Effects of $\alpha$-ZrP on Crystallinity and Flame-Retardant Behaviors of PA6/MCA Composites
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Novel flame-retardant Polyamide6/Melamine cyanurate-alpha-zirconium phosphate (PA6/MCA-$\alpha$-ZrP) composites were prepared via the incorporation of the modified MCA (MCA-$\alpha$-ZrP) into PA6. MCA-$\alpha$-ZrP were synthesized through the self-assembly of $\alpha$-ZrP, Melamine (ME), and cyanuric acid (CA) molecules. The results of differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) showed that the incorporation of enough $\alpha$-ZrP (30 wt% MCA) caused the increased crystallinity of PA6 and tended to form $\gamma$ phase. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and heat distortion temperature (HDT) test illustrated that the $\alpha$-ZrP could increase the residue and HDT values of PA6/MCA and showed a synergistic effect with MCA. The combination of MCA and $\alpha$-ZrP caused the enhancement of vertical burning test (UL-94) rating. Cone calorimeter test (CCT) gave clear evidences that PA6/MCA-10$\alpha$-ZrP composites with low heat release rate (HRR), low total heat release (THR), and high amounts of char residues after combustion compared with PA6/MCA and PA6/MCA-30$\alpha$-ZrP. What is more, excellent mechanical properties were kept even though MCA and $\alpha$-ZrP were dispersed not as good as expected.

1. Introduction

PA6 is widely used in industry and daily life, which is due to its excellent mechanical, electrical, abrasion resistant, oil resistant, solvent resistant, and corrosion resistant properties [1–4]. However, the natural flammability and low fire-resistance of PA6 limit its wide applications. Melamine cyanurate (MCA), as a traditional flame retardant, is usually used in PA6, which is halogen-free and can significantly improve the fire retardancy of PA6. However, high loading of MCA in PA6 results in processing difficulties and mechanical properties deterioration to achieve identical flame retardancy.

In recent years, increasing and considerable attention have been drawn in layered nanofillers (like montmorillonite) to improve the flame-retardant properties of polymers [5–8]. Alpha-zirconium phosphate ($\alpha$-ZrP) possesses some unique advantages over other layered nanofillers, such as highly tunable properties and ease of intercalation [9–15]. Moreover, many reports indicate that $\alpha$-ZrP are also able to catalyze carbonization and graphitization on the surface of polymer composites [16–21]. So, in our work, $\alpha$-ZrP is used as synergistic agent of MCA to create novel flame-retardant system which may further improve the flame-retardant and mechanical properties.

$\alpha$-ZrP modified MCA (MCA-$\alpha$-ZrP) were synthesized through the self-assembly between $\alpha$-ZrP, Melamine (ME), and cyanuric acid (CA) molecules, and the structure of MCA and MCA-$\alpha$-ZrP was characterized. A certain amount of MCA or MCA-$\alpha$-ZrP was incorporated into PA6 by melt blending to obtain a series of PA6/MCA and PA6/MCA-$\alpha$-ZrP composites, and the flame retardancy, mechanical properties, thermal stability, and crystallinity of each composite were investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials. Alpha-zirconium phosphate ($\alpha$-ZrP) was purchased from Xiya Reagent Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China). Melamine (ME) and cyanuric acid (CA) were provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Polyamide6 (PA6) was supplied by Meijuke company (Foshan, China).
Table 1: Formulation and TGA data of the pure PA6 and its composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples ID</th>
<th>Composites</th>
<th>MCA-α-ZrP (α-ZrP) (wt%)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{max}} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{i}} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{max}2} ) (°C)</th>
<th>Residue char (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PA6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PA6/MCA</td>
<td>12 (0)</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-3α-ZrP</td>
<td>12 (0.36)</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-5α-ZrP</td>
<td>12 (0.60)</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-10α-ZrP</td>
<td>12 (1.20)</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-30α-ZrP</td>
<td>12 (3.60)</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 1: Schematic figures of the reaction between ME, CA, and α-ZrP.

2.2. Synthesis of MCA and MCA-α-ZrP. CA and water were added to a three-necked flask with stirring to obtain the stable suspension. Then, α-ZrP was poured into the stirring suspension. Besides, ME was not put into flask until the mixture temperature was up to 80°C. The mixture was stirred at 95°C for 2 h. The resulting MCA was dried at 120°C for 12 h. At last, MCA-α-ZrP (α-ZrP account for 3%, 5%, 10%, and 30% MCA, resp.) were acquired with pestle. The reaction scheme for MCA-α-ZrP was shown in Scheme 1.

2.3. Preparation of Flame-Retardant PA6 Composites. The content of flame-retardant (MCA or MCA-α-ZrP) in PA6 composites was kept at 12 wt%. PA6, MCA, and MCA-α-ZrP were dried at 80°C for 12 h before use. The formulation of PA6 composites was listed in Table 1. All the composites were blended by a twin screw extruder. The resulting samples were pelletized and then melted and injected into the standard models to prepare specimens according to corresponding test standards.

2.4. Characterization. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were carried out using a Nicolet IS-10 spectrometer. Potassium bromide pellet was used as background. The wavenumber range was set from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded with X’Pert PRO MPD X-ray diffractometer with a Cu-Kα generator (\( \lambda = 0.154 \) nm) in the range of 5° to 90° with a scanning rate of 0.06°/s.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) tests were performed in air atmosphere on a PerkinElmer TGA 2050 instrument at a heating rate of 10°C/min at temperature ranging from 30 to 700°C.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were interfaced with a TA 2010 (TA instruments) under N₂ atmosphere in a heating rate 10°C/min at temperature ranging from 30 to 300°C. The thermograms were recorded with first heating and then cooled to 20°C.

Scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) images were observed on a JEOL JSM-6380LV SEM. The samples were recorded after gold coating surface treatment.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were investigated on a JEOL JEM-2100 with a 200 kV accelerating voltage.

Tensile properties were conducted on an Instron universal testing machine according to ASTM B638-99 with testing speed 50 mm/min. V-notch impact tests were measured by using a Pendulum impact testing machine (ZBC-1251-12) in accordance with ASTM D638-99.

Heat distortion temperature (HDT) were carried out on a HDT 3VICAT in accordance with ASTM D 648 with surface stress 1.82 Mpa and heating rate 120°C/h.
Fire behavior were characterized by UL-94 test (ASTM D-635-77) and Cone calorimeter test (CCT). UL-94 tests were performed on a CZF-2 vertical burning test instrument with sheet dimensions of 127 × 12.7 × 3.2 mm$^3$. CCT were tested by using a Fire Testing Technology cone calorimeter on 100 × 100 × 3.2 mm$^3$ according to ISO 5660-1 with an external heat flux of 50 kW/m$^2$. All the data presented in the following were reproducible within ±5% and were average based on three measurements.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of MCA-α-ZrP. To illustrate whether there are chemical reactions between MCA and α-ZrP or not, direct evidence can be obtained from FTIR as shown in Figure 1. It is evident that the scattering bands assigned at 3385 cm$^{-1}$, 3194 cm$^{-1}$, 1785 cm$^{-1}$, 1715 cm$^{-1}$, 1665 cm$^{-1}$, and 1419 cm$^{-1}$ are ascribed to MCA characteristic absorption peaks of N-H, C-H, C=O, C=O, C=N, and C-N, respectively. MCA-10α-ZrP and MCA-30α-ZrP showed no apparent differences compared with MCA, indicating that there are no new substances appearing in this reaction or the MCA peaks are too intensive to show α-ZrP peaks.

For further identification, the chemical structures of MCA and MCA-α-ZrP were investigated by XR, as shown in Figure 2. The XRD pattern for MCA exhibited diffraction peaks at 2θ of 11.03°, 11.96°, 21.95°, 28.05°, and 33.18°. There are also no obvious differences between MCA and MCA-α-ZrP, deeply demonstrating that there are no chemical reactions between MCA and α-ZrP, which is in accord with FTIR results.

The SEM images of MCA, MCA-30α-ZrP, and α-ZrP are shown in Figure 3. Many researchers reported that the synthesis of MCA was planar molecules self-assembly of ME and CA by forming a large flat hydrogen bond network [22–25]. α-ZrP can break the hydrogen bond network into much smaller independent reaction systems and the MCA particles were refined. Figure 3(a) showed that MCA particles present different sizes and irregular shapes. From Figures 3(b) and 3(c), it can be seen that MCA particles become smaller compared with MCA when α-ZrP was added to this system, and some capsule-shaped particles existed, which can be explained by coated α-ZrP with MCA.

3.2. Dispersibility of Flame Retarded PA6 Composites. The morphology of MCA and MCA-α-ZrP dispersed in PA6 was investigated via SEM. The SEM images of fracture surface are shown in Figure 4. The image of pure PA6 only exhibits some flaws in the surface. The image of PA6/MCA revealed that a large number of irregular MCA particles with sphere-like, rod-like, and flake-like shapes were unevenly dispersed in the PA6 matrix. With α-ZrP added to PA6/MCA system, layered structure of α-ZrP and MCA particles would be seen and also unevenly distributed in PA6/MCA-10α-ZrP and PA6/MCA-30α-ZrP.

Further evidence is seen by TEM as shown in Figure 5. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show PA6/MCA internal structures on nanometre scale, the arrowed dark entities can be clearly observed and also be not homogenously distributed. From Figures 5(c) and 5(d), layered α-ZrP (circled) and irregular MCA (arrowed) particles exist on the images and also heterogeneously dispersed in PA6. From these results, it can be concluded that MCA and α-ZrP were dispersed in PA6 matrix not as good as expected.

3.3. Thermal Stability of Flame Retarded PA6 Composites. In order to understand the effect of MCA-α-ZrP on the thermal stability of PA6, the pure PA6 and the flame-retardant PA6 systems with various amounts of α-ZrP modified MCA were analyzed by TGA. The TG and DTG curves of pure PA6, PA6/MCA, and PA6/MCA-α-ZrP were shown in Figure 6. And their data were listed in Table 1. The thermal stability was quantified through the initial decomposition temperature ($T_i$) and the maximum degradation temperatures ($T_{\text{max}1}$ and $T_{\text{max}2}$). The TG curve of PA6 had one stage of thermal degradation. $T_i$ of pure PA6 was 362°C and $T_{\text{max}1}$ was 457°C.
PA6 continued to decompose with heating and there was nothing left at 700°C. As shown in Figure 6 and Table 1, PA6/MCA and PA6/MCA-α-ZrP had two stages of thermal degradation and the first temperature of rapid lost weight ($T_{\text{max}1}$) appeared with the adding of MCA. And $T_{\text{max}1}$ was much lower than the thermal degradation of PA6, indicating that MCA can induce PA6 to catalytic decomposition. The decomposition process of PA6/MCA started at approximately 320°C and ended at 480°C. And the remaining mass at 700°C was almost 0%, which revealed the flame-retardant effect of MCA.
MCA was in gaseous phase rather than in condensed phase [26, 27]. As seen in Table 1, PA6/MCA and PA6/MCA-\(\alpha\)-ZrP composites increased \(T_i\) compared to pure PA6. MCA may release the inert gases and dilute the concentration of combustible gases in high temperature; hence \(T_i\) of the whole composites was postponed. As \(\alpha\)-ZrP was added to the PA6/MCA-3\(\alpha\)-ZrP composites, \(T_{\text{max}}\) was slightly higher than that of PA6/MCA. It is postulated that \(\alpha\)-ZrP would enhance the thermal stability through forming a barrier layer and a compact carbon layer, which shows a relative high synergistic efficiency of MCA-\(\alpha\)-ZrP flame-retardant system. On the other hand, too much \(\alpha\)-ZrP may cause agglomeration and decrease \(T_{\text{max}}\). What is more, there were only PA6/MCA-10\(\alpha\)-ZrP and PA6/MCA-30\(\alpha\)-ZrP with char residues of 1.38 and 3.12% at 700°C, respectively. Meanwhile other composites had nothing remained at 700°C. There was no marked increase in char yield with a small quantity of \(\alpha\)-ZrP added to MCA-\(\alpha\)-ZrP. However, higher loading of \(\alpha\)-ZrP resulted in greater increases in char residues, about 126%, which suggests that \(\alpha\)-ZrP plays a catalyzing carbonization role in MCA-\(\alpha\)-ZrP flame-retardant system.

To get sufficient information about the thermal stability and heat resistance of the above composites, the heat distortion temperature (HDT) of pure PA6 and the
3.4. Thermal Transitions and Crystallinity. The DSC thermograms of PA6 contains a double melting peak, with the peak located at 212°C corresponding to γ-form crystals of PA6, whereas the other peak centered at 220°C can be attributed to α-form crystals of PA6 [30, 31]. As shown in Figure 8(a), there are no obvious differences observed on the curve of each composite, and while magnifying this picture, a double-peak is visible in Figure 8(b) (pure PA6). Figure 8(b) shows a measurement to calculate $X_c$ and $f_y$ through defining the baseline used for the peaks’ integration [32, 33]. The crystallinity was calculated according to the following equation:

$$X_c(\%) = \frac{\Delta H_c}{\Delta H_o (1 - p)}$$

where $\phi$ is the weight fraction of MCA and MCA-α-ZrP, $\Delta H_o$ is the enthalpy of melting of 100% crystalline PA6 taking a value of 190 J/g [34, 35].

The crystallinity values, $X_c$, obtained with different α-ZrP contents are reported in Table 2. Moreover, the relative proportion of γ phase and $f_y$ values have been calculated by the ratio between the area of γ melting peak and the total melting peak according to Figure 8(b). All the DSC results were presented in Table 2. The crystallization temperatures of γ phase ($T_y$) and α phase ($T_\alpha$) were about 212°C and 220°C, respectively, which are consistent with the foregoing. It shows that the crystallization temperatures do not depend on the presence of filler. Two main conclusions can be drawn from Table 2, the crystallinity $X_c$ was increased eventually, indicating that the ability of PA6/MCA-α-ZrP to crystallize was further improved when enough α-ZrP was incorporated into the composites, leading to more perfect crystals formed, besides, both α and γ phases coexist, and γ phase seems to depend on the presence of nanofiller α-ZrP, which can be seen from $f_y$ promoted from 22 to 43%.

A deeper analysis was performed by XRD. Figure 9 shows the XRD patterns of PA6, PA6/MCA, and PA6/MCA-α-ZrP composites. Devaux et al. [36] reported that the peak located at 21.3° was α phase and that at 24° was γ phase. In pure PA6, the peak primarily concentrated on 24°, indicating that pure PA6 have a preference for crystallizing in α phase. From Figure 9, we can see that nanofiller α-ZrP added to the composites tend to form γ phase, which is in accordance with the DSC results. What is more, the peaks of PA6/MCA-α-ZrP located at 21.3° and 24° become more intense than pure PA6. It suggests that nanofiller α-ZrP tend to improve $X_c$ of the whole composites which is consistent with the DSC results, and this is the reason why the HDT of PA6/MCA-α-ZrP increased sharply compared to that of pure PA6.

3.5. Combustion Properties of Flame Retarded PA6 Composites. UL-94 vertical burning tests were widely used to evaluate the flame-retardant properties of materials [37–39]. The effect of α-ZrP on the flame retardancy of flame retarded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples ID</th>
<th>Composites</th>
<th>$T_y$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_\alpha$ (°C)</th>
<th>$f_y$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_\alpha$ (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_c$ (J/g)</th>
<th>$X_c$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PA6</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PA6/MCA</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-3α-ZrP</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-5α-ZrP</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-10α-ZrP</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PA6/MCA-30α-ZrP</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: DSC data of the pure PA6 and its composites.
Figure 8: DSC curves of (a) PA6, PA6/MCA, and PA6/MCA-\(\alpha\)-ZrP composites and (b) definition of base line used to calculate \(X_c\) and \(f_\gamma\).

Figure 9: XRD patterns of PA6, PA6/MCA, and PA6/MCA-\(\alpha\)-ZrP composites.

PA6 composites is listed in Table 3. The total addition of the flame retardant in the test was kept at 12 wt%. When MCA was added to PA6, the flame retardancy of PA6 reached UL-94 rating. When \(\alpha\)-ZrP was added to PA6/MCA composites, the UL-94 rating increased from V-2 to V-0 and the dripping phenomenon was improved. The results clearly clarify a synergistic effect between MCA and \(\alpha\)-ZrP as well as optimal \(\alpha\)-ZrP contents in this system for the best flame retardancy of PA6, which is in accordance with the TG results.

CCT is a useful tool for the evaluation of flame-retardant materials and it can be used to simulate real fire conditions [40–42]. The heat release rate curves of PA6/MCA, PA6/MCA-10\(\alpha\)-ZrP, and PA6/MCA-30\(\alpha\)-ZrP composites were presented in Figure 10(a). It can be seen that peak heat release rate (PHRR) of PA6/MCA was 928 kW/m\(^2\), while the PHRR of PA6/MCA-10\(\alpha\)-ZrP and PA6/MCA-30\(\alpha\)-ZrP composites were 774 and 928 kW/m\(^2\), respectively. PA6/MCA and PA6/MCA-30\(\alpha\)-ZrP had the same PHRR values. It is supposed that too much stacked \(\alpha\)-ZrP in matrix may decrease its flame retardancy. The detailed data is shown in Table 4.

There are also considerable differences in the THR curves presented in Figure 10(b). THR of PA6/MCA was 83 MJ/m\(^2\), and PA6/MCA-10\(\alpha\)-ZrP and PA6/MCA-30\(\alpha\)-ZrP released 59 and 60 MJ/m\(^2\), respectively. It shows that PA6/MCA composites with \(\alpha\)-ZrP reduce the total amount of fuel available for combustion. Both HRR and THR curves reveal that PA6/MCA-10\(\alpha\)-ZrP possess the best flame retardancy.
Figure 10: (a) Heat release rate (HRR), (b) total heat release (THR), and (c) residual mass loss for PA6/MCA, PA6/MCA-10α-ZrP, and PA6/MCA-30α-ZrP composites.

Table 4: Combustion parameters obtained from cone calorimeter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>TTI (s)</th>
<th>PHRR (kW/m²)</th>
<th>T_{PHR} (s)</th>
<th>FIGRA (W/s)</th>
<th>THR MJ/m²</th>
<th>Residual mass (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA6/MCA</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA6/MCA-10α-ZrP</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA6/MCA-30α-ZrP</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TTI: time to ignition; T_{PHR}: time to peak heat release rate; FIGRA: fire growth rate; THR: total heat release.

It means that there is the best synergistic effect between 10 wt% α-ZrP and MCA in this composite.

Figure 10(c) shows residual mass curves of PA6/MCA, PA6/MCA-10α-ZrP, and PA6/MCA-30α-ZrP composites. It can be seen that PA6/MCA with α-ZrP present a considerably lower mass loss and a condensed phase mechanism, which further indicates that the α-ZrP can catalyze carbonization and prevent the mass and heat transfer.

To further study the flame-retardant mechanism of α-ZrP on PA6/MCA-α-ZrP system, the morphology of the residual chars of the samples after UL-94 test was observed via SEM. From Figure 11(a), a lot of gas holes can be seen
3.6 Mechanical Property. The impact strength, tensile strength, and elongation ratio of PA6, PA6/MCA, and PA6/MCA-α-ZrP are shown in Figure 12. As we all know, there is a strong interaction between matrix and phosphate layers via formation of hydrogen bonds hence improving the mechanical properties of composites [3]. However, from the picture we can see that the impact strength and tensile strength have no obvious changes with α-ZrP added to PA6/MCA, while the elongation ratio decreases drastically from 9.5 to 2.2%. The main reason for this phenomenon can be attributed to the uneven dispersion of MCA and stacked fillers in composites. The uneven dispersion of MCA and stacked fillers in composites were the stress concentration points and would seriously damage the impact strength and tensile property of composites. The influences of this stress concentration points were offset by the strong interaction and thus shown as Figure 12.

4. Conclusion

MCA-α-ZrP were synthesized by self-assembly of ME and CA on the surface of α-ZrP powders. $T_i$ of PA6/MCA and PA6/MCA-α-ZrP composites were increased compared to that of pure PA6. The HDT values of PA6/MCA-α-ZrP with different α-ZrP contents were much higher than that of pure PA6 and PA6/MCA composites. UL-94 results showed that the introduction of MCA enhanced flame retardancy to V-2 rating and α-ZrP modified MCA to V-0 rating. The cone calorimeter results showed PA6/10α-ZrP-MCA composites with lower HRR, lower THR, and higher amounts of char residues after combustion. The incorporation of enough α-ZrP (30 wt% MCA) caused the increased crystallinity of PA6 and tended to form γ phase. SEM and TEM demonstrated that the dispersion of MCA and α-ZrP fillers was not as
good as expected, while it was not at the sacrifice of PA6’s mechanical properties because of its strong hydrogen bonds between α-ZrP and PA6. These results indicated that α-ZrP and MCA had a significant synergistic effect to improve PA6’s flame retardancy and crystallinity and keep the excellent mechanical properties at the same time.
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