Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 2012, Article ID 580482, 9 pages
doi:10.1155/2012/580482

Research Article

Type-K Exponential Ordering with Application to Delayed Hopfield-Type Neural Networks

Bin-Guo Wang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Bin-Guo Wang, wangbinguo@lzu.edu.cn

Received 10 December 2011; Accepted 9 April 2012

Academic Editor: Chuanhou Gao

Order-preserving and convergent results of delay functional differential equations without quasimonotone condition are established under type-K exponential ordering. As an application, the model of delayed Hopfield-type neural networks with a type-K monotone interconnection matrix is considered, and the attractor result is obtained.

1. Introduction

Since monotone methods have been initiated by Kamke [1] and Müler [2], and developed further by Krasnoselskii [3, 4], Matano [5], and Smith [6], the theory and application of monotone dynamics have become increasingly important (see [7–18]).

It is well known that the quasimonotone condition is very important in studying the asymptotic behaviors of dynamical systems. If this condition is satisfied, the solution semiflows will admit order-preserving property. There are many interesting results, for example, [6, 8–12, 14–17] for competitive (cooperative) or type-K competitive (cooperative) systems and [6, 7, 13] for delayed systems. In particular, for the scalar delay differential equations of the form

$$x'(t) = g(x(t), x(t-r)), \tag{1.1}$$

if the quasimonotone condition $(\partial g(x,y))/\partial y > 0$ holds, then (1.1) generates an eventually strongly monotone semiflow on the space $C([-r,0],\mathbb{R})$, which is one of sufficient conditions for obtaining convergent results. In other words, the right hand side of (1.1) must be strictly increasing in the delayed argument. This is a severe restriction, and so the quasimonotone conditions are not always satisfied in applications. Recently, many researchers have tried to relax the quasimonotone condition by introducing a new cone or partial ordering, for example, the exponential ordering [6, 18, 19]. In particular, Smith [6] and Wu and Zhao [18] considered a new cone parameterized by a nonnegative constant, which is applicable to a single equation. Replacing the previous constant by a quasipositive matrix, the exponential ordering is generalized to some delay differential systems by Smith [6] and Y. Wang and Y. Wang [19]. However, the above results are not suitable to the type-K systems (see [6] for its definition). A typical example is a Hopfield-type neural network model with a type-K monotone interconnection matrix, which implies that the interaction among neurons is not only excitatory but also inhibitory. For this purpose, we introduce a type-K exponential ordering and establish order-preserving and convergent results under the weak quasimonotone condition (WQM) (see Section 2) and then apply the result to a network model with a type-K monotone interconnection matrix.

This paper is arranged as follows. In next section, the type-K exponential ordering parameterized by a type-K monotone matrix is introduced, and convergent result is established. In Section 3, we apply our results to a delayed Hopfield-type neural network.

2. Type-K Exponential Ordering

In this section, we establish a new cone and introduce some order-preserving and convergent results.

Let (X_i, X_i^+), $i \in N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, be ordered Banach spaces with $\mathrm{Int}X_i^+ \neq \emptyset$. For $x_i, y_i \in X_i$, we write $x_i \leq_{X_i} y_i$ if $y_i - x_i \in X_i^+$; $x_i <_{X_i} y_i$ if $y_i - x_i \in X_i^+ \setminus \{0\}$; $x_i \ll_{X_i} y_i$ if $y_i - x_i \in \mathrm{Int}X_i^+$. For $k \in N$, we denote $I = \{1, 2, \dots, \kappa\}$ and $J = N \setminus I = \{\kappa+1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, we can define the product space $X = \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} X_i$ which generates two cones $X^+ = \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} X_i^+$ and $K = \prod_{i=1}^{i=\kappa} X_i^+ \times \prod_{i=\kappa+1}^{i=n} (-X_i^+)$ with nonempty interiors $\mathrm{Int}X^+ = \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} \mathrm{Int}X_i^+$ and $\mathrm{Int}K = \prod_{i=1}^{i=\kappa} \mathrm{Int}X_i^+ \times \prod_{i=\kappa+1}^{i=n} (-\mathrm{Int}X_i^+)$. The ordering relation on X^+ and K is defined in the following way:

$$\begin{gathered}
x \leq_X y \Longleftrightarrow x_i \leq_{X_i} y_i, \quad \forall i \in N, \\
x <_X y \Longleftrightarrow x \leq y, \quad x_i <_{X_i} y_i, \quad \text{for some } i \in N, \ \text{that is, } x \leq_X y, \ x \neq y, \\
x \ll_X y \Longleftrightarrow x_i \ll_{X_i} y_i, \quad \forall i \in N, \\
x \leq_K y \Longleftrightarrow x_i \leq_{X_i} y_i, \quad \forall i \in I, \qquad x_i \geq_{X_i} y_i, \quad \forall i \in J, \\
x <_K y \Longleftrightarrow x \leq_K y, \quad x_i <_{X_i} y_i, \quad \text{for some } i \in I \quad \text{or} \quad x_i >_{X_i} y_i, \quad \text{for some } i \in J, \\
x \ll_K y \Longleftrightarrow x_i \ll_{X_i} y_i, \quad \forall i \in I, \qquad x_i \gg_{X_i} y_i, \quad \forall i \in J.
\end{gathered} \tag{2.1}$$

A semiflow on X is a continuous mapping Φ: $X \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$, $(x, t) \to \Phi(x, t)$, which satisfies (i) $\Phi_0 = id$ and (ii) $\Phi_t \cdot \Phi_s = \Phi_{t+s}$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Here, $\Phi_t(x) \equiv \Phi(x, t)$ for $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. The *orbit* of x is denoted by $O(x)$:

$$O(x) = \{\Phi_t(x) : t \geq 0\}. \tag{2.2}$$

An *equilibrium point* is a point x for which $\Phi_t(x) = x$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be the set of all equilibrium points for Φ. The omega limit set $\omega(x)$ of x is defined in the usual way. A point $x \in X$ is called a *quasiconvergent point* if $\omega(x) \subset \mathbf{E}$. The set of all such points is denoted by $\mathbf{Q}$.

A point $x \in X$ is called a *convergent point* if $\omega(x)$ consists of a single point of $\mathbf{E}$. The set of all convergent points is denoted by $\mathbf{C}$.

The semiflow Φ is said to be *type-K monotone* provided

$$\Phi_t(x) \leqslant_K \Phi_t(y) \quad \text{whenever } x \leqslant_K y \ \forall t \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Φ is called *type-K strongly order preserving* (for short type-K SOP), if it is type-K monotone, and whenever $x <_K y$, there exist open subsets U, V of X with $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $t_0 > 0$, such that

$$\Phi_t(U) \leqslant_K \Phi_t(V) \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \tag{2.4}$$

The semiflow Φ is said to be *strongly type-K monotone* on X if Φ is type-K monotone, and whenever $x <_K y$ and $t > 0$, then $\Phi_t(x) \ll_K \Phi_t(y)$. We say that Φ is *eventually strongly type-K monotone* if it is type-K monotone, and whenever $x <_K y$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\Phi_{t_0}(x) \ll_K \Phi_{t_0}(y)$. Clearly, strongly type-K monotonicity implies eventually strongly type-K monotonicity.

An $n \times n$ matrix M is said to be *type-K monotone* if it has the following manner:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{A} & -\overline{B} \\ -\overline{C} & \overline{D} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\overline{A} = (\mathrm{a}_{ij})_{k \times k}$ satisfies $(a_{ij}) \geqslant 0$ if $i \neq j$, similarly for the $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ matrix $\overline{D}$ and $\overline{B} \geqslant 0$, $\overline{C} \geqslant 0$.

In this paper, the following lemma is necessary.

Lemma 2.1. *If M is a type-K monotone matrix, then e^{Mt} remains type-K monotone with diagonal entries being strictly positive for all $t > 0$.*

Proof. The product of two type-K monotone matrices remains type-K monotone; the rest is obvious and we omit it here. □

Let $r > 0$ be fixed and let $C := C([-r, 0], X)$. The ordering relations on C are understood to hold pointwise. Consider the family of sets parameterized by type-K monotone matrix M given by

$$\widetilde{K}_M = \left\{ \phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n) \in C : \phi(s) \geq_K 0,\ s \in [-r, 0] \phi(t) \geq_K e^{M(t-s)} \phi(s),\ 0 \geq t \geq s \geq -r \right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{K}_M$ is a closed cone in C and generates a partial ordering on C which is written by $\geq_M$. Assume that $\phi \in C$ is differentiable on $(-r, 0)$, a similar argument to [18, lemma 2.1] implies that $\phi \geq_M 0$ if and only if $\phi(-r) \geq_K 0$ and $d\phi(s)/ds - M\phi(s) \geq_K 0$ for all $s \in (-r, 0)$.

Consider the abstract functional differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(x_t), \tag{2.7}$$

where $f : D \to X$ is continuous and satisfies a local Lipschitz condition on each compact subset of D and D is an open subset of C. By the standard equation theory, the solution $x(t,\phi)$ of (2.7) can be continued to the maximal interval of existence $[0,\sigma_\phi)$. Moreover, if $\sigma_\phi > r$, then $x(t,\phi)$ is a classical solution of (2.7) for $t \in (r,\sigma_\phi)$. In this section, for simplicity, we assume that, for each $\phi \in D$, (2.7) admits a solution $x(t,\phi)$ defined on $[0,\infty)$. Therefore, (2.7) generates a semiflow on C by $\Phi_t(\phi) \equiv x_t(\phi)$, where $x_t(\phi)(s) = x(t+s,\phi)$ for $t \geq 0$ and $-r \leq s \leq 0$.

In the following, we will seek a sufficient condition for the solution of (2.7) to preserve the ordering $\geq_M$.

(WQM) Whenever $\phi,\varphi \in D$, $\varphi \geq_M \phi$, then

$$f(\varphi) - f(\phi) \geq_K M\big(\varphi(0) - \phi(0)\big). \tag{2.8}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that* (*WQM*) *holds. If $\varphi \geq_M \phi$, then $x_t(\varphi) \geq_M x_t(\phi)$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $\eta \in \operatorname{Int}K$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define $f_\varepsilon(\phi) = f(\phi) + \varepsilon\eta$ for $\phi \in D$, and let $x_t^\varepsilon(\varphi)$ be a unique solution of the following equation:

$$\begin{gathered} x'(t) = f_\varepsilon(x_t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ x(s) = \varphi(s), \quad -r \leq s \leq 0. \end{gathered} \tag{2.9}$$

Let $y^\epsilon(t) = x^\epsilon(t,\varphi) - x(t,\phi)$ and define

$$S = \left\{t \in [0,\infty) : y_t^\epsilon \geq_M 0\right\}. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\varphi \geq_M \phi$, S is closed and nonempty. We first prove the following two claims.

Claim 1. If $t_0 \in S$, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $[t_0, t_0+\delta_0] \subset S$.

According to the integral expression of (2.9) we have

$$y^\epsilon(t) = e^{M(t-s)}y^\epsilon(s) + \int_s^t e^{M(\tau-s)}\left[f(x_\tau^\epsilon(\varphi)) - f(x_\tau(\phi)) - M\big(x^\epsilon(\tau,\varphi) - x(\tau,\phi)\big) + \epsilon\eta\right]d\tau. \tag{2.11}$$

Since $t_0 \in S$ and (WQM) hold, we have

$$f(x_t^\epsilon(\varphi)) - f(x_t(\phi)) - M\big(x^\epsilon(t,\varphi) - x(t,\phi)\big) + \epsilon\eta|_{t=t_0} \geq_K \epsilon\eta \gg_K 0. \tag{2.12}$$

By the characteristic of a cone, there is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$f(x_t^\epsilon(\varphi)) - f(x_t(\phi)) - M\big(x^\epsilon(t,\varphi) - x(t,\phi)\big) + \epsilon\eta \geq_K 0, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_0+\delta_0]. \tag{2.13}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$y^{\epsilon}(t) \geq_K e^{M(t-s)} y^{\epsilon}(s), \quad \forall t_0 \leq s \leq t \leq t_0 + \delta_0, \tag{2.14}$$

which, together with the definition of $\widetilde{K}_M$, implies that

$$x_t^{\epsilon}(\psi) \geq_M x_t(\phi), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta_0]. \tag{2.15}$$

Claim 2. Let $S_1 = \{t : [0,t] \subset S\}$. Then $\sup S_1 = \infty$.

If $t^* = \sup S_1 < \infty$, then there is a sequence $\{t_n\} \subset S_1 \subset S$ such that $t_n \to t^*$ as $n \to \infty$. From the closeness of S we have $t^* \in S$. By Claim 1, $[t^*, t^* + \delta^*] \subset S$ for some $\delta^* > 0$, which contradicts the definition of t^*. Therefore, $\sup S_1 = \infty$, which implies $S = [0, \infty)$.

Since $f_\epsilon \to f$ uniformly on bounded subset of D as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} x_t^{\epsilon}(\psi) = x_t(\psi), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0^+$ in $y_t^{\epsilon} = x_t^{\epsilon}(\psi) - x_t(\phi) \geq_M 0$, we have $x_t(\psi) - x_t(\phi) \geq_M 0$, which implies that $x_t(\psi) \geq_M x_t(\phi)$. □

By the definition of the semiflow Φ_t, it is easy to see from (WQM) that Φ_t is monotone with respect to $\geq_M$ in the sense that $\Phi_t(\psi) \geq_M \Phi_t(\phi)$ whenever $\psi \geq_M \phi$ for all $t \geq 0$.

As we all know the strongly order-preserving property is necessary for obtaining some convergent results. However, it is easy to check that the cone $\widetilde{K}_M$ has empty interior on C; we cannot, therefore, expect to show that the semiflow generated by (2.7) is eventually strongly type-K monotone in C. Let $\varphi(\cdot) \in \operatorname{Int} K$ and define

$$\begin{gathered} C_\varphi = \{\phi \in C : \text{there exist } \gamma \geq 0 \text{ such that } -\gamma\varphi \leq_M \phi \leq_M \gamma\varphi\}, \\ \|\phi\|_\varphi = \inf\{\gamma \geq 0 : -\gamma\varphi \leq_M \phi \leq_M \gamma\varphi\}. \end{gathered} \tag{2.17}$$

It is easy to check that $(C_\varphi, \|\phi\|_\varphi)$ is a Banach space, $K_M = C_\varphi \cap \widetilde{K}_M$ is a cone with nonempty interior $\operatorname{Int} K_M$ (see [20]), and $i : C_\varphi \to C$ is continuous. Using the smoothing property of the semiflow Φ on C^+ and fundamental theory of abstract functional differential equations, we deduce that for all $t > r$, $\Phi_t C \subset C \cap C_\varphi$, $\Phi_t : C \to C \cap C_\varphi$ is continuous, and $\Phi_t(\psi - \phi) \in \operatorname{Int} K_M$ for any $\psi, \phi \in C$ with $\psi >_M \phi$. Thus, from Theorem 2.2, type-K strongly order-preserving property can be obtained.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that* (WQM) *holds. If $\psi >_M \phi$, then $x_t(\psi) \gg_M x_t(\phi)$ in K_M for all $t \geq r$.*

In order to obtain the main result of this paper, which says that the generic solution converges to equilibrium, the corresponding compactness assumption will be required.

(A1) f maps bounded subset of D to bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, for each compact subset A of D, there exists a closed and bounded subset $B = B(A)$ of D such that $x_t(\phi) \in B$ for each $\phi \in A$ and all large t.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that* (*WQM*) *and (A1) hold. Then the set of convergent points in D contains an open and dense subset. If* **E** *consists of a single point, it attracts all solutions of* (2.7)*. If the initial value $x_0 \geq_K 0 (x_0 \leq_K 0)$ and* **E** *consists of two points or more, we conclude that all solutions converge to one of these.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, the semiflow is eventually strongly monotone in K_M. Let $\widehat{e} = (\widehat{1}, \ldots, \widehat{1}, -\widehat{1}, \ldots, -\widehat{1}) \in K$, where $\widehat{1}$ denotes a constant mapping defined on C; that is, $\widehat{1}(s) = 1$ for all $s \in [-r, 0]$. Obviously, $\widehat{e} \geq_M \widehat{0}$. For any $\psi \in D$, either the sequence of points $\psi + (1/n)\widehat{e}$ or $\psi - (1/n)\widehat{e}$ is eventually contained in D and approaches ψ as $n \to \infty$, and, hence, each point of D can be approximated either from above or from below in D with respect to $\geq_M$. The assumption (A1) implies the compactness; that is, $O(x)$ has compact closure in X for each $x \in X$ (see [6]). Therefore, from [6, Theorem 1.4.3], we deduce that the set of quasiconvergent points contains an open and dense subset of D. From the proof of [6, Theorem 6.3.1], we know that the set **E** is totally ordered by $\geq_M$. Reference [6, Remark 1.4.2] implies that the set of convergent points contains an open and dense subset of D. The last two assertions can be obtained from [6, Theorems 2.3.1 and 2.3.2]. □

Remark 2.5. The above theorem implies that there exists an equilibrium attracting all solutions with initial values in the cone K. If **E** consists of a single element, the equilibrium attracts all solutions with initial values in D.

3. Delayed Hopfield-Type Neural Networks

In this section, we will apply our main result to the following system of delayed differential equations:

$$x_i'(t) = -a_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} f_j\left(x_j\left(t - r_j\right)\right) + I_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{3.1}$$

where $a_i > 0$ and $r_j \geq 0$ are constant, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. The interconnection matrix $(a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ is type-K monotone with the elements in the diagonal being nonnegative. In this situation, the interaction among neurons is not only excitatory but also inhibitory. The external input functions I_i are constants or periodic. The activation functions $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) : D \to \mathbb{R}$, where D is an open subset of $X = C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $r = \max\{r_j | j \in N\}$, satisfy (A1) and following property.

(A2) There exist constants L_j such that $|f_j(x) - f_j(y)| \leq L_j |x - y|$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

First, we consider the case that the external input functions I_i are constants.

Theorem 3.1. *Equation* (3.1) *has an equilibrium which attracts all its solutions coming from the initial value $\phi \geq_K 0$ with $\phi(0)$ being bounded.*

Proof. From [21, Theorem 1], we deduce that (3.1) admits at least an equilibrium; that is, the equilibrium points set **E** is nonempty.

For $\phi \in X$, we define

$$F_i(\phi) = -a_i\phi_i(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} f_j(\phi_j(-r_j)) + I_i. \tag{3.2}$$

Choosing $M = \operatorname{diag}\{-\mu, \dots, -\mu\}$ with $\mu > 0$, and denoting $L = \max_{1\le j\le n} L_j$, $\alpha = \max_{1\le i,j\le n}|a_{ij}|$ and $\beta = \max_{1\le j\le n} a_j$. Since $\phi(0)$ is bounded, for ψ, $\phi \in D$ with $\psi \ge_M \phi$, there exist $\overline{m} \ge 0$ and $\underline{m} \ge 0$ with $\overline{m} \ge \underline{m}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{m} &\le \psi_j(0) - \phi_j(0) \le \overline{m}, \quad \forall i \in I, \\ -\overline{m} &\le \psi_j(0) - \phi_j(0) \le -\underline{m}, \quad \forall i \in J. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From (A2) and the definition of $\widetilde{K}_M$, if $\psi \ge_M \phi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(\psi) &- F_i(\phi) + \mu(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) \\ &= (\mu - a_i)(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(f_j(\psi_j(-r_j)) - f_j(\phi_j(-r_j))) \\ &\ge (\mu - a_i)(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij}L_j(\psi_j(-r_j) - \phi_j(-r_j)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} a_{ij}L_j(\psi_j(-r_j) - \phi_j(-r_j)) \\ &\ge (\mu - a_i)(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij}L_j e^{\mu r_j}(\psi_j(0) - \phi_j(0)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} a_{ij}L_j e^{\mu r_j}(\psi_j(0) - \phi_j(0)) \\ &\ge \left(\mu - \beta\frac{\overline{m}}{\underline{m}} - n\alpha L e^{\mu r}\frac{\overline{m}}{\underline{m}}\right)\underline{m}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $i \in I$. By a similar argument we have

$$F_i(\psi) - F_i(\phi) + \mu(\psi_i(0) - \phi_i(0)) \le \left(\mu - \beta\frac{\overline{m}}{\underline{m}} - n\alpha L e^{\mu r}\frac{\overline{m}}{\underline{m}}\right)(-\underline{m}) \tag{3.5}$$

for all $i \in J$. Let $H = \beta\overline{m}/\underline{m}$ and let $G = n\alpha L\overline{m}/\underline{m}$, and define $g(\mu) = \mu - H - Ge^{\mu r}$. If $r = 0$, we have $g(\mu) \ge 0$ for $\mu \ge H + G$. If $r > 0$ and $Ge^{Hr}r < 1/e$, we deduce that $g(\mu)$ reaches its positive maximum value at $\mu = H + (1/r)\ln(1/Ge^{Hr}r) > 0$. Thus, there exists a positive constant μ such that (WQM) holds; the conclusion can be obtained by Remark 2.5. □

For the case of the external input functions I_i being periodic functions, we have following result.

Theorem 3.2. *For any periodic external input function* $I(t) = (I_1(t), \ldots, I_n(t))$, $I_i(t+\omega) = I_i(t)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, (3.1) *admits a unique periodic solution* $x^*(t)$ *and all other solutions which come from the initial value* $\phi \geq_K 0$ *with* $\phi(0)$ *being bounded converge to it as* $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $x(t) = x(t, \phi)$ be the solution of (3.1) for $t \geq 0$ with $x(s) = \phi(s)$ for $s \in [-r, 0]$. From the properties of the solution semiflow we have

$$x(t+\omega) = x(t+\omega, \phi) = x(t, x(\omega, \phi)). \tag{3.6}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know that there exists a type-K monotone matrix such that (WQM) holds; Theorem 2.4 tells us that every orbit of (3.1) is convergent to a same equilibrium, denoted by ϕ^*, and then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x(n\omega, \phi) = \phi^*. \tag{3.7}$$

We have, therefore,

$$x(\omega, \phi^*) = x\left(\omega, \lim_{n\to\infty} x(n\omega, \phi)\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} x(\omega, x(n\omega, \phi)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} x((n+1)\omega, \phi) = \phi^*. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.6) and (3.8) we deduce that

$$x(t+\omega, \phi^*) = x(t, x(\omega, \phi^*)) = x(t, \phi^*). \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, $x(t, \phi^*) =: x^*(t)$ is a unique periodic solution of (3.1). Using the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 again, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t, \phi) = \lim_{t\to\infty} x(t, x(t, \phi)) = \lim_{t\to\infty} x(t, \phi^*). \tag{3.10}$$

Since $x^*(t)$ is a periodic solution, the proof is complete. □

Remark 3.3. Neural networks have important applications, such as to content-addressable memory [22], shortest path problem [23], and sorting problem [24]. Generally, the monotonicity is always assumed. Here, we relax the monotone condition, and hence neural networks have more extensive applications.

Acknowledgments

This paper is supported by NSF of China under Grant 10926091 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

References

[1] E. Kamke, "Zur Theorie der Systeme gewöhnlicher Differentialgleichungen. II," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 57–85, 1932.

[2] M. Müller, "Uber das fundamenthaltheorem in der theorie der gewohnlichen differentialgleichungen," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 26, pp. 619–645, 1926.

[3] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.

[4] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *The Operator of Translation Along Trajectories of Differential Equations*, vol. 19 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1968.

[5] H. Matano, "Existence of nontrivial unstable sets for equilibriums of strongly order-preserving systems," *Journal of the Faculty of Science IA*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 645–673, 1984.

[6] H. L. Smith, *Monotone Dynamics Systems: An Introduction to the Theory of Competitive and Cooperative Systems*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1995.

[7] H. I. Freedman and X.-Q. Zhao, "Global asymptotics in some quasimonotone reaction-diffusion systems with delays," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 340–362, 1997.

[8] M. Gyllenberg and Y. Wang, "Dynamics of the periodic type-K competitive Kolmogorov systems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 205, no. 1, pp. 50–76, 2004.

[9] X. Liang and J. Jiang, "On the finite-dimensional dynamical systems with limited competition," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 354, no. 9, pp. 3535–3554, 2002.

[10] X. Liang and J. Jiang, "The classification of the dynamical behavior of 3-dimensional type-K monotone Lotka-Volterra systems," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 749–763, 2002.

[11] X. Liang and J. Jiang, "The dynamical behaviour of type-K competitive Kolmogorov systems and its application to three-dimensional type-K competitive Lotka-Volterra systems," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 785–801, 2003.

[12] X. Liang and J. Jiang, "Discrete infinite-dimensional type-K monotone dynamical systems and time-periodic reaction-diffusion systems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 189, no. 1, pp. 318–354, 2003.

[13] R. H. Martin Jr. and H. L. Smith, "Reaction-diffusion systems with time delays: monotonicity, invariance, comparison and convergence," *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 413, pp. 1–35, 1991.

[14] H. L. Smith, "Competing subcommunities of mutualists and a generalized Kamke theorem," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 856–874, 1986.

[15] C. Tu and J. Jiang, "The coexistence of a community of species with limited competition," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 217, no. 1, pp. 233–245, 1998.

[16] C. Tu and J. Jiang, "Global stability and permanence for a class of type-K monotone systems," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 360–378, 1999.

[17] C. Tu and J. Jiang, "The necessary and sufficient conditions for the global stability of type-K Lotka-Volterra system," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 127, no. 11, pp. 3181–3186, 1999.

[18] J. Wu and X.-Q. Zhao, "Diffusive monotonicity and threshold dynamics of delayed reaction diffusion equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 470–484, 2002.

[19] Y. Wang and Y. Wang, "Global dynamics of reaction-diffusion systems with delays," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1027–1033, 2005.

[20] H. Amann, "Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces," *SIAM Review*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 620–709, 1976.

[21] H. Huang, J. Cao, and J. Wang, "Global exponential stability and periodic solutions of recurrent neural networks with delays," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 298, no. 5-6, pp. 393–404, 2002.

[22] S. Grossberg, "Nonlinear neural networks: principles, mechanisms, and architectures," *Neural Networks*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 17–61, 1988.

[23] J. Wang, "A recurrent neural network for solving the shortest path problem," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 482–486, 1996.

[24] J. Wang, "Analysis and design of an analog sorting network," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 962–971, 1995.