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A facile approach of body modification on substrate is introduced to enhance the light extraction for organic devices. The lateral metal reflective film (LMRF) was coated on side of substrate and microlens array (MLA) was fabricated on forward surface of substrate. The two methods of improving light output are simulated and optimized to form body modification. The metal thin film was evaporated on the side of reversal trapezoid shape substrate to form LMRF layer and the MLA with semicircle shape was fabricated on the substrate using normal photolithography process. The external quantum efficiency of fabricated organic device with body modification is ∼1.8 times higher than the device with normal substrate.

1. Introduction

Organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) have shown tremendous potential in display and solid state lighting (SSL) applications because of their low power consumption, wide viewing angle, excellent color gamut, and fast response time [1–3]. Although an internal quantum efficiency of nearly 100% has been achieved [4, 5], the problem of low light extraction efficiency remains unresolved especially for illumination application [6, 7]. In conventional OLEDs, the refractive index mismatch at the ITO/glass and glass/air interfaces is large enough to induce the total internal reflection of a considerable portion of light coming from the emitting layer. Typically, the fraction of generated lights that is output into the forward viewing space for an OLED fabricated on planar glass is as low as 20% [8, 9].

To recover these waveguide modes, various techniques had been studied with the aim of improving the light output for OLEDs, including low-index grids [10, 11], periodic corrugated structure [12], Bragg mirrors [13], buckling patterns [14], photonic crystals [15], antireflection coatings [16], and monolayer of SiO₂ microparticles [17]. Those methods focused on changing the contact surface of glass substrate with organic device and mere modification of the external surface of the glass substrate of the OLED to minimize the total internal reflection.

The effectiveness of surface structures (different geometries and materials of microcrons) for the light extraction enhancement has been explored by different authors. The microcrons array (MLA) using prepolymer NOA65 material was fabricated on the substrate by microcontact printing of hydrophobic self-assembled monolayers, and the light outcoupling efficiency was improved by 24.5% without any apparent color change [18]. Spherical microlenses patterns using photoresist material were designed on the backside of OLEDs substrate by conventional etching method to minimize the total internal reflection loss at substrate/air interface, and an enhancement of 1.65 could be obtained with high refractive index glass as substrate [19]. Flexible MLA using a mixture of polydimethylsiloxane prepolymer by breath figure method was poured on organic substrate surface and a 34% of external quantum efficiency enhancement for OLEDs [20]. An irregular hemispherical microcrons system made of flat polyethylene terephthalate film with microcavity was used for OLEDs and the external out-coupling factor of the device increases by a factor of ∼1.8 with wide viewing angles [21]. Zinc oxide pillar arrays were printed on the surface of glass substrate by pattern replication method in
nonwetting templates technique, the devices exhibited the peak emission intensity at an emission angle of 40°, and a 75.0% enhancement in total light output could be obtained [22]. Using MLA structure on the external surface of substrate could effectively weaken the waveguide phenomenon in substrate and enhance the light output from external surface of substrate. But it could not block light exiting from the side of substrate thoroughly.

In this paper, a novel method of body modification on glass substrate is demonstrated using lateral metal reflective film (LMRF) coated on side of substrate and MLA covering on forward surface of substrate to promote light extraction from organic device. The LMRF on the side of reversal trapezoid shape substrate could forbid light escaping with waveguide mode and reflect those lights to substrate again to form forward light emission. The MLA on the substrate surface enhances the light output from front surface of substrate. Both LMRF and MLA could be easily fabricated on the substrate and compose the body modification for organic devices. The body modification including forward surface and side section of substrate could effectively improve light extraction and light intensity uniformity for OLEDs, especially for SSL application.

2. Models of Substrate Modification

Figure 1 presents the schematic diagram of light extraction enhancement with LMRF and MLA modification on transparent substrate. In Figure 1(a), the edge of substrate was cut down with certain angle, polished, and covered with metal reflection layer. Light rays 1 and 2 can escape the substrate to front air, but ray 3 would be reflected to glass and exit from the side of substrate because of the mismatch of glass-air refractive index and large incident angle (higher than critical angle). After setting LMRF at the side of substrate, ray 3 would be reflected to substrate and approach the interface of substrate-air with a different incident angle which is smaller than critical angle. With MLA modification on the glass-air interface, the light ray with large incident angle (ray 3) could also be extracted out because the surface of substrate is changed by the lens array as shown in Figure 1(b). Therefore, more lights are extracted out due to the reflection of edge metal layer and morphology changing by microlens array on the substrate.

The device model of light extraction with different modified substrate could be simulated and optimized with ray trace method. In order to identify the influence of LMRF with different cutting angle and MLA with different contact angle to the light output efficiency, three-dimensional models of organic devices with two modification structures are designed, which are briefly demonstrated in Figure 2. The structure of organic device is glass substrate (30 × 30 × 5 mm)/ITO (30 × 30 × 0.0001 mm)/multiorganic layers (30 × 30 × 0.00012 mm)/aluminum cathode (30 × 30 × 0.0001 mm). The four sides of substrate are cut down with different cutting angle and covered with 100 nm LMRF. For the MLA modification in Figure 2(b), the microlens is residual spherical crown of a sphere which is separated to two parts with different contact angle. The microlenses cover on the whole forward surface of glass with perpendicular arrangement, and the dimension choice of the microlens depends on the fabrication process of the microlens array and former reports about MLA. Both LMRF and MLA structures would be used to form the substrate body modification for OLEDs. For simplicity, the metal layer is regarded as ideal reflecting layer, and the absorption parameter of organic material is set to zero. Refractive indexes of organic material, ITO anode, and glass substrate are 1.8, 1.8, and 1.5, respectively, referencing from former reports [23–25].

3. Results and Discussion

The simulation illuminance maps of substrate forward surface of OLEDs are shown in Figure 3, in which (a) is the OLEDs with normal substrate, (b) with LMRF structure substrate, (c) with MLA structure substrate, and (d) with body modification substrate. The simulation process is carried out using 100 thousands rays with a typical green light wavelength of 540 nm. From the simulation result in Figure 3(a), there is very low light emission near the margin of substrate and the total flux ratio is 21.5% for the normal glass substrate, which is near other’s reports [26, 27]. After setting LMRF at four sides of substrate, the light output intensity at the margin of substrate is obviously enhanced and there is distinct light extraction area reflected from the four LMRF layers. The total
The cutting angle of substrate side and surface morphology of microlens would affect the light extraction from substrate according to the simulation results. The light output ratios of organic devices with different cutting angle of substrate side and different contact angle of MLA/substrate are indicated in Figure 4. The demonstration diagrams of the cutting angle and contact angle are also inserted in Figure 4 for describing the modification clearly. We attempt to descrip
the mathematical expression between the light output ratio and cutting angle, but the emission light from OLEDs with different incident angles reaches the LMRF layer and is reflected to different surface of substrate. The emission light which exits from substrate changes with the cutting angle, which is uneasy to express with equations. The total light output ratio at different cutting angles is given in Figure 4 and the maximum output ratio is 43.2% (simulation results) for OLEDs when the substrate with a 40° cutting angle. The light output ratio decreases quickly at large cutting angle (>70°) and reaches 21.5% at 90° cutting angle (which means to be a normal substrate).

The radius \( r \) and height \( h \) of microlens (spherical crown profile) could be defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
r &= R \sin \alpha, \\
\cos \alpha \\
&= R - R \cos \alpha,
\end{align*}
\]

in which \( R \) is the radius of sphere and \( \alpha \) is the contact angle of microlens with the substrate. With the value of \( \alpha \) and \( R \), the model of microlens could be built and light output ratio of organic devices with different microlens array is shown in Figure 4. The light output ratio increases from 31.2% to 38.7% when the contact angle changes from 30° to 90° and indicates a linear relationship with the contact angle. The influence on light output efficiency of MLA/substrate contact angle is similar with the results of the microlens fill factor on substrate [28].

The LMRF layer on the side of substrate can be easily fabricated and the brief process is presented in Figure 5(a). The four sides of glass substrate were cut off and showed reversal trapeziform profile. Then the side surface was polished and etched to form a glossy flat. After that, 100 nm aluminum metal thin film was evaporated on the four side surfaces of substrate to form metal reflection layer. Image of the substrate with LMRF is shown in (4) of Figure 5(a). Figure 5(b) illustrated the concise preparing process of MLA covering at forward surface of substrate briefly. The transparent substrate (1) with ITO film was spun coating a thick layer of photoresist (2), and then the photoresist pattern (3) was formed on the substrate using normal photolithography process and photomask. To achieve hemispherical type lens array (4), the substrate was post-bake in oven at 140°C temperature for 30 minutes. Scanning electron microscope image of the microlens array was described in (5) of Figure 5(b), which

Figure 2: 3D models of multilayer OLEDs with different substrate modification, (a) using the substrate with LMRF structure and (b) using the substrate with MLA structure. The dark square line in the center of substrate is the multilayer device and both electrodes.
Figure 3: The simulation illuminance maps at substrate output surface of OLEDs with different substrate, (a) with normal substrate, (b) with LMRF structure substrate, (c) with MLA structure substrate, and (d) with body modification substrate.

Figure 4: Light output ratio of organic devices with different cutting angle of substrate side and different contact angle of MLA/substrate from ray trace simulation; the insets are the demonstration diagram of cutting angle and contact angle.
Figure 5: Brief fabrication process of LMRF (a) and MLA (b) structure on substrate. In (a), (1) is the glass substrate, (2) is cutting four lateral angles of substrate, (3) is coating metal reflective layer, and (4) is the image of substrate with LMRF layer. In (b), (1) is the glass substrate, (2) is spun coating microlens material, (3) is patterned by photolithography process, (4) is pattern after high temperature baking, and (5) is the SEM image of microlens array.

indicates that the microlens array with semicircle shape has been formed. The height and diameter of microlens are \( \sim 2 \mu m \) and \( 5 \mu m \), respectively. With the dimension, the contact angle of microlens/substrate is calculated to be \( \sim 78^\circ \). The gap between the microlenses is \( \sim 0.5 \mu m \). The size of the microlens array is much smaller than the microlens fabricated with print or self-assembled method [29, 30].

The light output improvement of substrate with LMRF and MLA structure can be characterized by a white LED dot source and those images are shown in Figure 6. Figure 6(a) shows the picture of LED through normal glass with 5 mm thickness and Figure 6(b) indicates the image of using same source crossing through the substrate with LMRF structure. There is obvious light halation around the dot source and four reflection dots at the substrate side, which means more lights extracting from the forward surface of substrate than the device with normal substrate. The red spots in (b) are indicative of a weak distributed feedback resonance in the substrate caused by the reflective sides. Comparing light output images of LED through the substrate (normal glass with 1 mm thickness) with (Figure 6(d)) and without (Figure 6(c)) microlens array, the emission area and light intensity have been improved in Figure 6(d), which is similar to the former simulation results shown in Figure 3.

OLEDs were fabricated on different substrates (normal substrate, substrate with MLA, substrate with LMRF, and substrate with body modification) with device structure ITO/NPB (40 nm)/CBP: (tpbi)_2Ir(acac) (2 wt%, 30 nm)/BCP (10 nm)/Alq3 (40 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm). The size of substrate was \( 50 \times 50 \times 5 \) mm, and the substrate cutting angle was 45\(^\circ\) and the microlens array was fabricated on the substrate with the method shown in Figure 5(b). The luminance-voltage characteristics and typical external quantum efficiency (EQE) of those organic devices are shown in Figure 7. Simple method about calculating EQE has been reported with some device characteristics including emission spectra, current density, and luminance parameters [31–33]. The luminance of the organic device using substrate with body modification is higher than the other three devices, and the device with normal substrates shows the lowest luminance at the same driving voltage. The highest EQE of device with body modification is 4.67% and the EQE value is about 1.8 times higher than the device with normal substrate, which means that a light extraction improvement of 80%
is obtained with the body modification substrate from the experiment results.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a facile method of body modification on substrate is introduced to enhance light extraction for organic devices using LMRF coated on the side of substrate and MLA covering the forward surface of substrate. Three-dimensional models of organic devices with different substrate side cutting angle and different contact angle of microlens/substrate were simulated to get the optimized design of substrate structure. According the simulation results, light output ratio of organic device using the substrate with body modification structure

Figure 6: Images of LED dot source through glass substrate: the top two images for comparing the substrate with (b) or without (a) LMRF structure and the bottom two images for comparing the substrate with (d) or without (c) MLA structure.

Figure 7: Luminance-voltage characteristics and typical external quantum efficiency of four organic devices.
is 2.15 times higher than the organic device with normal glass substrate, and the light output intensity is more uniform. The LMRF layer is evaporated on the oblique side of substrate and the MLA structure with semicircle shape is fabricated on the substrate using normal photolithography process. The EQE value of fabricated organic device with body modification is about 1.8 times higher than the device with normal substrate from the test results. This method could be widely used to improve the light output efficiency for OLEDs, especially the SSL application.
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