Transient Vibration Prediction for Rotors on Ball Bearings Using Load-Dependent Nonlinear Bearing Stiffness
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Rolling-element bearing forces vary nonlinearly with bearing deflection. Thus an accurate rotordynamic transient analysis requires bearing forces to be determined at each step of the transient solution. Analyses have been carried out to show the effect of accurate bearing transient forces (accounting for nonlinear speed and load-dependent bearing stiffness) as compared to conventional use of average rolling-element bearing stiffness. Bearing forces were calculated by COBRA-AHS (Computer Optimized Ball and Roller Bearing Analysis—Advanced High Speed) and supplied to the rotordynamics code ARDS (Analysis of RotorDynamic Systems) for accurate simulation of rotor transient behavior. COBRA-AHS is a fast-running five degree-of-freedom computer code able to calculate high speed rolling-element bearing load-displacement data for radial and angular contact ball bearings and also for cylindrical and tapered roller bearings. Results show that use of nonlinear bearing characteristics is essential for accurate prediction of rotordynamic behavior.
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INTRODUCTION
Rotordynamics analyses are now fairly mature, yielding accurate predictions of rotor behavior as long as the input data are accurate. Modern codes can almost universally be run on personal computers, making them very portable; an example is ARDS (Nelson et al., 1983; Fleming, 1996). The challenge nowadays is to furnish the rotordynamics code with accurate input data such as bearing stiffness.

Response of all but very flexible rotors depends strongly on bearing properties. When bearings are nonlinear, accurate rotor response calculations demand use of bearing properties for the precise conditions encountered, rather than average properties. Fluid film bearings have been studied for over 100 years and their properties can be calculated fairly well; moreover, for many uses these bearings behave in a reasonably linear manner. Rolling-element bearings, on the other hand, not only have a somewhat shorter analytical history, but also have a much less linear force-displacement relationship.

Analyses of load-stress relationships for rolling element bearings were published by Lundberg and Palmgren (1947). These relationships were not easily usable until the analytical additions of Jones (1960) led to the marketing of his computer code in the 1960s. Jones’ code, which is still the most widely used rolling-element bearing analysis tool, is primarily for calculation of bearing stress and fatigue life, with load-deflection data only a byproduct. The code COBRA-AHS (Computer Optimized Ball and Roller Bearing Analysis—Advanced High Speed) has been developed recently under a NASA Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) contract (Poplawski et al., 2002). COBRA-AHS is intended to be a major improvement over earlier rolling-element bearing analytical tools; use of up-to-date stress/life data, accurate stress calculations considering bearing installation press fits, and an interactive front end are some of its characteristics. It accounts for five degrees of freedom in the bearing, and can calculate load-displacement data for high speed radial and angular contact ball bearings and also for cylindrical and tapered roller bearings. It executes rapidly, thus it was natural to consider making it callable by a rotordynamics code for accurate
transient calculations. The rotordynamics code chosen is ARDS (Analysis of RotorDynamic Systems), a versatile public-domain code (Nelson et al., 1983; Fleming, 1996).

**ANALYTICAL SYSTEM AND PROCEDURE**

Figure 1 is an ARDS drawing of the shaft system. It depicts a fairly stiff shaft with concentrated masses (which may represent compressor or turbine wheels) at stations 3 and 4. In total, 6 stations and 5 elements were used in the model, with 3 of the elements made up of 2 or more subelements. Radial (deep groove) ball bearings of 25 mm bore diameter are at stations 2 and 5. The shaft material is steel; total mass of the shaft system is 4.6 kg.

Figure 2 shows the first two system critical speeds as a function of bearing stiffness. Letters \(A\), \(B\), and \(C\) denote specific stiffness values to be discussed later. For stiffness up to about 200 MN/m (approximately at point \(C\)), critical speeds rise rapidly with stiffness, indicating significant bearing participation in the rotor motion. Above 200 MN/m, critical speeds do not increase as rapidly, indicating that increasing amounts of motion are due to shaft bending rather than bearing deflection. Mode shapes calculated at critical speeds, shown in Figure 3 for two values of bearing stiffness, confirm this. For a bearing stiffness of 18 MN/m, most of the elastic deflection takes place in the bearings. At the higher stiffness of 180 MN/m, on the other hand, nearly all of the elastic deflection is in the shaft at the first critical speed, while at the second critical speed deflection is about evenly divided between bearings and shaft.

Shaft transient response to suddenly applied imbalance was studied at 30000 rpm. The imbalance magnitudes were those that could have resulted from loss of a blade in a turbomachine. COBRA-AHS could have been called at each time step of the analysis to supply bearing force at the current displacement. However, for the present studies with only one bearing variable (radial displacement; speed is constant) it was more efficient to run COBRA-AHS once to generate load vs. deflection data for the bearings. A fourth-order curve was then fitted to these data and the coefficients supplied to ARDS. Figure 4 shows two stiffness curves from the bearing data. The upper curve is the incremental stiffness, that is, the slope of the load-deflection curve. This stiffness would be used if one were studying motion about an off-center steady-state point. The lower curve is the load divided by the deflection, or average stiffness; it is this value that was used by ARDS for calculation of the instantaneous

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**FIGURE 1**
ARDS drawing of rotor.
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**FIGURE 2**
Critical speed map.
bearing force. Note that, for both curves, there is more than an order of magnitude increase over the range of the figure. This illustrates the significant nonlinearity of the ball bearing used, and is representative of rolling-element bearings.

For the low deflection expected at normal (well-balanced) operating conditions, the bearing stiffness is about 20 MN/m. Reference to Figure 2 (stiffness point A) shows that this places the initial operating condition between the first and second critical speeds. For higher stiffness resulting from higher bearing loads due to rotor imbalance, the operating regime of the rotor could well change to subcritical. Thus, as will be seen, having accurate bearing characteristics for the particular operating condition is vital for prediction of rotor behavior.

RESULTS

Transient orbit plots for suddenly applied imbalances of 36, 144, and 576 g/cm at a speed of 30,000 rpm are shown as Figure 5 using the ball bearing properties calculated by COBRA-AHS. The imbalance was applied at station 4 (the location of one of the concentrated masses), and the orbits are those of station 5, the right bearing location. One hundred time steps per revolution were used by the transient analysis, and five revolutions are plotted. The plotting code superimposes a small circle on the orbit trace at the completion of each revolution; these are numbered 1 through 5 in the plots. The leftmost plot, for 36 g-cm imbalance, shows the amplitude increasing more or less smoothly to its steady-state value of 9 µm, with only a small overshoot. In the middle plot, for 144 g-cm imbalance, the orbit is not as smooth, and the amplitude of 18 µm at the end of 5 revolutions is noticeably less than the maximum of 23 µm seen in the plot. The rightmost plot, for 576 g-cm imbalance, is somewhat more ragged looking, and again the maximum amplitude reached, 49 µm, is greater than the 39 µm seen at the end of 5 revolutions. The amount by which the amplitude overshoots the steady-state value depends on bearing damping. While damping in rolling-element bearings is known to be low, precise values are not readily available. A value of 2 kNs/m was used in the analyses; this is close to the estimates reported by Lewis and Malanoski (1965).

Parts a, b, and c of Figure 5 each use different scales, namely 5, 10, and 20 microns per division (0.0002, 0.0004, and 0.0008 inches per division), respectively; thus the orbit sizes are roughly in the ratio of 1, 2, and 4. However, the imbalances are in the ratio 1, 4, and 16, thus the orbit size for these three cases is roughly proportional to the square root of the imbalance. This is not a surprising result, since the bearing stiffness increases with the load applied.

For comparison, orbit plots for three values of linear bearing stiffness (18, 53, and 180 MN/m) and an imbalance of 144 g-cm
are shown as Figure 6. Again, numbers are placed on the plots denoting the number of completed revolutions. For these cases it suffices to consider only one level of imbalance, since, for linear bearings, amplitudes are directly proportional to imbalance. The left and center orbits are fairly smooth, and all the orbits show a maximum amplitude that is significantly larger than the amplitude at the end of five revolutions. Reference to Figure 2 (stiffness point \(A\)) shows that the operating condition for the left plot is between the first and second critical speeds, while for the center and right plots (corresponding to points \(B\) and \(C\) in Figure 2) operation is entirely subcritical. Surprisingly, the left and center orbits (plotted to the same scale of 50 \(\mu\)m (0.002 in) per division) are nearly the same size, and much larger than the orbit of Figure 5(b) for the same imbalance. However, the right orbit (plotted at a magnified scale of 10 \(\mu\)m (0.0004 in) per division) is much smaller. One may reasonably conclude from Figures 5 and 6 that estimation of average linear bearing stiffness, and consequently accurate rotordynamic prediction with a linear analysis, is difficult at best.

ARDS was allowed to run for a longer time (40 revolutions) in order to discern the steady state that would be attained following the imposition of imbalance. Figure 7 summarizes the results, obtained from measurements on the orbit plots that were made using variable bearing stiffness from COBRA-AHS. As seen previously from the orbits (Figure 5), shaft peak amplitude is higher than the eventual steady-state value.

Figure 8 displays the amplitude at station 4, the location of the imbalance. The trend as imbalance changes is similar to Figure 7, but the amplitudes are larger, and they increase at a greater rate than do amplitudes at the bearings. Figure 9 shows the reason for
this. This figure shows the mode shape assumed by the rotor as the motion approaches steady state. Even though the rotor speed is well below the critical speeds calculated for the effective bearing stiffness, there is significant rotor bending. The rotor bending causes vibration amplitude to be considerably larger over the rotor midspan than at the bearings. Moreover, the amount of bending increases with imbalance, producing a greater disparity between bearing and midspan amplitudes.

Figure 10 plots the effective stiffness of the bearing at station 5 for the range of imbalance studied; it is calculated as the bearing load divided by the vibration amplitude. The stiffness curve labeled peak is naturally higher than that for steady state because of the higher peak amplitude. Both peak and steady-state stiffness increase with the amount of imbalance, again because of the corresponding higher amplitudes.

The effective stiffness of 170 MN/m for steady-state conditions with 576 g-cm imbalance is close to the stiffness of 180 MN/m used for Figure 3(b). There is a similarity in mode shapes between Figures 3(b) and 9, with the shape of Figure 9 being primarily that of the first mode of Figure 3(b), but modified by the second mode. This similarity of mode shapes occurs despite the operating speed of 30,000 rpm being well below the first critical speed of 50,100 rpm shown in Figure 3(b). This implies that the observed mode will correspond to the critical speed mode shapes for the effective bearing stiffness, even if the operating speed is well removed from the critical speed. A further implication is that the bearing stiffness of significance is that at
the operating condition; this stiffness may be considerably different than at design condition. Furthermore, identical bearings at different locations on the rotor may have different stiffnesses, as determined by the bearing deflection; note, from Figure 9, that the amplitudes are not the same at the two bearing locations. The imbalance was applied closer to the right-hand bearing, leading to greater amplitude on the right side of the rotor.

CONCLUSIONS

Transient rotodynamic calculations were made for suddenly applied imbalance on a ball bearing supported rotor. Accurate bearing forces were obtained at each time step from data generated by COBRA-AHS. For the bearings employed, effective bearing stiffness increased substantially with bearing deflection. As a result, bearing vibration increased less than linearly with the amount of imbalance. Vibration amplitude at rotor midspan was larger than at the bearings because of rotor bending, but again the rate of vibration increase with imbalance was less than linear. The rotor assumed a mode shape corresponding to the critical speed mode shapes, even though the operating speed was well removed from any critical speed. Results show that it is essential to use amplitude-dependent bearing properties in order to obtain accurate rotodynamic predictions.
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