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Surfactant-Free Synthesis of Single Crystalline SnS\textsubscript{2} and Effect of Surface Atomic Structure on the Photocatalytic Property
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Sheetlike tin disulfide (SnS\textsubscript{2}) single crystal exposed with well-defined \{001\} facets and flowerlike SnS\textsubscript{2} mainly exposed with \{010\} facets were prepared through a surfactant-free solvothermal process. Photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange (MO) under visible light irradiation indicated that the sheetlike SnS\textsubscript{2} showed a much higher activity than flowerlike SnS\textsubscript{2}. Theoretical and experimental results revealed that the band structure derived from the surface atomic structure played a more important role than the surface energy in the photocatalytic property. The present work has provided a deep insight into the important role of the surface energy and band structure, both of which are derived from the surface atomic structure, in the photocatalytic activity.

1. Introduction

As an ideal green chemistry technology, semiconductor photocatalysis has been attracting extensive attention owing to its potential application in environmental purification. The photocatalytic activity of a semiconductor is influenced by many factors, among which the surface atomic structure plays an important role. Generally speaking, the high energy facets that contain abundant unsaturated coordination atoms exhibit high reactivity [1–5]. For example, Yang et al. have found that the high energy \{001\} facets of anatase TiO\textsubscript{2} are much more reactive than the thermodynamically more stable low energy \{010\} facets [6]. Xi and Ye demonstrated that the well-defined BiVO\textsubscript{4} nanoplates with exposed \{001\} facets exhibited greatly enhanced activity for photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants and for photocatalytic O\textsubscript{2} generation [7]. On the other hand, Pan et al. demonstrated that TiO\textsubscript{2} single crystal exposed with more \{010\} facets exhibited superior electronic band structure over that of TiO\textsubscript{2} exposed with more \{001\} facets, resulting in a higher photocatalytic activity [8]. Apparently, both the surface atomic geometry (atomic arrangement and coordination) and surface electronic structure play the crucial role in the photocatalytic activity of a semiconductor material.

Semiconducting metal sulfides are of an important kind of photocatalytic and photovoltaic materials. Among them, tin disulfide (SnS\textsubscript{2}) has attracted considerable attention for applications in a variety of fields such as gas sensing [9], anode materials [10], and solar cell [11]. In addition to its low price, nontoxicity, and chemical stability in acidic or neutral solutions, hexagonal SnS\textsubscript{2} possesses a narrow band gap of 2.2–2.5 eV and has the potential to be a good visible-light photocatalyst [12–14]. Featured as a hexagonal CdI\textsubscript{2}-type crystal structure consisting of S–Sn–S triple layer, in which the atomic layers are held together by weak van der Waals interactions, SnS\textsubscript{2} can be easily cleaved, allowing the formation of different morphologies [15]. To date, various SnS\textsubscript{2} morphologies, such as graphene-like [13], sphere-like [16], flower-like [17], nanotubes [18], nanoplates [19], nanobelts [20], and nanowires [21] structures, have been successfully fabricated by chemical bath, template methods, thermal decomposition, or hydrothermal methods and so on.

Herein we report for the first time a simple surfactant-free method for the preparation of sheetlike SnS\textsubscript{2} with exposed \{001\} facets and flowerlike SnS\textsubscript{2} with exposed \{010\} facets. Photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange (MO) under visible light irradiation indicated that the sheetlike SnS\textsubscript{2} showed a much higher activity than flowerlike SnS\textsubscript{2}. Theoretical and
experimental results revealed that the band structure derived from the surface atomic structure played a more important role than the surface energy in the photocatalytic property.

2. Experimental

2.1. Photocatalyst Preparation. All reagents including tin chloride pentahydrate (SnCl\textsubscript{2}·5H\textsubscript{2}O), concentrated hydrochloric acid, absolute alcohol, acetic acid, and thioacetamide (TAA) were analytical grade and used directly as received without further purification.

SnS\textsubscript{2} was prepared from SnCl\textsubscript{2}·5H\textsubscript{2}O (J&K) and TAA (J&K) via a solvothermal route. In a typical preparation, 5.0 mmol of SnCl\textsubscript{2}·5H\textsubscript{2}O was dissolved in 3 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid (38%, w/w) in a 100 mL beaker. Then, 70 mL of deionized water and 12.5 mmol of TAA were added in sequence. After stirring, the reactants were transferred into a Teflon-line stainless steel autoclave of 100 mL capacity. The autoclave was sealed and heated at 180 °C for 12 h and then cooled down to room temperature naturally. A yellow precipitate was collected and washed with deionized water and absolute ethanol for several times to remove the impurities. Finally, the sample was dried in vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h to get the sheetlike SnS\textsubscript{2}. To synthesize the flowerlike SnS\textsubscript{2}, 5.0 mmol of SnCl\textsubscript{2}·5H\textsubscript{2}O was dissolved in 3 mL of acetic acid in a 100 mL beaker, and 70 mL of ethanol and 12.5 mmol of TAA were added in sequence. The following process was the same as that for sheetlike SnS\textsubscript{2} fabrication.

2.2. Characterization. Crystal structures of the as-prepared samples were determined by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD: D8 Advanced, Bruker, Germany) using Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å) at a scanning rate of 0.02° s\textsuperscript{-1}. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on Thermo ESCALAB250 using monochromatized Al Kα radiation as the exciting source, where the binding energies were calibrated by referencing the C 1s peak (284.6 eV). UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra were measured at room temperature in the range of 220–800 nm on a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-vis DRS UV-2700, Shimadzu, Japan) by using BaSO\textsubscript{4} as reference and were converted to absorbance spectra by the Kubelka-Munk method. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas were measured by a surface area analyzer (BET-BJH AsiQcovoo 2–4, Quantachrom, USA). Microstructures of the samples were observed on a scanning electron microscope (SEM S4800, Hitachi, Japan) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM Technai G2 F20, FEI, Netherlands).

2.3. Photocatalytic Activity Evaluation. Photocatalytic activity was evaluated by performing methyl orange (MO) degradation as follows: 40 mg of the catalyst was suspended in 100 mL of aqueous solution (10 mg/L) in a Pyrex reactor. Before irradiation, the suspension was stirred in the dark for about 2 h to ensure an adsorption-desorption equilibrium. Then the reaction was initiated by irradiating with a 300 W Xenon lamp located 15 cm away from the solution. A cutoff filter (L42, Hoya, Japan) was equipped to remove UV light. At a certain given time interval, 3 mL of reaction solution was sampled and separated by filter. The absorption spectrum of the filtrate was measured by UV-vis spectrophotometer.

2.4. Theoretical Calculations. Electronic structures and surface atomic structures of the two SnS\textsubscript{2} samples were investigated via the projector augmented wave (PAW) formalism of density functional theory as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [22]. The exchange-correlation function is approximated with the local density approximation (LDA). The energy cutoff for plane-wave expansion of the PAW’s is 400 eV. As will be discussed below, \{001\} and \{010\} surfaces are modeled by periodically repeated slabs containing 6 and 7 SnS\textsubscript{2} layers, respectively, and separated by a vacuum region of more than 15 Å. The thickness of the slabs is determined when the energy of increased SnS\textsubscript{2} molecules with the thickness increasing approaches the energy of bulk SnS\textsubscript{2}. We use a two-dimensional unit cell containing 1 and 4 SnS\textsubscript{2} per SnS\textsubscript{2} layer. The Brillouin zone is sampled using Monkhorst-Pack scheme [23] with 15 × 15 × 1 and 5 × 7 × 1 K-point grid for \{001\} and \{010\} surfaces, respectively. For geometry optimization, all the internal coordinates are relaxed until the Hellmann-Feynman forces are less than 0.01 eV/Å.

3. Results and Discussion

XRD patterns of the as-prepared SnS\textsubscript{2} samples are shown in Figure 1. We can see that all the peaks in the XRD patterns can be readily indexed to hexagonal SnS\textsubscript{2} with lattice constants \(a = 0.3648\) nm and \(c = 0.5899\) nm, which are in good agreement with the reported values (JCPDS file number 65-7657). No other impurity peaks were detected. Further analysis revealed that, for the sheetlike sample, the (001) diffraction peak showed the strongest intensity, indicating that the (001) orientation is predominant. For the flowerlike sample, the (001) diffraction peak remarkably decreased while the (101) peak relatively increased.
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Figure 2: Wide range XPS survey profiles of the flowerlike SnS₂ (a) and sheetlike SnS₂ (b) and high-resolution spectra of Sn 3d in flowerlike SnS₂ (c) and sheetlike SnS₂ (d).

Meanwhile, the surface electronic states and the chemical composition of samples were detected by XPS. The survey spectra showed the presence of Sn, C, S, and O in both the sheetlike and flowerlike samples (see Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). The two strong peaks around 486.5 and 495 eV displayed in Figures 2(c) and 2(d) can be attributed to Sn 3d₃/₂ and 3d₅/₂, respectively, which are in good accordance with the characteristic peak of Sn(IV) [24]. No Sn²⁺ peak (binding energy at around 485.8 eV) was detected in the Sn 3d spectrum, indicating the formation of pure SnS₂.

The size and morphology of the obtained samples were observed by SEM, TEM, and HRTEM. Figure 3(a) presents a typical SEM image of the sheetlike SnS₂, which are 80–100 nm in width and 20–30 nm in thickness. TEM observation (Figure 3(b)) further confirmed the structural feature of the well-defined hexagonal plate, which was also verified by HRTEM (Figure 3(c)) analysis and the fast Fourier transformation pattern (FFT, Figure 3(c) inset). It indicates that the surface of nanosheet is mainly composed of {001} facet, being in good agreement with the XRD analysis. Figures 3(d) and 3(e) show the SEM and TEM images of flowerlike SnS₂, respectively. We can see that each individual flower consists of a large number of nanosheets. Each individual leaf was well crystallized into the single crystalline, the characteristic of which was confirmed by HRTEM (Figure 3(f)) image and the FFT pattern (Figure 3(f) inset). The diffraction spots of the FFT pattern can be indexed as {002} and {100} planes, confirming that the main exposed facets of flowerlike SnS₂ are {010}.

It is known that, for a given crystal, each facet owns a unique surface atomic arrangement and thus a unique electronic configuration [25]. Therefore, a single crystal exposed with different crystal facets will exhibit different electronic structures. To study the electronic band structures of SnS₂ samples, we measured both the UV-vis absorption spectra and the valence band XPS spectra. From the UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra as shown in Figure 4(a) we obtained that the main absorption edges of sheetlike SnS₂ and flowerlike SnS₂ are nearly 550 nm and 600 nm, respectively. Correspondingly, the band gaps are calculated to be ~2.25 eV for sheetlike SnS₂ and ~2.05 eV for flowerlike SnS₂, as shown by the plots of transformed Kubelka-Munk function versus the energy of photon (Figure 4(a), inset), being consistent with that of a previous study [26]. The valence band XPS spectra (Figure 4(b)) show that the VB edge of sheetlike SnS₂ is almost the same as that of flowerlike SnS₂, implying that the different band gap energies of sheetlike and flowerlike SnS₂ are due to the different conduction band edges of these two SnS₂ samples: the CB edge of sheetlike SnS₂ is higher than that of flowerlike SnS₂.

Based on the above experimental results, we can draw a scheme of the band structures of sheetlike SnS₂ and flowerlike SnS₂ as shown in Figure 5. Due to their different band structures, especially the conduction band edges, the sheetlike SnS₂ and flowerlike SnS₂ are expected to exhibit different photocatalytic properties.

Photocatalytic activity of the SnS₂ samples was evaluated by performing methyl orange (MO) degradation under visible light irradiation (λ > 420 nm). The characteristic absorption of MO at λ = 464 nm was used to monitor the photocatalytic degradation process. It is interesting to note from Figure 6 that although the specific surface area of flowerlike SnS₂ (32.4 m² g⁻¹) is nearly twice greater than that of the sheetlike SnS₂ (17.5 m² g⁻¹), its activity for MO degradation is
Figure 3: SEM, TEM, and HRTEM images of the sheetlike SnS₂ ((a)–(c)) and flowerlike SnS₂ ((d)–(f)). The inset in (c) and (f) is the fast Fourier transform patterns corresponding to the respective HRTEM images.

Figure 4: (a) UV-visible absorption spectra and corresponding plots of transformed Kubelka-Munk function versus the energy of photon (inset); (b) Valence-band XPS spectra of the two SnS₂ samples.
functional theory (DFT). In the slab models as illustrated in Figure 7(a), the \{010\} surface with different determinations is considered. The result shows that, compared to the \{010\} surface, the \{001\} surface owns lower surface energy (see Figure S1 in supporting information, available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/394146), meaning that the \{001\} facet is more stable than the \{010\} surface. As shown in Figure 7(b), energy band of S001, the conduction band minimum (CBM) of SnS\(_2\) is located at M point of the Brillouin zone and the valence band maximum (VBM) is situated in the region between the K point and the \(\Gamma\) point. This means that SnS\(_2\) is an indirect-gap semiconductor material. In Figures 7(b)–7(d), conduction band edges of S001 and S010 (S010\(_{\{001\}}\) and S010\(_{\{010\}}\)) are about 1.2 eV, 1.15 eV, and 1.17 eV, respectively, suggesting that the CBM of S001 is more negative than that of S010, being consistent with the previous experimental result (Figure 4(b)). The more negative CBM suggests the stronger reduction ability of photogenerated electrons, resulting in the higher photocatalytic activity of the sheetlike SnS\(_2\). Previous study on different structures of TiO\(_2\) has shown a similar result that a higher conduction band edge can generate more reductive electrons to generate the superoxide radicals \(O_2^−\) to take part in the photocatalytic reaction [5]. Moreover, Figure 7(b) shows that the conduction band of S001 is very abrupt, indicating enhanced mobility of the electrons and hence a possible advantage for restraining recombination of the charge carriers [28, 29]. In contrast, the conduction band of S010 as shown in Figures 7(c) and 7(d) is very flat, which means electrons are easily localized and their mobility is low. It is well known that the increased charge carrier mobility is favorable for a better photocatalytic activity. Therefore, the superior photocatalytic activity of sheetlike SnS\(_2\) could be ascribed to the favorable energy band structure over that of flowerlike SnS\(_2\) in terms of both the thermal dynamics and kinetics of photocatalytic reaction.

4. Conclusions

Sheetlike SnS\(_2\) single crystal exposed with well-defined \{001\} facets and flowerlike SnS\(_2\) mainly exposed with \{010\} facets were prepared through a surfactant-free solvothermal process. Theoretical calculation showed that the surface energy of \{010\} facets was higher than that of \{001\} facets. However, the photocatalytic activity of flowerlike SnS\(_2\) was much lower than that of sheetlike SnS\(_2\). XPS measurement and first-principle calculation revealed that the different surface atomic structures resulted in different electronic structures; that is, while the valence band maximum of two kinds of samples remained the same, the conduction band minimum of sheetlike SnS\(_2\) was more negative than that of flowerlike SnS\(_2\). The higher conduction band minimum leads to a stronger oxidative ability, and thus a better photocatalytic activity for degradation of MO. Moreover, the higher mobility of the electrons in the more abrupt conduction band of sheetlike SnS\(_2\) also leads to a remarkable enhancement of photocatalytic activity. The present work has provided a deep insight into the role of the surface energy and band structure, both of which are derived from the surface atomic structure, in the photocatalytic activity.
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