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Impaired cardiac function leads to activation of the neurohumoral axis, sodium and water retention, congestion and ultimately impaired kidney function. This sequence of events has been termed the Cardiorenal Syndrome. This is different from the increase in cardiovascular complications which occur with primary kidney disease, that is, the so-called Renocardiac Syndrome. The present review discusses the pathogenesis of the Cardiorenal Syndrome followed by the benefits and potential deleterious effects of pharmacological agents that have been used in this setting. The agents discussed are diuretics, aquaretics, natriuretic peptides, vasodilators, inotropes and adenosine \(\alpha_1\) receptor antagonists. The potential role of ultrafiltration is also briefly discussed.

1. Introduction

Patients with acute heart failure syndromes (AHFS) are usually admitted because of severe systemic congestion that frequently presents with dyspnea. Known as the hallmark of AHFS, congestion is mainly due to pulmonary venous hypertension (World Health Organization type 2). These patients may also present with low cardiac output and/or systemic hypotension. This has ranged from <2% [1] to 7.7% [2] to 29% [3] depending on the series. Dyspnea is the most common symptom in these patients that implies an elevated pulmonary venous pressure that is often accompanied by increased central venous pressure (CVP) and/or peripheral edema. Therefore, the most reasonable therapeutic target is systemic congestion. There is substantial evidence that the main driver of morbidity, mortality, and readmission to the hospital is volume overload [1–5]. Moreover, it is well established that patients who are admitted with AHFS and renal dysfunction have worse outcomes [1, 5–9]. In this paper, we discuss the pathophysiology of AHFS and its contribution to impairment of kidney function. In the end, we approach the current evidence of therapeutic strategies in patients with cardiorenal syndrome in AHFS.

2. Pathophysiology

As noted above, the hallmark of AHFS is congestion. The interaction between the heart and the kidney is modulated by the cardiorenal axis. The sympathetic nervous system (SNS), renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), and arginine vasopressin (AVP) are the primary neurohormones that maintain the integrity of effective arterial blood volume, hence the cardiorenal axis [4, 10–14].

In a nonfailing heart, an increase in left atrial pressure stimulates a feedback system, which decreases the release of AVP from posterior pituitary. This reflex is abolished by vagotomy. Furthermore, the elevated atrial pressure decreases the renal SNS stimulation. On the other hand, natriuretic peptides are released due to myocardial stretch and dilatation. The interaction of these pathways ultimately increases sodium and water excretion that maintains a steady state for total blood volume and preserves the integrity of the arterial circulation [4, 13] (Figure 1).

When heart failure develops, this physiologic response is disrupted and the kidneys continue to retain sodium and water despite an elevated total blood volume. However, the primary regulation of body fluid homeostasis is modulated
by the smaller arterial circulation, enabling the system responsible for the perfusion of the body’s vital organs to respond to small changes in body fluid volume [4, 10, 11]. This portion of blood volume only comprises 15% of the total blood volume [10, 11]. Therefore, in a failing heart, despite elevated total sodium and total water and significant engorgement of the venous system, kidneys continue to retain sodium and water due to disrupted maintenance of body fluid homeostasis (Figure 2). This applies to patients with low or high cardiac output [10].

Elevated level of renin secretion is demonstrated in early stages of heart failure [14]. Renin stimulates angiotensin II (Ang-II) generation. Angiotensin II causes arterial vasoconstriction and therefore, increases cardiac afterload with a resultant decrease in stroke volume. Moreover, Ang-II stimulates the release of aldosterone and SNS. The activation of RAAS and SNS results in further vasoconstriction of the afferent arterioles of the kidneys [4, 13] that decreases renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). The stimulation of RAAS and SNS also increases proximal tubular absorption of sodium and decreases sodium delivery to the distal tubules and collecting ducts, which is the site of action of aldosterone [15]. Therefore, in patients with heart failure escape from the sodium-retaining effect of aldosterone on the distal nephrons, a phenomenon that normally occurs, is impaired. The end-result of this combined neurohormonal activation is continuous reabsorption of sodium and water which leads to congestion.

SNS is activated through increased Ang-II (see above) as well as activation of the baroreceptors in the aorta and aortic arch [11]. SNS has a positive feedback on RAAS stimulation that results in further stimulation of aldosterone. Aldosterone and Ang-II both accelerate fibrosis of the myocardium and remodeling of the failing heart [16]. Furthermore, neurohormones are strong mediators of oxidative injury, inflammation, and cell death that leads to widespread endothelial dysfunction. Thus, Ang-II exerts many deleterious effects through the activation of NADPH- and NADH-oxidase. These enzymes are activated within vascular smooth muscle cells, cardiac myocytes, and renal tubular epithelial cells, generating superoxide, a reactive oxygen species with unfavorable effects [17]. More importantly, nitric oxide release by endothelium may be disturbed in the presence of superoxide and reactive oxygen species. This results in endothelial dysfunction, hypertension, and increased cardiac afterload [17]. As many as 50% of patients with AHFS present with a systolic blood pressure >140 mm/Hg [1]. Elevated blood pressure is present in patients either with systolic heart failure or heart failure with preserved ejection fraction [1].

AVP is a hormone that is secreted from the posterior pituitary and is normally suppressed by hypoosmolality. In the failing heart, however, even in the presence of hyponatremia, and thus hypoosmolality, there is a marked increase in AVP secretion secondary to nonosmotic baroreceptor-mediated release of the hormone. This phenomenon commonly overrides the osmotic release of AVP [18–20]. AVP activates the V2 receptor on the basolateral surface of principal cell of the collecting duct. This increases expression and trafficking of the aquaporin 2 water channel to the apical surface. The resultant increased water permeability of the collecting duct allows osmotic water equilibrium with the hypertonic interstitium and urinary concentration. Also, AVP stimulates the V1a receptors of the vascular smooth muscle that results in vasoconstriction of the arterial and venous system. Therefore, AVP increases preload and afterload through V2 and V1a receptor activation [4]. Thus, AVP potentially may result in further remodeling of the myocardium by these pathways (Figure 3).

3. Goal of Therapy

It is evident that the neurohormonal storm and worsening kidney function in AHFS ultimately ensue to venous congestion and elevated central venous pressure (CVP), which results in a vicious cycle. In a prospective cohort of 145 patients from the Cleveland Clinic, CVP was the most important hemodynamic factor causing worsening renal function in patients with AHFS [21]. In addition, in a retrospective analysis of 2557 patients who underwent cardiac catheterization for hemodynamic assessment, elevated CVP remained as the single most important prognostic factor for worsening renal function and mortality [22]. There is growing evidence that hypervolemia, that is, increased pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, independently correlates with mortality [23] and may predict an urgent need for cardiac transplantation [24]. Furthermore, improvement in cardiac output/index in patients with AHFS has little impact on outcome of patients with AHFS [25], even when therapy for decongestion is modulated carefully by invasive hemodynamic assessment [26]. Furthermore, transmission of venous pressure to renal venous system impairs renal blood flow and GFR. In 1861, Ludwig observed that as CVP increased above 10 mm/Hg, the urine output started to fall [27]. In 1931, Winton showed in isolated kidneys from dogs, that elevated venous pressure drops the urine output, while the arterial side was connected to a perfusing
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Figure 2: Pathophysiology of acute decompensated heart failure. (Reproduced with permission from [8].)
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Figure 3: Vasopressin stimulation of V2 and V1a receptors can contribute to events that worsen cardiac function (with permission from [2].)

pump [28]. More recently, Mullens et al. demonstrated that intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) correlated with worsening renal function and lowering IAP improves renal function [29]. Thus, the focus of the clinician should be on reducing the congestion with as little hemodynamic compromise as possible. The rate of fluid removal, therefore, should not exceed the interstitial fluid mobilization rate (estimated at 12 to 15 mL/min), since it may further activate the RAAS and worsen the neurohormonal storm [25, 30].

4. Treatment of Patients with AHFS

Much of the challenge of the management of patients with cardiorenal syndrome who present with AHFS lies in the balance of decongestion and hemodynamic compromise. Ideally, one wishes to lower the preload, afterload and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure without reducing the blood pressure and GFR. Thus, the ideal agent should reduce left ventricular filling pressure, pulmonary
### 5. Pharmacologic Approach

We divide therapy to pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions with the latest available evidence. For pharmacologic approach, one may utilize diuretics, aquaretics (V2 receptor antagonists), vasodilators, and inotropes. For nonpharmacologic approach, there is a paucity of well-done randomized control data in patients with AHFS; nevertheless, we will discuss the role of ultrafiltration in patients with AHFS.

#### 5.1. Diuretics

Heart Failure Society of America guidelines recommends loop diuretics as the cornerstone of therapy in patients with congestive symptoms in the setting of AHFS [31]. More than 88% of patients receive loop diuretics, mainly intravenously [1]. There are no randomized controlled trials to have evaluated the outcome studies of loop diuretics, but it is evident that patients with AHFS should not be left in the congestive state. As stated earlier, congestion correlates with mortality. Loop diuretics relieve the symptoms, even before diuresis [31] and also reduce the wedge pressure and left ventricular filling pressure. In patients who have severe congestion and renal dysfunction, diuresis may improve kidney function, possibly through relieving the venous and/or abdominal congestion. The dilemma, however, is the fact that there is no prognostication as to which patient will improve or worsen with diuretic therapy. In Table 1 are listed the diuretics in clinical practice for AHFS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Initial dose</th>
<th>Dose range</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diuretics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide</td>
<td>20–80 mg IV bolus</td>
<td>20–400 mg boluses may repeat q6–8 H</td>
<td>Infusion is recommended at 5 to 40 mg/hr. If &gt;240 mg/hr, risk of ototoxicity increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsemide</td>
<td>10–40 mg bolus</td>
<td>20–200 mg bolus</td>
<td>Continuous infusion: 5–20 mg/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bumetanide</td>
<td>0.5–2 mg bolus</td>
<td>0.5–4 mg bolus</td>
<td>Continuous infusion: 0.1–0.5 mg/hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vasodilators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Initial dose</th>
<th>Dose range</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitroprusside</td>
<td>0.3–0.5 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>0.3–5 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>Infusion rates of &gt;10 μg/kg/min may cause cyanide toxicity. Also, caution during active myocardial ischemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitroglycerine</td>
<td>10–20 μg/min</td>
<td>20–400 μg/min</td>
<td>Severe headache, hypotension, closed-angle glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesiritide</td>
<td>NO BOLUS</td>
<td>0.005–0.03 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>Titration: increase infusion rate by 0.005 μg/kg/min (no more than every 3 hr, up to a maximum of 0.03 μg/kg/min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inotropes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Initial dose</th>
<th>Dose range</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>2–5 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>2–20 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>May increase mortality. Caution for arrhythmia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobutamine</td>
<td>1–2 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>1–20 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>May increase mortality. Caution for arrhythmia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milrinone</td>
<td>50 μg/kg IV loading dose over 10 min; then 0.25–1.0 μg/kg/min infusion</td>
<td>0.10–0.75 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>May increase mortality. Caution for arrhythmia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Initial dose</th>
<th>Dose range</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levosimendan</td>
<td>0.05–0.2 μg/kg/min bolus over 10 min followed by infusion</td>
<td>0.5–2.0 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>May increase mortality. Not approved in the US. Caution for hepatic impairment and LV outflow obstruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
one week. There was also no evidence for increased risk of clinical events at 60 days after high-dose therapy or after low-dose, continuous, or intermittent diuretic therapy. On the other hand, patients in the high-dose group, compared with the low-dose group, showed significant improvements in a series of secondary end points assessed at 72 hours, including weight loss, heart-failure biomarkers and dyspnea [32].

Despite all the benefits of loop diuretics in acute setting there are serious adverse effects associated with these agents [13]. Loop diuretics frequently develop electrolyte abnormalities, mainly hyponatremia, hypokalemia and hypomagnesemia. The loop diuretics inhibit the macula densa of the nephron. This results in further release of renin and stimulation of neurohormones and acute vasoconstriction response after administering loop diuretics (Figure 4) [33]. The vasoconstriction can reduce the GFR by further afferent vasoconstriction, which may occur despite substantial increase in urine output [34]. However, improved myocardial function may develop due to reduction in ventricular size and wall stress. This may ultimately diminish mitral regurgitation and improve cardiac output and GFR [13]. Hypotension does not frequently happen when using diuretics, but it may occur in the presence of generous doses of vasodilators.

Another important dilemma in managing these patients is diuretic resistance. Patients with AHFS have significant neurohormonal activation and may have chronic kidney dysfunction [1]. Using loop diuretics may quickly worsen the compromised GFR and further enhance neurohormonal stimulation. In addition, there is a potential hypertrophy of the distal tubule in these patients that further limits the kidney’s response to diuretics [35, 36]. To overcome diuretic resistance, it is always a reasonable approach to limit total daily sodium intake to less than 2 gm. HFSA guideline recommends fluid restriction of 2 liters and if patient has moderate hyponatremia (<130 mEq/L), more aggressive fluid restriction [31]. The pharmacologic approach to overcome resistance is to add another diuretic that blocks the distal tubule, such as a thiazide diuretic. Another approach is adding metolazone but consideration of the agent’s long half life is very important (~5 days). Lastly, switching from intermittent to continuous infusion may be considered, although as stated above, in the randomized control study, the outcome was not different. At the time of writing of this paper further details of the DOSE trial are not available.

5.1.1. Mineralocorticoid Antagonists. About 50% of patients with AHFS have heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFPEF) [1]. In these patients, the neurohormonal stimulation is independent of the cardiac output or ejection fraction of the patient. On the other hand, cirrhotic patients share a common pathophysiology for sodium and water retention by neurohormonal activation secondary to splanchnic vasodilation. As stated earlier, patients with heart failure cannot escape from the sodium-retaining effect of aldosterone. The same is true for cirrhotic patients with ascites. Thus, patients with heart failure have a similar pathophysiology of cirrhotic patients. Both patient populations have secondary hyperaldosteronism. The diuretic of choice in cirrhosis is mineralocorticoid antagonists, not a loop diuretic [37]. They are used as the mainstay of therapy since they target a primary underlying pathophysiology of the disease namely, secondary hyperaldosteronism. Loop diuretics are utilized in cirrhosis as an adjunct. In the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES), spironolactone was used as 25 mg orally once a day [38]. It was shown that this dose did not decrease sodium retention. The interpretation of the RALES
study therefore was that the results were due to nongenomic, nonnatriuretic effects of spironolactone on myocardium by preventing further remodeling and/or reducing fibrosis [38]. There are limited data for using the natriuretic doses of spironolactone (at least 50 mg orally once a day) in patients with heart failure. To our knowledge, other than a small report, there are no randomized well-conducted studies available to evaluate the effect of natriuretic doses of spironolactone in heart failure patients. In 1965, Braunwald et al. conducted a small trial of spironolactone in 3 patients with heart failure (mainly due to valvular disease). The dose of spironolactone utilized in that study was 100 mg orally once a day and sodium excretion increased [39]. In a small prospective study in patients with severe heart failure and confirmed diuretic resistance, the effect of spironolactone was investigated [38]. All medications were discontinued. Spironolactone was started at 200 mg orally twice a day, while the patients were taken off supplemental potassium. Over a 4-day period, spironolactone completely abolished the urinary sodium retention and atrial natriuretic peptide diminished substantially [40].

Since the publication of RALES study, there has been some concern regarding the risk of hyperkalemia. This cautionary note is mainly based on one epidemiologic study from Canada. In this study, the investigators observed a statistically significant increased risk of hospitalization and hospital mortality in association with hyperkalemia after publication of the RALES trial [41]. However, a subanalysis of Eplerenone Post-Acute Myocardial Infarction Heart Failure Efficacy and Survival Study (EPHESUS) showed that eplerenone (dose range of 25 to 50 mg/d) in postmyocardial infarction patients with heart failure and/or LV ejection fraction (LVEF < 40%) did not significantly increase the risk of hyperkalemia [42]. Furthermore, in another study from Scotland, in a population-based longitudinal analysis in patients with or without heart failure, there has been no increase in hospitalizations for hyperkalemia between 1994 to 2007 [43]. Nevertheless, with the paucity of results with natriuretic doses of mineralocorticoid antagonists (>25 mg/day of spironolactone or >50 mg of eplerenone), prospective, randomized studies need to be performed in patients with AHFS, in the presence of a low-potassium diet and a potassium-losing loop diuretic, to block the sodium retaining effect of aldosterone by careful titrating of spironolactone doses greater than 25 mg/d. If shown to be effective in treating congestion in AHFS, this could alter the frequent rehospitalization for congestion and the discharge of AHFS patients with continued symptoms of congestion (estimated to be ~50%).

5.2. Vasopressin Antagonists (Aquaretics). The only pharmacologic agent other than loop diuretics that is capable of rapid diuresis in AHFS is vasopressin antagonist. V2 receptors are stimulated by AVP and increase the aquaporins (see above) on the distal nephrons and increases permeability to water. A profound water diuresis (aquaresis) occurs by blocking the V2 receptors. Unlike any other diuretics, V2 antagonists do not affect the urinary excretion of electrolytes. In fact, in patients with hyponatremia, the serum sodium concentration normalizes while the intravascular volume is decreasing. Currently, there are 2 vasopressin antagonists available in the U.S., conivaptan and tolvaptan. Conivaptan is a mixed antagonist (V1a and V2 antagonist) and tolvaptan is a selective V2 receptor antagonist. The indication for use for both agents is the presence of hyponatremia and heart failure. It is not indicated for hypervolemia in the absence of hyponatremia [44].

In the Acute and Chronic Therapeutic Impact of a Vasopressin antagonist in Congestive Heart Failure (ACTIV) trial, 319 patients with heart failure were randomized in 3 different doses of tolvaptan [45]. Tolvaptan produced a significant decrease in body weight throughout hospitalization and a modest improvement in HF symptoms without any adverse hemodynamic compromise, electrolytes abnormalities, or renal dysfunction. A post-hoc analysis of this study demonstrated that mortality was reduced in patients with renal dysfunction or severe systemic congestion in the tolvaptan arm [44]. In a followup study of the Efficacy of Vasopressin Antagonism in Heart Failure Outcome Study With Tolvaptan (EVEREST) trial, patients were randomized to tolvaptan or placebo and followed for short term and long-term (median follow-up of 9.9 months) outcomes [46]. While tolvaptan produced a substantial normalization of the serum sodium concentration and significant weight loss in comparison to placebo, these findings did not translate to beneficial effect on readmission for heart failure or mortality [46]. EVEREST did show a statistically significant decrease in dyspnea during the first week on congestion. Thus far, there is only one study in AHFS patients with a mixed V1a/V2 antagonist (conivaptan) [47]. In this pilot study with conivaptan, there was a marked aquaresis in heart failure patients without any hemodynamic compromise [47]. Whether this agent improves outcomes of patients with AHFS remains to be elucidated.

5.3. Natriuretic Peptides. Brain natriuretic peptides (BNP) act upon guanylyl cyclase-linked natriuretic peptide receptors A and B. The downstream pathway of stimulation of these receptors is increased cyclic GMP production. Natriuretic peptides reduce the cardiac filling pressure and can improve symptoms of dyspnea as shown in Vasodilatation in the Management of Acute CHF study (VMAC) [48]. Nesiritide, a synthetic natriuretic peptide, was able to reduce significantly the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure in 15 minutes when compared to placebo, but not when compared to nitroglycerine [48]. Subsequently, significant concern was raised against nesiritide by a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials that found a significant increased risk of worsening renal function [49]. In a retrospective study from the Mayo Clinic, Riter et al. demonstrated if these agents are judiciously used in low doses without an initial bolus (as was the case in the trials), the renal function improves [13, 50]. This approach has not been studied in a randomized controlled trial.

5.4. Vasodilators. Decongestion is the center focus of treatment of patients with AHFS with the expectation that as intravascular volume falls, cardiac filling pressures will
decline and symptoms improve. Therefore, it is a reasonable approach to target the systemic vascular resistance. By decreasing the vascular resistance, the cardiac filling pressures, pulmonary and systemic congestion may be alleviated and ventricular systolic and diastolic function may improve.

Nitroglycerine (NTG) improves the hemodynamics by decreasing right atrial pressure, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and reducing afterload [13]. These changes have a substantial effect on the congestive state of the patient. Furthermore, by reducing the preload, the myocardial stretch is diminished and the myocardial wall stress declines substantially. While all of these changes intuitively make therapeutic sense, there has never been any study in patients with AHFS randomized to NTG versus placebo. There is only one study of comparison of high-dose NTG and low-dose furosemide versus low-dose NTG and high-dose furosemide in patients with AHFS that was in favor of high-dose NTG and low-dose furosemide [51]. While NTG may decrease BNP, there is a concern for renin elevation, most likely due to hypotension [52]. There is ample evidence that NTG increases coronary flow, but whether the coronary circulation changes in AHFS due to elevated LVEDP and reduced coronary perfusion pressure are beneficial is not well studied. This is particularly important in heart failure patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. Judicious use of NTG in appropriately selected patients seems to be quite safe (Table 1).

Nitroprusside is a very potent balanced venous and arterial vasodilator. It remains the reference vasodilator for severe acute low-output left-sided heart failure as long as the arterial pressure is reasonable. In patients with congestion and acute myocardial infarction, nitroprusside is the agent of choice. In patients who present with acute or chronic heart failure, it is still a very reasonable option, as long as the clinician is aware of the potential side effects of nitroprusside. The most important side effects is the precipitous fall in blood pressure (that should be avoided in AHFS), possible coronary steal, and thiocyanate toxicity, which can be fatal if not treated promptly [15].

5.5. Inotropes. In extreme conditions when the cardiac output is compromised, there is a clear indication for using inotropes. Once very well received in intensive care units, inotropes now are utilized less often except for condition just described. There is substantial evidence in large randomized clinical trials as well as retrospective studies that these agents significantly increase mortality despite all the desirable effects on hemodynamics including increasing cardiac output and reducing systemic vascular resistance. With the exception of dopamine none of the inotropes have any effects on renal hemodynamics.

Dobutamine is a synthetic catecholamine that acts on β1 and weakly on β2 receptors. The β1 receptor has a vasodilatory effect on the vascular smooth muscle and positive inotropy on the myocardium. So, by improving the contraction of myocardium and reducing afterload the cardiac output improves. Milrinone blocks the phosphodiesterase inhibitor III that ordinarily deactivates cyclic AMP (cAMP). The increased cytosolic level of cAMP improves the myocardial function and decreases the vascular tone similar to dobutamine, but by a different mechanism. A novel inotrope that is not approved by FDA in the US is levosimendan. This agent stabilizes the conformational change of troponin to calcium and increases contraction. There was a randomized study with and without levosimendan in patients with severe heart failure which demonstrated an improvement in renal function with levosimendan [53].

Dobutamine has improved the symptoms in heart failure up to 30 days [54, 55]. In a large registry of patients with AHFS, however, dobutamine was associated with a marked increase in mortality when compared to NTG [56]. In the Intravenous Milrinone for Exacerbations of Chronic Heart Failure (OPTIME-CHF), 951 patients without cardiogenic shock were randomized to milrinone versus placebo. The main outcome of the study was the cumulative days of hospitalization for cardiovascular cause within 60 days following randomization. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups. There was a trend towards higher mortality in milrinone group (P = .19). Patients in milrinone arm had more episodes of hypotension that required intervention compared to placebo [57]. In the Randomized Multicenter Evaluation of Intravenous Levosimendan Efficacy (REVIVE) II study, the investigator demonstrated a significant symptomatic improvement of 33% in levosimendan arm [58]. But, this finding was negated by a trend toward increased mortality in patients randomized to levosimendan [59].

The routine use of inotropes, therefore, is not recommended unless the patient’s hemodynamics are severely compromised. It is evident that all inotropes may cause harm, mainly by increased mortality. In the era of beta blockade as one of the main treatments for chronic heart failure, many patients may present with AHFS while they are on beta blockers. There are no data as to whether it is safe or unsafe to stop the beta blockade, but a recommendation to decrease the dose by 50% and continue with inotrope of choice seems reasonable. It is important to note that among all inotropes, dobutamine and dopamine act upon beta receptors. So, if it is possible to use milrinone that has a different mechanism of action and there is no need to stop beta blockers unless the patient is in preshock or shock state that prompts the physician to stop the beta blockers immediately.

5.6. Adenosine a1 Receptor Antagonists. Adenosine is markedly elevated in patients with AHFS. Since adenosine has a profound vasoconstrictor effect on the glomeruli, it is theoretically attractive to hypothesize that blockade of adenosine would improve the kidney function and outcomes. Since adenosine acts upon a1 receptor on the afferent glomerulus, the agent of choice should be a selective a1 adenosine receptor blocker. In the Placebo-Controlled Randomized Study of the Selective A1 Adenosine Receptor Antagonist Rolofylline for Patients Hospitalized with Acute Decompensated Heart Failure and Volume Overload to Assess Treatment Effect on Congestion and Renal Function (PROTECT) a randomized, double-blind controlled study with rolofylline failed to show any difference as
to the primary composite end point of persistent renal impairment, hospital readmission, or death in up to 60 days after admission [60].

5.7. Ultrafiltration. Theoretically it is very reasonable to approach to patients with AHFS who present with significant volume overload. Ultrafiltration bypasses the kidney and there is virtually no immediate neurohormonal stimulation as occurs with loop diuretics blocking the macula densa. In the Ultrafiltration versus Intravenous Diuretics for Patients Hospitalized for Acute Decompensated Heart Failure (UNLOAD) trial, there was a marked decrease in body weight, vasoactive drug requirement as well as hospital readmission in 90 days in the ultrafiltration arm [61]. However, this was associated with a trend towards higher serum creatinine level in the first week of therapy in the ultrafiltration arm. One critique to this study may be the fact that patients in the diuretic arm were not very aggressively diuresed. So, it may be more difficult to demonstrate such beneficial effects if compared with diuretic therapy of comparable negative fluid balance. Nonetheless, it is a reasonable option in patients who are left in congestive state and make little urine despite maximal medical therapy. There is a recent study comparing the effects of ultrafiltration versus diuretics in decompensated heart failure. Ultrafiltration showed a greater clinical and hemodynamic improvement, as well as a decrease in aldosterone and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide [62].

6. Conclusion

Cardiorenal syndrome is frequently present in patients who present with AHFS. The main driver of the pathophysiology and symptomatology of the patients is congestion. The focus of treatment should be relieving the congestion without perturbing the hemodynamics of the cardiorenal axis. As discussed in this paper, unfortunately every modality of treatment has beneficial and detrimental effects on this axis. Loop diuretics relieve congestion but stimulate the neurohormones and reduce GFR. Inotropes improve hemodynamics but can potentially increase mortality and arrhythmias. Aquaretics have not been proven to decrease mortality in a large randomized control trial, although there are no large data on mixed receptor blockers. Natriuretic peptides may worsen the kidney function and possibly increase mortality. Vasodilators can cause substantial hypotension while improving the hemodynamics. There is very little data about the use of natriuretic doses of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in severe heart failure. For these reasons, it is not possible to give one set of hard and fast rules to treat the AHFS patients who present with cardiorenal syndrome. This is left to the astute clinician to take advantage of every agent at the appropriately selected patients.
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