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A novel Flame-Retardant N-(P,P'-diphenyl) phosphorus-based-(3-triethoxysilicon) propylamine (DPTP) was synthesized in this study. The impact of DPTP on the mechanical properties, thermal stability, and flame retardancy of rigid polyurethane foam (RPUF) was studied. The addition of DPTP to RPUF can significantly reduce the undesirable thermal effects and smoke density during combustion, as well as increasing the limiting oxygen index. Compared with pure RPUF, the peak heat release rate of RPUF containing 10 phr of DPTP decreased by 39.4%, while its peak smoke production rate decreased by 49.9%. However, it was also found that the addition of DPTP reduced the compressive strength of RPUF.

1. Introduction

Rigid polyurethane foam (RPUF) has the lowest thermal conductivity of all foams currently available; moreover, it possesses further advantages such as high compressive strength, good adhesive strength, and ease of processing [1, 2]. Therefore, it will be significantly advantageous if RPUF can replace traditional inorganic thermal insulation materials for use as energy-efficient building materials [3]. However, compared to inorganic thermal insulation materials, RPUF has some limitations such as low density, large surface area, high flammability, and production of toxic smoke. In addition, the production of a large amount of smoke in widely used halogen flame retardants containing RPUF has limited the application of RPUF in energy-efficient buildings, especially for high-rise buildings. Intumescent flame-retardant polymer systems composed of an acid source, a carbon source, and a gas source with ammonium polyphosphate (APP) [4–6] as the main component and composite ones using expandable graphite (EG) [7–9] as the main component have advantages such as good flame retardancy, low smoke production, and low toxicity. The latter, in particular, has been widely used for manufacturing low smoke and flame-retardant RPUF. In recent years, owing to the increasing demand for RPUF in the field of energy-efficient building materials, flame-retardant RPUF has again attracted considerable attention from domestic and international industry and academia [10–12]. This has led to a number of studies on the application of EG mixed with composites such as phosphorus-containing flame retardants, silica whiskers, or methyl methacrylate-acrylic acid copolymer in RPUF. In this study, we designed and synthesized a new type of halogen-free P-, N-, Si-containing flame retardant, namely, N-(P,P'-diphenyl) phosphorus-based-(3-triethoxysilicon) propylamine (DPTP), and applied it to RPUF. We investigated the flame retardancy of DPTP in RPUF, as well as the flame-retardant mechanism of DPTP.

2. Experimental

2.1. Main Raw Materials.

γ-Aminopropyl triethoxysilane (KH-550): WD Silicone Co., Ltd. (Hubei); chlorodiphenyl phosphine (DPC): Qingdao Fusilin Chemical Technology Co., Ltd.; toluene: Tianjin Fuyu Fine Chemicals Co., Ltd.; triethylamine: Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.; polyether polyls: industrial grade, brand HF-4110, hydroxyl value = 400–460 mg KOH/g, viscosity = 500–6500 mPa·s (25°C): Hengfeng Polyurethane Industry Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang); polyether polyls: industrial grade, HF-4110H hydroxyl value = 400–460 mg KOH/g, viscosity = 1500–2000 mPa·s (25°C):
Table 1: Formulations of RPUF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Polyol</th>
<th>Polyol</th>
<th>PAPI</th>
<th>DPTP</th>
<th>Blowing agent (n-pentane)</th>
<th>Catalyst, N,N-dimethylcyclohexylamine (DMCHA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1#</td>
<td>Neat PU</td>
<td>HF4110</td>
<td>HF4110H</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2#</td>
<td>DPTP 2 phr</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3#</td>
<td>DPTP 5 phr</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4#</td>
<td>DPTP 2 phr</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5#</td>
<td>DPTP 2 phr</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Data obtained from cone calorimeter testing of RPUF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TTI (s)</th>
<th>PHRR (kW/m²)</th>
<th>PSPR (m²/s)</th>
<th>AMLR (g/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1#</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>361.07</td>
<td>0.4498</td>
<td>0.0672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2#</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>274.75</td>
<td>0.1975</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3#</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>244.23</td>
<td>0.1813</td>
<td>0.0454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4#</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>244.58</td>
<td>0.2137</td>
<td>0.0487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5#</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>221.59</td>
<td>0.2235</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TTI: time to ignition; PHRR: peak release rate; PSPR: peak smoke release rate; AMLR: average mass loss rate.

Hengfeng Polyurethane Industry Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang); polyarylpolyethylene-isocyanate (PAPI): industrial grade, functionality = 2.7, NCO content = 30.75%; Huntsman Chemical Trading Co., Ltd.

2.2. Preparation of DPTP. First, 22.1 g (0.1 mol) of γ-amino propyl triethoxysilane (KH-550) and 50 mL of dry toluene were weighed out and placed in a 250 mL four-neck round-bottom flask that was purged with nitrogen. Thereafter, 10.1 g of triethylamine (0.1 mol) was added with continuous stirring. Then, 22 g (0.1 mol) of DPC was dissolved in 25 mL of dry toluene, and the resulting solution was added dropwise to the four-neck round-bottom flask by using a constant-pressure dropping funnel for 1.5 h. When the addition was complete, the temperature was raised to 85 °C. The reaction was continued under nitrogen for 10 h. After the reaction was completed, followed by cooling, filtration, washing with cyclohexane, and removing the solvent by reduced pressure distillation, a novel flame-retardant synergist, DPTP, was obtained as a viscous light green product with 91 wt% yield (Figure 2).

2.3. Preparation of Flame-Retardant RPUFs. The foams were prepared from polyols and polymeric isocyanate. Blowing agent, surfactant, and catalyst were added and mixed with the polyols and, subsequently, the isocyanate was mixed and the mixture was poured into a 10.5 cm × 10.5 cm × 12.0 cm steel box. The NCO/OH ratio used was 1.2 : 1.0. The obtained PU foams were put in an oven for complete cure for 24 h at 80 °C in order to complete the polymerization reaction, before carrying out characterization. The compositions of polyurethane foams are shown in Table 1.

2.4. Measurements and Characterization. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis was performed using a Magna 760 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer ( Nicolet, USA) within the wave number range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out under nitrogen using a NETZSCH 209 thermal gravimetric analyzer (NETZSCH, Germany). Temperature was raised from room temperature to 700 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C/min. X-ray diffraction analysis was conducted using a D8 Advance Powder Diffractometer (Bruker, Germany), experimental conditions: copper target, LynxExe array detector, tube voltage = 40 kW, tube current = 40 mA, and scanning range 2–70°. The compressive strength of RPUF was tested according to GB/T8813-2008, with a strain rate of 5 mm/min; five samples were tested in each group. The limiting oxygen index (LOI) of RPUF was measured in accordance with the standards listed in GB/T2406-93, by using a HC-2 oxygen index tester (Jiangning, China), with five samples in each group. Flame retardancy and smoke suppression were tested on the basis of ASTM D1354-93 and ISQ 5660 by using a standard cone calorimeter (Fire Testing Technology Ltd., UK). The heat flux in the cone calorimeter test was 35 kW/m², and two samples were tested in each group. The morphology of composite materials and their residual materials after high-temperature burning was observed using a 1530VP environmental scanning electron microscope (SEM) (LEO Electron Microscopy Ltd., Germany) (Table 2).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structural Analysis of DPTP. The IR spectra of KH-550 and DPTP are shown in Figure 1. A sharp absorption peak at 550 cm⁻¹ peak suggests that the P–N bond was generated via a chemical reaction between the primary amine and phenylphosphine. The disappearance of the P–Cl absorption peak at 550 cm⁻¹ peak suggests that the P–N bond was generated via a chemical reaction between the primary amine...
polyurethane macromolecular chain as a small molecule. This damages chain regularity, thus affecting the formation of RPUF into a network structure. Furthermore, the silane group may also have a plasticizing effect [13]. Therefore, when a large amount of DPTP is added, the induced “plasticizing effect” on RPUF causes the bubbles in the foam body to be brittle and is more likely to lead to bubble collapse and deformation.

3.3. Impact of DPTP on the Thermal Stability of RPUF. The TGA curve of RPUF is shown in Figure 4. As shown in the figure, the initial decomposition temperature of pure RPUF was 252°C, and the char yield at 700°C was 17.5%. With increasing phr of DPTP, the char yield of flame-retardant RPUF gradually increased. When 10 phr of DPTP was added, the char yield of flame-retardant RPUF reached 23%. This demonstrates that the addition of DPTP can form a dense char layer to block heat transfer and the penetration of combustible gases, thus improving the thermal stability and flame retardancy of RPUF composites.

3.4. Impact of DPTP on the Combustion Performance of RPUF. The LOI values of RPUF are shown in Figure 5. The LOI value of pure RPUF was 18.2. After 2, 5, 8, and 10 phr of DPTP were added, the corresponding LOI values were 22.5, 24.6, 26.4, and 27.3, respectively. This suggests that the introduction of DPTP can significantly increase the LOI value of RPUF. As increasing amounts of DPTP were added, the LOI value of the foam gradually increased. This is because the excellent char-forming property of DPTP has a synergistic char-forming effect on RPUF, thus significantly increasing the LOI value of composite materials.

Figure 6 depicts the heat release rate, total heat release, smoke release rate, and total smoke release curves of DPTP flame-retardant RPUF composites. The related data are listed in Table 1. As shown in Figure 6, when 2, 5, 8, and 10 phr of DPTP were added to RPUF, the corresponding peak heat release rates (PHRRs) were 273.59, 245.90, 245.51, and 218.92 kW/m², respectively. Compared to 361.07 kW/m² of
Under high temperature, polyurethane foam can produce char particles formed from dehydrogenation and particles of compounds containing a small number of hydrogen atoms. Both particles can mix with the small char residue in combustion products. Moreover, alcohol and aldehyde oligomer generated from pyrolysis or agglomerated droplets of small molecules are also mixed in the smoke. Therefore, the combustion of polyurethane foam produces a highly dense smoke with a complicated composition. One important way to reduce the smoke density during the combustion of polyurethane is to increase the amount of condensed products in the combustion of polyurethane foam, that is, to maximize the rate of char residue during combustion and the cohesion of char residue. From the smoke release rate curve, the peak smoke production rate (PSPR) of RPUF was 0.4509 m²/s. After 2, 5, 8, and 10 phr of DPTP were added, the corresponding PSPRs of composites were reduced to 0.1801, 0.1952, 0.2157, and 0.2255 m²/s, respectively. As can be seen from the total smoke release curve, the addition of DPTP can effectively reduce the PSPR and total smoke release of RPUF. After the introduction of DPTP in different ratios, the total smoke release amounts of composite materials combusted within 250 s were all decreased in comparison to that of pure RPUF. After 2, 5, 8, and 10 phr of DPTP were added, the corresponding amounts of the total smoke release of RPUF were decreased by 52.4%, 52.2%, 36.3%, and 45.5%, respectively. This is because the molten materials produced during the formation of a dense char layer in DPTP decomposition can cover or wrap the unburned substrate part of RPUF. The evaporation of small residual char particles formed during the combustion of the inner layer of foam was reduced. This can increase the amount of the condensed char layer formed and can reduce the total amount of smoke produced by combustion. The strong and compact char layer produced by DPTP can effectively block smoke penetration and extend the smoke propagation path so that it can reduce PSPR. However, as increasing amount of DPTP was added, PSPR and total smoke release of flame-retardant RPUF increased gradually. This may be due to the fact that the thermal decomposition process produced small molecules, which formed small solid particles. Consequently, the smoke density during the combustion of composite materials increased.

3.5. Flame Retardancy Mechanism. Figure 7 shows the macromorphology of char residues as determined from the cone calorimeter testing of pure cone RPUF and DPTP flame-retardant RPUF composites. Pure RPUF itself has certain char-forming properties and yields a small amount of char residue after combustion. However, this type of char layer is thin and breaks easily. After 2 phr of DPTP was added, the amount of char residue of the RPUF composite increased significantly. After combustion, a continuous char layer could be formed, while the outer layer of char residue was thin, with many cracks and curls on the surface. When increasing amounts of DPTP were added, the char layer became thicker, the amount of char residue increased, the expansion of the char layer was more apparent, the area of the entire char
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Figure 6: (a) Heat release rate of RPUE, (b) total heat release of RPUE, (c) smoke release rate of RPUE, and (d) total smoke release of RPUE.

Figure 7: Digital images of char residue after cone calorimeter test: (a) neat PU, (b) DPTP (2 phr), (d) DPTP (5 phr), (e) DPTP (8 phr), and (f) DPTP (10 phr).
layer gradually increased, and the distribution of the char layer became increasingly uniform. As shown in Figure 7, the char residue formed after the combustion of RPUF with the addition of 10 phr of DPTP formed a complete expanded char layer. Its apparent strength was significantly higher than those of other samples, and no split char layers appeared on the surface [14, 15]. When compared to a complete layer, cracks were observed between the split layers. The occurrence of cracks will facilitate heat and gas propagation. Therefore, the more the number of cracks on the surface of the char layer is, the worse its barrier effect will be. This complete, compact, and strong char layer can effectively block the propagation of heat and gas, thereby protecting the underlying polymer substrate material.

Figure 8 shows the micromorphology of the inner surface of the char residue obtained after the combustion of pure RPUF and DPTP flame-retardant RPUF composites. As can be seen from the figure, the inner char layer of pure RPUF (Figure 8(a)) has a honeycomb structure, with different sizes of holes. This was caused by a large amount of volatile gas produced from thermal decomposition during the combustion of substrate materials, which broke through the fragile char layer. Figures 8(b) and 8(c) depict the morphology of the char layers of flame-retardant RPUF containing 2 and 10 phr of DPTP. As can be seen from Figure 8(b), many vesicles appeared in the inner layer of the char residue, while there were no holes in these vesicles. This was caused by the foaming effect in the process of char formation from the gases, which were produced during the combustion of the composite materials. Figure 8(c) indicates no apparent vesicles and holes in the inner char layer, suggesting that the char layer in the molten state during char formation had high strength, good thermal insulation, and good gas barrier properties [16–18]. Furthermore, the char layer produced from DPTP added to RPUF was not separated from the char layer produced from the substrate material, yielding a good synergistic effect. This synergistic effect can enhance the strength of the char layer during combustion, reduce the generation of cracks in the char layer, and effectively block heat and mass transfer and the outflow of smoke particles, thus playing an essential role in improving flame retardancy and smoke suppression.

4. Conclusions

DPTP added to RPUF can act as a foam stabilizer to enhance the flame retardancy of RPUF. DPTP can significantly increase the char yield of RPUF and can promote char formation during the combustion of RPUF. For RPUF, the addition of DPTP significantly reduced the heat release rate, total heat release, smoke release rate, total smoke release, and average mass loss rate. Moreover, the addition of DPTP significantly increased the LOI value of RPUF. During the combustion of DPTP flame-retardant RPUF composite materials, a complete and thick char layer was formed on the surface and provided insulation. As a result, the RPUF composite material effectively blocked heat and mass transfer and exhibited excellent flame retardancy. It is concluded that the significant improvement in the flame retardancy of RPUF may be attributed to the interaction between aminopropyl triethoxysilane and chlorodiphenyl phosphine, which increases both the quantity and thermal stability of the char. The enhanced char layer serves as a perfect shell to accumulate the pyrolytic gases during combustion of RPUF. Thus, the flame retardant was enhanced.
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