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AO (adaptive optics) systems have been extensively used to improve the beam quality in high-energy lasers; however, few studies have focused on how much the beam quality could be improved. A novel evaluation criteria and factor is presented in this paper.

OX_he factor, defined as power in ring (PIR), is expressed by distinguishing the low- and high-spatial frequency components in the far-field laser intensity distribution. Beams with different PIR values are generated in our model, and then they are compensated by AO systems. Calculation result shows that the PIR factor could evaluate a laser beam’s improvement potential by an AO system quantitatively.

1. Introduction

Beam quality of the light exiting from lasers is usually quite poor in high-energy lasers) because of multimode oscillation, thermal effect, phase perturbation, and so on [1–4]. Nowadays, AO (adaptive optics) systems have been extensively used to improve the beam quality in high-energy lasers [5–9]. By compensating the phase aberration, AO systems could make the far-field intensity distribution very close to the ideal. Namely, beam quality of lasers could be improved apparently by AO systems.

Various beam quality evaluation factors have been presented so far, such as the PIB (power in bucket), $M^2$, and $\beta$ factors [10–14]. Lots of researchers have studied the phase aberration effects on the laser beam quality. Based on these evaluation factors, the investigations have showed the intensity perturbation in long-distance propagation and the compensation effect by the AO system, as well as the relationship between beam quality and different Zernike polynomials [15–20]. All these investigations have revealed that beam quality evaluation factors are closely correlated with phase aberrations and the AO compensation ability. However, none of the factors used in those studies could directly evaluate how much the beam quality would be improved by AO systems. Since the far-field light intensity distribution is tightly related to the phase spatial characteristics, according to the angular spectrum theory, a corresponding evaluation factor named power in ring based on intensity analysis could be defined.

In this paper, we first analyzed the long-propagation light intensity distribution with different Zernike phase aberrations. Then, we presented a new factor, which could evaluate how much the beam will be improved by AO systems. Different from the classic encircled energy, PIB, $M^2$, and $\beta$ factors, this factor exacts certain spatial frequency components in the far-field light intensity distribution by detecting power in some diffraction radiuses. Since an AO system’s compensation ability is obviously dependent on lasers’ spatial frequency [21, 22], the improvement potential by an AO system could be evaluated straightforwardly by this factor. In the end, we simulated the AO compensation effects with different new factors and AO systems.

2. Factor Definition

Typical beam quality factors like PIB (power in bucket), $M^2$, and $\beta$ factors are usually obtained just by light intensity
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Detection, which is reliable and easy to operate. So, the far-field light intensity distribution was calculated to present a new evaluation factor in this section. Since AO compensation efficiency is closely relevant to spatial frequency of phase distributions, phase aberrations with lower and higher spatial frequency components are generated, respectively, in our model, which are reconstructed by a random linear combination of different Zernike polynomials. Output lasers with poor beam quality are simulated by adding the phase aberrations to Gauss beams, and intensity distributions are obtained based on the angular spectrum transmission method as shown in Figure 1. The common β factor is used in the model to estimate beam qualities of different beams, which is defined as the ratio of the actual beam divergence angle to the ideal one.

In Figure 1(a), no Zernike phase is added, so only ideal diffraction rings exist around the central spot. In Figures 1(b) and 1(c), the far-field intensity distributions were calculated with the 1st to 14th or 91st to 104th order Zernike aberrations. The 91st to 104th order Zernike aberrations would introduce more higher spatial frequency components than the 1st to 14th order Zernike aberrations. In Figure 1(b), the 1st to 14th Zernike polynomials are added to the output laser beam, so some irregular laser speckles have emerged around the central diffraction spot. Similarly, the 91st to 104th Zernike polynomials are added as shown in Figure 1(c), some speckles appear around the central diffraction spot either, but they are quite weak and intensity distribution is similar with Figure 1(a), so it seems easy to be rectified by an AO system compared with the beam in Figure 1(b). However, the beam in Figure 1(b) is actually easier to be compensated because it is added with lower-order Zernike phases. Since β factors are both 4.5 in Figures 1(b) and 1(c) by adjusting the amplitudes of Zernike coefficients, which means the β factor cannot distinguish the improvement potential of beams with phase aberrations containing different spatial frequency components.

To present a new evaluation factor, we need to analyze the spatial frequency characteristics of far-field intensity. According to the angular spectrum theory, higher spatial frequency beam propagates with a larger diffraction angle, so it corresponds to power in the outer ring of the intensity distribution. Under the same conditions shown in Figure 1, power in different diffraction rings is calculated as shown in Figure 2.

As shown in Figure 2, the horizontal axis n indicates the n-th diffraction ring and the vertical axis is the power in the ring. Comparing Figures 2(a)-2(c), power distribution in diffraction rings is apparently different with distortion phases of different order Zernike polynomials. It is apparent that power percentage in the first diffraction radius ring, namely, the central spot is the highest. In Figure 2(a), more than 83% power is concentrated in the first ring. Furthermore, as the Zernike phase is added, namely, the laser beam is modulated by the higher spatial frequency phase, fraction of power in larger rings increases. In Figure 2(b), more than 67% of power is distributed in rings larger than the first one. However, as the added Zernike order becomes higher in Figure 2(b), power in the 2nd to 4th rings decreases as shown in Figure 2(c).

Because beam with the lower-order Zernike phase is easier to be rectified by an AO system, beam with the pattern 2 has more potential to be improved. So, a new evaluation factor named PIR (power in ring) can be defined as follows:

\[
\text{PIR} = \frac{1}{0.7024} \frac{P_{\text{LF}}}{P_{\text{LF}} + P_{\text{HF}}},
\]

where 0.7024 is the normalization coefficient representing the ratio of power in the 2–4th ring in ideal situation to power in larger than the 4th ring. \(P_{\text{LF}}\) and \(P_{\text{HF}}\) are the low-frequency and high-frequency power calculated by

\[
P_{\text{LF}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} I_N(r, \phi) r \, dr \, d\phi,
\]

\[
P_{\text{HF}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R_2}^{\infty} I_N(r, \phi) r \, dr \, d\phi,
\]

in which \(I_N(r, \phi)\) is the far-field intensity distribution in polar coordinates, \(R_1\) is radius of the first diffraction ring, and \(R_2\) is the 4th diffraction ring radius:

\[
R_1 = 1.23 \frac{\lambda}{D_f},
\]

\[
R_2 = 4.24 \frac{\lambda}{D_f}.
\]

In our definition, power in the first ring can be considered as the zero-frequency component, which does not need rectification by an AO system, so this part can be ignored. \(D\) is the effective beam diameter, which is expressed by

\[
D = 2\sqrt{2}a^2,
\]

where \(a\) is the second moment of the light intensity distribution:

\[
a^2 = \iint r^2 \cdot I_N(r, \phi) r \, dr \, d\phi \frac{1}{\int I_N^2(r, \phi) r \, dr \, d\phi},
\]

in which \(I_N\) is the near-field intensity distribution in polar coordinates.

Using equations (1)–(7), PIR of any kind of laser could be obtained. According to the definition, the PIR value ranges between 0 and 1, which indicates the low-frequency aberration percentage of the whole-phase aberration components. If the PIR value is closer to 1, lower spatial frequency components are primary in the beam. It will be easier to be rectified by AO systems, namely, the beam has more potential to be improved. Meanwhile, only light intensity is needed in the calculation, which is convenient to be detected and does not affect the other beam quality detection equipment, so it could be applied in extensive situations easily.

3. PIR Application

We set up a simulation model of the AO compensation to verify the ability of the PIR factor in evaluating a laser beam’s improvement potential. Beams with the same initial \(\beta_0 = 4.5\) are generated by the same way in Section 2. Meanwhile, their PIR factors are calculated before compensation by the AO.
As shown in Figure 3, beams with the same $\beta_0 = 4.5$ are transmitted into the AO system. Various DMs (deformable mirrors) with different numbers of actuators are used in the model. In the simulation, we did not consider the temporal dynamics and assumed that actuator’s spatial resolution is the main limit to the AO system’s compensation ability; other factors, like responsive bandwidth, rectification error, reconstruction algorithm, and control law, are considered as ideal in this model. In other words, the AO system could detect the phase distributions perfectly and control the distortion of every DM segment to form the optimal compensation phase. In Figure 3, red dots indicate the actuators, which are hexagon distributed, and beam diameter consists well with the DM. The beams are rectified by AO systems with DMs of 19, 37, 61, 91, 127, and 169 actuators. After the compensation, $\beta$ factors are calculated again and simulation results are presented in Figure 4.

As shown in Figure 4, the horizontal axis indicates lasers’ PIR factors and the vertical axis is the $\beta$ factor of each beam after AO system’s compensation. Since spatial frequency components of these beams’ phase aberration are different, their PIR values would change a lot correspondingly. In all graphs Figures 4(a)∼4(f), 176 beams with different phase aberrations are simulated and statistical results show that $\beta$ values decrease closely to 1 while these beams’ PIR factors are larger than 0.9, which means their divergence characteristics or beam qualities are improved apparently. In Figures 4(a)∼4(d), the $\beta$ values differ little from 4.5 when PIR is close to 0. If PIR of a beam is close to 0, it contains few low-spatial frequency components according to the definition in Section 2, which means it could not be improved well by AO systems.

As the amount of DM actuators increases (Figures 4(b)∼4(f)), beams are improved apparently by AO system although their PIR values are lower. In Figure 4(b), the value is about 0.8, and in Figure 4(f), the value decreases to 0.3. That means as the DM actuator number increases, it is able to rectify the phase aberrations with higher spatial frequency, so even a beam with less low-spatial frequency components could be improved efficiently.
\( \beta \) values of beams with PIR less than 0.4 decrease apparently (Figures 4(e) and 4(f)), which means they are improved well. In Figure 4(f), \( \beta \) values of beams with PIR around 0.3 decrease directly to less than 1.5 after the AO compensation. The reason is that as the DM actuator number of the AO system increases to 169, it is able to rectify

\[ \text{Figure 2: Power in different diffraction radius rings with different Zernike combinations: (a) no phase aberrations, (b) 1st to 14th order Zernike phase, and (c) 91st to 104th order Zernike phase.} \]
higher-order phase aberrations and the compensation effect gets better as well.

### 4. Conclusions

Based on the light intensity analysis of beams with different phase aberrations, a new beam quality evaluation factor PIR is presented in this paper. The PIR factor indicates low- and high-spatial frequency fractions in high-power laser beams, so it is able to evaluate the improvement potential by AO systems. Simulation results show that the closer the PIR values get to 1, the closer the laser beams could be improved to the ideal. By detecting the compensation effects of beams with different PIR values and different DMs, it is well verified that the PIR could evaluate lasers’ improvement potential using AO systems with different compensation capability.
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