Design and Simulation of a Solar Chimney PV/T Power Plant in Northwest China
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A solar chimney PV/T power plant (SCPVTTP) is proposed. Mathematical models are established for the PV/T solar collector, the chimney, and the power conversion unit, respectively. Performances of the designed SCPVTTPs are then simulated. The SCPVTTPs with different PV module areas are finally discussed. It is found that the PV cells hold the highest temperature in the solar collector. Temperature rise of the PV module has significant influence to its power generation. Without cooling, the PV power capacity has an average decrease of 28.71%. The contradictory influences of temperature rise and airflow cooling lead to an 11.81% decrease of the average power capacity. By adding the power generated by PVT, the total PV-related power contribution increases by 4.72%. With the increase of the solar collector ratio, the temperature rise and the wind velocity both first decrease then increase, the SCPP power productivity decreases linearly, and the PV power productivity increases linearly, whereas the PVT power productivity first increases linearly then increases superlinearly. There is a reversed solar collector ratio, exceeding which the PV generates most power. In this study, solar thermal power takes the major role when the solar PV area ratio is smaller than 0.055.

1. Introduction

The solar chimney power plant (SCPP) consists of three essential parts: a solar collector, a chimney, and a power conversion unit. The schematic of a SCPP is shown in Figure 1(a). Sunlight transfers through the transparent collector cover and heats the ground below. The ground temperature increases and heats the air above it through heat convection. The air temperature increases, leading to the decrease of air density. The density difference then is generated between the ambient air and the air in the solar collector. With the chimney, the air flows towards the center of the solar collector under buoyancy effect. The air flows through the chimney and runs out of the SCPP at the top of the chimney.

Theoretical, experimental, and case studies of the SCPPs all around the world have concluded that the SCPP is with low power efficiency [1–3], huge solar collector area [4–6], and high chimney [6–9]. Some case studies of SCPPs are summarized in Table 1. Our previous studies have concluded that the reason of SCPP’s low efficiency is a compound result of the air characteristics, the solar radiation, and the chimney height [10, 11]. Air is the only practical working fluid of the SCPP because of the huge air mass flow rate inside the SCPP [11]. For a given location, the solar radiation is fixed. Therefore, it is concluded that the SCPP power capacity can be increased through enlarging the solar collector area or the chimney height [4, 8]. However, there must be a limit for both these solutions from the techno-economical and safety points of view. A solution that can increase the power capacity but would not increase the chimney height and collector area, or even decrease both of them, is of high significance from the engineering points of view. We then noticed that the
A huge solar collector, which is empty for the airflow, can be used to place the solar PV modules. On one side, the solar collector area can be used, not influencing the airflow. On the other side, the PV module can be cooled by the airflow in the solar collector by forced heat convection. Correspondingly, a new solar chimney PV/T power plant (SCPVTPP) is proposed in Figure 1(b) to fulfill this target.

In the system, some solar PV modules are set on the ground of the solar collector. Due to the considerations of safety and construction cost, there are no PV modules in the vertical chimney. The solar radiation transfers through the transparent glass cover and then reaches the PV modules. The insulating layer and the wire connection are set between the PV modules and the ground. Solar radiation transfers through the glass cover and reaches the PV modules. Electricity is generated directly from the PV modules. Meanwhile, some solar radiation is converted into the thermal energy and heats the PV modules. The high-temperature PV modules then heat the air above it through heat convection. The high-temperature air above the ground and the PV modules then flows into the chimney through buoyancy effect. The solar PV/T technology or device was proposed for the purpose of cooling the PV module to increase its power efficiency [12] and recovering the waste heat to increase the system’s total efficiency [13]. It has been widely utilized in the solar water heating (SWH) [14], heating ventilation air conditioning (HVAC) [15], solar-powered buildings [16], and so on. However, the large-scale PV/T system has not been investigated. Considering this, the main tasks in this study include (1) to build a mathematical model for the SCPVTPP, (2) to analyze the performance of the SCPVTPP, and (3) to study the SCPVTPP with different PV areas.

2. Mathematical Model

A mathematical model is built for the SCPVTPP. The mathematical model can be divided into three parts, namely, the PVT solar collector model, the chimney model, and the power conversion unit (PCU) model. Practically, the SCPVTPP has a large solar collection area, high chimney height, high PV surface temperature, and high

---

Table 1: Parameters of some case studies of SCPPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Weather condition</th>
<th>SCPP parameters</th>
<th>Power capacity</th>
<th>Power efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Manzanares, Spain [20, 21]</td>
<td>1000 W/m²</td>
<td>122 m</td>
<td>194.6 m</td>
<td>50 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Yinchuan, China [22]</td>
<td>600 W/m²</td>
<td>250 m</td>
<td>200 m</td>
<td>110–190 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Adrar, Algeria [23]</td>
<td>800 W/m²</td>
<td>250 m</td>
<td>200 m</td>
<td>140–200 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Qinghai-Tibet Plateau [24]</td>
<td>807 W/m²</td>
<td>2825 m</td>
<td>1000 m</td>
<td>92.4 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7 cities in Iran [25]</td>
<td>640 W/m²</td>
<td>122 m</td>
<td>194.6 m</td>
<td>75.9 kW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1The authors of [24] did not supply the detailed solar radiation and power capacity in their literature. We calculated the results according to the results supplied in their paper and the solar duration supplied by the China Meteorological Information Center (CMIC).
2The solar radiation and power capacity are calculated according to the data supplied by the authors.
airflow velocity, compared with the collector height, the collector cover thickness, and the temperature rise in the solar collector are much smaller. Correspondingly, the next assumptions can be made: (a) temperature rises linearly along the airflow direction in the solar collector; (b) ignore the velocity and temperature gradient on the vertical direction in the solar collector; (c) ignore the velocity and temperature gradient on the cross section of the chimney; (d) ignore the temperature difference between the collector upper and back surface; (e) airflow is under adiabatic condition in the chimney; and (f) PV lower surface and ground up surface are connected together.

2.1. PVT Solar Collector Model. Energy balance of a representative elemental volume in the solar collector and PV is established (Figure 2). In the model, there are three energy balance equations presented in (1), (2), and (3), respectively.

Continuity equation:
\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho v_r) = 0. \tag{1}
\]

Momentum equation:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_r) + \rho v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \mu \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r v_r) \right) \right]. \tag{2}
\]

Energy equation:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho c_p T) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( c_p \rho v_r T \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_r) = 0. \tag{3}
\]

Considering the energy balance of a representative elemental volume, as shown in Figure 2(a), the energy source \( \partial S_B/\partial t \) is
\[
\frac{\partial S_B}{\partial t} = \rho v_r \Delta \theta \Delta r + q_{PV, r} (r \Delta \theta) + \rho v_r (r \Delta \theta) \Delta H, \tag{4}
\]
where the first and the second terms on the right side of (4) are the heat exchange between the collector cover and airflow and between the PV and the airflow, which can be expressed as

\[
q_{c,j} r \Delta \theta \Delta r = \frac{\partial S_j}{\partial t} r \Delta \theta \Delta r + q_{PV,j} r \Delta \theta \Delta r - q_{a,r} r \Delta \theta \Delta r
- c_e \frac{\partial T_c}{\partial t} r \Delta \theta \Delta r L, \\
q_{PV,j} r \Delta \theta \Delta r = \frac{\partial S_j}{\partial t} r \Delta \theta \Delta r + k_{PV,1} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z} |_{z=0} r \Delta \theta \Delta r
- q_{PV,c} r \Delta \theta \Delta r,
\]

where \(q_{PV,j} r \Delta \theta \Delta r\) is the radiation heat exchange between the PV and the collector cover, \(q_{a,r} r \Delta \theta \Delta r\) is the convection and radiation heat exchange between the collector cover and the ambient, \(k_{PV,1} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z} \) is the heat contribution from the PV, \(S_j\) is the solar radiation absorbed by the collector cover, and \(S_i\) is the solar radiation absorbed by the PV module or the ground. The PV modules are laid on the ground directly as shown in Figure 2(b). There are six layers in the PV modules, namely, the glass, the anti-reflective coating (ARC), the EVA (ethylene-vinyl acetate), the PV cell, and the Tedlar Polymer Layer (TPL).

Taking a depth of \(\Delta z\) of the \(i\)th layer inside the PV layer into consideration, we obtain

\[
-k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z_{PV}} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{PV}
= \left[ -k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z_{PV}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{PV}} \left( -k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z_{PV}} \right) \right] |_{z=0} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{PV}
+ \frac{\partial \rho_{PV,i} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{PV}}{\partial t} + c_{PV,i} r \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial t} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{PV},
\]

where \([-k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z_{PV}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{PV}} \left( -k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z_{PV}} \right) \) \(\Delta z_{PV}\)|_{z=0} \(r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{PV}\) is the heat contribution from upper surface \(z\) to the lower surface \(z + \Delta z_{PV}\) and \(\rho_{PV}\) = 0 for the layers excluding the PV cell. And at the depth of \(z = -\Delta z_{PV}\), we obtain

\[
-k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PV}}{\partial z_{PV}} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{PV}|_{z=-\Delta z_{PV}}
= \left[ -k_g \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z_g} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_g} \left( -k_g \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z_g} \right) \right] |_{z=-\Delta z_g} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{g}
+ c_g r \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial t} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z_{g}.
\]

And taking a depth of \(\Delta z\) inside the ground into consideration, we obtain

\[
-k_g \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z = \left[ -k_g \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k_g \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z} \right) \right] r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z
+ c_g r \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial t} r \Delta \theta \Delta r \Delta z.
\]

And \(\frac{\partial E_{PV}}{\partial t}\) is the energy output of the PV and can be calculated according to [17]:

\[
E_{PV} = S_i \tau_c \eta_{ref} (1 - Br(T_{PV} - T_{ref})),
\]

where \(\tau_c\) is the transmittance of the PV cover, \(\eta_{ref}\) is the efficiency of PV under standard conditions, \(Br\) is the temperature coefficient, and \(T_{ref}\) is the standard testing temperature of PV.

2.2. Chimney. Continuity equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho v_z) = 0.
\]

Momentum equation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_z) + \rho v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \rho g_z + \mu \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2}.
\]

Energy equation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varepsilon \rho T) + \varepsilon \rho v_z T = 0.
\]

For a vertical adiabatic chimney, the pressure difference created in the chimney is

\[
\Delta P_{ch} = (\rho_a - \rho_z) g H_{ch}.
\]

And the pressure difference between the inlet and outlet of the solar collector is calculated as

\[
\Delta P = \int_{z_{inlet}}^{z_{outlet}} g (\rho_a - \rho(z)) dz,
\]

where \(z\) denotes the height. Comparing with the chimney
height, the solar collector height is much smaller. Thus, \( H_{\text{col}} \approx 0 \).

According to Boussinesq assumption and (13) and (14), the momentum equation of the chimney can be simplified as

\[
- \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \rho g z + \mu \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2} = 0. \tag{15}
\]

2.3. PCU. As the connection section of the PCU is irregular, a randomized coordinate along the power generator surface is built for this area (Figure 3). And we can obtain the following:

Continuity equation:

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial l} (\rho v_l) = 0. \tag{16}
\]

Momentum equation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_l) + \rho v_l \frac{\partial v_l}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial l} - \rho g_t + \mu \frac{\partial^2 v_l}{\partial l^2}. \tag{17}
\]

Energy equation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{c_p \rho T}{1 + \frac{c_p \rho v_l T}{k}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \left( \frac{c_p \rho v_l T}{1 + \frac{c_p \rho v_l T}{k}} \right) = \frac{k}{\partial l} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial l} \right). \tag{18}
\]

As \( H_{\text{PCU}} < H_{\text{chi}} \) and \( R_{\text{PCU}} < R_{\text{col}} \), we can obtain that

\[
v_r|_{z=R_{\text{PCU}}} = v_l|_{z=L_{\text{PCU}}},\]

\[
v_t|_{z=H_{\text{PCU}}} = v_l|_{z=L_{\text{PCU}}},\]

\[
H_{\text{PCU}} \approx 0,
\]

where \( H_{\text{chi}} \) is the chimney height, \( R_{\text{col}} \) is the collector radius, and \( L_{\text{PCU}} \) is the length of the PCU channel.

Consequently, the momentum equation can be simplified as

\[
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial l} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 v_l}{\partial l^2} = 0. \tag{20}
\]

The generated pressure is consumed by four parts, that is, the friction losses in the collector and the chimney \( \Delta P_{c} \), the kinetic energy losses at the turbine inlet \( \Delta P_{in} \), the kinetic

**Figure 4: Flowchart of the simulation process.**
energy losses at the chimney outlet $\Delta P_{\text{out}}$, and the rest is the effective pressure which is used by the turbine to generate electricity $\Delta P_t$.

$$\Delta P_{\text{ch}} = \Delta P_f + \Delta P_{\text{in}} + \Delta P_{\text{out}} + \Delta P_t = f \frac{L_{\text{ch}}}{D} \rho v^2 + \gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_a v_a^2 + \Delta P_t \right), \quad (21)$$

where $f$ is the friction loss coefficient, $D$ is the hydraulic diameter, $L_{\text{ch}}$ is the length of the channel, and $\gamma$ is the turbine inlet loss coefficient.

The power generated by the turbine $P_{\text{ele}}$ is

$$P_{\text{ele}} = \eta_t \Delta P_t v_a A_{\text{ch}}. \quad (22)$$

2.4. Simulation Methodology. Equations to calculate the coefficients, namely, the heat transfer coefficients, the loss coefficients, the friction loss, and turbine efficiency, follow the previous studies [1, 4, 18]. The equations are converted into the codes to solve the equations. Flowchart of the simulation in this study is shown in Figure 4. As shown in Figure 4, the initial values of the parameters, that is, the collector cover temperature, PV temperature, airflow temperature, and ground temperature, are firstly assumed. An iterative calculation is then made to use the new values to replace the old values. When the differences between any corresponding new and old values are less than the maximal acceptable difference (namely, smaller than $10^{-6}$), the iteration process is finally stopped.

### 3. Result and Discussion

#### 3.1. Configuration Sizes of the SCPVTPP

The configuration sizes of the SCPVTPP are shown in Table 2. The SCPVTPP is designed according to 5 and MW SCPP to [19]. And the SCPVTPP is assumed to be operated in Lanzhou. Lanzhou (103.50°E, 36.03°N) is located in the geographical center of Northwest China. And it has typical Northwestern Chinese climate, that is, strong solar radiation, rare rainfall that diminishes from east to west, dry and cold winter, hot summer, and broad daily temperature width. Its annual global solar radiation is more than 5020 MJ/m$^2$ and sunshine duration is over 2600 h per year. Its annual mean temperature is 9.8°C. The properties of six layers in the PV module are shown in Table 3.

#### 3.2. Temperature Increase and Wind Velocity of the SCPVTPP

As the SCPP is dominated by the buoyancy effect, temperature rise in the solar collector is of high significance. Moreover, two contradictory phenomena occur concerning the temperature rise in the PV/T solar collector. On one side, the PV modules are sensitive to the temperature rise. The PV power generation would decrease with the rise of temperature. On the other hand, the updraft wind would enhance with the temperature rising and the generated wind would reduce the temperature of the PV modules. Considering this, the meteorological data, temperatures, and wind velocity inside the SCPVTPP are calculated and the results are shown in Figure 5.

Solar radiation and ambient temperature of Lanzhou is shown in Figure 5(a). It is found from the figure that the solar radiation first increases from January to June, with the peak of 689.73 W/m$^2$. Then the solar radiation decreases till December. The tendency of the ambient temperature is similar with the solar radiation, whereas the highest ambient temperature appears at July. Temperatures of the glass cover, airflow, PV module, and ground and the temperature increase inside the collector at different months in the year are shown in Figure 5(b). It is found from the figure that the temperature differences of the glass cover, airflow, PV module, and ground have the same tendency with the ambient temperature, with the peak values at July. Moreover, the PV cell holds the highest temperature among the four temperature groups throughout the year, followed by the ground temperature, the glass cover temperature, and the airflow temperature. Temperature differences between each two groups of temperatures increase from January to July and then decrease till December.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collector radius</td>
<td>625 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector inlet height</td>
<td>3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector cover emittance</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass extinction coefficient</td>
<td>32 m$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass thickness</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index</td>
<td>1.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of PV area over</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>whole solar collector area</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emittance</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2330 kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity</td>
<td>677 J/(kg·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV cover transmittance</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV efficiency under standard conditions</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature coefficient (Br)</td>
<td>0.0045 K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV standard testing temperature</td>
<td>298 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimney Height</td>
<td>550 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>22.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Granite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2640 kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity</td>
<td>820 J/(kg·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>1.73 W/(m·K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal emittance</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Efficiency</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet loss coefficient</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
power capacity, the SCPP power capacity, and the PVT power capacity, which, respectively, refers to the power generation directly from the PV modules, the power contribution by the airflow from the solar collector without PV modules, and the power contribution by the airflow heated by the PV modules. Power generations from the PV, the PVT, and the SCPP are shown in Figure 6. It is found from the figure that PV generates much higher power than PVT and SCPP. SCPP generates more power productivity than PVT. The power generated from the solar thermal effect, namely, the power generation from PVT and SCPP is much smaller than that from the PV. This is reasonable as the energy conversion efficiency of SCPP is at 1% level [1–3], whereas the energy conversion efficiency of PV is near

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>ARC</th>
<th>PV cell</th>
<th>EVA</th>
<th>TPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness $\delta$ (m)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>$100 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$225 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$500 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity $k$ (W/m·K)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5**: (a) Solar radiation and ambient temperature of the SCPVTTP. (b) Temperatures of the glass cover, airflow, PV module, and ground and temperature increase inside the chimney during different months in the year.
Power productivities from the PV, PVT, and SCPP have similar tendencies with the solar radiation, except the start and the end of the year for the PV power productivity. It is found in Figure 5(a) that the solar radiation increases from January to February. However, power productivities decrease from January to February in Figure 6. The reason is that the power productivity is a compound result of the solar radiation and the ambient temperature. It is believed that less power is generated as the PV cell temperature rises according to (9). And the tendency of the ambient temperature rise is much larger than the increase of solar radiation from January to February (Figure 5(a)). The two effects lead to the decrease of power productivity of PV from January to February in Figure 6. This also explains the tendency of PV power productivity from November to December in Figure 6.

As mentioned above, the temperature takes contradictory effect on the PV power generation. The temperature influences on the PV power generation is thus analysed. By taking the PV power generation under ambient temperature as a reference, the PV model power, the PVT power contribution, and the total PV-related power contribution (PV + PVT) in the present study and the PV power generation without airflow cooling are then compared in Table 4. In can be found from Table 4 that the temperature rise of the PV module has significant influences to its power generation. Without cooling, the PV power capacity has an average decrease of 28.71%. The contradictory influences of temperature rise and airflow cooling lead to an 11.81% decrease of the average power capacity, reflecting the temperature rise which takes the major role in the SCPVTTP. The PVT power contribution is much smaller than the others. But adding the power generated by the PVT effect, the total PV-related power contribution would increase by 4.72%. And there are some conditions, that is, in January, February, November, and December, the total PV-related power contribution is larger than the reference $P_{PV,Ta}$. The reason is that the PV models’ temperatures are low in these months, due to also low ambient temperatures. And the system takes full advantage of PV modules and generated updraft winds in these months.

3.4. SCPVTTP with Different PV Areas. The performances of the SCPVTTP is discussed in Figures 5(b) and 6 for the case that PV area takes 30% of the whole solar collector. However, there are some cases that the PV area can take more or less percentage to the whole solar collector. The SCPVTTP performances and power productivities under different solar collector area ratios are then calculated with the same methodology as the case of 30%. The results are shown in Figures 7(a) and 7(b). It is found in Figure 7(a) that with the increase of the solar collector ratio, the temperature rise and the wind velocity both first decrease then increase. However, the valleys of the temperature rise and the wind velocity appear at different solar collector ratios. The lowest temperature rise is located at the solar collector ratio of 0.4, whereas the lowest wind velocity is located at the solar collector ratio of 0.6. Moreover, tendencies of the temperature rise and the wind velocity differ from each other. The temperature rise first decreases gradually and then increases gradually. But the wind velocity first decreases slowly and then increases quickly. It is found from Figure 7(b) that with the increase of the solar collector ratio, the SCPVTTP power productivity decreases linearly and the PV power productivity increases linearly, whereas the PVT power productivity first increases linearly then increases superlinearly. The total power productivity increases with the solar collector ratio rises. There is a reversed solar collector ratio, exceeding the PV which generates most of the power. With detailed calculation, it is found that the solar collector ratio is 0.055, which means that solar thermal power takes the major role of power generation when the solar PV area ratio is smaller than 0.055.
Table 4: Comparison of PV model power, PVT power contribution, and total PV-related power contribution (PV + PVT) in the present study and the PV power generation without airflow cooling by taking PV power generation under ambient temperature as a reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>PV power generation under ambient temperature, $P_{PV,Ta}$</th>
<th>Percentage to $P_{PV,Ta}$/%</th>
<th>PV model power in the present study</th>
<th>Percentage to $P_{PV,Ta}$/%</th>
<th>PVT power contribution in the present study</th>
<th>Percentage to $P_{PV,Ta}$/%</th>
<th>Total PV-related power contribution (PV + PVT)</th>
<th>Percentage to $P_{PV,Ta}$/%</th>
<th>PV power generation without airflow cooling</th>
<th>Percentage to $P_{PV,Ta}$/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value/MW</td>
<td></td>
<td>Value/MW</td>
<td></td>
<td>Value/MW</td>
<td></td>
<td>Value/MW</td>
<td></td>
<td>Value/MW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.15</td>
<td>17.51</td>
<td>23.13</td>
<td>24.36</td>
<td>25.91</td>
<td>26.64</td>
<td>25.46</td>
<td>24.25</td>
<td>23.14</td>
<td>19.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.15</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>21.07</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>17.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>97.60</td>
<td>90.79</td>
<td>86.49</td>
<td>82.98</td>
<td>80.71</td>
<td>80.44</td>
<td>81.86</td>
<td>85.13</td>
<td>90.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>22.13</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td>22.72</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td>20.78</td>
<td>18.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104.96</td>
<td>102.46</td>
<td>95.68</td>
<td>91.26</td>
<td>87.69</td>
<td>85.32</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>86.39</td>
<td>89.80</td>
<td>95.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>17.68</td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>18.17</td>
<td>17.62</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>14.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71.55</td>
<td>72.93</td>
<td>67.27</td>
<td>68.43</td>
<td>68.24</td>
<td>68.66</td>
<td>71.37</td>
<td>72.66</td>
<td>72.13</td>
<td>74.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>21.47</td>
<td>24.25</td>
<td>23.14</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>18.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions

The SCPP has a large solar collector area, which can be used to lay the PV modules. A SCPVTTPP is proposed and studied in this study. A mathematical model is established for the proposed system. The SCPVTTPP performances and the power productivities under different solar collector ratios are then discussed. It can be concluded from this study that

1. The PV cells hold the highest temperature in the solar collector throughout the year, followed by the ground temperature, the glass cover temperature, and the airflow temperature. The temperature rise of the PV module has significant influences to its power generation. Without cooling, the PV power capacity has an average decrease of 28.71%. The contradictory influences of temperature rise and airflow cooling lead to an 11.81% decrease of the average power capacity. By adding the power generated by PVT, the total PV-related power contribution increases by 4.72%.

2. With the increase of the solar collector ratio, the temperature rise and the wind velocity both first decrease then increase. The lowest temperature rise is located at the solar collector ratio of 0.4, whereas.
the lowest wind velocity is located at the solar collector ratio of 0.6.

(3) With the increase of the solar collector ratio, the SCPP power productivity decreases linearly and the PV power productivity increases linearly, whereas the PVT power productivity first increases linearly then increases superlinearly.

(4) There is a reversed solar collector ratio, exceeding the PV which generates most of the power. In this study, solar thermal power takes the major role when the solar PV area ratio is smaller than 0.055.

Nomenclature

\( A \): Area (m²)
\( c_p \): Specific heat (J·m⁻²·K⁻¹)
\( E_{PV} \): PV power (W)
\( f \): Friction loss coefficient (–)
\( g \): Acceleration of gravity (m·s⁻²)
\( h \): Heat transfer coefficient (W·m⁻²·K⁻¹)
\( H \): Height (m); solar radiation (W·m⁻²)
\( K \): Extinction coefficient (m⁻¹)
\( L \): Length (m); channel length (m)
\( P \): Pressure (Pa); electrical power generation (W)
\( Q \): Energy flux (J·hr⁻¹)
\( r \): Direction (–)
\( R \): Radius (m)
\( S_i \): Solar radiation absorb by glass (W/m²)
\( S_o \): Solar radiation absorb by PV (W/m²)
\( T \): Temperature (K)
\( U \): Compound heat coefficient (W·m⁻²·K⁻¹)
\( v \): Air velocity (m·s⁻¹)
\( \Delta P_{tot} \): Total pressure difference (Pa).

Greek Symbols

\( \Delta \): Difference (–)
\( \rho \): Air density (kg·m⁻³); reflectance (–)
\( \theta \): Angle (°); direction (–)
\( \gamma \): Turbine inlet loss coefficient (–)
\( \mu \): Dynamic viscosity (kg·m⁻¹·s⁻¹)
\( \eta_t \): Turbine efficiency.

Subscripts

\( a \): Ambient
\( c \): Collector cover
\( col \): Collector
\( chi \): Chimney
\( f \): Airflow
\( gro \): Ground
\( in \): Turbine inlet
\( o \): Outlet of the solar collector
\( out \): Outlet of the chimney
\( PV \): Photovoltaic.
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