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This paper investigates the inverse problem of determining a heat source in the parabolic heat equation using the usual conditions. Firstly, the problem is reduced to an equivalent problem which is easy to handle using variational iteration method. Secondly, variational iteration method is used to solve the reduced problem. Using this method, a rapid convergent sequence can be produced which tends to the exact solution of the problem. Furthermore, variational iteration method does not require the discretization of the problem. Two numerical examples are presented to illustrate the strength of the method.

1. Introduction

In the process of transportation, diffusion, and conduction of natural materials, the following heat equation is induced:

$$u_t - a^2 \Delta u = f(x,t; u), \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T],$$

where $u$ represents state variable, $a$ is the diffusion coefficient, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $f$ denotes physical laws, which means source terms here. There are many researches on such inverse problems of determining source terms from 1970s, since the characteristics of sources in practical problems are always unknown. And the inverse problems are unstable in nature from indirect observable data which contain measurement errors. The major difficulty in establishing any numerical algorithm for approximating the solution is the ill-posedness of the problem and the ill-conditioning of the resultant discretized matrix. The inverse problem
of determining an unknown heat-source function in the heat conduction equation has been
considered in many papers \([1–8]\). For \(f = f(u)\), the inverse source problem with additional
data was studied by Cannon, Duchateau, and Fatullayev \([1, 2]\). In \([3, 4]\), the source is sought
as a function of both space and time but is additive or separable. However, in all the other
studies the source has been sought as a function of space or time only \([5–8]\).

In this paper, the heat source is taken to be time dependent only, and the overde-
termination is the transient temperature measurement recorded by a single thermocouple
installed in the interior of the heat conductor. These measurements ensure that the inverse
problem has a unique solution, but this solution is unstable; hence the problem is ill-
posed. The inverse problems are formulated in Section 2. Several numerical methods have
been proposed for the inverse source problem \([5–12]\). In this work, we extend the use of
variational iteration method (VIM) to this inverse source problem. The VIM was proposed
originally by He \([13, 14]\). This method is based on the use of Lagrange multipliers for
identification of optimal values of parameters in a functional. This method gives rapidly
convergent successive approximations of the exact solution if such a solution exists. For
concrete problems, a few number of approximations can be used for numerical purposes
with a high degree of accuracy. Furthermore, VIM does not require the discretization of
the problem. Thus the variational iteration method is suitable for finding the approximation of
the solution without discretization of the problem. It was successfully applied to two-point
boundary value problems, partial differential equations, evolution equations, and other fields
\([13–26]\).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we formulate the
problem mathematically. The VIM is introduced and applied to the inverse source problem
in Section 3. The numerical examples are present in Section 4. Section 5 ends this paper with
a brief conclusion.

### 2. Formulation of the Inverse Problem

Let \(T > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)\) be fixed numbers, and let us consider first the one-dimensional time-
dependent problem in which the source \(f(x, t; u) = f(t)\) depends on time only.

Find the temperature \(u \in H^{2\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}([0, 1] \times [0, T])\) and the heat source \(f \in H^{\alpha/2}([0, T])\)
which satisfy the heat-conduction equation with a time-dependent source, namely,

\[
u_t = \alpha^2 u_{xx} + f(t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \tag{2.1}\]

subject to the initial and boundary conditions

\[
u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \tag{2.2}\]

\[
u(0, t) = g_0(t), \quad u(1, t) = g_1(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{2.3}\]

and the overspecified condition

\[
u(x_0, t) = h(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{2.4}\]
where \( x_0 \in (0, 1) \) is the interior location of a thermocouple recording the temperature measurement, \( u_0(x), g_0(t), g_1(t), h(t) \) are given functions satisfying the compatibility conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_0(0) &= g_0(0), & u_0(1) &= g_1(0), & u_0(x_0) &= h(0),
\end{align*}
\]  

and the functions \( u(x,t) \) and \( f(t) \) are unknown.

From [5], we know that, if \( g_0, g_1, h \in H^{1+a/2}([0,T]), u_0 \in H^{2+a}([0,1]) \) and conditions (2.2)–(2.4) are consistent up to the first order, then problem (2.1)–(2.4) has a unique solution.

The model problem presented here used to describe a heat transfer process with a time-dependent source produces the temperature at a given point \( x_0 \) in the spatial domain at time \( t \). Thus, the purpose of solving this inverse problem can be viewed as an inverse control problem to identify the source control parameter that produces at any given time a desired temperature at a given point \( x_0 \) in the spatial domain.

Although sufficient conditions for the solvability of the problem are provided [5], problem (2.1)–(2.5) is still ill-posed since small errors, inherently present in any practical measurement, give rise to unbounded and highly oscillatory solutions. We will change (2.1) to an equation with one unknown function which is easy to handle using VIM.

Using the two following transformations:

\[
r(t) = \int_0^t f(\xi) d\xi, \quad v(x,t) = u(x,t) - r(t).
\]  

Equation (2.1) is transformed into the following equation:

\[
v_t = a^2 v_{xx}, \quad (x,t) \in (0,1) \times (0,T),
\]  

with the initial and boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
  v(x,0) &= u_0(x), & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
  v(0,t) &= g_0(t) - r(t), & v(1,t) &= g_1(t) - r(t), & 0 \leq t \leq T
\end{align*}
\]  

and the overspecification at a point \( x_0 \):

\[
v(x_0,t) = h(t) - r(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\]  

3. Analysis and Application of He’s Variational Iteration Method

Consider the differential equation

\[
Lu + Nu = g(x),
\]  

where \( x_0 \in (0, 1) \) is the interior location of a thermocouple recording the temperature measurement, \( u_0(x), g_0(t), g_1(t), h(t) \) are given functions satisfying the compatibility conditions (2.5) and the functions \( u(x,t) \) and \( f(t) \) are unknown.
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3. Analysis and Application of He’s Variational Iteration Method

Consider the differential equation

\[
Lu + Nu = g(x),
\]
where $L$ and $N$ are linear and nonlinear operators, respectively, and $g(x)$ is the source inhomogeneous term. In [9–15], the VIM was introduced by He where a correct functional for (3.1) can be written as

$$ u_{n+1}(x) = u_n(x) + \int_0^x \lambda \{ Lu_n(t) + N\tilde{u}_n(t) - g(t) \} dt, \quad (3.2) $$

where $\lambda$ is a general Lagrangian multiplier [13], which can be identified optimally via variational theory, and $\tilde{u}_n$ is a restricted variation which means $\delta\tilde{u}_n = 0$. By this method, it is required first to determine the Lagrangian multiplier $\lambda$ that will be identified optimally. The successive approximates $u_{n+1}, \ n \geq 0$, of the solution $u$ will be readily obtained upon using the determined Lagrangian multiplier and any selective function $u_0$. Consequently, the solution is given by

$$ u = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n. \quad (3.3) $$

The variational iteration method has been shown to solve easily and accurately a large class of problems with approximations converging rapidly to accurate solutions.

For variational iteration method, the key is the identification of Lagrangian multiplier. For linear source, their exact solutions can be obtained by only one iteration step due to the fact that the Lagrangian multiplier can be identified exactly. For nonlinear source, the lagrange multiplier is difficult to be identified exactly. To overcome the difficulty, we apply restricted variations to nonlinear term. Due to the approximate identification of the Lagrangian multiplier, the approximate solutions converge to their exact solutions relatively slowly. It should be specially pointed out that the more accurate the identification of the multiplier, the faster the approximations converge to their exact solutions.

For (2.7), according to the VIM, we consider its correct functional in $t$-direction in the following form:

$$ v_{n+1}(x,t) = v_n(x,t) + \int_0^t \lambda \left\{ \frac{\partial v_n(x,s)}{\partial s} - a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}_n(x,s)}{\partial x^2} \right\} ds, \quad (3.4) $$

where $\lambda$ is the general Lagrangian multiplier, which can be identified optimally via variational theory, and $\tilde{v}_n$ is a restricted variation which means $\delta\tilde{v}_n = 0$.

To find the optimal value of $\lambda$, we have

$$ \delta v_{n+1}(x,t) = \delta v_n(x,t) + \delta \int_0^t \lambda \left\{ \frac{\partial v_n(x,s)}{\partial s} - a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}_n(x,s)}{\partial x^2} \right\} ds = 0, \quad (3.5) $$

that results

$$ \delta v_{n+1}(x,t) = \delta v_n(x,t)(1 + \lambda) - \int_0^t \delta v_n(x,s) \lambda' ds = 0, \quad (3.6) $$
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which yields

\[
\lambda'(t) = 0, \tag{3.7}
\]

\[
1 + \lambda(t) = 0.
\]

Thus we have

\[
\lambda(t) = -1, \tag{3.8}
\]

and therefore, we have the following iteration formula:

\[
v_{n+1}(x,t) = v_n(x,t) - \int_0^t \left\{ \frac{\partial v_n(x,s)}{\partial s} - a^2 \frac{\partial^2 v_n(x,s)}{\partial x^2} \right\} ds. \tag{3.9}
\]

Now taking \( v_0(x,t) = v(x,0) = u_0(x) \) as an initial value and using (3.9), we can obtain the solution of (2.7) as a convergent sequence. The solution of (2.1) is obtained in the following form:

\[
f(t) = (g_0(t) - v(0, t))',
\]

\[
u(x,t) = v(x,t) + r(t) = v(x,t) + g_0(t) - v(0,t). \tag{3.10}
\]

4. Numerical Examples

In this section, we present and discuss the numerical results by employing VIM for two test examples. For these examples, we have taken \( a = 1 \) and \( T = 1 \). Results demonstrate present method is remarkably effective.

Example 4.1. With the input data

\[
u(x,0) = u_0(t) = \sin(x) + \frac{1}{4} x^4,
\]

\[
u(0,t) = g_0(t) = 0, \quad u(1,t) = g_1(t) = e^{-t} \sin(1) + 3t + \frac{1}{4}, \tag{4.1}
\]

\[
u(x_0,t) = h(t) = e^{-t} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{3}{4} t + \frac{1}{64},
\]

the inverse problem (2.1)–(2.5) has the unique solution given by

\[
u(x,t) = e^{-t} \sin(x) + 3tx^2 + \frac{1}{4} x^4,
\]

\[
f(t) = -6t. \tag{4.2}
\]
Table 1: Maximum absolute errors of $f_n$ and $u_n$ with exact input data for Example 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$n = 5$</th>
<th>$n = 8$</th>
<th>$n = 15$</th>
<th>$n = 20$</th>
<th>$n = 25$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_n$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_n$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: The figure of relative error $|f - f_5|/f$ with noise $\sigma = 0.1\%$, 1\%, 5\%, respectively, for Example 4.1.

Beginning with

$$v_0(x, t) = v(x, 0) = \sin(x) + \frac{1}{4}x^4,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

according to (3.9), one can obtain the successive approximations $v_n(x, t)$ of $v(x, t)$.

From (3.10), one can obtain the $n$-order approximation of $f(x)$ by

$$f_n(t) = \left(g_0(t) - v_n(0,t)\right)'$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

and the $n$-order approximation of $u(x, t)$ by

$$u_n(x, t) = v_n(x, t) + g_0(t) - v_n(0,t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

When the input data are exact, the maximum absolute errors of $f_n$ and $u_n$ are given in Table 1.

When the input data are noisy, with various levels of noise $\sigma = 0.1\%$, $\sigma = 1\%$, $\sigma = 5\%$, the relative errors of $f_5$ and $u_5$ are given in Figures 1 and 2.

Example 4.2. With the input data

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(t) = x^2,$$

$$u(0, t) = g_0(t) = 2t + \sin(2\pi t), \hspace{1cm} u(1, t) = g_1(t) = 1 + 2t + \sin(2\pi t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

$$u(x_0, t) = h(t) = \frac{1}{4} + 2t + \sin(2\pi t),$$
Figure 2: The figure of relative error $|u - u_5|/u$ with noise $\sigma = 0.1\%$, $1\%$, $5\%$, respectively, for Example 4.1.

Figure 3: Figures of $f(t)$ and $f_1(t)$ with noise $\sigma = 0.1\%$, $1\%$, $5\%$, respectively, for Example 4.2 (the real line is $f(t)$ and the dashed line is $f_1(t)$).

the inverse problem (2.1)–(2.5) has the unique solution given by

$$u(x, t) = x^2 + 2t + \sin(2\pi t),$$

$$f(t) = 2\pi \cos(2\pi t).$$

(4.7)

Beginning with

$$v_0(x, t) = v(x, 0) = x^2,$$

(4.8)

according to (3.9), one can obtain the successive approximations $v_n(x, t)$ of $v(x, t)$.

From (3.10), one can obtain the $n$-order approximation of $f(x)$ by

$$f_n(t) = (g_0(t) - v_n(0, t))'$$

(4.9)

and the $n$-order approximation of $u(x, t)$ by

$$u_n(x, t) = v_n(x, t) + g_0(t) - v_n(0, t).$$

(4.10)

When the input data are exact, the maximum absolute errors of $f_n$ and $u_n$ are zero.

When the input data are noisy, with various levels of noise $\sigma = 0.1\%$, $\sigma = 1\%$, $\sigma = 5\%$, the relative errors of $f_5$ and $u_5$ are given in Figures 3 and 4.
Remark 4.3. From the previous two numerical examples, it can be seen that the numerical results are quite satisfactory. When the input data are exact, the numerical solutions obtained using our method are of high degree of accuracy. Even with the noise level of input data up to $\sigma = 5\%$, the numerical solutions are still in good agreement with the exact solutions.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, He’s variational iteration method was employed successfully for solving the inverse heat source problems. This method solves the problem without any discretization of the variables. Therefore, it is not affected by computation round-off errors, and one is not faced with necessity of large computer memory and time. The numerical results show that the VIM is an accurate and reliable numerical technique for the solution of the inverse time-dependent heat source problem.
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