x$ and $\|x_n\| \to \|x\|$, $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. We note that every uniformly convex Banach space has the Kadec-Klee property. For more details on Kadec-Klee property, the reader is referred to [2, 33].

**Lemma 2.13** (see Li et al. [29]). Let $E$ be a Banach space and $y \in E$. Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ be a proper, convex, and lower semicontinuous mapping with convex domain $D(f)$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $D(f)$ such that $x_n \to x \in \text{int}(D(f))$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, Jy) = G(x, Jy)$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n\| = \|x\|$.

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex real Banach space which also has Kadec-Klee property. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. For each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, let $F_k$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ satisfying (A1)–(A4). Suppose $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are two countable families of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\Omega := \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} EP(F_k) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and lower semicontinuous mapping with $C \subset \text{int}(D(f))$, and suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is iteratively generated by $x_0 \in C$, $C_{1,j} = C$, $C_1 = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{1,i}$, $x_1 = \Pi^{f}_{C_1} x_0$,

$$z_{n,i} = J^{-1}(\beta_{n,i}^{(1)} J x_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} J T_i x_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} J S_i x_n),$$

$$y_{n,i} = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n,i} J x_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) J z_{n,i}),$$

$$u_{n,i} = T_{r_{m,n}}^{f_{m-1}} T_{r_{m,n}}^{f_{m-2}} \cdots T_{f_1}^{f_{1,n}} T_{r_{1,n}}^{f_{1,n}} y_{n,i},$$

$$C_{n+1,j} = \{z \in C_{n,j} : G(z, J u_{n,j}) \leq G(z, J x_n)\}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$C_{n+1} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{n+1,j},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi^{f}_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1,$$
with the conditions

(i) \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \eta_n^{(2)} > 0 \),

(ii) \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \gamma_n^{(3)} > 0 \),

(iii) \( 0 \leq \alpha_{n,i} \leq \alpha < 1 \) for some \( \alpha \in (0,1) \),

(iv) \( \{ r_{k,n} \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty) \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) satisfying \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_{k,n} > 0 \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \).

Then, \( \{ x_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_{\Omega} x_0 \).

Proof. We first show that \( C_n \), for all \( n \geq 1 \) is closed and convex. It is obvious that \( C_{1,i} = C \) is closed and convex. Suppose \( C_{k,i} \) is closed and convex for some \( k > 1 \). For each \( z \in C_{k,i} \), we see that \( G(z, J_{u,k,i}) \leq G(z, J_{x,k}) \) is equivalent to

\[
2((z, J_{x,k}) - (z, J_{u,k,i})) \leq \| x_k \|^2 - \| u_{k,i} \|^2. \tag{3.2}
\]

By the construction of the set \( C_{k+1,i} \), we see that \( C_{k+1,i} \) is closed and convex. Therefore, \( C_{k+1,i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{k+1,i} \) is also closed and convex. Hence, \( C_n \), for all \( n \geq 1 \) is closed and convex.

By taking \( \theta_n = T_{r_{k,n},T_{r_{k-1,n}}^{(1)}} \cdots T_{r_{1,n},r_{1,n}}^{(1)} \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) and \( \theta_n = I \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), we obtain \( u_{n,i} = \theta_n^{(m)} y_{n,i} \).

We next show that \( \Omega \subset C_n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). For \( n = 1 \), we have \( \Omega \subset C = C_1 \). Then, for each \( x^* \in \Omega \), we obtain

\[
G(x^*, J_{u,n,i}) = G(x^*, J_{\theta_n^{(m)} y_{n,i}}) \leq G(x^*, J_{y_{n,i}})
\]

\[
= G(x^*, (\alpha_{n,i} J x_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) J z_{n,i}))
\]

\[
= \| x^* \|^2 - 2\alpha_{n,i} \langle x^*, J x_n \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \langle x^*, J z_{n,i} \rangle + \| \alpha_{n,i} J x_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) J z_{n,i} \|^2 + 2\rho f(x^*)
\]

\[
\leq \| x^* \|^2 - 2\alpha_{n,i} \langle x^*, J x_n \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \langle x^*, J z_{n,i} \rangle + \alpha_{n,i} \| x_n \|^2 + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \| z_{n,i} \|^2 + 2\rho f(x^*)
\]

\[
= \alpha_{n,i} G(x^*, J x_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) G(x^*, J z_{n,i})
\]

\[
= \alpha_{n,i} G(x^*, J x_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) G\left(x^*, \left( \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} J x_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} J T_i x_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} J S_i x_n \right) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \alpha_{n,i} G(x^*, J x_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \left( \| x^* \|^2 - 2\beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \langle x^*, J x_n \rangle
\]

\[
- 2\beta_{n,i}^{(2)} \langle x^*, J T_i x_n \rangle - 2\beta_{n,i}^{(3)} \langle x^*, J S_i x_n \rangle + \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \| x_n \|^2
\]

\[
+ \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} \| T_i x_n \|^2 + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} \| S_i x_n \|^2 + 2\rho f(x^*) \right)
\]

\[
= \alpha_{n,i} G(x^*, J x_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \left( \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} G(x^*, J x_n) + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} G(x^*, J T_i x_n) + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} G(x^*, J S_i x_n) \right)
\]

\[
\leq G(x^*, J x_n).
\]  \( \tag{3.3} \)

So, \( x^* \in C_n \). This implies that \( \Omega \subset C_n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). Therefore, \( \{ x_n \} \) is well defined.
We now show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, J x_0) \) exists. Since \( f : E \to \mathbb{R} \) is convex and lower semicontinuous, applying Lemma 2.5, we see that there exists \( u^* \in E^* \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[
f(y) \geq \langle y, u^* \rangle + a, \quad \forall y \in E.
\]

It follows that
\[
G(x_n, J x_0) = \|x_n\|^2 - 2 \langle x_n, J x_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho f(x_n)
\geq \|x_n\|^2 - 2 \langle x_n, J x_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho (x_n, u^*) + 2 \rho a
= \|x_n\|^2 - 2 \langle x_n, J x_0 - \rho u^* \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho a
\geq \|x_n\|^2 - 2 \|x_n\| \|J x_0 - \rho u^*\| + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho a
= (\|x_n\| - \|J x_0 - \rho u^*\|)^2 + \|x_0\|^2 - \|J x_0 - \rho u^*\|^2 + 2 \rho a.
\]

Since \( x_n = \Pi_{C_n}^f x_0 \), it follows from (3.5) that
\[
G(x^*, J x_0) \geq G(x_n, J x_0) \geq (\|x_n\| - \|J x_0 - \rho u^*\|)^2 + \|x_0\|^2 - \|J x_0 - \rho u^*\|^2 + 2 \rho a
\]
for each \( x^* \in F \). This implies that \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) is bounded and so is \( \{G(x_n, J x_0)\}_{n=0}^\infty \). By the construction of \( C_n \), we have that \( C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n \) and \( x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_n}^f x_0 \in C_n \). It then follows from Lemma 2.7 that
\[
\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + G(x_n, J x_0) \leq G(x_{n+1}, J x_0). 
\]

It is obvious that
\[
\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq (\|x_{n+1}\| - \|x_n\|)^2 \geq 0,
\]
and so \( \{G(x_n, J x_0)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) is nondecreasing. It follows that the limit of \( \{G(x_n, J x_0)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) exists.

Now since \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) is bounded in \( C \) and \( E \) is reflexive, we may assume that \( x_n \rightharpoonup p \), and since \( C_n \) is closed and convex for each \( n \geq 1 \), it is easy to see that \( p \in C_n \) for each \( n \geq 1 \). Again since \( x_n = \Pi_{C_n}^f x_0 \), from the definition of \( \Pi_{C_n}^f \), we obtain
\[
G(x_n, J x_0) \leq G(p, J x_0), \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\]

Since
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, J x_0) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \|x_n\|^2 - 2 \langle x_n, J x_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho f(x_n) \right\}
\geq \|p\|^2 - 2 \langle p, J x_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho f(p) = G(p, J x_0),
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, J x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \|x_n\|^2 - 2 \langle x_n, J x_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho f(x_n) \right\}
\geq \|p\|^2 - 2 \langle p, J x_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 + 2 \rho f(p) = G(p, J x_0),
\]
and so the limit exists.
then we obtain

$$G(p, Jx_0) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, Jx_0) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, Jx_0) \leq G(p, Jx_0). \quad (3.11)$$

This implies that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, Jx_0) = G(p, Jx_0)\). By Lemma 2.13, we obtain \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n\| = \|p\|\). In view of Kadec-Klee property of \(E\), we have that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = p\).

We next show that \(p \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{EP}(F_k) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i)\). We first show that \(p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i)\). By the fact that \(C_{n+1} \subset C_n\) and \(x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_n\), we obtain

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n). \quad (3.12)$$

Now, (3.7) implies that

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq G(x_{n+1}, Jx_0) - G(x_n, Jx_0). \quad (3.13)$$

Taking the limit as \(n \to \infty\) in (3.13), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, u_{n,i}) = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \quad (3.15)$$

It then yields that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} (\|x_{n+1}\| - \|u_{n,i}\|) = 0\), for all \(i \geq 1\). Since \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1}\| = \|p\|\), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_{n,i}\| = \|p\|, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \quad (3.16)$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|J u_{n,i}\| = \|Jp\|, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \quad (3.17)$$

This implies that \(\{\|J u_{n,i}\|\}_{i=0}^{\infty}, i \geq 1\) is bounded in \(E^*\). Since \(E\) is reflexive, and so \(E^*\) is reflexive, we can then assume that \(J u_{n,i} \rightharpoonup f_0 \in E^*\), for all \(i \geq 1\). In view of reflexivity of \(E\), we see that \(J(E) = E^*\). Hence, there exists \(x \in E\) such that \(Jx = f_0\). Since

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, u_{n,i}) = \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2 \langle x_{n+1}, Ju_{n,i} \rangle + \|u_{n,i}\|^2$$

$$= \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2 \langle x_{n+1}, Ju_{n,i} \rangle + \|Ju_{n,i}\|^2, \quad (3.18)$$

\(\)
taking the limit inferior of both sides of (3.18) and in view of weak lower semicontinuity of \( \| \cdot \| \), we have

\[
0 \geq \| p \|^2 - 2\langle p, f_0 \rangle + \| f_0 \|^2 = \| p \|^2 - 2\langle p, Jx \rangle + \| Jx \|^2
\]

(3.19)

that is, \( p = x \). This implies that \( f_0 = Jp \) and so \( Ju_{n,i} \rightharpoonup Jp \), for all \( i \geq 1 \). It follows from \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| Ju_{n,i} \| = \| Jp \| \), for all \( i \geq 1 \) and Kadec-Klee property of \( E^* \) that \( Ju_{n,i} \rightharpoonup Jp \), for all \( i \geq 1 \). Note that \( J^{-1} : E^* \to E \) is hemicontinuous; it yields that \( u_{n,i} \rightharpoonup p \), for all \( i \geq 1 \). It then follows from \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_{n,i} \| = \| p \| \), for all \( i \geq 1 \) and Kadec-Klee property of \( E \) that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{n,i} = p \), for all \( i \geq 1 \). Hence,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - u_{n,i} \| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.
\]

(3.20)

Since \( J \) is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - u_{n,i} \| = 0 \), for all \( i \geq 1 \), we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| Jx_n - Ju_{n,i} \| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.
\]

(3.21)

Since \( \{ x_n \} \) is bounded, so are \( \{ z_{n,i} \} \), \( \{ JT_i x_n \} \), and \( \{ JS_i x_n \} \). Also, since \( E \) is uniformly smooth, \( E^* \) is uniformly convex. Then, from Lemma 2.9, we have

\[
G(x^*, Ju_{n,i}) = G(x^*, J\theta_{n,i}^m y_{n,i}) \leq G(x^*, Jy_{n,i})
\]

\[
= G(x^*, (\alpha_{n,i} Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) Jz_{n,i}))
\]

\[
= \| x^* \|^2 - 2\alpha_{n,i} \langle x^*, Jx_n \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \langle x^*, Jz_{n,i} \rangle + \| \alpha_{n,i} Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) Jz_{n,i} \|^2 + 2\rho f(x^*)
\]

\[
\leq \| x^* \|^2 - 2\alpha_{n,i} \langle x^*, Jx_n \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \langle x^*, Jz_{n,i} \rangle + \| x_n \|^2 + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \| z_{n,i} \|^2 + 2\rho f(x^*)
\]

\[
= \alpha_{n,i} G(x^*, Jx_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) G(x^*, Jz_{n,i})
\]

\[
= \alpha_{n,i} G(x^*, Jx_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) G \left( x^*, \left( \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} Jx_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} JT_i x_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} JS_i x_n \right) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \alpha_{n,i} G(x^*, Jx_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) \left( \| x^* \|^2 - 2\beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \langle x^*, Jx_n \rangle - 2\beta_{n,i}^{(2)} \langle x^*, JT_i x_n \rangle - 2\beta_{n,i}^{(3)} \langle x^*, JS_i x_n \rangle \right)
\]

\[
- 2\beta_{n,i}^{(3)} \| x_n \|^2 + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} \| JT_i x_n \|^2 + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} \| JS_i x_n \|^2
\]

\[
- \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} G(\| Jx_n - JT_i x_n \|) + 2\rho f(x^*)
\]
\[= \alpha_{n,i}G(x^*, Jx_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i})\left(\beta_{n,i}^{(1)}G(x^*, Jx_n) + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)}(\|x_n - JT_i x_n\|)\right)\]

\[\leq \alpha_{n,i}G(x^*, Jx_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i})\left(\beta_{n,i}^{(1)}G(x^*, Jx_n) \right.\]

\[\left. + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)}G(x^*, Jx_n) - \beta_{n,i}^{(1)}\beta_{n,i}^{(2)}(\|x_n - JT_i x_n\|)\right)\]

\[= \alpha_{n,i}G(x^*, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,i})\left(G(x^*, Jx_n) - \beta_{n,i}^{(1)}\beta_{n,i}^{(2)}(\|x_n - JT_i x_n\|)\right)\]

\[\leq G(x^*, Jx_n) - (1 - \alpha_{n,i})\beta_{n,i}^{(1)}\beta_{n,i}^{(2)}(\|x_n - JT_i x_n\|).\] (3.22)

It then follows that

\[(1 - \alpha)\beta_{n,i}^{(1)}\beta_{n,i}^{(2)}(\|x_n - JT_i x_n\|) \leq (1 - \alpha_{n,i})\beta_{n,i}^{(1)}\beta_{n,i}^{(2)}(\|x_n - JT_i x_n\|)\]

\[\leq G(x^*, Jx_n) - G(x^*, Ju_{n,i}).\] (3.23)

But

\[G(x^*, Jx_n) - G(x^*, Ju_{n,i}) = \|x_n\|^2 - \|u_{n,i}\|^2 - 2(x^*, Jx_n - Ju_{n,i}) \]

\[\leq \left|\|x_n\|^2 - \|u_{n,i}\|^2\right| + 2(x^*, Jx_n - Ju_{n,i})\] (3.24)

\[\leq \|x_n\| - \|u_{n,i}\| - \|x_n\| + \|u_{n,i}\| + 2\|x^*\| - Ju_{n,i}\|

\[\leq \|x_n - u_{n,i}\| - \|x_n\| + \|u_{n,i}\| + 2\|x^*\| - Ju_{n,i}|.\]

From \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - u_{n,i}\| = 0\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Jx_n - Ju_{n,i}\| = 0\), we obtain

\[G(x^*, Jx_n) - G(x^*, Ju_{n,i}) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.\] (3.25)

Using the condition \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_{n,i}^{(1)}\beta_{n,i}^{(2)} > 0\), we have

\[\lim_{n \to \infty} g(\|Jx_n - JT_i x_n\|) = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.\] (3.26)

By property of \(g\), we have \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Jx_n - JT_i x_n\| = 0\), for all \(i \geq 1\). Since \(J^{-1}\) is also uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we have

\[\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T_i x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.\] (3.27)
Similarly, we can show that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - S_i x_n \| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \] (3.28)

Since \( x_n \to p \) and \( T_i, S_i \) are closed, we have \( p \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i)). \)

Next, we show that \( p \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \text{EP}(F_k) \). Now, by Lemma 2.12, we obtain
\[
\phi(u_{n,i}, y_{n,i}) = \phi(\theta_n^{m} y_{n,i}, y_{n,i}) \\
\leq \phi(x^*, y_{n,i}) - \phi(x^*, \theta_n^{m} y_{n,i}) \\
\leq \phi(x^*, x_n) - \phi(x^*, u_{n,i}) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.
\] (3.29)

It then yields that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\| u_{n,i} \| - \| y_{n,i} \|) = 0 \). Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_{n,i} \| = \| p \|, \ i \geq 1 \), we have
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \| y_{n,i} \| = \| p \|, \quad i \geq 1. \] (3.30)

Hence,
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \| J y_{n,i} \| = \| J p \|, \quad i \geq 1. \] (3.31)

This implies that \( \{ \| J y_{n,i} \| \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is bounded in \( E^* \). Since \( E \) is reflexive, and so \( E^* \) is reflexive, we can then assume that \( J y_{n,i} \rightharpoonup f_1 \in E^* \). In view of reflexivity of \( E \), we see that \( J(E) = E^* \). Hence, there exists \( z \in E \) such that \( Jz = f_1 \). Since
\[
\phi(u_{n,i}, y_{n,i}) = \| u_{n,i} \|^2 - 2 \langle u_{n,i}, J y_{n,i} \rangle + \| y_{n,i} \|^2 \\
= \| u_{n,i} \|^2 - 2 \langle u_{n,i}, J y_{n,i} \rangle + \| J y_{n,i} \|^2,
\] (3.32)

taking the limit inferior of both sides of (3.32) and in view of weak lower semicontinuity of \( \| \cdot \| \), we have
\[
0 \geq \| p \|^2 - 2 \langle p, f_1 \rangle + \| f_1 \|^2 = \| p \|^2 - 2 \langle p, Jz \rangle + \| Jz \|^2
\]
\[= \| p \|^2 - 2 \langle p, Jz \rangle + \| z \|^2 = \phi(p, z), \] (3.33)

that is, \( p = z \). This implies that \( f_1 = Jp \) and so \( J y_{n,i} \rightharpoonup Jp \). It follows from \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| J y_{n,i} \| = \| Jp \| \) and Kadec-Klee property of \( E^* \) that \( J y_{n,i} \to Jp \). Note that \( J^{-1} : E^* \to E \) is hemi-continuous; it yields that \( y_{n,i} \rightharpoonup p \). It then follows from \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| y_{n,i} \| = \| p \| \) and Kadec-Klee property of \( E \) that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{n,i} = p, \ i \geq 1 \). By the fact that \( \theta_n^k, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) is relatively nonexpansive and using Lemma 2.12 again, we have that
\[
\phi(\theta_n^k y_{n,i}, y_{n,i}) \leq \phi(x^*, y_{n,i}) - \phi(x^*, \theta_n^k y_{n,i}) \\
\leq \phi(x^*, x_n) - \phi(x^*, \theta_n^k y_{n,i}).
\] (3.34)
Observe that
\[
\phi(x^*, u_{n,i}) = \phi(x^*, \theta_n y_{n,i})
= \phi(x^*, T_{r_n} r_{n-1} T_{r_n} T_{r_{n-1}} \cdots T_{r_1} T_{r_1} y_{n,i})
= \phi(x^*, \theta_n y_{n,i})
\leq \phi(x^*, \theta_n y_{n,i}).
\]

Using (3.35) in (3.34), we obtain
\[
\phi(\theta_n y_{n,i}, y_{n,i}) \leq \phi(x^*, x_n) - \phi(x^*, u_{n,i}) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

It then yields that \(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (||\theta_n y_{n,i}|| - ||y_{n,i}||) = 0\). Since \(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||y_{n,i}|| = ||p||\), we have
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_n y_{n,i}\| = ||p||, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

This implies that \(\{||\theta_n y_{n,i}||\}_{n=0}^\infty\) is bounded in \(E\). Since \(E\) is reflexive, we can then assume that \(\theta_n y_{n,i} \rightharpoonup w \in E\). Since
\[
\phi(\theta_n y_{n,i}, y_{n,i}) = \|\theta_n y_{n,i}\|^2 - 2\langle \theta_n y_{n,i}, J y_{n,i} \rangle + ||y_{n,i}||^2
= \|\theta_n y_{n,i}\|^2 - 2\langle \theta_n y_{n,i}, J y_{n,i} \rangle + ||J y_{n,i}||^2,
\]

taking the limit inferior of both sides of (3.38) and in view of weak lower semicontinuity of \(\| \cdot \|\), we have
\[
0 \geq \|w\|^2 - 2\langle w, Jp \rangle + \|p\|^2 = \|w\|^2 - 2\langle w, Jp \rangle + \|Jp\|^2
= \phi(w, p),
\]

that is, \(p = w\). This implies that \(\theta_n y_{n,i} \rightharpoonup p\). It follows from \(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||\theta_n y_{n,i}|| = ||p||\) and Kadec-Klee property of \(E\) that
\[
\theta_n y_{n,i} \rightharpoonup p, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

Similarly, \(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||p - \theta_n y_{n,i}|| = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m\). This further implies that
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||\theta_n y_{n,i} - \theta_n y_{n,i}|| = 0, \quad i \geq 1.
\]
Also, since \( J \) is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets and using (3.41), we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| J^{k}_{n}y_{n,i} - J^{k-1}_{n}y_{n,i} \right\| = 0, \quad i \geq 1. \tag{3.42}
\]

Since \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_{k,n} > 0 \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \frac{J^{k}_{n}y_{n,i} - J^{k-1}_{n}y_{n,i}}{r_{k,n}} \right\| = 0. \tag{3.43}
\]

By Lemma 2.11, we have that for each \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)

\[
F_{k}\left( \theta^{k}_{n}y_{n,i}, y \right) + \frac{1}{r_{k,n}} \left\langle y - \theta^{k}_{n}y_{n,i}, J^{k}_{n}y_{n,i} - J^{k-1}_{n}y_{n,i} \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.44}
\]

Furthermore, using (A2), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{r_{k,n}} \left\langle y - \theta^{k}_{n}y_{n,i}, J^{k}_{n}y_{n,i} - J^{k-1}_{n}y_{n,i} \right\rangle \geq F_{k}\left( y, \theta^{k}_{n}y_{n,i} \right). \tag{3.45}
\]

By (A4), (3.43), and \( \theta^{k}_{n}y_{n,i} \to p \), we have for each \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)

\[
F_{k}(y,p) \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.46}
\]

For fixed \( y \in C \), let \( z_{t,y} := ty + (1-t)p \) for all \( t \in (0,1] \). This implies that \( z_{t,y} \in C \). This yields that \( F_{k}(z_{t,y},p) \leq 0 \). It follows from (A1) and (A4) that

\[
0 = F_{k}(z_{t,y}, z_{t,y}) \leq tF_{k}(z_{t,y}, y) + (1-t)F_{k}(z_{t,y}, p) \tag{3.47}
\]

\[
\leq tF_{k}(z_{t,y}, y),
\]

and hence

\[
0 \leq F_{k}(z_{t,y}, y). \tag{3.48}
\]

From condition (A3), we obtain

\[
F_{k}(p,y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.49}
\]

This implies that \( p \in EP(F_{k}) \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Thus, \( p \in \cap_{k=1}^{m} EP(F_{k}) \). Hence, we have \( p \in \Omega = \cap_{k=1}^{m} EP(F_{k}) \cap (\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} F(T_{i})) \cap (\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_{i})). \)
Finally, we show that $p = \Pi_{\Omega} x_0$. Since $\Omega = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{EP}(F_k) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i)$ is a closed and convex set, from Lemma 2.6, we know that $\Pi_{\Omega} x_0$ is single valued and denote $w = \Pi_{\Omega} x_0$. Since $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_0$ and $w \in \Omega \subset C_n$, we have

$$G(x_n, Jx_0) \leq G(w, Jx_0), \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (3.50)$$

We know that $G(\xi, J\varphi)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous with respect to $\xi$ when $\varphi$ is fixed. This implies that

$$G(p, Jx_0) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, Jx_0) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, Jx_0) \leq G(w, Jx_0). \quad (3.51)$$

From the definition of $\Pi_{\Omega} x_0$ and $p \in \Omega$, we see that $p = w$. This completes the proof. \qed

Take $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$ in Theorem 3.1, then $G(\xi, Jx) = \phi(\xi, x)$ and $\Pi_{\Omega} x_0 = \Pi_C x_0$. Then we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex real Banach space which also has Kadec-Klee property. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. For each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, let $F_k$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Suppose $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are two countable families of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\Omega := \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \text{EP}(F_k) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i)) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is iteratively generated by $x_0 \in C$, $C_{1,i} = C$, $C_{1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{1,i}$, $x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0$,

$$z_{n,i} = J^{-1} \left( \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} Jx_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} JT_1 x_n + \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} JS_1 x_n \right),$$

$$y_{n,i} = J^{-1} \left( \alpha_{n,i} Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) Jz_{n,i} \right),$$

$$u_{n,i} = T_{r_{n,i}}^{F_{1}} T_{r_{n-1,i}}^{F_{1}} \cdots T_{r_{2,i}}^{F_{1}} T_{r_{1,i}}^{F_{1}} y_{n,i},$$

$$C_{n+1,i} = \{ z \in C_{n,i} : \phi(z, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \},$$

$$C_{n+1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n+1,i},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

with the conditions

(i) $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \beta_{n,i}^{(2)} > 0$,

(ii) $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_{n,i}^{(1)} \beta_{n,i}^{(3)} > 0$,

(iii) $0 \leq \alpha_{n,i} \leq \alpha < 1$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

(iv) $\{r_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty)$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$) satisfying $\liminf_{n \to \infty} r_{k,n} > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$).

Then, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\Omega} x_0$. 


Corollary 3.3 (see Li et al. [29]). Let E be a uniformly convex real Banach space which is also uniformly smooth. Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of E. Suppose T is a relatively nonexpansive mapping of C into itself such that \( \Omega := F(T) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( f : E \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex and lower semicontinuous mapping with \( C \subset \text{int}(D(f)) \), and suppose \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is iteratively generated by \( x_0 \in C, C_0 = C, \)

\[
y_n = f^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JTx_n),
\]

\[
C_{n+1} = \{w \in C_n : G(w, Jy_n) \leq G(w, Jx_n)\},
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 0.
\] (3.53)

Suppose \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a sequence in \( (0,1) \) such that \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1 \). Then, \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_{\Omega} x_0 \).

Corollary 3.4 (see Takahashi and Zembayashi [9]). Let E be a uniformly convex real Banach space which is also uniformly smooth. Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of E. Let F be a bifunction from \( C \times C \) satisfying (A1)–(A4). Suppose T is a relatively nonexpansive mapping of C into itself such that \( \Omega := \text{EP}(F) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be iteratively generated by \( x_0 \in C, C_1 = C, x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0, \)

\[
y_n = f^{-1}(\alpha_{n,i} Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) JTx_n),
\]

\[
F(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, J(u_n - Jy_n) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
\]

\[
C_{n+1} = \{w \in C_n : \phi(w, u_n) \leq \phi(w, x_n)\},
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1,
\] (3.54)

where \( J \) is the duality mapping on E. Suppose \( \{\alpha_{n,i}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a sequence in \( (0,1) \) such that \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{n,i}(1 - \alpha_{n,i}) > 0 \) and \( \{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty) \) satisfying \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0 \). Then, \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_{\Omega} x_0 \).

4. Applications

Let A be a monotone operator from C into \( E^* \), the classical variational inequality is to find \( x^* \in C \) such that

\[
\langle y - x, Ax^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.
\] (4.1)

The set of solutions of (4.1) is denoted by \( \text{VI}(C, A) \).

Let \( \varphi : C \to \mathbb{R} \) be a real-valued function. The convex minimization problem is to find \( x^* \in C \) such that

\[
\varphi(x^*) \leq \varphi(y), \quad \forall y \in C.
\] (4.2)

The set of solutions of (4.2) is denoted by \( \text{CMP}(\varphi) \).
The following lemmas are special cases of Lemmas 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 of [39].

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$. Assume that $A : C \to E^*$ is a continuous and monotone operator. For $r > 0$ and $x \in E$, define a mapping $T_r^A : E \to C$ as follows:

$$T_r^A(x) = \left\{ z \in C : \langle Az, y - z \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \right\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then, the following hold:

1. $T_r^A$ is singlevalued,
2. $F(T_r^A) = \text{VI}(C, A)$,
3. $\text{VI}(C, A)$ is closed and convex,
4. $\phi(q, T_r^A x) + \phi(T_r^A x, x) \leq \phi(q, x)$, for all $q \in F(T_r^A)$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$. Assume that $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is lower semicontinuous and convex. For $r > 0$ and $x \in E$, define a mapping $T_r^\varphi : E \to C$ as follows:

$$T_r^\varphi(x) = \left\{ z \in C : \varphi(y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq \varphi(z), \quad \forall y \in C \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then, the following hold:

1. $T_r^\varphi$ is single valued,
2. $F(T_r^\varphi) = \text{CMP}(\varphi)$,
3. $\text{CMP}(\varphi)$ is closed and convex,
4. $\phi(q, T_r^\varphi x) + \phi(T_r^\varphi x, x) \leq \phi(q, x)$, for all $q \in F(T_r^\varphi)$.

Then we obtain the following theorems from Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex real Banach space which also has Kadec-Klee property. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. For each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, let $A_k$ be a continuous and monotone operator from $C$ into $E^*$. Suppose $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are two countable families of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\Omega := \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \text{VI}(C, A_k) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and lower
semicontinuous mapping with \( C \subset \text{int}(D(f)) \), and suppose \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is iteratively generated by \( x_0 \in C, \ C_{1,i} = C, \ C_1 = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{1,i}, \ x_1 = \Pi_{C_1}^{f} x_0 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
 z_{n,i} &= J^{-1} \left( \phi_{n,i}^{(1)} Jx_n + \phi_{n,i}^{(2)} JT_i x_n + \phi_{n,i}^{(3)} J S_i x_n \right), \\
 y_{n,i} &= J^{-1} \left( \alpha_{n,i} Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) J z_{n,i} \right), \\
 u_{n,i} &= T_{r_{m,n} T_{r_{m-1,n}} \cdots T_{r_{2,n} T_{r_{1,n}}} y_{n,i}}, \\
 C_{n+1,i} &= \{ z \in C_{n,i} : G(z, J u_{n,i}) \leq G(z, J x_n) \}, \\
 C_{n+1} &= \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n+1,i}, \\
 x_{n+1} &= \Pi_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x_0, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

with the conditions

(i) \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \phi_{n,i}^{(1)} \phi_{n,i}^{(2)} > 0 \),

(ii) \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \phi_{n,i}^{(1)} \phi_{n,i}^{(3)} > 0 \),

(iii) \( 0 \leq \alpha_{n,i} \leq \alpha < 1 \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \),

(iv) \( \{ r_{k,n} \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty) \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) satisfying \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} r_{k,n} > 0 \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \).

Then, \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_{\Omega}^{f} x_0 \).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( E \) be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex real Banach space which also has Kadec-Klee property. Let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of \( E \). For each \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \) let \( \varphi_k : C \to \mathbb{R} \) be lower semicontinuous and convex. Suppose \( \{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) are two countable families of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings of \( C \) into itself such that \( \Omega := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{CMP}(\varphi_k) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(S_i)) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( f : E \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex and lower semicontinuous mapping with \( C \subset \text{int}(D(f)) \), and suppose \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is iteratively generated by \( x_0 \in C, \ C_{1,i} = C, \ C_1 = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{1,i}, \ x_1 = \Pi_{C_1}^{f} x_0 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
 z_{n,i} &= J^{-1} \left( \phi_{n,i}^{(1)} Jx_n + \phi_{n,i}^{(2)} JT_i x_n + \phi_{n,i}^{(3)} J S_i x_n \right), \\
 y_{n,i} &= J^{-1} \left( \alpha_{n,i} Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_{n,i}) J z_{n,i} \right), \\
 u_{n,i} &= T_{r_{m,n} T_{r_{m-1,n}} \cdots T_{r_{2,n} T_{r_{1,n}}} y_{n,i}}, \\
 C_{n+1,i} &= \{ z \in C_{n,i} : G(z, J u_{n,i}) \leq G(z, J x_n) \}, \\
 C_{n+1} &= \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n+1,i}, \\
 x_{n+1} &= \Pi_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x_0, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

with the conditions

(i) \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \phi_{n,i}^{(1)} \phi_{n,i}^{(2)} > 0 \),

(ii) \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \phi_{n,i}^{(1)} \phi_{n,i}^{(3)} > 0 \),

(iii) \( 0 \leq \alpha_{n,i} \leq \alpha < 1 \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \),

(iv) \( \{ r_{k,n} \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty) \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) satisfying \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} r_{k,n} > 0 \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \).
Then, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\Omega}^{f}x_0$.
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