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We consider the spatial numerical range of operators on weighted Hardy spaces and give conditions for closedness of numerical range of compact operators. We also prove that the spatial numerical range of finite rank operators on weighted Hardy spaces is star shaped; though, in general, it does not need to be convex.

1. Introduction

For a bounded linear operator \( T \) on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), the numerical range \( W(T) \) is the image of the unit sphere of \( \mathcal{H} \) under the quadratic form \( x \mapsto \langle Tx, x \rangle \) associated with the operator. More precisely,

\[
W(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H}, \| x \| = 1 \}. \tag{1.1}
\]

Let \( X \) be a complex normed space with dual space \( X^* \). The Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators is denoted by \( L(X) \). For an operator \( T \in L(X) \), the spatial numerical range \( V(T) \) of \( T \) is defined by

\[
V(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x^* \rangle : x \in X, x^* \in X^*, \| x \| = \| x^* \| = \langle x, x^* \rangle = 1 \}. \tag{1.2}
\]

When \( X \) is a Hilbert space, \( \| x \| = \| x^* \| = \langle x, x^* \rangle \) if and only if \( x^* \) is the function given by \( x^*(y) = \langle y, x \rangle (y \in X) \). Thus, \( V(T) \) in this case coincides with classical \( W(T) \). The algebra
The notion of numerical range or the classical field of values was first introduced by O. Toeplitz in 1918 for matrices. This concept was independently extended by G. Lumer and F. Bauer in the sixties to a bounded linear operator on an arbitrary Banach space. In 1975, Lighbourn and Martin [1] extended this concept by employing a class of seminorms generated by a family of supplementary projections.

In [2], Gaur and Husain have studied the spatial numerical range of elements of Banach algebras without identity. Specifically, the relationship between spatial numerical ranges, numerical ranges, and spectra has been investigated. Among other results, it has been shown that the closure of the spatial numerical range of an element of a Banach algebra without identity but with regular norm is exactly its numerical range as an element of the unitized algebra.

A complete survey on numerical ranges of operators can be found in the books by Bonsall and Duncan [3, 4]; we refer the reader to these books for general information and background.

In Section 2, after giving some background material, we give useful formula for the spatial numerical range of operators on weighted Hardy space. In Section 3, we show that the spatial numerical range of an operator needs not to be convex, and we also prove that the spatial numerical range of finite rank operators is star shaped. Finally, in Section 4, we give conditions for closedness of numerical range of compact operators.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( X \) be a complex normed space with dual space \( X^* \). The mapping \([\cdot, \cdot] : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}\) is called a semi-inner product on \( X \) if the following properties are satisfied:

(i) \([x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]\) for all \( x, y, z \in X \),

(ii) \([\lambda x, y] = \lambda [x, y]\) for all \( x, y \in X \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \),

(iii) \([x, x] \geq 0\) for all \( x \in X \),

(iv) \([|x, y]|^2 \leq [x, x][y, y]\) for all \( x, y \in X \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \).

In [5], Lumer defined the concept of a semi-inner product on \( X \) and showed that every normed linear space \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) has at least one semi-inner product \([\cdot, \cdot]\), such that

\[
[x, x] = \|x\|^2 \quad (x \in X).
\] (2.1)

In terms of a semi-inner product satisfying (2.1), the definition of usual numerical range for Hilbert space operator at once generalizes to give the definition of the numerical range \( W(T) \) for a linear operator on \( X \),

\[
W(T) = \{ [Tx, x] : \|x\| = 1 \}.
\] (2.2)

In most cases, there are infinitely many semi-inner products on \( X \) satisfying (2.1); however, Lumer proved that \( \overline{cW}(T) \), the closed convex hull of \( W(T) \), is independent of the choice of
semi-inner product satisfying (2.1). In fact, Lumer showed that \( \text{co}W(T) \) depends only on the norms of the operators.

The unit ball of \( X \) is called smooth if for all \( x \), with \( \| x \| = 1 \), there is a unique \( x^* \in X^* \), such that \( \| x^* \| = 1 \) and \( \langle x, x^* \rangle = 1 \). In this case, there is a unique semi-inner products on \( X \) satisfying (2.1), and then \( V(T) \) coincides with numerical range \( W(T) \) corresponding to the unique semi-inner product satisfying (2.1).

A principal result in spatial numerical range is a Theorem of Williams that gives \( \sigma(T) \subseteq V(T) \), where \( \sigma(T) \) is the spectrum of \( T \). Also we have (see [3])

(i) \( W(T) \subseteq V(T) \),
(ii) \( \text{co}W(T) = \text{co}V(T) = V(L(X), T) \),
(iii) \( \sup\{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in W(T) \} = \sup\{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in V(T) \} \).

It is of course trivial that every eigenvalue of \( T \) is actually in \( V(T) \).

Let \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( \{ \beta(n) \}_n \) be a sequence of positive numbers with \( \beta(0) = 1 \). The weighted Hardy space, which is denoted by \( H^p(\beta) \), is the set of all formal power series \( f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)z^n \) with

\[
\|f\|_p^p = \|f\|_{H^p(\beta)}^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f(n)|^p \beta(n)^p < \infty. \tag{2.3}
\]

Let \( \mu(K) = \sum_{n \in K} \beta(n)^p \), for \( K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). Then \( \mu \) is a \( \sigma \)-finite measure and \( H^p(\beta) = L^p(\mu) \). So, the space \( H^p(\beta) \) is reflexive Banach space with the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{H^p(\beta)} \), and the dual of \( H^p(\beta) \) is \( H^q(p^{p/q}) \), where \( 1/p + 1/q = 1 \) and \( p^{p/q} = \{ \beta(n)^{p/q} \} \) [6].

In the case \( p = 2 \), the weighted Hardy spaces with \( \beta(n) = 1 \), \( \beta(n) = (n + 1)^{-1/2} \), and \( \beta(n) = (n + 1)^{1/2} \) are classical Hardy space, Bergman space, and the Dirichlet space, respectively. The space \( H^2(\beta) \) becomes a Hilbert space with inner product

\[
\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n \beta(n)^2, \tag{2.4}
\]

where \( f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \) and \( g(z) = \sum b_n z^n \) are the elements of \( H^2(\beta) \) [7].

The notation \( \langle f, g \rangle \) is to stand for \( g(f) \), where \( f \in H^p(\beta) \) and \( g \in (H^p(\beta))^* \). Note that

\[
\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \overline{g(n)} \beta(n)^p. \tag{2.5}
\]

For \( f \in H^p(\beta) \) and \( g \in H^q(p^{p/q}) \), with \( f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \) and \( g(z) = \sum b_n z^n \), we define \( f^* \) and \( *g \) by \( f^*(z) = \sum |a_n|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(a_n) z^n \) and \( *g(z) = \sum |b_n|^{q-1} \text{sgn}(b_n) z^n \), respectively, where for a nonzero complex number \( w \), \( \text{sgn}(w) = (w/|w|) \) and \( \text{sgn}(0) = 0 \). Clearly,

\[
\| f^* \|_q^q = \| f^* \|_{H^q(p^{p/q})}^q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^q \beta(n)^p \leq \| f \|_p^p < \infty,
\tag{2.6}
\]

\[
\| *g \|_p^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\overline{g(n)}|^q \beta(n)^p = \| g \|_q^q < \infty.
\]
So, \( f^* \in H^q(\beta^{p/q}) \) and \( *g \in H^p(\beta) \). Obviously, one can see that \( *(f^*) = f \) for all \( f \in H^p(\beta) \) and \( *(g^*) = g \) for all \( g \) in \((H^p(\beta))^*\). By a simple computation, we also have the following consequences:

(a) if \( \alpha \geq 0 \) and \( f \in H^p(\beta) \), then \( (\alpha f)^* = \alpha^{p-1} f^* \),

(b) if \( f \in H^p(\beta) \), \( \langle f, f^* \rangle = \|f\|^p_p \).

We define a semi-inner product on \( H^p(\beta) \) by

\[
[g, f] := \langle g, F_f \rangle,
\]

where \( f, g \in H^p(\beta) \) and \( F_f := \|f\|^{2-p} f^* \). Obviously, we have \([f, f] = \|f\|^2_p\).

Lemma 2.1. If \( T \) is a bounded linear operator on \( H^p(\beta) \), then

\[
V(T) = W(T) = \left\{ \langle Tf, f^* \rangle : f \in H^p(\beta), \|f\| = 1 \right\}
= \left\{ \langle T^*g, g \rangle : g \in H^q(\beta^{p/q}), \|g\| = 1 \right\},
\]

where \( W(T) \) is the numerical range of \( T \) with respect to the semi-inner product defined by (2.7).

Proof. Suppose that \( f \in H^p(\beta), g \in (H^p(\beta))^* \), \( \|f\| = \|g\| = 1 \), and \( \langle f, g \rangle = 1 \). Then

\[
1 = \langle f, g \rangle \leq \|f\| \|g\| = 1.
\]

So, equality occurs in Holder inequality, and hence there are complex numbers \( \alpha \) and \( \eta \) (independent of \( n \)), such that \( |\tilde{f}(n)|^p \beta(n)^p = \alpha |\tilde{g}(n)|^q \beta(n)^q \) and \( \arg(f(n)\overline{g(n)}) = \eta \) (see [8]). Hence, \( |\tilde{f}(n)|^p = \alpha |\tilde{g}(n)|^q \). But

\[
1 = \|f\|^p_p = \sum |\tilde{f}(n)|^p \beta(n)^p = \alpha \sum |\tilde{g}(n)|^q \beta(n)^q = \alpha,
\]

and hence \( |\tilde{f}(n)|^p = |\tilde{g}(n)|^q \). On the other hand,

\[
1 = \sum \tilde{f}(n)\overline{g(n)} \beta(n)^p
= \sum |\tilde{f}(n)||\tilde{g}(n)| e^{i \arg(\tilde{f}(n)\overline{g(n)})} \beta(n)^p
= e^{i \eta} \sum |\tilde{f}(n)||\tilde{f}(n)|^{p/q} \beta(n)^p = e^{i \eta}.
\]

Therefore, \( e^{i \arg(\tilde{f}(n)\overline{g(n)})} = 1 \), or equivalently \( e^{i \arg(f(n))} = e^{i \arg(g(n))} \). Hence, \( \tilde{g}(n) = |\tilde{f}(n)|^{p/q} e^{i \arg(f(n))}, \) or \( g = f^* \). Then, the unit ball of \( H^p(\beta) \) is smooth, and so there is one and only one semi-inner product on \( H^p(\beta) \) which satisfy (2.1). Then, \( V(T) = W(T) \) [3]. The last equality can be proved in a similar way as the first part of this proof, and so we omit it. \( \Box \)
3. Shape of the Spatial Numerical Range

The usual numerical range of a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space is convex, and for every bounded linear operator $T$ on a normed space $X$, we know that $V(L(X), T)$ is convex. Although $V(T)$ needs not to be convex (see [3]), B. E. Cain and H. Schneider proved that it is connected. Also in [9], Kulyev proved that the spatial numerical range of a given operator on a separable Banach space is pathwise connected.

Recall that $V(T)$ is star shaped with respect to zero if $tz \in V(T)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $z \in V(T)$.

In Theorem 3.1, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the numerical range of a bounded linear operator to be star shaped. In Example 3.2, we show that the spatial numerical range of linear operator $T$ on $H^p(\beta)$ needs not to be convex, even if $T$ is compact (see also [3]). We also determine the shape of $V(T)$, when $T$ is a finite rank operator. Finally, in Theorem 3.3, we prove that there is an operator $T$ on $H^p(\beta)$ that may not be star shaped.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $T$ be a bounded linear operator on $H^p(\beta)$. Then

(a) $V(T)$ is star shaped with respect to zero if and only if

$$V(T) = \left\{ \langle Tf, f^* \rangle : f \in H^p(\beta), \|f\|_p \leq 1 \right\},$$

(b) if $T$ is finite rank on $H^p(\beta)$ and $0 \in V(T)$, then $V(T)$ is star shaped with respect to zero.

**Proof.** The proof is trivial, as $\langle T(kf), (kf)^* \rangle = k^p \langle Tf, f^* \rangle$, for each nonnegative real number $k$ and $f \in H^p(\beta)$. \qed

**Example 3.2.** Let $\beta(1) = 1$ and $T$ be the linear operator on $H^p(\beta)$ given by

$$\left( \hat{T}f \right)(n) = \begin{cases} i\hat{f}(0) + \hat{f}(1) & n = 0, \\ -\left( \hat{f}(0) + i\hat{f}(1) \right) & n = 1, \\ 0 & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$V(T) = \left\{ \langle Tf, f^* \rangle : \|f\| = 1, f \in H^p(\beta) \right\} = \left\{ \left( \hat{T}f \right)(0) \hat{f}(0)^{p/q} e^{-i \theta_0} + \left( \hat{T}f \right)(1) \hat{f}(1)^{p/q} e^{-i \theta_1} : \|f\| = 1, f \in H^p(\beta) \right\},$$

where $\theta_0 = \arg(\hat{f}(0))$ and $\theta_1 = \arg(\hat{f}(1))$. By writing $|\hat{f}(0)| = r, |\hat{f}(1)| = s, \theta = \theta_1 - \theta_0$, we have

$$V(T) = \left\{ rs \left( r^{p-2} - s^{p-2} \right) \cos \theta + i \left[ r^{p} - s^{p} + rs \left( r^{p+2} + s^{p+2} \right) \sin \theta \right] : r^p + s^p \leq 1 \right\}.$$
Now, let
\[
\alpha = \sup \{ \Re z : z \in V(T) \} = \sup \left\{ rs \left( r^{p-2} - s^{p-2} \right) : r^p + s^p \leq 1 \right\},
\]
\[
\beta = \sup \{ V(T) \cap \mathbb{R} \}
\]
\[
= \sup \left\{ \cos \theta \cdot rs \left( r^{p-2} - s^{p-2} \right) : r^p + s^p \leq 1, r^p - s^p + rs \left( r^{p+2} + s^{p+2} \right) \sin \theta = 0 \right\}. \tag{3.5}
\]
We have \( \alpha > \beta \) unless \( p = 2 \). If
\[
z = rs \left( r^{p-2} - s^{p-2} \right) \cos \theta + i \left[ r^p - s^p + rs \left( r^{p+2} + s^{p+2} \right) \sin \theta \right] \in V(T), \tag{3.6}
\]
then the conjugate of \( z \) is
\[
\overline{z} = sr \left( s^{p-2} - r^{p-2} \right) \cos(\pi + \theta) + i \left[ s^p - r^p + sr \left( s^{p+2} + r^{p+2} \right) \sin(\pi + \theta) \right] \in V(T), \tag{3.7}
\]
and so \( V(T)^* = V(T) \). Thus, \( \alpha \) was attained at points above and below the real axis, and we have concluded that \( V(T) \) is not convex unless \( p = 2 \). In Figure 1, we draw the shape of \( V(T) \), for \( p = 3 \).

**Theorem 3.3.** There is an operator \( T \) on \( H^p(\beta) \) with \( 0 \in V(T) \), such that \( V(T) \) is not star shaped.

**Proof.** We proof this theorem by contradiction. Suppose that the spatial numerical range of each linear operator that allowed origin is star shaped. If \( a, z \in V(T) \), then \( 0 \in V(T - a) \), and so \( t(z - a) \in V(T - a) \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \). Hence, \( tz + (1 - t)a \in V(T) \), and it follows that \( V(T) \) is convex which is a contradiction to the previous example. \( \square \)
4. Compact Operators

Since $H^2(\beta)$ is a Hilbert space, the numerical range of a compact operator on $H^2(\beta)$ is closed if and only if it contains the origin. Also the numerical range of a compact operator on $H^p(\beta)$ contains all nonzero extreme points of its closure, and since $H^p(\beta)$ is infinite dimensional, there is a compact operator $T$ on $H^p(\beta)$, such that $V(T)$ is not closed (see [10] and page 103-109 of [11]). So, in general, the spatial numerical range of a compact operator needs not to be closed. In the following theorem, we give a closedness condition of such operators.

Theorem 4.1. Let $T$ be a compact operator on $H^p(\beta)$. If $V(T)$ is star shaped with respect to zero, then it is closed.

Proof. Since $V(T)$ is star shaped with respect to zero, then by Theorem 3.1,

$$V(T) = \left\{ \langle Tf, f^* \rangle : f \in H^p(\beta), \|f\|_p \leq 1 \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

For given $\alpha \in \overline{V(T)}$, there is a sequence $h_n$ with $\|h_n\|_p = 1$ and $\langle Th_n, h_n^* \rangle \to \alpha$. By reflexivity of $H^p(\beta)$ and Alaogul’s Theorem, there is a sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, such that $h_{n_k} \to h$ in weak topology and $h_{n_k}^* \to g$ in weak* topology for some $h \in \text{ball}(H^p(\beta))$ and $g \in \text{ball}((H^p(\beta))^*)$.

Now, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the bounded linear functionals $x, x^*$ by

$$x(f^*) := \tilde{f}^*(m), \quad x^*(f) := \tilde{f}(m),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

respectively, on $(H^p(\beta))^*$ and $H^p(\beta)$. Hence,

$$\langle h_{n_k}, x^* \rangle \to \langle h, x^* \rangle, \quad \langle h_{n_k}^*, x \rangle \to \langle g, x \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

as $k \to \infty$. Then,

$$\tilde{h}_{n_k}(m) \to \tilde{h}(m), \quad \tilde{h}_{n_k}^*(m) \to \tilde{g}(m),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

as $k \to \infty$. But by definition $\tilde{h}_{n_k}^*(m) = |\tilde{h}_{n_k}(m)|^{p/q} e^{i \arg(\tilde{h}_{n_k}(m))}$. Therefore, $\tilde{g}(m) = |\tilde{h}(m)|^{p/q} e^{i \arg(\tilde{h}(m))}$ or $g = h^*$.

On the other hand,

$$|\langle Th_{n_k}, h_{n_k}^* \rangle - \langle Th, h^* \rangle| \leq |\langle Th_{n_k}, h_{n_k}^* \rangle - \langle Th, h_{n_k}^* \rangle| + |\langle Th, h_{n_k}^* \rangle - \langle Th, h^* \rangle|$$

$$= |\langle T(h_{n_k} - h), h_{n_k}^* \rangle| + |\langle Th, (h_{n_k}^* - h^*) \rangle|$$

$$\leq \|T(h_{n_k} - h)\| \|h_{n_k}^*\| + \|\langle Th, (h_{n_k}^* - h^*) \rangle\|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

Since $T$ is completely continuous and $h_{n_k} \to h$ weakly, then $\|T(h_{n_k} - h)\| \to 0$, and hence $\langle Th_{n_k}, h_{n_k}^* \rangle \to \langle Th, h^* \rangle$. So, $\alpha = \langle Th, h^* \rangle$, and the proof is complete by using (4.1). \hspace{1cm} $\Box$

Corollary 4.2. Let $T$ be a compact operator on $H^p(\beta)$, such that $V(T)$ is convex. Then $V(T)$ is closed if and only if $0 \in V(T)$. 
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