Research Article

Common Fixed Points of Mappings and Set-Valued Mappings in Symmetric Spaces with Application to Probabilistic Spaces

M. Aamri, A. Bassou, S. Bennani, and D. El Moutawakil

Received 15 June 2007; Accepted 24 October 2007

The main purpose of this paper is to give some common fixed point theorems of mappings and set-valued mappings of a symmetric space with some applications to probabilistic spaces. In order to get these results, we define the concept of E-weak compatibility between set-valued and single-valued mappings of a symmetric space.

Copyright © 2007 M. Aamri et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions from the theory of symmetric spaces. A symmetric function on a set X is a nonnegative real-valued function d on $X \times X$ such that

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.

Let d be symmetric on a set X , and for $r > 0$ and any $x \in X$, let $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$. A topology $t(d)$ on X is given by $U \in t(d)$ if and only if for each $x \in U$, $B(x, r) \subset U$ for some $r > 0$. A symmetric d is semimetric if for each $x \in X$ and each $r > 0$, $B(x, r)$ is a neighborhood of x in the topology $t(d)$. Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ if and only if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the topology $t(d)$.

A sequence in X is said to be d -Cauchy sequence if it satisfies the usual metric condition. There are several concepts of completeness in this setting (see [1]).

- (i) X is S -complete if for every d -Cauchy sequence (x_n) , there exists x in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$.
- (ii) X is d -Cauchy complete if for every d -Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$, there exists x in X with $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the topology $t(d)$.

In order to unify the notation, we need the following two axioms (W.3) and (W.4) given by Wilson [2] in a symmetric space (X, d) :

(W.3) given (x_n) , x and y in X , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y) = 0$ imply that $x = y$,

(W.4) given (x_n) , (y_n) and x in X , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ imply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x) = 0$.

Finally, a nonempty subset A of a symmetric space (X, d) is said to be

(1) d -closed if $\overline{A}^d = A$, where

$$\overline{A}^d = \{x \in X : d(x, A) = 0\}, \quad d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\}. \quad (1.1)$$

We denote by $C(X)$ the set of all nonempty d -closed subsets of (X, d) .

(2) It is said to be d -bounded if $\delta_d(A) < \infty$, where $\delta_d(A) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A\}$.

Let $B(X)$ denote the set of all nonempty d -bounded subsets of X . For $A, B \in B(X)$, we define

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A; b \in B\}. \quad (1.2)$$

It follows immediately from this definition that, for all $A, B \in B(X)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(A, B) &= \delta(B, A), \\ \delta(A, B) &= 0 \quad \text{if } A = B = \{a\}, \quad a \in A, \\ \delta(A, A) &= \delta_d(A). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

2. Main results

2.1. E-weak compatibility.

Definition 2.1. Let $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued mapping and let B be a self-mapping of a symmetric space (X, d) . One says that A and B are E-weakly compatible if for each $u \in X$, one has $BAu \subseteq ABu$ whenever $Bu \in Au$.

Examples.

(1) Let $X = [1, +\infty[$. Define $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$ and $B : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Ax = [1, 2x], \quad Bx = 2x, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (2.1)$$

It is clear that, for each $x \in X$, one has $Bx \in Ax$ and $BAx \subset ABx$. Then A and B are E-weakly compatible.

(2) Let $X = \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Define $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$ and $B : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Ax = \{kx \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad Bx = 2x - 1, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly, one has $B1 \in A1$ and $BA1 \subset AB1 = \mathbb{N}$. Note that 1 is the unique element u of $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $Bu \in Au$. Therefore, A and B are E-weakly compatible.

Remark 2.2. If A is a single-valued mapping, then the set ABx consists of a single point. Therefore, E-weak compatibility is reduced to weak compatibility condition given in [3]; that is, two self-mappings A and B of a symmetric space X are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points.

Remark 2.3. In what follows including Section 2.3, we consider a nondecreasing right continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$, for all $t \in]0, +\infty[$. Under the above properties, ψ satisfies $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$, and therefore $\psi(0) = 0$.

2.2. Common fixed point results.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $A : X \rightarrow C(X)$ be a multivalued mapping and let B be a self-mapping of a d -bounded symmetric space (X, d) satisfying (W.4) such that*

- (1) $\delta(Ax, Ay) \leq \psi(d(Bx, By))$, for all $x \neq y$ in X ,
- (2) A and B are E-weakly compatible,
- (3) $AX \subset BX$.

If the range of B is an S-complete subspace of X , then A and B have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Since $Ax_0 \subseteq BX$, choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 \in Ax_0$. Choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_2 \in Ax_1$. Continuing in this fashion, choose $x_n \in X$ such that $Bx_n \in Ax_{n-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Bx_n, Bx_{n+m}) &\leq \delta(Ax_{n-1}, Ax_{n+m-1}) \\
 &\leq \psi(d(Bx_{n-1}, Bx_{n+m-1})) \\
 &\leq \psi(\delta(Ax_{n-2}, Ax_{n+m-2})) \\
 &\leq \psi^2(d(Bx_{n-2}, Bx_{n+m-2})) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \psi^n(d(Bx_0, Bx_m)) \leq \psi^n(\delta_d(X)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

which implies that $\{Bx_n\}$ is a d -Cauchy sequence. Suppose that BX is an S-complete subspace of X , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Bx_n, Bu) = 0$ for some $u \in X$. We claim that $Bu \in Au$. Indeed, we have

$$d(Bx_n, Au) \leq \delta(Ax_{n-1}, Au) \leq \psi(d(Bx_{n-1}, Bu)). \tag{2.4}$$

On letting n to infity, we obtain $d(Bx_n, Au) = 0$, and therefore by using (W.4), we obtain $Bu \in \overline{Au}^d = Au$. The E-weak compatibility of A and B implies that $BAu \subseteq ABu$. Since $BAu = \{Ba \mid a \in Au\}$ and $Bu \in Au$, it follows that $BBu \in BAu \subseteq ABu$.

Let us show that Bu is a common fixed point of A and B . Suppose that $BBu \neq Bu$. In view of (1), it follows that

$$d(Bu, BBu) \leq \delta(Au, ABu) \leq \psi(d(Bu, BBu)) < d(Bu, BBu), \tag{2.5}$$

which gives a contradiction. Therefore, $Bu = BBu \in ABu$ and Bu is a common fixed point of A and B . For uniqueness, suppose that there exists $u, v \in X$ such that $Bu = u \in Au$, $Bv = v \in Av$, and $u \neq v$. In view of (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &\leq \delta(Au, Av) \leq \psi(d(Bu, Bv)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(u, v)) < d(u, v), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $u = v$ and the common fixed point is unique. $\square$

When $\psi(t) = kt$, $k \in [0, 1[$, we get the following new result.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let $A : X \rightarrow C(X)$ be a multivalued mapping and let B be a self-mapping of a d -bounded symmetric space (X, d) satisfying (W.4) such that*

- (1) $\delta(Ax, Ay) \leq kd(Bx, By)$, $k \in [0, 1[$, for all $x \neq y$ in X ,
- (2) A and B are E -weakly compatible,
- (3) $AX \subset BX$.

If the range of B is an S -complete subspace of X , then A and B have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 2.6. When A is a single-valued mapping, Corollary 2.5 is reduced to a generalization of [4, Theorem 2.1] which in turn generalizes [1, Theorem 1].

Also letting $B = Id_X$ (resp., $A = Id_X$) in Theorem 2.4, we get the following new results.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let $A : X \rightarrow C(X)$ be a multivalued mapping of a d -bounded S -complete symmetric space (X, d) satisfying (W.4) such that*

$$\delta(Ax, Ay) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x \neq y \text{ in } X. \quad (2.7)$$

Then A has a unique fixed point.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let B be a subjective self-mapping of a d -bounded symmetric space (X, d) satisfying (W.4) such that*

$$d(x, y) \leq \psi(d(Bx, By)), \quad \forall (x, y) \in X^2. \quad (2.8)$$

If the range of B is an S -complete subspace of X , then B has a unique fixed point.

2.3. Application. A distribution function f is a nondecreasing left continuous real-valued function f defined on the set of real numbers, with $\inf f = 0$ and $\sup f = 1$.

Definition 2.9. Let X be a set and $\mathcal{J}$ a function defined on $X \times X$ such that $\mathcal{J}(x, y) = F_{x,y}$ is a distribution function. Consider the following conditions:

- (i) $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (ii) $F_{x,y} = H$ if and only if $x = y$, where H denotes the distribution function defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

- (iii) $F_{x,y} = F_{y,x}$,

- (iv) if $F_{x,y}(\epsilon) = 1$ and $F_{y,z}(\delta) = 1$, then $F_{x,z}(\epsilon + \delta) = 1$.

If $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies (i) and (ii), then it is called a PPM structure on X , and the pair $(X, \mathcal{J})$ is called a PPM space. An $\mathcal{J}$ satisfying (iii) is said to be symmetric. A symmetric PPM structure $\mathcal{J}$ satisfying (iv) is a probabilistic metric structure, and the pair $(X, \mathcal{J})$ is a probabilistic metric space.

Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a symmetric PPM space. For $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$ and x in X , let $N_x(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X : F_{x,y}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$. A T_1 topology $t(\mathcal{J})$ on X is defined as follows:

$$t(\mathcal{J}) = \{U \subseteq X \mid \text{for each } x \in U, \text{ there exists } \epsilon > 0, \text{ such that } N_x(\epsilon, \epsilon) \subseteq U\}. \quad (2.10)$$

Recall that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a fundamental sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x_m}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. The space $(X, \mathcal{J})$ is called F -complete if for every fundamental sequence $\{x_n\}$ there exists x in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x}(t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$. Recently, in [1], it was proved that each symmetric PPM space admits a compatible symmetric function as follows.

THEOREM 2.10 (see [1]). *Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a symmetric PPM space. Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function defined as follows:*

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \in N_x(t, t) \ \forall t > 0, \\ \sup\{t : y \notin N_x(t, t), \ 0 < t < 1\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Then,

- (1) $d(x, y) < t$ if and only if $F_{x,y}(t) > 1 - t$;
- (2) d is compatible and symmetric for $t(\mathcal{J})$;
- (3) $(X, \mathcal{J})$ is F -complete if and only if (X, d) is S -complete.

Definition 2.11. Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a symmetric PPM space and A a nonempty subset of X . One says that A is $\mathcal{J}$ -closed if $\overline{A}^{\mathcal{J}} = A$, where

$$\overline{A}^{\mathcal{J}} = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{a \in A} F_{x,a}(t) = 1, \ \forall t > 0 \right\}. \quad (2.12)$$

One denotes by $C_{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ the set of all nonempty $\mathcal{J}$ -closed subsets of X .

Remark 2.12. Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a symmetric PPM space and let $C_{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ be the set of all non-empty $\mathcal{J}$ -closed subsets of X . It is not hard to see that if d is a compatible symmetric function for $t(\mathcal{J})$, then $C_{\mathcal{J}}(X) = C(X)$, where $C(X)$ is the set of all nonempty d -closed subsets of (X, d) . For $A, B \in C_{\mathcal{J}}(X)$, set $D_{A,B}(\epsilon) = \inf_{a \in A, b \in B} F_{a,b}(\epsilon)$, $\text{nb}\text{sp}; \epsilon > 0$.

Remark 2.13. Note that condition (W.4), defined earlier, is equivalent to the following condition:

(P.4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, x}(t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, y_n}(t) = 1$ imply that $F_{y_n, x}(t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$.

As an application of our main Theorem 2.4, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.14. *Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a symmetric PPM space that satisfies (P.4) and d a compatible symmetric function for $t(\mathcal{J})$. Let $A : X \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ be a multivalued mapping and let $B : \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping of X such that*

- (1) $F_{Bx, By}(t) > 1 - t$ implies that $D_{Ax, Ay}(\psi(t)) > 1 - \psi(t)$, for all $t > 0$, for all $x \neq y$ in X ,
- (2) A and B are E -weakly compatible,
- (3) $AX \subset BX$.

If the range of B is an F -complete subspace of X , then A and B have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Note that (X, d) is d -bounded and BX is an S -complete subspace of X . Also $d(x, y) < t$ if and only if $F_{x, y}(t) > 1 - t$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and set $t = d(Bx, By) + \epsilon$. Then $d(Bx, By) < t$ gives $F_{Bx, By}(t) > 1 - t$, and therefore $D_{Ax, Ay}(\psi(t)) > 1 - \psi(t)$.

We claim that $\delta(Ax, Ay) \leq \psi(d(Bx, By))$. Indeed, from $D_{Ax, Ay}(\psi(t)) > 1 - \psi(t)$, it follows that

$$\inf_{a \in Ax, b \in Ay} F_{a, b}(\psi(t)) > 1 - \psi(t) \implies \forall (a, b) \in Ax \times Ay, F_{a, b}(\psi(t)) > 1 - \psi(t), \quad (2.13)$$

which implies that for all $(a, b) \in Ax \times Ay$, $d(a, b) < \psi(t)$, and therefore $\delta(Ax, Ay) < \psi(t) = \psi(d(Bx, By) + t)$. On letting ϵ be 0 (since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary), we have $\delta(Ax, Ay) \leq \psi(d(Bx, By))$. Now apply Theorem 2.4. $\square$

For $\psi(t) = kt$, $k \in [0, 1[$, Theorem 2.14 is reduced to the following new result.

COROLLARY 2.15. *Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a symmetric PPM space that satisfies (P.4) and d a compatible symmetric function for $t(\mathcal{J})$. Let $A : X \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ be a multivalued mapping and let $B : \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping of X such that*

- (1) $F_{Bx, By}(t) > 1 - t$ implies that $D_{Ax, Ay}(kt) > 1 - kt$, $k \in [0, 1[$, for all $t > 0$, for all $x \neq y$ in X ,
- (2) A and B are E -weakly compatible,
- (3) $AX \subset BX$.

If the range of B is an F -complete subspace of X , then A and B have a unique common fixed point.

References

- [1] T. L. Hicks and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed point theory in symmetric spaces with applications to probabilistic spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 331–344, 1999.
- [2] W. A. Wilson, "On semi-metric spaces," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 361–373, 1931.

- [3] J. Jachymski, J. Matkowski, and T. Świątkowski, "Nonlinear contractions on semimetric spaces," *Journal of Applied Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 125–134, 1995.
- [4] M. Aamri, A. Bassou, and D. EL Moutawakil, "Common fixed points for weakly compatible maps in symmetric spaces with application to probabilistic spaces," *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, vol. 5, pp. 171–175, 2005.

M. Aamri: Department of Mathematics and Informtics, Faculty of Sciences Ben M'Sik, University Hassan II, Mohammedia P.B. 7955, Casablanca, Morocco
Email address: aamrimohamed9@yahoo.fr

A. Bassou: Department of Mathematics and Informtics, Faculty of Sciences Ben M'Sik, University Hassan II, Mohammedia P.B. 7955, Casablanca, Morocco
Email address: hbassou@gmail.com

S. Bennani: Department of Mathematics and Informtics, Faculty of Sciences Ben M'Sik, University Hassan II, Mohammedia P.B. 7955, Casablanca, Morocco
Email address: s.bennani@yahoo.fr

D. El Moutawakil: Faculté Polydisciplinaire de Khouribga, University Hassan I, Khouribga P.B. 145, Settati, Morocco
Email address: d.elmoutawakil@yahoo.fr