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In this paper, thermal modeling of a typical rural house in Pakistan has been done using BEopt, to determine the hourly load profile. Using the load data, the design of a stand-alone PV system has been completed using HOMER Pro. The designed system consists of a 5.8 kW PV with eight batteries of 12 V, 255 Ah, and a 1.4 kW inverter. The system analyses show that such system can support mainly lighting and appliance load in a rural house. The dynamic model of the designed system has been simulated in MATLAB-Simulink. Perturbation and observation-based algorithm has been used for maximum power extraction from PV. Simulation results indicate that the system can provide a stable voltage and frequency for the domestic load. The method and analysis presented here can be used for the PV system design for other parts of the world.

1. Introduction

In this case study, a rural house in Pakistan has been considered for the load profile, PV sizing, and system design. It was selected because most of the remote communities of underdeveloped countries like Pakistan have high solar resource but are isolated from the main grid, and they face long hours of load shedding. The system analysis, sizing, and current per kilowatt hour cost encourage renewable energy and PV system in other parts of the world specifically in the countries of South Africa and South Asia which are rich in solar resource and have the insufficient electric supply. Therefore, this study will help in implementing small PV systems to meet the domestic load demand of the people living in the solar irradiance-rich areas of the world.

The efficiency and output power of PV depend upon the solar irradiance, location, face angle of the PV panel, type of PV (monocrystalline, polycrystalline, microamorphous silicon, and amorphous silicon), and the efficiency of the components, but the available solar irradiance and location play a significant role [1]. Another study [2] showed that the hybrid system of PV and diesel would be viable than a stand-alone PV system. The latest research has led to a significant improvement in the efficiency of the PV system to harness the clean energy [3]. Due to this, the stand-alone PV systems are becoming more ubiquitous for the electrification of off-grid communities and other projects like the water pumping system [4–6], and in this growing trend, this study will be helpful for small PV system designing and sizing.

Pakistan is a developing country, and it is facing a power crisis for more than twenty years. The gap in power generation (18,000 MW) and demand (25,000 MW) is continuously increasing, because load shedding hours in the rural areas are increasing every year and now have reached up to 6 h in winter and 10 h in summer [7–10]. To meet the urban and industrial sector power demand, the power of the rural area is cut down resulting more suffering of rural people than urban people. More than 50% of the population of Pakistan is living in rural areas, and daily power outage is one of the main reasons for the low GDP [11]. The sufferings of the rural people and some assumptions made in the sizing of such system [12] are the driving forces for this research work.

If an alternate system is designed for the rural people, it would not only reduce their worries but will also make improvements in the country’s GDP. Fortunately, Pakistan is rich in solar energy potential, and according to Jamal and
Hohmeyer and Stackhouse et al. [13, 14], the annual solar irradiance ranges from 4.0 to 5.28 kWh/m$^2$/day. Figure 1 shows the average monthly sunshine of 250?h for the chosen site. Although, many papers like [13, 15–17] have been written on this topic, most of them address generic issues of the PV system, and even in [12], an attempt has been made to size and propose a PV system for a typical house and a load of a house has been considered to be 5 kWh/day (1800 kWh/year). Further, the proposed system is undersized, and idealistic conditions have been assumed like daily solar irradiance would be greater than 5 kWh/m$^2$/day and intermittence of weather conditions and bad weather backup has not been taken into considerations. We present complete thermal modeling of a typical house done in BEopt to observe the hourly load profile for one year, and according to that, the system sizing has been completed. Using HOMER Pro, an optimized and low-cost system has been selected which gives energy at the rate of $0.19/kWh and the system design has been explained in the coming sections. Simulations of a stand-alone PV system have been completed in Simulink. In the proposed system’s simulations, a perturbation and observation-based maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm has been implemented, and the battery bank protection against under/overvoltage has been carried out. MPPT is the operating state of the PV at which it delivers maximum power to a resistive load [18]. Before opting the PV system, the study of other available energy resources was also done. Annual wind speed data for the selected site has been shown in Figure 2. The average annual wind speed is not more than 4.15 m$^{-1}$ [14], because wind energy use was eliminated. The rural areas in Pakistan are not rich in geothermal energy source because geotemperature varies by only 3-3.5 °C for the depth of 100 m [19–21]. Similarly, ocean energy could not be considered because more than 50% of the population of Pakistan lives in the Punjab Province which is more than 500 km away from the ocean. A brief analysis of available renewable energy resources for a typical house and hourly energy consumption for one year has been estimated in Section 2. Section 3 explains the HOMER Pro-based system sizing for the house including the size of the proposed PV system considering 18% efficiency. A single inverter and a battery bank which can provide backup for more than two days in abnormal weather conditions have been selected. Simulink-based simulations have been explained in Section 4. The last section gives the conclusion and analysis of the proposed system.

Table 1: Load estimate of a typical rural house in Pakistan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Lights</th>
<th>Fans</th>
<th>Refrigerator</th>
<th>TV (17&quot;)</th>
<th>Miscellaneous load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power rating</td>
<td>25 W</td>
<td>75 W</td>
<td>200 W</td>
<td>150 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of items</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total power</td>
<td>100 W</td>
<td>225 W</td>
<td>200 W</td>
<td>150 W</td>
<td>100 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Estimation of the Hourly Load and Annual Energy Consumption of the House

The selected house is in a remote community of Punjab Province and in the outskirts of district Faisalabad with the coordinates of 31°13' N and 73°24' E. The thermal modeling has been completed by estimating the residential load; the results of annual energy consumption from BEopt were compared with the utility bill, and a minor variation of less than 4% was observed.

In the rural areas, people do not use electric heaters or air conditioner for heating/cooling purposes and for cooking they use petroleum gas or wood. The typical load is very small, and an approximated load of a typical house is 7-9 kWh/day according to the BEopt results. Here, in system sizing backup, storage has been assumed to be 10 kWh/day. Load of Table 1 was entered in BEopt, which was collected by counting the number of active appliances and their nameplate power consumption. The hourly load profile for a year was generated and has been shown in Figure 3(a) which shows that the energy consumption is relatively higher in the months of February, November, and December due to longer nights. Figure 3(b) shows the total kWh consumption round the year by different load types (lights, large appliances, ventilation fans, and miscellaneous load).
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In the rural areas, people do not use electric heaters or air conditioner for heating/cooling purposes and for cooking they use petroleum gas or wood. The typical load is very small, and an approximated load of a typical house is 7-9 kWh/day according to the BEopt results. Here, in system sizing backup, storage has been assumed to be 10 kWh/day. Load of Table 1 was entered in BEopt, which was collected by counting the number of active appliances and their nameplate power consumption. The hourly load profile for a year was generated and has been shown in Figure 3(a) which shows that the energy consumption is relatively higher in the months of February, November, and December due to longer nights. Figure 3(b) shows the total kWh consumption round the year by different load types (lights, large appliances, ventilation fans, and miscellaneous load). Miscellaneous load seems too high compared to others because it accommodates all different types of load other than the list presented in Table 1.
Figure 4: HOMER sizing and optimization results.

Table 2: Project estimated costs [24].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>Converter</th>
<th>Battery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating of each component</td>
<td>327 W</td>
<td>1.4 kW</td>
<td>SSIG 12 V, 255 Ah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required # of components</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final rating</td>
<td>5.886 kW</td>
<td>1.4 kW</td>
<td>48 V, 510 Ah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/unit</td>
<td>$173</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>$3114</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$3408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. System Sizing and Optimization

The desired system sizing was completed in HOMER Pro; the data for solar irradiance was downloaded from [22], and HOMER results have been shown in Figure 4. In results, more than 3000 different combinations consisting of a different number of PV panels and battery banks were calculated in HOMER and, finally, the chosen system was found the most economical considering the factors such as initial capital cost, cost/kWh, net present cost, unmet load, excess electricity generation, and the efficiency of the system. According to the optimized results, the PV panels of SunPower 5.88 kW, 8 batteries of SSIG 12 V, 255 Ah (two strings of 48 V), and a converter of 1.4 kW will be enough to meet the load. For PV, SunPower polycrystalline has been selected due to the economical reasons despite its relatively less efficiency (18%) as compared to the silicon monocrystalline PV panels (20%) [23]. The above proposed system gives the power backup for 47 h for bad weather conditions and has minimum excess electricity. The power inverter is 1.4 kW, and it has been sized based upon the peak demand of AC load which is not more than 800 W during peak time. The required PV panel was sized considering the factors of load demand, required power backup, irradiance, etc. Therefore, there is a difference between PV panel size (5.8 kW) and the converter rating (1.4 kW). When the PV output is sufficient to meet the load demand, the battery bank will be in floating state and will only be discharged during night time or when the PV output becomes insufficient to meet load demand. Further, the average daily energy consumption of the house is 10.3 kWh; therefore, the proposed battery bank size determined in HOMER size optimization will be sufficient to give backup of 47 h for bad weather conditions. In actual system sizing, a safety factor of 1.3 times has also been included to protect against overloading or withstand the surges and the efficiency of the PV system has been taken 18% according to the nameplate of SunPower.

The load profile and the battery state of charge have been shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Figure 5(a) shows the 24-hour load profile with peak hours of early morning and evening as observed in our real system, and the average daily demand is not more than 10.3 kWh. Figure 5(b) shows the storage state of charge and the percentage of discharge, and depth of discharge is never worse than 25%. Table 2 shows the information about the rating and cost of the system components. Most of the data about components’ cost were collected from [24]. It includes 70% of replacement cost, and it can be seen that the overall project does not cost more than $9650.

The site does not have sufficient sources of wind and geothermal energy as indicated in the literature review; therefore, those sources have not been included in HOMER sizing and optimizations. The chosen site has no obstacles or trees to cause the shadow on the PV system; therefore, shadow and its impact have been ignored.

4. Proposed System Simulations in Simulink

The system block diagram has been shown in Figure 6. Figure 6(a) shows the block diagram of the system in which the solar panel with the maximum power point tracker (MPPT) is connected with the DC bus. The block of the battery bank which also has the charge controller and under/overvoltage protection is connected with the same DC bus. The third block in this figure is the Cybo1000N converter which not only converts DC into AC but also ensures the power quality (reduce the harmonics) and links the DC and AC buses. The simulations of the proposed system have been completed in Simulink following the block diagram shown in Figure 6(b) which is the extension of the blocks shown in Figure 6(a).

In the Simulink simulations, the PV system output is fed to the block of the DC/DC converter with a controller. The algorithm of the maximum power point tracker (MPPT) has been implemented in the same block to control the duty cycle of the converter. It maintains the output DC voltage equal to 48 V and feeds to the battery bank. Under normal operating conditions (when the PV output is enough to meet
load demand), the battery bank will be in a floating state. Therefore, its state of charge shown in Figure 7 shows that it fully charged. Single-phase six-step inverter which operates with a switching frequency of 5 kHz is connected with the battery bank. Its output is a six-step AC waveform with 50 Hz frequency which is the standard power system frequency in Pakistan. The voltage level of the six-step AC form is boosted to 212 V RMS (300 V peak) by a single-phase transformer which also has a filter on secondary winding to reduce the harmonics.

To track the maximum power point for PV, perturbation and observation-based algorithm has been implemented. It was opted out of many other algorithms because of its simplicity and quick response and is free

Figure 7: (a) Output voltage of PV when the system is operating at maximum power point (MPP) under MPPT. (b) Simulink simulations for the complete system.
from derivatives and integrals like other algorithms. In Simulink, the battery protection unit protects the battery from deep discharging and also protects from overvoltage for any abnormal condition. The battery protection units for the battery bank and system are based upon the comparison of the output voltage and the reference Zener voltage, and based upon that, a decision is made whether to keep the system connected or turned off. The design calculations for the buck-boost converter were completed using equation (1) [25], and the filter design was completed following [26]. In the following equations, \( D \) is for duty cycle and \( f \) is for the switching frequency:

\[
L \geq \frac{(1 - D)^2}{2f} R, \\
C = (2\pi f)^2 L.
\]

The complete Simulink diagram has been shown in Figures 7(a) and 7(b). Figure 7(a) shows the output voltage of PV when MPPT is controlling its operating point. In Figure 7(a), the voltage is 37.5 V and with time MPPT fixes the output exactly equal to the nameplate value of the PV to get maximum power. Figure 7(b) shows the complete Simulink diagram of the system which gives the desired output and corroborates the system validations. The simulation results have been shown in Figure 8, where Figure 8(a) shows the output of a six-step inverter and Figure 8(b) shows the final output of the system which is 212 V RMS (600 V peak-to-peak voltage) with the standard frequency of 50 Hz. This simulation identifies all electrical parts required for the system and can be used to study the impact of changing inputs on the output voltage.

5. Conclusion

For a typical house of the rural area in Pakistan, the complete thermal modeling, sizing, and optimization of a stand-alone PV system with detailed cost calculations have been presented in this paper. The designed system consists of 5.8 kW PV, eight batteries of 12 V and 255 Ah, and a 1.4 kW inverter, and overall, it costs $9650 and produces electricity at $0.199/kWh. This research presents a detailed procedure to design a PV system for remote locations where grid supply is not possible or economically viable. Following this method, one can estimate the load, size a system, simulate the system, and determine the expected performance. Therefore, this study seems potentially helpful in designing a stand-alone PV system.

6. Future Work

It could be seen that the battery bank requires a significant capital cost which overall affects the system economical viability. In the future, a large scale implementation of the PV system study could be done to explore the implementation of other combinations for energy storage systems along with the battery bank, e.g., compressed air, hydrogen gas, flywheel, pumped hydro, and other options like these. A hybrid and cheaper energy storage system will prove helpful in harnessing clean and cheap energy.
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