Performance Investigation and Structure Optimization of a Flat Dual-Function Solar Collector
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1. Introduction

China is by far the largest market for solar water heaters. Due to its advantage in adapting building envelope, flat-plate solar water heater is utilized widely in southern China to make domestic hot water. Influence of building integration on the performance of different solar collectors has been widely investigated [1, 2]. But in central and northern China, because of cold climate, antifreeze fluid has to be used as heat transfer agent to overcome water-freezing problems in flat-plate water heaters [3], which however increases both the cost of product and the effort of maintenance. As, in cold days in these regions, energy consumption is prominent for space heating in buildings, an alternative solar collector named as dual-function solar collector (DFSC) has been proposed, which operates not only as air heater for space heating in cold winter but also as water heater in other warm seasons [4–6]. By the seasonal alternation between the two heating modes, the collector has no water-freezing problems and a higher annually utilization ratio.

The DFSC is modified from conventional flat-plate collector by broadening the air gap between the absorber plate and the backboard, which together with the air gap between the absorber plate and the cover glazing functions as a channel for air flow in air heating mode [7]. Effect of the structure of air channel on efficiency of solar air heaters has been intensely investigated. Different types of fins have been used to enhance the heat transfer in air channel [8–10]. Baffles have been applied to further increase the thermal efficiency of solar air heaters [11]. The depth of air channel has been found to have notable influence on performance of solar air heaters [7]. The gap between absorber plate and glazing should be of an appropriate value to curb heat losses via the top of solar water heater [12, 13]. These structural adjustments for solar air heater and water heater, however, are different and even contradictory and hence impossible to be directly applied to DFSC. Aiming to optimize the structure parameters of DFSC, a dynamic numerical model to simulate the operation of DFSC is developed in this paper. Performance of DFSC is investigated by both experimental and numerical approaches. Compared with the experimental data, the numerical model is validated. Effect of different inner parameters on the efficiency of DFSC in two heating modes is analyzed based on numerical results.
2. Experiment Setup for Testing DFSC

2.1. Description of the DFSC. The structure of the dual-function collector is shown in Figure 1. Copper tubes are welded on the back of an aluminum plate, the front of which is coated with selective material to absorb incident solar radiation. The plate is fixed at the intermediate depth of the gap between glazing and backboard, so as to form two air channels. The absorber plate and the interior plate of backboard have the same area, and copper tubes have the same length with the absorber plate and the backboard. In water heating mode, solar energy is absorbed by the plate and transferred to the flowing water in the tubes, and air inlet and outlet are closed by filling with insulation material to prevent heat losses in water heating mode to prevent heat losses. In air heating mode, solar energy is transferred to the flowing air in the channels. The glass cover is of 0.032 m thickness with the aperture of 1.65 m in length and 0.91 m in width. The depths of upper and lower air channels are both of 0.04 m. The cross-sectional area of air inlet and outlet is 0.6 * 0.04 m². The backboard is composed of two iron plates with the both thickness of 0.004 m, middle layer of which is glass fiber with the thickness of 0.015 m for thermal insulation. Glass fiber is also mounted as thermal insulation material at the sides of the collector.

2.2. Experimental Setup. The experiments of the collector in water heating and air heating modes were set up separately as shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively.

In water heating mode, the collector was connected to a storage water tank of 120 L with pipes and associated valves. In the experiment, water was filled into the system in early morning, and measurement lasted from morning till late afternoon. The temperatures of water at inlet and outlet, absorber plate surface, glass cover surface, air in the upper and lower channels, interior and exterior surfaces of backboard, and five equidistant points in the water tank were measured.

The experiment of collector in air heating mode was set up according to the ASHRAE standard [14]. Open-loop testing method was adopted. A vortex type air pump was used to drive airflow and a valve was used to control flow rate. The air flow rate was measured by a gas mass flow meter. Temperatures of air at both inlet and outlet of the collector were measured.

The experiments were carried out in good weather conditions in Hefei from March to June. Temperatures of the DFSC test system and the ambient air were measured by copper-constantan thermocouples with the accuracy of ±0.5 °C. Incident solar radiation was measured by Pyranometer (TBQ-2, Jinzhou Sunshine, China) with an error of ±2%. A deflecting vane anemometer (Jinzhou Sunshine, China) was used to measure ambient wind speed. The air mass flow rate was measured by a gas mass flow meter with a maximum permissible error of ±1%. All the measured values were recorded by Agilent 34970A data acquisition unit at an interval of 30 seconds.

2.3. Evaluation of the Thermal Performance of DFSC. In air heating, the instantaneous thermal efficiency of the collector is defined as the thermal energy increase of air flow through the collector divided by the received solar irradiance, expressed as

\[ \eta_a = \frac{m C_a \Delta T}{A_c} \]  

(1)

In water heating, the daily thermal efficiency of the collector is defined as the thermal energy increase of water in the tank divided by the daily solar irradiation on the plane of the collector during the experiment time from early morning till late afternoon, expressed as

\[ \eta_w = \frac{M C_w \Delta T}{G A_c} \]  

(2)

where \( M \) is the total mass of water in the system.

A semiempirical system efficiency correlated with the daily efficiency data is adopted to evaluate the thermal performance of DFSC thermosiphon system [15]:

\[ \eta_w = \alpha' - U \Delta T \frac{T_{inital} - T_a}{G} \]  

(3)

where \( \alpha' \) and \( U \) are the parameters depending on the properties of the solar thermosiphon system. \( \alpha' \) can be interpreted as the system efficiency, and it means the system efficiency under the condition in which the initial temperature equals the mean ambient temperature. \( U \) is the energy loss coefficient of the collector. \( \alpha' \) and \( U \) can be determined from linear regression analysis of the daily efficiency of DFSC system from experimental results.
3. Numerical Modelling

3.1. Modelling of the Collector in Air Heating Mode. The numerical model of air heating has been established in our previous work [4]. The essential components of the collector are the glass cover, the backboard, and the absorber plate. Under the assumption that the temperatures of the glass cover, absorber, and the back plate vary only in the direction of airflow, the energy balance equations of steady-state conditions were established. The temperature of the air streams can be obtained by solving the energy equations. Then the useful heat gain and efficiency of the collector can be obtained.

3.2. Modelling of the Collector in Water Heating Mode

3.2.1. Governing Equations. The scheme of heat transfer of the components of the collector in water heating mode is shown in Figure 4. Since water in the tubes flows along the length of the collector, the temperature difference on the components occurs mainly in the length direction, except that on the absorber plate, where solar heat is also conducted in the width direction to water tubes. In the following, energy balance equations are given for the components of DFSC including the glass cover, absorber plate, copper tubes, backboard, and the water flow in tubes.
The energy equation of the glass cover is one dimensional in the length direction (x-direction):

\[ \rho_g C_d d_g \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial t} = k_g d_g \frac{\partial^2 T_g}{\partial x^2} \]

\[ + \left( h c_{p-g} + h r_{p-g} \right) \left( T_p - T_g \right) \]

\[ + h w \left( T_a - T_g \right) + h r_1 \left( T_i - T_g \right) + \alpha_g S, \]

where \( Q_p \) is the irradiative heat from absorber plate to copper tube and interior plate of backboard and \( Q_{c,pt} \) is the heat conducted from absorber plate to water tube:

\[ Q_{c,pt} = 0, \]

where the absorber plate is not connected with the tube, and

\[ Q_{c,pt} = \left( \frac{T_p - T_t}{R_{p-1} A_{pt}} \right), \]

where the absorber plate is connected with the tube, and \( A_{pt} \) is the area of the control volume of the absorber plate, \( A_{pt} = d x \cdot d y \).

The one-dimensional heat balance equation of the copper tube is given as

\[ \pi (D_t - d_t) d_t \rho C_t \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial t} = \pi (D_t - d_t) d_t k_t \frac{\partial^2 T_t}{\partial x^2} + P_t h w - T_t \]

\[ + \pi D_t h c_{t-b} (T_b - T_t) + \frac{1}{R_{p-1}} \left( T_p - T_t \right) - \pi D_t Q_t, \]

where \( Q_t \) is the irradiative heat from copper tube to absorber plate and the interior plate of backboard.

The energy equation of the interior plate of backboard is given as

\[ \rho_b C_d d_b \frac{\partial T_b}{\partial t} = k_b d_b \frac{\partial^2 T_b}{\partial x^2} + h c_{p-b} \left( T_p - T_b \right) \]

\[ + \frac{N \pi D}{u_b} h c_{t-b} (T_t - T_b) + U_b' (T_a - T_b) \]

\[ - Q_b, \]

where \( U_b' = 1/(d_L/k_R + 1/hw) \) and \( Q_b \) is the irradiative heat from interior plate of backboard to copper tube and absorber plate. The calculation method of \( Q_p, Q_t, \) and \( Q_b \) is described in Section 3.2.3.

Equation of the water flow in copper tubes is given as

\[ A_1 \rho w C_w \frac{\partial T_w}{\partial t} = -A_1 \rho w u w C_w \frac{\partial T_w}{\partial x} + A_1 k_w \frac{\partial^2 T_w}{\partial x^2} \]

\[ + P_t h c_{w-t} (T_t - T_w), \]

where \( A_1 \) is the area of the internal cross section of the tube.

The water flow rate in the tubes is determined by the interaction between the buoyant head \( H_r \) due to thermosiphon effect and the friction loss \( H_f \) in the water flow loop of the thermosiphon system [16]. The buoyant head \( H_r \) can be expressed as a function of the specific gravity of water which is the function of temperature, and the friction loss \( H_f \) can be expressed as a function of water flow rate. So the flow rate can be determined by equating \( H_r \) and \( H_f \) and solving the energy balance and flow equations iteratively.

3.2.2. Heat Transfer Coefficients. The convective heat transfer coefficient on exterior surface of the collector is calculated by

\[ h w = 2.8 + 3.0 V \]

(see [17]).

The irradiative heat transfer coefficient on exterior surface of the glass cover is estimated by

\[ h r_s = \varepsilon_g \sigma \left( T_s^2 + T_g^2 \right) \left( T_g + T_s \right), \]

where \( T_s = 0.0552 T_a^{1.5} \) [18].

The irradiative heat transfer coefficient between the glass cover and the absorber plate is estimated by

\[ h r_{p-g} = \frac{\sigma \left( T_p^2 + T_g^2 \right) \left( T_p + T_g \right)}{\left( 1/\varepsilon_p + 1/\varepsilon_g - 1 \right)}. \]

The natural convective heat transfer coefficients in the two void channels are obtained by introducing Nusselt number as

\[ h c = \frac{N u_k}{d}, \]

The Nusselt numbers for the upper and lower channels are calculated by

\[ Nu_{up} = 1 \]

\[ + 1.44 \left[ 1 - \frac{1708}{R a_t \cos \theta} \right] \left( 1 - \frac{1708 \sin (1.8 \theta) \cdot 1.6}{R a_t \cos \theta} \right) \]

\[ + \left[ \left( \frac{R a_t \cos \theta}{5830} \right)^{1/3} - 1 \right] \]

\[ Nu_{low} = 1 + 0.364 \frac{L_p}{d_{low}} R a_t^{1/4} - 1 \sin \theta \]
(see [19, 20], resp.), where $\theta$ is the tilt angle of the collector from the horizontal plane. The test rigs are mounted in Hefei (32° N, 117° E) and the tilted angle of the collectors is fixed at 35°.

3.2.3. Numerical Approaches. Finite difference method is applied to discretize the above energy equations by time and space. For the one-dimensional energy equations of the glass cover, copper tube, bottom plate, and water in the copper tube, space discretization is in the length direction. For the two-dimensional energy equation of the absorber plate, since thermal field of the absorber plate is periodic in the width direction and symmetric about the water tube, the energy equation of absorber plate is solved for only half width of the absorber plate between the water tubes, with symmetric boundary conditions on two sides in $y$-direction. The space discretization of the absorber plate is shown in Figure 5. Boundary conditions in $x$-direction of the glass cover, copper tube, bottom plate, and absorber plate are decided to be adiabatic boundary conditions. The boundary conditions of absorber plate in $y$-direction are

$$-k_p \frac{\partial T_p}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y=0} = 0,$$

$$Q_{c\rightarrow p} \bigg|_{y=0} = 0,$$

$$-k_p \frac{\partial T_p}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y=L_{1,1}} = 0,$$

$$Q_{c\rightarrow p} \bigg|_{y=L_{1,1}} = \frac{(T_p - T_t)}{(R_{p-1} \cdot A_p)}.$$

The view factors can be obtained by [19]

$$F_{b\rightarrow j} = 1 - \left[ 1 - \frac{D_i^2}{W^2} \right]^{1/2} + \frac{D_i}{W} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{W^2 - D_i^2}{D_i^2} \right\},$$

$$F_{j\rightarrow t} = \frac{D_i}{2 \left( L_{j,1} - L_{j,2} \right)} \left[ \tan^{-1} \frac{2L_{j,1}}{D_i} - \tan^{-1} \frac{2L_{j,2}}{D_i} \right],$$

where $D_i$ stands for diameter of copper tubes, $W$ stands for distance between every two adjacent copper tubes, and $L_{j,1}$ and $L_{j,2}$ stand for distances from the tube center to the two grid edges of $j$ node in the $y$-direction, respectively, as shown in Figure 5.

The results of the numerical simulation of DFSC in water and air heating modes are compared with the experimental results in the following. Thermal properties of materials in the collector are listed in Table 1. As shown in Figure 7, the simulation results of temperatures of absorber plate, copper tubes, and glass cover are in good agreement with the experimental results in water heating mode. Temperatures in water tank from numerical results are compared with the experimental data as shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 5: Differential grid partition of the absorber plate.](image)

**Table 1: Thermal properties of the materials in the collector.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_p$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>$k_w$</td>
<td>0.628 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_p$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>$k_R$</td>
<td>0.046 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{p2}$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$k_g$</td>
<td>1.05 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_g$</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>$k_b$</td>
<td>80 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_g$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>393 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_b$</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>$k_p$</td>
<td>237 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_t$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$\rho_g$</td>
<td>2500 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_b$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$\rho_b$</td>
<td>2720 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_g$</td>
<td>750 J/(kg·K)</td>
<td>$\rho_t$</td>
<td>8933 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>993 J/(kg·K)</td>
<td>$\rho_b$</td>
<td>7800 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_t$</td>
<td>397 J/(kg·K)</td>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>460 J/(kg·K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average temperature of water in the tank from numerical results is within a deviation of 1.3°C from the experimental data. The daily thermal efficiency based on (2) is 60.8% and 58.8% from experimental and numerical results, respectively. The relative deviation of the efficiency is 3.2%. Instantaneous efficiency of the collector in air heating mode from numerical results and experimental results are compared in Table 2. It is shown that the numerical model can give good prediction.

**Table 2: Air heating efficiency of the collector under different operating conditions (E: experimental; S: numerical).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>( \dot{m} ) (kg/s)</th>
<th>( T_a ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{out} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( S ) (W/m²)</th>
<th>( \eta_{a,E} ) (%)</th>
<th>( \eta_{a,S} ) (%)</th>
<th>( \delta_{\eta_a} ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/21</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>751.8</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>818.7</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6:** Irradiative heat transfer network diagram of the grid nodes.

**Figure 7:** Variation of the temperatures in the collector with time (E: experimental results; S: numerical results).

**Figure 8:** Variation of the water temperatures in the tank with time (E: experimental results; S: numerical results).
Table 3: Water heating efficiency of the collector in different days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>( T_a ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{initial}} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{final}} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( \Delta T ) (°C)</th>
<th>( G ) (MJ/m² day)</th>
<th>( \eta_w ) (%)</th>
<th>( \delta_{\eta_w} ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/31</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/01</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/07</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/26</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/09</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/11</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/16</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/21</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/08</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \eta_w = 0.568 - 0.101 \frac{T_{\text{initial}} - T_a}{G}. \] (19)

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Thermal Performance of DFSC in the Experiment. The test results of air heating experiment with different mass flow rate are listed in Table 2. The efficiency varied with the flow rate and reached 60.2% under the mass flow rate of 0.04 kg/s.

Thermal efficiency of the DFSC water heating system is shown in Table 3. With different inlet water temperature and environment condition, the daily efficiency of the system ranges from 55.2% to 61.4%. The efficiency can be obtained by linear regression analysis of the daily efficiency from experiment results, as shown in Figure 9. Values of \( \alpha' \) and \( U \) equal 0.568 and 0.101, respectively, and with a correlation coefficient of −0.93, the semiempirical expression (3) is in good agreement with the experimental data. So \( \eta_w \) is expressed as

\[ \eta_w = 0.568 - 0.101 \frac{T_{\text{initial}} - T_a}{G}. \] (19)

4.2. Effect of Different Inner Parameters. The numerical simulations are performed to investigate the effect of inner parameters including thickness of insulation, depths of upper and lower air channels, and diameter and number of copper tubes on efficiency of the collector in the two working modes. In the simulation of air heating, incident solar radiation, ambient air temperature, and air flow rate are set as 800 W/m², 20 °C, and 0.03 kg/s, respectively. In the simulation of water heating, environment data of 04/07 is used, and the thermosiphon system of DFSC is evaluated during the period from 8:00 a.m. till 16:00 p.m.

Figure 10 shows the variation of efficiency of the collector with insulating thickness. Thicker insulation layer results in higher efficiency in both air heating and water heating modes. However, as the thickness increases to 0.06 m, the efficiency increasing tendency becomes nearly flat.

Figures 11 and 12 show the influence of depths of air channels on daily efficiency of the water heating system with
Figure 11: Effect of depths of air channels on efficiency of the collector in water heating mode (0.015 m thick insulation).

Figure 12: Effect of depths of air channels on efficiency of the collector in water heating mode (0.06 m thick insulation).

Figure 13: Effect of depths of air channels on the efficiency of the collector in air heating mode with the insulation thickness of 0.06 m.

Although the variation tendency of the efficiency is almost the same for different insulation thicknesses, it is about 3% higher with the thicker insulation of 0.06 m. The efficiency is not sensitive to the depth of lower channel, especially while the back insulation is as thick as 0.06 m. While the back insulation is as thin as 0.015 m, the increase of the lower channel till 0.02 m results in higher efficiency. However the depth of upper channel shows strong influence on the efficiency. At least 0.01 m is required to avoid conduction heat loss. The depth of 0.01 m is a critical value above which the natural convection begins to occur in the channel, which leads to the increase of heat loss via glazing and decrease of the efficiency. Hence the efficiency at 0.01 m is unstable. The efficiency begins to increase again with the increase of the depth that is greater than 0.02 m. The simulation results are consistent with the experimental results of solar water heater from [21], where the distance of 0.06 m between the absorber plate and the glass cover has been suggested. Compared with the traditional flat-plate solar water heater, there is a lower air channel except for the upper channel in DFSC, so the consumption of materials must be considered for the large thickness of DFSC. Moreover, when the depth of upper channel is larger than 0.04 m, the efficiency increases more and more slowly, so the size of 0.04 m is suggested as the depth of upper channel.

In air heating mode, efficiency of the collector is sensitive to the depths of both channels, as shown in Figure 13. At a fixed depth of lower channel, the efficiency increases with the depth of upper channel to a maximum and then begins to decrease. The maximum efficiency occurs near the point where the depths of the two channels are equal. While the depth of the lower channel is greater than 0.03 m, the decrease of efficiency after the maximum value with the increase of the depth of upper channel becomes slower. The highest efficiency is obtained when the upper and lower air channels are both around 0.03 m depth. The depth of both channels of 0.03–0.04 m is also suited to pursue efficiency in water heating mode.

Influence of the diameter and number of the copper tubes on efficiency of the two working modes is investigated on condition that the thickness of back insulation is set as 0.06 m and the depths of two air channels are set as 0.04 m. Influence of the diameter is shown in Figure 14; the number of tubes was set to be 8 in the calculation. Variation of
efficiency with the rise of the inner diameter of the tubes is different in the two working modes. In air heating mode, the efficiency increases modestly with the diameter rise, although the tubes have extended the heat transfer area between the absorber plate and flowing air like fins. In water heating mode, the efficiency change is steeper and has a maximum value when the diameter of copper tubes is 0.008 m. With the fixed diameter, influence of quantity of copper tubes was investigated, as shown in Figure 15. The increasing number of tubes improves the efficiency slightly in air heating mode, but noticeably in water heating mode until the number is greater than 8. Hence for DFSC, only the effect on water heating mode needs to be considered while choosing the diameter and number of tubes.

5. Conclusions

In order to investigate the thermal performance of flat DFSC and the effect of inner parameters of the collector, experiment apparatus was set up, and a dynamic numerical model to simulate the solar heating system has been established. The experimental results show that the daily efficiency of the thermosiphon system of DFSC in water heating is more than 55%, and the instantaneous efficiency of DFSC in air heating can reach 60.2%. The numerical results show well consistency with the experimental results.

The influence of the insulation thickness, the depths of the upper and lower air channels, and the diameter and the number of water tubes on the thermal efficiency of DFSC in water/air heating modes has been analyzed by numerical simulation. It is shown that the back insulation needs to be as thick as 0.06 m to prevent heat loss via backboard, and the equal depth of upper and lower channel of 0.03–0.04 m is not only optimal for DFSC in air heating but also suited in water heating mode. The diameter and the number of water tubes show slight effect on the efficiency of DFSC in air heating but show prominent effect in water heating mode. Hence only water heating mode needs to be taken into account while considering the arrangement of water tubes in DFSC.

Nomenclature

\( A \): Area, \( m^2 \)
\( A_c \): Collector aperture area, \( m^2 \)
\( C \): Specific heat capacity, \( J/(kg\cdot K) \)
\( d \): Thickness or depth, \( m \)
\( D_t \): Outer diameter of the tube, \( m \)
\( F \): View factor
\( G \): Daily solar irradiation on the plane of the collector, \( MJ/(m^2\cdot day) \)
\( h_c \): Convective heat transfer coefficient, \( W/(m^2\cdot K) \)
\( h_u \): Convective heat transfer coefficient with the ambient, \( W/(m^2\cdot K) \)
\( h_r \): Radiative heat transfer, \( W/(m^2\cdot K) \)
\( k \): Thermal conductivity, \( W/(m\cdot K) \)
\( L \): Length, \( m \)
\( m \): Number of nodes in \( x \)-direction
\( m' \): Mass flow rate of air in air heating, \( kg/s \)
\( M \): Mass of water in water heating system, \( kg \)
\( n \): Number of nodes in \( y \)-direction
\( N \): Number of copper tubes
\( Nu \): Nusselt number
\( P_i \): Inner perimeter of the tube, \( m \)
\( Q \): Irradiative heat flux, \( W/m^2 \)
\( Q_c \): Conductive heat flux, \( W/m^2 \)
\( R \): Total thermal resistance, \( K/W \)
\( Ra_L \): Rayleigh number
\( S \): Solar irradiance on the plane of the collector, \( W/m^2 \)
\( t \): Time, \( s \)
\( T \): Temperature, \( K \)
\( T_a \): Daily average ambient air temperature, \( K \)
Greek Symbols

\( \alpha \): Absorptivity
\( \alpha' \): Overall thermal absorptance of water heating system
\( \delta \): Relative error
\( \Delta T \): Temperature difference, K
\( \varepsilon \): Emittance
\( \rho \): Density, kg/m\(^3\)
\( \tau \): Transmittance
\( \theta \): Angle, \(^\circ\)
\( \eta \): Efficiency
\( \sigma \): Stefan-Boltzmann constant, W/m\(^2\)K\(^4\).

Subscripts

\( a \): Air
\( b \): Interior plate of backboard
\( E \): Experimental (results)
\( g \): Front glazing
\( i \): Differential node “i” in x-direction
\( In \): Inlet
\( j \): Differential node “j” in y-direction
\( low \): Lower air channel
\( out \): Outlet
\( p \): Absorbing plate
\( p2 \): Back face of the absorber plate
\( R \): Insulation
\( s \): Sky
\( S \): Numerical (simulations)
\( t \): Copper tube
\( up \): Upper air channel
\( w \): Water fluid.
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