Unbalance Responses of Rotor/Stator Systems with Nonlinear Bearings by the Time Finite Element Method
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Since the early 1970s, major works in rotordynamics were oriented toward the calculation of critical speeds and unbalance responses. The current trend is to take into account many kinds of non-linearities in order to obtain more realistic predictions. The use of algorithms based on nonlinear methods is therefore needed. This article first describes the time finite element method. The method is then applied to nonlinear rotor/stator systems where bearings present a radial clearance.
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Many investigations have taken place concerning the calculation of critical speeds and unbalance responses in rotordynamics and research now tends to get more realistic predictions (Ehrich, 1992). This new realism is actually due to the trend for high-performance rotating machinery, claiming reduced sizes and higher efficiency.

Engineers now have to take into account non-linearities in their models. In the aircraft engine domain, those non-linearities come from components, such as bearings or squeeze film dampers (Vance, 1988), and contact phenomena, such as friction in joints or rubbing between rotor and stator (Choi and Bae, 2001). Special algorithms are needed to deal with such non-linearities. Although those based on temporal integration are able to track all kinds of non-linearities, they are not well suited for rotordynamics. Indeed, the steady-state solution caused by unbalance is often of interest; the use of a temporal integration is therefore not appropriate since one has to wait until after the transient phase has died which can be very time-consuming.

Thus, frequentional methods like the incremental harmonic balance (Kim et al., 1991) or trigonometric collocation methods (Nataraj, 1989) are of great use thanks to their time efficiency. However, these methods become less attractive as the frequency content of the solution includes a high number of harmonics. On the other hand, methods in the time domain, such as the shooting method (Sundararajan and Noah, 1997), can also be used to find out periodic solutions however this one becomes time-consuming when dealing with large systems.

In this article, the time finite element method is described. This time-based method enables us to get steady-state solutions and to assess their stability. To prove its reliability in rotordynamics problems, two examples are addressed. Both consist of rotor/stator systems with radial clearance in the bearings.

THE TIME FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

Description of the Method
The aim of the time finite element method is to find out the periodic solutions of forced systems. It is based on Hamilton’s Law of Varying Action (Bayley, 1975; Baruch and Riff, 1982):

\[ \int_{t_0}^{t_f} (\delta L + \delta W)dt = \delta x^T \cdot p \bigg|_{t_f}^{t_0} \]  

i.e.,

\[ \int_{t_0}^{t_f} (\delta \dot{x}^T M \ddot{x} - \delta x^T (C \ddot{x} + Kx - f(t, x, \dot{x})))dt \]

\[ = \delta x^T \cdot M \dot{x} \bigg|_{t_0}^{t_f} \]  

The principle of this method is to interpolate the displacement of all spatial degrees of freedom between given instants \( t_i \) and \( t_{i+1} \) by polynomials (Wang, 1995, 1997). In this article, the Lagrange polynomials of order \( k \) are used, however all kinds of polynomials may be used (Park, 1996). The displacement of the
The stability of the solution is analyzed by means of the Floquet theory (Nayfeh and Balachandran, 1995). To construct the monodromy matrix, Equation (8) is first written in its incremental form by perturbing the state at times \( t_1 \), \( p(t_1) \), and \( p(t_{n+1}) \), and then reordered to put limit and internal terms together (Equation (12)).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
H_L^1 \\
H_I^1
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
K_{LL}^1 \\
K_{LI}^1
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\delta X_L^1 \\
\delta X_I^1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta B_L^1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

with

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
H_L^1 \\
H_I^1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
& A_L^1 & A_I^1 \\
A_L^T & &
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
X_L^1 \\
X_I^1
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
F_L^1 \\
F_I^1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
K_I = A_I + \frac{\partial F_I}{\partial X_I}
\]

and

\[
\delta X_L^1 = \{ \delta x^T(t_1) \delta x^T(t_{n+1}) \}^T, \\
\delta B_L^1 = \{ -\delta p^T(t_1) \delta p^T(t_{n+1}) \}^T
\]

Since the perturbed momenta are equal to zero for the internal nodal times, i.e., \( \delta B_L^1 = 0 \), a condensation procedure similar to the Guyan reduction (Cook et al., 1989) is used to obtain:

\[
K_I' \cdot \delta X_L^1 + H_I' = \delta B_L^1
\]

where

\[
K_I' = K_{LL}^1 - K_{LI}^1 \cdot (K_{II}^1)^{-1} \cdot K_{IL}^1 \\
H_I' = H_L^1 - K_{LI}^1 \cdot (K_{II}^1)^{-1} \cdot H_I
\]

Equation (18) of element 1 is then combined with Equation (18) of element 2 in order to eliminate the common terms \( \delta x(t_2) \) and \( \delta p(t_2) \). This leads to a new equation involving \( \delta x \) and \( \delta p \) at instants \( t_1 \) and \( t_3 \) only, which can also be combined with Equation (18) of element 3, and so on. By going on this way until the last element, one finds the final expression (Equation (21)) involving the perturbed state at times \( t_1 = 0 \) and \( t_{n+1} = T \).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{K}_{11} & \hat{K}_{12} \\
\hat{K}_{21} & \hat{K}_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\delta x(t_1) \\
\delta x(t_{n+1})
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\delta p(t_1) \\
\delta p(t_{n+1})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Equation (21) is finally modified through a simple matrix manipulation to get the expression (22) in which the monodromy matrix can be recognized. The eigenvalues of this matrix allow for assessment of the solution’s stability and the types of bifurcation encountered (Nayfeh and Balachandran, 1995).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta x(T) \\
\delta p(T)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{K}_{11} & -\hat{K}_{12} & -\hat{K}_{11} \\
\hat{K}_{21} & -\hat{K}_{22} & -\hat{K}_{12}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\delta x(0) \\
\delta p(0)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
APPLICATIONS

To demonstrate the validity of the time finite element method in nonlinear rotordynamics problems, two examples are addressed. Both include a ball bearing whose modelization is briefly described below (El-Saeidy, 2000). For all the calculations, 8 time finite elements with Lagrange polynomials of order 4 are used. The algorithm includes an arc-length continuation technique with step control (Blair et al., 1997).

Bearing Model

This model uses the Hertz theory to evaluate contact forces between balls and races (see Figure 1). Each ball is located by its angular position:

$$\theta_k = \omega_c \cdot t + (k-1) \cdot \frac{2\pi}{N}$$  \[23\]

where

$$\omega_c = \frac{\omega_r}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{D_b}{D_l + D_b}\right)$$  \[24\]

The relative radial distance $d_r$ between the inner and outer races of the bearing in the $\theta_k$ direction can be expressed in terms of their horizontal and vertical displacements:

$$d_r = (u_i - u_o) \cdot \cos(\theta_k) + (v_i - v_o) \cdot \sin(\theta_k)$$  \[25\]

Thus, based on the Hertz theory, the radial contact force generated by the $k$th ball on the races is

$$f_r = K_H \cdot (d_r - \Delta)^{3/2} \text{ if } d_r \geq \Delta$$ \text{ (contact) and 0 otherwise (no contact)}  \[26\]

The bearing reaction is obtained by summing all contributions of individual contact forces projected on global axes.

$$F_x = -N \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_r \cdot \cos(\theta_k)$$  \[27\]

$$F_y = -N \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_r \cdot \sin(\theta_k)$$  \[28\]

Example 1

This example consists of a 4 dof system (Demailly et al., 2001). The rotor and stator are modeled by a mass and stiffness (see Figure 2). Viscous damping is assumed for both of them. The forces acting on the system are the gravity and an unbalance. The parameters used for this model are given in Table 1. The bearing, composed by eight balls, is modeled as described above.

The calculation of the response of this system to an unbalance has been done for a rotational speed of 0–6000 rpm (100 Hz). Figures 3a and b show the magnitudes of vibration obtained for a Hertz contact stiffness of $10^7$ and $10^8$ N/m and a radial clearance of 20 $\mu$m. The continuous line represents the rotor displacement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural frequency Rotor</td>
<td>$f_{0r} = 22$ Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor damping ratio</td>
<td>$\xi_r = 2%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural frequency Stator</td>
<td>$f_{0s} = 92$ Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator damping ratio</td>
<td>$\xi_s = 5%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbalance Gravity</td>
<td>50.10$^{-3}$ m·kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity acceleration</td>
<td>10 m/s$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**FIGURE 1**  
Location of the $k$th ball by $\theta_k$.  
![FIGURE 2](image2.png)

**FIGURE 2**  
Simplified model.
and the dashed ones represent the stator displacement. With the contact stiffness of $10^7$ N/m, the system exhibits a hardening effect. This effect causes sudden jump phenomena at 2400 rpm (40 Hz) when accelerating and 2160 rpm (36 Hz) when decelerating. If the contact stiffness value is increased to $10^8$ N/m, the critical speed which was nearly 2400 rpm (40 Hz) before is raised to 3000 rpm (50 Hz) and the hardening spring effect disappears.

The same calculations have been done for a radial clearance of 60 $\mu$m. The peak of vibration at the critical speed is not affected by this change. However, one can note a modification of the behavior at sub-critical speeds. Figures 4a and b compare the amplitudes of vibration obtained for radial clearances of 20 and 60 $\mu$m for a speed range of 600–1380 rpm (10–23 Hz). The continuous lines represent the amplitudes of vibration in the horizontal plane while the dashed ones are for amplitudes in the vertical plane. As these are not superimposed, this indicates the orbits are not circular. The small peak of vibration detected around 1200 rpm (20 Hz) for a clearance value of 20 $\mu$m is still present and even more pronounced when the clearance is increased to 60 $\mu$m. Moreover, another peak of vibration becomes noticeable at 12 Hz. For this clearance value, the peak at
1200 rpm (20 Hz) exhibits a hardening effect. Again, jump phenomena occur, as predicted by the study of the stability. Curves shown in Figure 4 are actually those obtained for a Hertz contact stiffness $K_H$ of $10^8$ N/m, but the same observations are also true for $K_H = 10^7$ N/m.

Figure 5 shows the stator orbit at 780 rpm (13 Hz), obtained by the time finite element method (continuous line) and the Runge-Kutta integration scheme (dashed line) for the case $K_H = 10^7$ N/m, $\delta = 60 \mu$m. Both results are in close agreement. It should be noted that the solution achieved by the temporal integrator is still a transient one, even after an elapsed time of 120 periods. This is due to the small amount of damping combined with the multiple losses of contact per period occurring at this frequency.

Example 2

This second example deals with the finite element model of a flexible rotor as represented in Figure 6. This rotor has an overhung disc and is supported by two rolling bearings. Its length is 1.70 m and its diameter is 40 mm. Bearing housings are taken into account through a spring/mass system. Translations in vertical and horizontal directions are considered. Rotational
degrees of freedom at each node of the rotor are also included to take into account the gyroscopic effects. This leads to a total of 32 degrees of freedom.

In Figure 7, the amplitudes of vibration at the disc location, due to an unbalance, are shown on the speed range of 600–4200 rpm (10–70 Hz). The main peak of vibration, approximately 1800 rpm (30 Hz), corresponds to the first flexural mode of the vibration of the rotor. It clearly exhibits a hardening spring effect, causing jump phenomena while passing through this critical speed: When slowly accelerating, the vibration suddenly reduces, whereas when decelerating, it abruptly increases.

Theses jumps, occurring at 1900 and 1780 rpm (31.8 and 29.6 Hz), respectively, are well predicted by the stability analysis. As depicted in Figure 8, one of the Floquet multipliers leaves the unit circle by +1 indicating that cyclic fold bifurcations happen. Secondary Hopf bifurcations have also been detected.

The vibrations at the housings location (see Figure 9) are less important than those at the disc location. In Figure 9, the solid and dashed lines represent the left and right bearing housing, respectively. One can observe a second peak of vibration at 3120 rpm, nearly twice the critical speed, followed by two other minor peaks. As can be seen in Figure 9b, jump phenomena exist for this second peak and appear at 3090 and 3150 rpm.
Housings orbits, 2630–2770 rpm.

(51.5 and 52.5 Hz). Concerning the right bearing housing, the curve describes a loop meaning that the vibration suddenly reduces while slowly accelerating/decelerating through the rotational speed 3150/3090 rpm. This demonstrates the reliability of the finite element method coupled with an arc-length continuation scheme to calculate without any problem sharp peaks of response.

In Figure 9, the two continuous (dashed) lines, representing the vertical and horizontal displacements of the left (right) bearing housing, are almost superimposed. Nevertheless, it turns out that the orbits of the housings are not really circular as observed in Figures 10 to 13 which present the evolution of their orbit between four frequency ranges. For a better visualization, the scale has been adapted for the orbit of the second housing: a magnification factor of five in Figures 10 and 11 and ten in Figure 12. Eight time finite elements with Lagrange polynomials of order 4 seem to be sufficient to trace out the complex orbits described by the housings, except around 2640 rpm (44 Hz) (cf. subplot 1-1 to 1-3 of Figure 12).

CONCLUSIONS

The present article has briefly presented the time finite element method and demonstrated its applicability in rotordynamics problems involving nonlinear ball bearings with a small amount of clearance. It has been pointed out that this method is perfectly able to track complex solutions as found at secondary peaks of vibration and that the study of the stability of solutions is clearly assessed by building the monodromy matrix with few matrix manipulations. This method does not yield the exact solution, since this one is approached upon each time finite element by polynomials. However, the approximated solution is good enough from an engineering point of view. If an extreme precision is required, either the number of elements or the degree of polynomials can be adjusted in consequence, at the price of an increase of the computational time.

This method is not well suited when the solution contain harmonics in the high frequency range, since an important number of elements should be used to match the solution. However, frequential methods suffer from the same drawback. It should be mentioned that the time finite element method is not restricted to the calculation of forced vibrations such as unbalance responses, but that it can also be applied to oil whirl problems encountered with rotors supported on hydrodynamic journal bearings.
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NOMENCLATURE

\[ L \] \text{Lagrangian of the system} \\
\[ W \] \text{work done by nonconservative forces} \\
\[ M, C, K \] \text{mass, damping, stiffness matrices} \\
\[ x \] \text{vector of generalized displacement} \\
\[ p \] \text{vector of generalized momenta} \\
\[ f \] \text{vector of external and nonlinear forces} \\
\[ N_1, \ldots, N_{k+1} \] \text{Lagrange polynomials of order } k \\
\[ T \] \text{period of vibration} \\
\[ \xi \] \text{adimensional time variable} \\
\[ B_i \] \text{vector of boundary momenta} \\
\[ X_i \] \text{local temporal nodal vector} \\
\[ X \] \text{temporal nodal displacement vector} \\
\[ n \] \text{number of time finite elements} \\
\[ \omega_r \] \text{rotor circular frequency}
$\omega_c$  cage circular frequency
$N$  number of balls
$D_b$  ball diameter
$D_i$  inner race diameter
$u_i, u_o$  horizontal displacement of i. and o. races
$\nu_i, \nu_o$  vertical displacement of i. and o. races
$\Delta$  radial clearance value
$K_H$  Hertz contact stiffness
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