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Benzene is a toxic air pollutant and causes great harm to human being. Photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) has been frequently studied for benzene removal, however, its PCO efficiency is still very low and the photocatalysts are easy to be deactivated. To improve the efficiency and stability of PCO, UV lamps with partial 185 nm UV irradiation were used to activate photocatalysts (denoted as 185-PCO). Cobalt modified TiO₂ (Co-TiO₂) was developed to improve the PCO activity and eliminate ozone generated from 185 nm UV irradiation. Results show that benzene removal efficiency of PCO with 254 nm UV irradiation (denoted as 254-PCO) is only 2.1% while it was greatly increased to 51.5% in 185-PCO. 185-PCO exhibited superior capacity for benzene oxidation. In the 185-PCO process, much ozone was left in case of TiO₂ as photocatalysts while it can be nearly eliminated by 1% Co-TiO₂.

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of economy and the increase in population, massive volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are discharged from both industry (such as chemical, petrochemical, painting, and coating factories) and human activities [1, 2]. VOCs not only do great harm to the health of human being but also cause serious damage to the atmospheric environment. They can lead to atmospheric compound pollution and haze. The haze weather, which lasted for a long time in many cities of China in early 2013, had caused much trouble to local people. It is of great significance to control VOCs pollution.

Benzene is a representative VOC. It is very toxic and carcinogenic. Benzene is hard to be destructed by conventional technology due to its benzene ring. The methods of benzene removal include conventional ways such as adsorption [3, 4], catalytic combustion [5], and biological degradation [6] and emerging ways such as nonthermal plasma [7] and photocatalysis [8–10]. However, the application of these methods is greatly limited due to their inherent drawbacks such as high cost, deactivation, and byproducts [11–14].

PCO is one of the fastest developed technologies for VOCs control. The most widely used UV sources in PCO are 254 nm and 365 nm UV lamp. However, the conventional PCO process has disadvantages such as photocatalyst deactivation, recombination of electron-hole pair, and low efficiency [15]. In order to improve the efficiency and stability of PCO, UV lamps with partial 185 nm UV irradiation (denoted as 185-PCO) were used to activate photocatalysts [16–18]. 185 nm UV lamps cannot only irradiate photocatalyst but also generate active oxidants such as •O₂, •OH, and ozone. They are also facile, cheap, and energy efficient. Previous study showed that the toluene removal of 185-PCO is 7 times higher than that of PCO under 254 nm UV irradiation (denoted as 254-PCO), and no obvious deactivation was observed in the former [19]. However, massive ozone was residual at the outlet of photocatalytic reactor since TiO₂ had poor activity towards ozone decomposition. Ozone is a byproduct and it is harmful to the environment and the health of human being; meanwhile it is a strong oxidant and can be used to enhance the oxidation of pollutants.

Cobalt is a commonly used metal not only for TiO₂ doping but also as an active component of ozone decomposition
agent. In this study, cobalt modified TiO$_2$ (Co-TiO$_2$) was developed to improve the PCO activity and eliminate ozone generated from 185 nm irradiation. Benzene was selected as representative VOC and its oxidation performance is compared between 185-PCO and 254-PCO. Results show that Co-TiO$_2$ can simultaneously increase benzene removal and ozone decomposition in 185-PCO. 185-PCO presents an efficient, economic, simple, and stable process for benzene removal.

2. Experimental Sections

2.1. Preparation of Photocatalysts. TiO$_2$ was prepared by sol-gel method using tetrabutyl titanate as the precursor, absolute alcohol as the solution, and HCl as the inhibitor, respectively. The preparation procedure is as follows: cobalt acetate was added into the mixture of 50 mL absolute alcohol and 17 mL tetrabutyl titanate and mixed for 30 min, forming solution A. Another mixture B containing 18 mL absolute alcohol, 1 mL HCl, and 3 mL deionized water was dropwise added into solution A under intensive stirring. The stirring was stopped till the gelatin was formed. The gelatin was aged for 12 h and then dried at 120°C for 6 h. The dry powder was followed by calcinations at 550°C for 4 h. Thus, cobalt doped TiO$_2$ was produced. Pure TiO$_2$ was fabricated by similar processes for the preparation of Co-TiO$_2$ except that no cobalt acetate was introduced during the synthesis. The catalysts were grinded into 40–60 mesh before use.

2.2. Catalytic Activity Test. The experimental setup and PCO reactor were shown in Figure 1. The catalytic activity test system was composed of 3 parts: gas distribution, benzene PCO, and gas analysis system. The gas from zero air generator is dry air free of CO, CO$_2$, and hydrocarbon. It was used for bubble water and benzene liquid to generate water and benzene vapor, respectively. The benzene concentration, humidity, and gas flow can be regulated by the mass flow controller ($S49$, Horibametron). A 0.5 L/min gas flow of 50 ppm benzene concentration and 50% humidity was introduced into benzene PCO reactor. The reactor is a glass cylinder container with an effective volume of 0.5 L, in which a quartz glass tube was located in the centre and two UV lamps (4 W, Sungreen) were fixed in both sides of the tube with a distance of 8 mm. The detailed PCO reactor is shown in Figure 1. A solid rod of 8 mm diameter was placed in the center of the quartz glass tube with 1.3 cm i.d. and the photocatalysts was loaded in the space between the rod and glass tube. By this way, the photocatalysts have more chances to be irradiated by UV light. The UV lamps were turned on for 30 min for the warming-up of system before reaction and data recording. The gaseous benzene entered into the reactor from the bottom of glass tube and left from the top. The benzene and ozone concentrations of effluent were monitored by gas chromatography (GC) equipped with a FID (GC9790II, Fuli) and ozone analyzer (Model 202, 2B Technology) online, respectively.

2.3. Catalyst Characterization. BET surface areas of the samples were measured by N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K using Quadrasorb SI instrument. Prior to the measurement, the samples were degassed at 573 K for 2 h. The morphology of photocatalysts was obtained with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (JSM-6330F, JEOL) operated at
beam energy of 20.0 kV. XRD patterns were collected with a Panalytical Empyrean X-ray powder diffractometer operated at 35 kV and 25 mA, using Cu Kα (λ = 1.5418 Å) radiation. The intensity data were collected in a 20 range from 20° to 80°.

### Table 1: BET surface area of photocatalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>BET surface area, m²/g</th>
<th>Particle size, nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P25</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-TiO₂</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization. Figure 2 shows the XRD spectra of the synthesized TiO₂ and Co-TiO₂ as well as the commercial TiO₂ (P25, Degussa). The nanocrystalline anatase structure was confirmed by (101), (004), (200), (105), and (204) diffraction peaks [20]. The XRD patterns of anatase have a main peak at 20 = 25.2° corresponding to the 101 plane (JCPDS 21-1272) while the main peaks of rutile and brookite phases are at 20 = 27.4° (110 plane) and 20 = 30.8° (121 plane), respectively. Therefore, rutile and brookite phases have not been detected on the synthesized TiO₂ and Co-TiO₂. They exhibit very similar shape of diffractive peaks of the crystal planes. The XRD patterns did not show any Co phase, indicating that Co ions uniformly dispersed among the anatase crystallites.

Unlike the synthesized TiO₂, weak peaks of rutile phase can be observed on P25 (Figure 1). The average particle size of TiO₂ was estimated by applying the Scherrer equation (D = Kλ/βcosθ) on the anatase and rutile diffraction peaks (the most intense peaks for each sample), where D is the crystal size of the catalyst, λ is the X-ray wavelength (1.54 Å), β is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the catalyst (radian), K = 0.89, and θ is the diffraction angle [21]. The average crystal sizes of TiO₂, 1% Co-TiO₂, and P25 were calculated to be around 10.5 nm, 34.9 nm, and 29.0 nm, respectively, as shown in Table 1. Compared with P25, the synthesized TiO₂ can greatly reduce the particle size; however, the doping of cobalt triggered the aggregation of particle during the synthesis process, leading to the increase in particle size.

This observation is consistent with the results of BET surface area and the observation of SEM images. The BET surface area of TiO₂, 1% Co-TiO₂ and P25 is 96.6, 26.9 and 55.4 m²/g, respectively. TiO₂ with the smallest particle size has the largest BET surface area.

SEM micrograph of TiO₂, 1% Co-TiO₂, and P25 nanoparticles is shown in Figure 3. This image shows uniform small particles which are coherent together on the TiO₂ and P25; however, the particles of 1% Co-TiO₂ got aggregated. The results agree well with the results of XRD pattern and BET surface area.

3.2. Catalytic Activity Test. Figure 4 compared benzene removal efficiency in PCO processes with 185 nm and 254 nm UV irradiation. It can be found that it is very low in case of 254-PCO process. Benzene removal efficiency is only about 2%. It is well known that benzene is very difficult to be destructed due to its stable π-bonding. Moreover, the intermediates from benzene PCO can lead to the serious deactivation of photocatalysts [22]. However, benzene conversion was greatly increased to about 50% under 185 nm UV irradiation and no obvious deactivation was observed after reaction for 3 h. Among 3 tested samples, Co-TiO₂ obtained the highest benzene removal efficiency of 51.5%, followed by P25 (50.2%) and TiO₂ (45.7%). Benzene removal efficiency of 185-PCO is over 20 times than that of 254-PCO. 185-PCO is a very complex process, in which 185 nm UV lamp not only acted as the irradiation light of photocatalysis but also generated reactive oxidants such as •O₂, •OH, and ozone. The reaction processes for the formation of reactive oxidants are as follows [23]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu & \rightarrow \bullet \text{H} + \bullet \text{OH} \\
\text{O}_2 + h\nu & \rightarrow 2 \bullet \text{O} \\
\bullet \text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow 2 \bullet \text{OH} \\
\bullet \text{O} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{O}_3
\end{align*}
\]

In order to clarify the contribution of 185 nm irradiation, the photocatalysts were removed from the reactor. The new process is photolysis. It can be found that benzene removal efficiency reached 38% under 185 nm irradiation alone. 185 nm photolysis contributes much to benzene oxidation in the 185-PCO process. The sum of benzene removal efficiency due to PCO and 185 nm photolysis is about 40%, which is approximately 10% smaller than that of 185-PCO. This indicated that other factors were also involved in benzene oxidation in the 185-PCO process besides photolysis and PCO. As we know, ozone can be abundantly generated from 185 nm UV irradiation. The ozone concentration is 138 ppm in the absence of photocatalysts. Ozone is strong...
oxidant. Although it cannot directly oxidize benzene, it can be decomposed into more active oxygen species with the aid of catalysts [24]:

\[
\begin{align*}
O_3 + ^* & \rightarrow O_2 + O^* \\
O^* + O_3 & \rightarrow O_2 + O_2^* \quad (2) \\
O_2^* & \rightarrow O_2 + ^*
\end{align*}
\]

* represents the catalytic active sites.

3.3. Ozone Decomposition. 185-PCO exhibited more superior capacity for benzene oxidation than 254-PCO. However, ozone is another important concern besides benzene removal since it is a toxic byproduct. Although 3 tested samples had similar benzene removal efficiency since 185 nm UV photolysis contributed to a large proportion of benzene removal, they had entirely different activity toward ozone decomposition. As shown in Figure 5, the ozone concentration at outlet of 185-PCO reactor after reaction for 2.5 h was 119 ppm in case of the synthesized TiO₂, and it was dropped to 58.4 ppm in case of P25. Although the synthesized TiO₂ had higher BET surface area than that of P25, its capacity for ozone decomposition is worse than that of the latter. It was reported that higher BET surface area should be helpful for ozone decomposition [25]. The difference between synthesized TiO₂ and commercial P25 is that the former is pure anatase.
TiO$_2$ while P25 contained some rutile TiO$_2$ besides anatase one. A previous study showed that TiO$_2$ with partial rutile had better capacity for ozone decomposition than that of pure anatase TiO$_2$ [26]. As for Co-TiO$_2$, ozone can be completely eliminated. Cobalt is a very active component for ozone decomposition. Co doped TiO$_2$ exhibited superior activity toward ozone elimination. In comprehensive view of benzene removal and ozone decomposition, Co-TiO$_2$ exhibited the best performance among the 3 tested samples.

3.4. Effect of Co Doping. In order to study the effect of Co doping, 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 2%, and 3% Co-TiO$_2$ were prepared and tested in 185-PCO process. The results after reaction for 150 min are shown in Figure 6. Benzene removal efficiency is only 47.6% in case of 0.1% Co-TiO$_2$. As the increase in Co loading, benzene removal efficiency was increased to 51.5% in case of 1% Co-TiO$_2$ and 52.4% in case of 3% Co-TiO$_2$.

Figure 7 shows the effect of cobalt loading amount on ozone concentration at the outlet after reaction for 150 min. In case of Co doping amount lower than 1%, the ozone concentration at the outlet was dropped with the increase in Co loading. As for 0.1% Co doping, the ozone concentration is 79.5 ppm while it was decreased to nearly zero in case of 1% Co doping. The increase in Co doping can provide more catalytic active sites for ozone decomposition. However, the ozone concentration at the outlet was increased with further increase in Co doping. Too much Co doping is not beneficial to ozone decomposition since Co probably gets aggregated and blocks the micropore of TiO$_2$. This will reduce the catalytic active sites and BET surface area, leading to worse activities toward ozone decomposition.

4. Conclusion

To improve the efficiency and stability of PCO, 185-PCO was used to activate photocatalysts. Co-TiO$_2$ was developed to improve the PCO activity and eliminate the ozone generated from 185 nm UV irradiation. Results show that benzene removal efficiency of PCO with 254-PCO is only 2.1% while it was greatly increased to 51.5% in the 185-PCO process. 185 nm UV irradiation can generate much reactive oxygen species such as •O$_2$, •OH, and ozone, which can jointly enhance benzene oxidation together with PCO. In 185-PCO, much ozone is left in case of TiO$_2$ as photocatalysts while it can be completely eliminated by 1% Co-TiO$_2$. 185-PCO is an efficient and promising process for benzene removal.
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