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Kinetics and Photodegradation Study of Aqueous Methyl tert-Butyl Ether Using Zinc Oxide: The Effect of Particle Size
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Zinc oxide of different average particle sizes 25 nm, 59 nm, and 421 nm as applied in the photodegradation of MTBE. This study was carried out in a batch photoreactor having a high pressure mercury lamp. Zinc oxide of particle size of 421 nm was found to be the most effective in degrading MTBE in an aqueous solution. On using this type of ZnO in a solution of 100 ppm MTBE, the concentration of MTBE has decreased to 5.1 ppm after a period of five hours. The kinetics of the photocatalytic degradation of MTBE was found to be a first order reaction.

1. Introduction

Fuel oxygenates like methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) are usually added for the complete combustion of gasoline. MTBE has low vapor pressure, high octane rate, very good blending compatibility with gasoline, and low production cost [1, 2]. The speed of migration of MTBE resembles that of water and it has high resistance to biodegradation [3, 4]. MTBE has been found to be a potential carcinogen; thus it poses serious health threats. The presence of MTBE can be easily detected in water even at very low concentrations from its characteristic smell which in turn lowers the quality of water. The serious hazardous effects of MTBE have attracted the attention of many researchers to develop efficient techniques that can be applied for the remediation/elimination of MTBE from water [5, 6]. Those techniques include adsorption using activated carbon, biodegradation, and air-stripping; however, the results obtained were not satisfactory. For instance, the removal of MTBE by adsorption on activated carbon has been found to be ineffective because of the low adsorption of MTBE on the activated carbon. The adsorption process has been found to be costly because carbon has to be changed frequently [4]. Moreover, ethers are generally resistant to biodegradation because the presence of the bulky tertiary methyl group renders MTBE nonbiodegradable by the traditional methods [7–9].

Recently, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been applied for the remediation of different contaminants in water. Complete oxidation of organic pollutants into CO2 and H2O can be achieved by applying AOPs. The application of AOPs in heterogeneous photocatalysis is highly promising and good results have been obtained when this type of photocatalysis was applied to treat nonbiodegradable toxic organic molecules that exist in water [10, 11]. The heterogeneous photocatalytic reaction performed to degrade organic pollutants requires electromagnetic radiation of specific wavelength, a catalyst (i.e., semiconducting metal oxide), and oxygen. The photocatalyst usually plays a very important role in carrying out the photochemical reaction. Being semiconductors in nature, photocatalysts have the tendency to promote their valence band electrons to conduction bands when they are subjected to an electromagnetic radiation of
appropriate energy. The photoexcited electrons create holes when they jump to their corresponding conduction bands; therefore, the electron-hole pairs are generated in the semiconducting metal oxide photocatalyst. These photogenerated electrons/holes react with water/oxygen/hydroxyl ions to produce OH\(^-\) radicals. The resulting OH\(^-\) radicals react with the contaminant molecules adsorbed on the surface of the photocatalyst and act through a series of possible reactions to degrade those molecules to give CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O [12–14].

The selection of an appropriate catalyst that will efficiently degrade the molecules of a certain pollutant is considered an important step. TiO\(_2\) and ZnO have attracted the attention of many researchers who have studied their applications as photocatalysts. This is due to the fact that these two catalysts are nontoxic, friendly with the environment, relatively inexpensive and their photogenerated holes are highly oxidizing in nature. However, in certain cases, ZnO has been found to be more effective than TiO2. ZnO has more active sites in nature. However, in certain cases, ZnO has been found to be more effective than TiO2 [14–17]. Recently, ZnO has been applied to treat water contaminated with MTBE [18].

This work reports, for the first time, the effect of the particle size of zinc oxide on the photodegradation of MTBE in aqueous medium. Zinc oxide of three different particle sizes having the averages of 420 nm, 59 nm, and 25 nm were applied in this work.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) of 99.9% purity was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

A stock solution of 100 ppm of MTBE was prepared in distilled water.

Zinc oxide of different average particle sizes as used in this work. ZnO-1 of an average particle size of 421 nm was supplied by J.T. Baker, USA. Zinc oxide (ZnO-2) of an average particle size of 25 nm was prepared by mixing the aqueous solution of the zinc nitrate hexahydrate and ammonium carbonate in a molar ratio of 1:1. This mixture was stirred at room temperature for a period of two hours. A precipitate was formed and separated by centrifugation. Zinc oxide of an average particle size of 59 nm was supplied by Nanoscale Materials Inc., USA. Samples of the three types of the ZnO were thoroughly washed with deionized water and then with ethanol and dried overnight at a temperature of 100°C. The dried ZnO precursors were then calcined at 500°C for a period of six hours at a heating rate of 1°C/min to obtain nano-ZnO particles. These particles were characterized by XRD, SEM, and TEM.

2.2. Photocatalytic Reaction Procedure. Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) (reagent grade) from Aldrich was used without further purification in the photodegradation experiments. A photoreactor made of quartz and equipped with a cooling jacket and a specified tubular space for the UV lamp was used to perform the photodegradation experiments (Figure 1). A 125 W high pressure mercury UV lamp was placed in the reactor in its specified space using a special rod. Cold water (16 ± 1°C) was continuously supplied through the cooling jacket to cool the reaction mixture. A volume of 350 mL of 100 ppm MTBE solution and an amount of 100 mg of each of the ZnO photocatalysts were added to the reactor and stirred for 30 min. Stirring is essential in order to achieve uniform dispersion of the ZnO particles at room temperature. The oxygen gas was passed at a moderate flow rate through the reaction mixture for a period of 30 min. After this time, the oxygen flow was stopped and the UV lamp was turned on. The reaction setup was covered with an aluminum foil and the samples were collected every hour for a period of five hours.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. X-Ray Diffraction Studies. The XRD profiles of the three types of the ZnO photocatalysts used are shown in Figure 2. The XRD peaks observed in each spectrum indicate that the crystalline structures of the three types of the ZnO nanoparticles represent hexagonal wurtzite structure. X-ray diffraction pattern of different ZnO nanoparticles as obtained by X-ray diffractometer (X’pert PRO PANalytical) using CuK\(_{α}\) radiation (1.5406 Å) in the range 2θ = 10–80° with 0.02 as step size at 25°C. The existence of several peaks around 31.77525, 34.41348, 36.2, 47.5, 56.5, 62.8, 67.9, and 69.1 corresponding to (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (112), and (201) planes can be observed. It is obvious from Figure 2 that the peaks are sharp and strong in nature, which indicates that the effect of the impurities is negligible [19, 20]. The average calculated sizes of the nanoparticles are in good agreement with the data obtained from the TEM micrographs.

3.2. Morphology of Photocatalysts. The morphology of each of the three types of the zinc oxide nanoparticles has been examined by means of the Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM). The FE-SEM images of the three types of ZnO nanoparticles are shown in Figure 3.
Microscope (FESEM) and the Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM). The surface morphology and the crystallite structure of each powder of the photocatalysts used were analyzed using FESEM. Figure 3 shows that the three types of the ZnO nanoparticles used have different morphologies. Figure 3 also shows that the ranges of the sizes of the particles of these photocatalysts are different. It can be seen that the ZnO-1 nanoparticles (Figure 3(a)) have cube or cuboid shapes of size ranges that are higher than the size ranges of the other two types and the ZnO-3 (Figure 3(b)) has a spherical shape with the lowest size range and it is uniformly dispersed. The ZnO-2 nanoparticles prepared (Figure 3(c)) have different morphologies and can be seen as clusters of nanoparticles which have high size ranges compared to that of the ZnO-3. This is supported by the XRD data. It is evident that the properties of the ZnO nanoparticles depend on the conditions applied during their preparations. Such conditions will also affect the efficiency of the photocatalyst during the degradation process.

The activity of the photocatalyst increases with the number of the active sites available. The latter depends on the size and the shape of the particles of the photocatalyst employed [19]. The shapes and the sizes of the ZnO nanoparticles photocatalysts used were examined by Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM). Figure 4 illustrates the TEM micrographs of the different types of the ZnO photocatalysts. It can be seen that the particles of these photocatalysts are generally round in shape with different sizes. The sizes of these particles are in nanometer range and they are uniformly distributed; however, some aggregation can also be seen. Regarding the sizes of the particles they have the order ZnO-1 > ZnO-2 > ZnO-3. The XRD data shows a similar trend of the sizes of the particles of these photocatalysts.

3.3. Kinetic Studies of Photodegradation of MTBE. The three photocatalysts ZnO-1, ZnO-3, and ZnO-2 were used for the photocatalytic degradation of MTBE in water. ZnO-1 was...
found to be more effective in the degradation of MTBE as can be seen from Figure 5. The photocatalytic efficiency was found to be in the order ZnO-1 > ZnO-2 > ZnO-3. From the TEM micrographs, the ZnO-3 having particles of smaller sizes was expected to have better photocatalytic efficiency compared to the other two catalysts. However, it was found that the photocatalytic efficiency of ZnO-1 is higher than the efficiencies of the other two catalysts as can be seen from Figure 4. This can be attributed to the higher number of oxygen vacancies available in the structure of the ZnO-1 which depends on the conditions applied during its preparation [20–22]. Thus, it may be assumed that in case of photocatalytic degradation of aqueous MTBE, the effect of the oxygen vacancies available in the catalytic structure is considered to be more important than the morphology and the surface area of the catalyst.

Most of the photocatalytic reactions follow the kinetic model proposed by Langmuir-Hinshelwood, which relates the rate of photochemical reactions which are proportional to the surface coverage of the photocatalyst [22]. Accordingly,\n\[
\text{Rate} = - \frac{dC}{dT} = k_r \theta = \left( \frac{k_r KC}{1 + KC} \right),
\]  
(1)

For a very low concentration when $KC \ll 1$
\[- \ln \left( \frac{C}{C_0} \right) = K_{\text{app}},
\]  
(2)

where $k_r$ is the rate constant, $K$ is the adsorption coefficient of the reactant on the surface of the catalyst, and $C$ is the concentration of the reactant MTBE. When the concentration $C$ is very low, $KC$ becomes negligible compared to 1. Therefore, the equation represents a first order kinetics [19, 22]. By plotting $-\ln(C_0/C)$ versus irradiation time for the three catalysts, straight lines were obtained as is shown in Figure 6. This indicates that the kinetics of the photodegradation of MTBE is a first order type. The rate constants obtained from the slopes of the lines in Figure 6 in case of no catalyst, ZnO-1, ZnO-3, and ZnO-2 are 0.021, 0.544, 0.337, and 0.181, respectively (Table 1).

### 3.4. Effect of pH on the Photocatalytic Degradation of MTBE Using ZnO-1

Photocatalysts like ZnO and TiO$_2$ are commonly used in the photocatalytic degradation reactions and are mainly semiconducting metal oxides of amphoteric properties. As a result, the properties of these metal oxides will be affected by variations in the pH of the reaction medium. The pH of the medium will also affect the size of the particle aggregates and the distribution of the charges on the surfaces of the particles. Moreover, the dispersion of the particles of the photocatalyst in the medium and the adsorption of MTBE on the surface of the photocatalyst will also be affected by the pH [23]. The photocatalytic degradation reaction of MTBE using ZnO-1 nanoparticles was performed at different pH values. The effect of the pH on the efficiency of the photocatalytic degradation of MTBE is illustrated in Figure 7.
Figure 4: TEM micrographs of (a) ZnO-1, (b) ZnO-2, and (c) ZnO-3.

Figure 5: Photodegradation of MTBE in water using various ZnO as photocatalysts.

It is obvious from this figure that the pH has insignificant effect on the efficiency of the photocatalytic degradation of MTBE. However, it has been noted by other researchers that the pH of the reaction medium plays an important role in the photocatalytic process. For instance, an optimum pH of 6 was applied during the photodegradation of vanillin using ZnO as a photocatalyst [24]. In case of the photocatalytic degradation of MTBE using ZnO or TiO$_2$, an optimum pH of 7 was applied [18, 25]. Hu et al. [12] have reported an optimum pH of 3 for the degradation of MTBE in UV/TiO$_2$ system. Fu et al. [26] found slightly better degradation efficiency
of methylene blue when the pH was changed from acidic to the neutral value. However, in the alkaline region the efficiency of degradation has increased by many folds. In solutions of higher pH values, the recombination of the photoexcited electron-hole pair is reduced, and as a result the photocatalytic efficiency increases. This efficiency also increases at higher pH values due to the fact that more OH\(^{-}\) and OH will be available. However, in case of TiO\(_2\), a decrease in the photocatalytic efficiency has been observed at higher pH values. This could be attributed to the repulsion phenomenon between the hydroxyl ions and the negatively charged surface of TiO\(_2\) [27, 28]. It seems that the effect of pH on the photocatalytic degradation of MTBE requires further investigations to be undertaken.

4. Conclusions

The potentialities of the ZnO nanoparticles of different particle sizes in the photocatalytic degradation of MTBE in water were investigated. ZnO-1 nanoparticles were found to be very effective in the photocatalytically degrading MTBE even at different pH values. Oxygen vacancies are expected to play a dominating role over the morphology and the surface area. The photocatalytic degradation of aqueous MTBE catalyzed by ZnO nanoparticles was observed to follow Hinselwood first order kinetics.
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