Facile Synthesis of Hollow Carbon Nanospheres by Using Microwave Radiation

1. Introduction

Carbon nanomaterials, such as carbon nanospheres, mesoporous carbon nanomaterials, and carbon dots, are considered to be important for the next-generation structural, energy, and bioengineering materials due to their unique physical, chemical, optical, mechanical, and thermal properties, together with their high stability, good conductivity, and high biocompatibility. Carbon nanospheres have been widely explored and applied in different research fields [1, 2], such as electrode materials [3], flexible electronic devices [4], bioimaging [5], and drug carriers [6]. For example, sodium-ion hybrid supercapacitors which used mesoporous Nb$_2$O$_5$@Carbon core-shell nanoparticles and reduced graphene oxide as the anode material showed high energy/power densities and long cycle life [7]. Carbon dots, synthesized from the folic acid, exhibited selectivity towards cancer cells with folate receptors, making such cells easily distinguishable in fluorescence microscopy imaging [8]. A DOX-loaded HB5-modified mesoporous carbon nanomaterial-based drug delivery system provides highly efficient methods for the treatment of HER2-positive cancers, which has better therapeutic efficacy and lower side effects [9]. Among variant morphology of carbon, hollow carbon nanospheres have received more and more attention due to their unique properties such as encapsulation ability, controllable permeability, surface functionality, high surface-to-volume ratios, and excellent chemical and thermal stability [10]. The application prospects of hollow carbon nanospheres are broad. The traditional preparation methods of hollow carbon nanospheres include emulsion synthesis [11], soft-templating synthesis [12], hard-templating synthesis [13], modified Stöber method [14], and template-free strategy [15]. These methods often need to be carried out under high temperatures and pressures, while the process is complicated, and the processing time is considerably elongated [16]. Furthermore, it was hard to control the morphology of the target product during the soft-templating synthesis, and the template removal process was often challenging during the hard-templating synthesis [10].

Herein, we intend to use a microwave rapid nanosynthesis method to synthesize hollow carbon nanospheres. As a nontoxic and biocompatible conductive polymer material, PPy has been used in electrode materials [17], in vivo photodynamic and photothermal therapy [18], etc. We have explored a rapid synthesis method of hollow carbon...
nanospheres by using PPy as the carbon source under microwave irradiation. This method is environmentally friendly and eliminates the need of harsh conditions. It takes only a domestic microwave oven to synthesize the carbon nanospheres in a short time (1–30 min). Then, hollow carbon nanospheres are obtained by using NaOH to etch the template. By controlling the process parameters and precursor materials used in the microwave process, carbon nanospheres with different structure and morphology can be obtained.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials. Tetraethyl orthosilicate (99.99%) was purchased from Aladdin. Ammonia solution (25%) and ethanol absolute (99.7%) were purchased from Tianjin Zhiyuan Reagent Co., Ltd. Pyrrole was purchased from Macklin. Chondroitin sulfate A sodium salt from bovine trachea was purchased from Sigma. Ammonium persulfate was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Sodium hydroxide was purchased from Tianjin Damao.

2.2. Synthesis of SiO₂@PPy NPs. SiO₂ NPs were synthesized according to the classical method reported by Stöber et al. [19]. Briefly, add 5 mL ammonia water and 1 mL pure water to 50 mL ethanol with stirring. Add 5 mL tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), and continue to stir for 2 h. After centrifugation (4000 rpm, 15 min), the products were washed by water twice and ethanol for one time, then dried to obtain silicon nanospheres. PPy coating was according to method described by Zhou et al. [20]. 0.2 g SiO₂ NPs templates were dispersed to 100 mL water by ultrasonic, and then 0.1 g Chondroitin sulfate A sodium salt from bovine trachea was purchased from Sigma. Ammonium persulfate was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Sodium hydroxide was purchased from Tianjin Damao.

2.3. Microwave SiO₂@PPy NPs and Template Etching. After mixing 100 mg SiO₂@PPy NPs with carbon fiber at different mass ratios, microwave reactions were initiated at 1000 W in different reaction time. The conditions for each group are recorded in Table 1 and named as MW1, MW2, and MW3. Then the microwave products were washed and centrifuged (5500 rpm, 15 min) to obtain the carbonization products with silicon spheres templates. Silicon cores were then removed by stirring in 1 M NaOH, and the Hollow C was obtained.

3. Results and Discussion

According to the method reported by Zhou et al. [20], we synthesized SiO₂@PPy NPs as templates for carbonization. In this method, the SiO₂ NPs surfaces are modified by chondroitin sulfate A sodium (CSA) so that the PPy can be doped by the CSA molecules in situ. Besides, the CSA molecules are negatively charged so they can repel each other and make the PPy layer uniformly distributed. In order to inspect the functional groups on the surface of the samples, we use Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) to characterize the as-synthesized SiO₂@PPy NPs (Figure 1). In Figures 1(a) and 1(b), the absorption peaks near 3400 cm⁻¹ are attributed to H-O-H stretching [21]. In Figure 1(a), the strong peaks at 1103, 800, and 469 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the antisymmetric vibration peaks of Si-O-Si and the symmetric and curved vibration peaks of Si-O bonds. The peak at 952 cm⁻¹ belongs to the bending vibration absorption of Si-OH. In Figure 1(b), the absorption at 1563 cm⁻¹ is assigned to C-C stretching or C-N stretching [22]. The band at 1483 cm⁻¹ corresponds to C-N stretching, and it may also contribute by the

Table 1: Microwave parameters of each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Carbon fiber mass (mg)</th>
<th>SiO₂@PPy NPs: carbon fiber (mass ratio)</th>
<th>Microwave time (min)</th>
<th>Subsequent treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100:1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>H₂O washing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100:3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>H₂O washing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100:5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>H₂O washing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100:1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NaOH stirring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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dissymmetrical and symmetric ring-stretching modes [23]. The peaks near 1220 cm$^{-1}$ and 933 cm$^{-1}$ suggest the doped state of PPy [23]. By comparing Figures 1(a) and 1(b), we speculated that the PPy shells of SiO$_2$@PPy NPs are thin because of the strong Si-O-Si stretching absorption peak near 1100 cm$^{-1}$. The characteristic absorption peaks of PPy on the SiO$_2$@PPy NPs surfaces indicate that PPy is successfully coated on the surfaces of SiO$_2$ NPs.

We then use scanning electron microscope (SEM) to analyze the shape and size of the as-prepared SiO$_2$@PPy NPs. In Figure 2, we can see that compared to the SiO$_2$ NPs (Figure S1), the surface of SiO$_2$@PPy NPs is considerably rougher. The PPy was found to precipitate on the surface of the SiO$_2$ NPs, forming particulate microstructure. Due to the cross-linking of the PPy coating, the as-obtained SiO$_2$@PPy NPs generally exhibited an aggregated morphology under SEM, but the structure of individual SiO$_2$@PPy NPs can be still clearly identified (Figure 2(c)). In the SEM images, the particle sizes of the SiO$_2$@PPy NPs are measured to be ranged from 200 nm to 300 nm. The existence of PPy coating on the surface of the SiO$_2$@PPy NPs was further verified by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In Figure 3, it can be observed that the SiO$_2$@PPy NPs have a core-shell structure. From the red-circle-marked part of Figure 3(d), the phase boundary between PPy and silica can be seen clearly. The SiO$_2$ NP can be observed as the solid core, while PPy forms an intact and continuous thin nanoshell on the silica surface. The average thickness of the PPy nanoshells was measured to be 19.62 ± 1.78 nm based on the TEM images. A series of experiments were also conducted to explore the appropriate diameter of silicon spheres (Figure S1, Table S1). Next, we investigated the effect of CSA concentration on the thickness of PPy shell (Figure S2 and S3). In Figure S2, it is obvious that the surface of the spheres in the CSA-doped group (Figure S2b-f) is rougher than that in the control group (Figure S2a) without CSA doping. It indicates that CSA can modify the surface of SiO$_2$ NPs and promote the coating of PPy. TEM was then used to compare the difference of PPy coating obtained from five different CSA concentrations (Figure S3). Among them, the PPy layer of the 0.1 wt% group (Figure S3a) is the most uniform and continuous. With increasing the concentration of CSA, the agglomeration of SiO$_2$@PPy NPs becomes weaker, and the granular shape of PPy on SiO$_2$ NPs surface becomes more obvious. And in the 0.4 wt% and 0.5 wt% groups, where the CSA concentrations are over 0.3 wt% (Figure S3d and S3e), it shows obvious discontinuity of PPy shells. The weaker agglomeration of SiO$_2$@PPy NPs is the effect of CSA for it can play a role in constructing the grid structure in the reaction and effectively disperse the SiO$_2$ NPs via electrostatic interactions [20]. The CSA chains with lots of
sulphonic (-SO₃⁻) and carboxylic (-COO⁻) groups can interact with Py⁺ and form intermediate complexes [24]. When the oxidative polymerization was triggered, the PPy was preferentially formed along the CSA chains [24]. In higher CSA concentrations (≥0.3 wt%), more CSA molecules would adsorb on the surface of the SiO₂ NPs, resulting in higher steric hindrance that hinders the formation of a continuous PPy coating layer. To explore the relationship between size and CSA concentration, we randomly counted more than 50 SiO₂@PPy NPs for each of the different CSA concentrations. The size (in SEM figures) and thickness (in TEM figures) distributions are shown in Figure S4. In the 0.2 wt% group, the SiO₂@PPy NPs feature the largest average size (245.08 ± 6.11 nm) and the thickest PPy layer (23.60 ± 2.20 nm). When the concentration is higher than 0.2 wt%, with increasing the concentration of CSA, the size of SiO₂@PPy NPs becomes smaller, and the PPy layers become thinner.

The SiO₂@PPy NPs were then microwave-treated under different conditions in Table 1, which were labeled as microwave-treated products 1–3 (MW1, MW2, and MW3), and samples obtained after a 1 mol/L NaOH stirring treatment were named as hollow carbon nanospheres (Hollow C). The morphology and microstructure of the products after microwave radiation were characterized by TEM. In Figures 4(a)–4(c), we can clearly see the shells’ morphology changed after microwave, and the SiO₂@C NPs still maintain the core-shell structure. The average thickness of shells of SiO₂@C NPs is 29.5 ± 5.5 nm. Compared to the SiO₂@PPy NPs, the shells of the products after microwave radiation become thicker, and the larger standard deviation indicates the heterogeneity of shells. These changes indicate that carbonation reactions may have taken place in the products during microwave. After stirring in NaOH solution, we can obtain carbon nanospheres with hollow core formed by removing SiO₂ NPs templates, and the average hollow size is 135.7 nm (Figures 4(d)–4(f)). The edges of the hollow cores in Hollow C are smooth; however, the shells are heterogeneity, and most of them are incomplete. Also, there is no porous structure that can be observed in Hollow C.

Elemental analysis (EA) was conducted on SiO₂@PPy NPs and SiO₂@C. In Table 2, it can be seen that the weight percentage of carbon elements of SiO₂@PPy is around 15% and is similar to the products after microwave. In the
meantime, the C/H ratio increases from 6.2313 to over 7 after microwave radiation, which indicates that SiO$_2$@PPy is carbonized. Hollow C is the product of MW1 after NaOH treatment, and the contents of carbon, nitrogen, and C/H ratio are 38.06%, 10.48%, and 13.654. Compared to MW1, these parameters significantly increased, which suggests that the effect of microwave carbonization is good and the SiO$_2$ NPs were successfully etched. Carbon cannot be dissolved by the aqueous alkaline solution so it remains after NaOH stirring resulting in the increase of weight percentage of carbon elements and C/H ratio. This demonstrates that the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>C%</th>
<th>H%</th>
<th>N%</th>
<th>C/H</th>
<th>C/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$@PPy</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>6.2313</td>
<td>3.9223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW1</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>7.1487</td>
<td>3.6588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW2</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>7.8247</td>
<td>3.6111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW3</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>7.3967</td>
<td>3.7439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow C</td>
<td>38.06</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>13.654</td>
<td>3.6302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: TEM images of SiO$_2$@C NPs (a–c) and Hollow C (d–f). (b) The circled part shows one of the cores lose its shell during the reaction.
carbonization reaction happened. As can be seen from Table 2, the sum of the percentage of C, H, and N elements is only slightly higher than 20% in SiO$_2@$C while in Hollow C it is slightly more than 50%. It shows that there are other elements in the samples with a high content cannot be detected, considering to be Si and O elements.

In the process of microwave radiation, it is the PPy in the shell to be carbonized, so we are more concerned about the change of element content in the surface. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to study the surface element content. Figure 5 shows that the samples contain elements such as Na, S, Si, O, N, and C, and the atomic percentages of various elements can be obtained by integrating the peak area (Table 3). The Na and S elements come from the CSA added, and Si comes from silicon sphere core. Meanwhile, peaks at around 533 eV are attributed to O1s, which can be derived from CSA and SiO$_2$ NPs detected through the shell in SiO$_2@$PPy NPs and SiO$_2@$C NPs. Peaks at 400 eV and 285 eV are N1s and C1s peaks. Nitrogen mainly comes from the PPy heterocyclic ring, and carbon comes from the carbon skeleton of the products.

According to the results, the atomic percentage of C elements is the highest (75.4%), followed by O, Si, and N in SiO$_2@$PPy NPs. In MW1 and MW2, the C content decreases to 57.76% and 55.44%, respectively, after the microwave treatment, while the C content in MW3 is significantly higher (70.09%) than MW1 and MW2. However, there are only slight differences among the C contents of the MW1, MW2, and MW3 samples according to the EA analysis. It thus indicates that during the sample preparation process of MW3, a higher mass ratio of the carbon fiber and a shorter microwave time may result in a higher C content on the sample surface. The EA analysis of the O element indicates that the atomic percentage of O in MW3 increases slightly to 19.83% and in MW1 and MW2 increases up to 27.34% and 28.42%, respectively. Compared with the EA result that the weight percentage of C has no obvious change in SiO$_2@$PPy NPs and SiO$_2@$C, this shows that the C elements do not lose a lot in the reaction and it is the addition of O elements in the shells that mainly causes the decrease of the proportion of C elements in SiO$_2@$C. Also, these changes indicate that the effect of microwave time on the element ratio of C and O is greater than the mass ratio of carbon fiber in the precursor. By increasing the radiation time, the carbon content decreases while the oxygen content increases. As for the percentage of Si elements, it is 2.9% in SiO$_2@$PPy NPs and increases to 8.82%, 9.88%, and 3.62% in MW1, MW2, and MW3 individually. The possible cause of this is that a portion of the SiO$_2$ cores lost their shells in the reaction, which can be seen in Figure 4(b) (red circle), and the reaction between SiO$_2$ and C is also expected to attribute to this. SiO$_2$ can be reduced by carbon under the high temperature, and the happening of this reaction can also let a few Si elements mix into the shells.

Compared with MW1 washed by water, the proportion of carbon atoms in the products treated by NaOH increases to 78.85%, while the proportion of silicon elements decreases to 1.16%, and the weight percentages of C and N elements both increase in the EA results. It shows that the silicon cores were successfully etched, and Hollow C was obtained. However, the percentage of silicon atoms on the surface decreases by only 7.66%, while the percentage of carbon atoms increases by 21.09%. This suggests that the percentage of carbon atoms rise is not totally formed by removing the silicon cores, and there are some other reasons: (1) The oxygen content decreases in Hollow C, which may be caused by the break of the noncovalently bound between CSA and the particles. (2) The nitrogen in the system mainly exists in the heterocyclic ring of PPy, which content also decreases after NaOH treatment. This indicates that the residual PPy in the shells was also removed. However, the increase of weight percentage of N in the EA results is because of the etching of silicon cores.

The deconvolution of the XPS peaks was performed by using the software of XPSpeak41 (Figure 6). It can be seen that the proportion of C=C is the largest in C1s and the remaining components of C=C, C=O, and C-O are basically

![Table 3: The atomic percentages and peak positions of different elements obtained by XPS characterization of SiO$_2@$PPy NPs, samples after microwave, and Hollow C.](image-url)

![Figure 5: XPS results of SiO$_2@$PPy NPs, samples after microwave, and Hollow C.](image-url)
According to the peak separation of O1s, the ratio of C-O is higher than that of C=O in SiO₂@PPy NPs, and while the results change in the samples after microwave, the ratio of C=O exceeds that of C-O. The proportion of C-O in Hollow C is higher than that of C=O, which may be due to the aldol reaction or disproportionation. A part of C=O is reduced to C-O, so the proportion of C-O rises while the proportion of C=O decreases.

**Figure 6**: XPS spectra of each group in C1s, N1s, and O1s.
Raman analyses were performed to deeper study the form of carbon in SiO$_2$ NPs, SiO$_2$@PPy NPs, SiO$_2$@C NPs, and Hollow C (Figure 7). In the spectrum of the SiO$_2$ NPs (Figure 7(a)), we can see a small peak at 460 cm$^{-1}$ and a wide peak at 3454 cm$^{-1}$. These two peaks disappeared after PPy layers were coated (Figure 7(b)) and new peaks near 1360 cm$^{-1}$ (D peak), 1580 cm$^{-1}$ (G peak), and 2930 cm$^{-1}$ (G +D peak) relating to carbon materials appeared [25, 26], which can also be observed in SiO$_2$@C NPs (Figure 7(c)) and Hollow C (Figure 7(d)). D-band represents structural defects of carbon, and G-band comes from in-plane vibration of sp$^2$-bonded crystalline carbon, so the I$_D$/I$_G$ intensity ratio can give the information about the graphitization degree [26]. I$_D$/I$_G$ is 0.46, 0.44, and 0.64 in SiO$_2$@PPy NPs, SiO$_2$@C NPs, and Hollow C, respectively. Compared to SiO$_2$@PPy NPs, I$_D$/I$_G$ in SiO$_2$@C NPs is a little higher corresponding to the decrease of the proportion of C-C in XPS results. The increasing I$_D$/I$_G$ ratio in Hollow C indicates the defects and the degree of nongraphitized carbon increased, which may be due to the change of oxygen-containing functional groups [27] and the reduction of sp$^2$ domains during the NaOH stirring.

Microwave heating method is expected to have the characteristics of faster heating rate and higher efficiency than the traditional heating method. By absorbing microwave energy, it heats the SiO$_2$@PPy NPs and turns them into SiO$_2$@C NPs. The absorbing properties of materials are mainly related to magnetic loss, dielectric loss, and transmissivity on the electromagnetic wave [28]. SiO$_2$ NPs was reported to be one of the most extensive application wave-transmitting materials [29, 30], and due to the interfacial polarization, coating the PPy shell on the SiO$_2$ core could increase the complex permittivity and dielectric loss [31]. In addition, CSA has negative charges, which can increase the permittivity of SiO$_2$@PPy NPs. What is more, the activated carbon fibers added can assist SiO$_2$@PPy NPs to absorb the microwave and enhance the heating effects [32]. The Raman results are similar in SiO$_2$@PPy NPs and SiO$_2$@C NPs; however, they have a significant difference in XPS results, which may indicate that changes mainly happened in forming oxygen-containing functional groups instead of the changing of the form of carbon during the microwave heating in an oxygen-containing atmosphere. Alkali could be used as a reagent to remove silica except HF to prepare hollow spheres [33, 34]. However, in this process, not only silica successfully etched, but also a number of oxygen-containing functional groups were reduced in Hollow C leading to the increase in the I$_D$/I$_G$ ratio and the changes in the proportion of oxygen and carbon, which indicate that we get hollow carbon nanospheres.

**Image:** Raman results of (a) SiO$_2$ NPs, (b) SiO$_2$@PPy NPs, (c) SiO$_2$@C NPs, and (d) Hollow C.

### 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we reported a fast, convenient, and simple strategy to obtain hollow carbon nanospheres with a short-time microwave heating process and an aqueous alkaline solution treatment. We used silicon as the core and PPy coating layers as the shells. We further used the microwave method to carbonize the PPy shells into carbon shells. The SiO$_2$ NPs templates can be removed by NaOH to produce...
the carbon nanospheres. Compared with the traditional carbonization methods, we only need a microwave oven to obtain the carbonization products with different structure and morphology in a few minutes, which is considered to be more energy saving and efficient. We believe that this microwave-assisted synthesis method can be extended for the efficient preparation of carbon nanospheres and the preparation of different carbon materials with different nanostructure.
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