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The purpose of this paper is to consider a new scheme by the hybrid extragradient-like method for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a generalized mixed equilibrium problem, the set of solutions of a variational inequality, and the set of fixed points of an infinitely family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Then, we obtain a strong convergence theorem of the iterative sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. Our results extend and improve the results of Issara Inchan (2010) and many others.

1. Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and $A$ be a mapping of $C$ into $H$. We denote by $F(A)$ the set of fixed points of $A$ and by $P_C$ the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$. We also denote by $R$ the set of all real numbers.

Recall the following definitions.

(i) $A$ is called monotone if

\[ \langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (1.1) \]
A is called $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone if there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that
\[
\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha \| Ax - Ay \|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.
\]

(iii) $A$ is called $k$-Lipschitz continuous if there exists a positive constant $k$ such that
\[
\| Ax - Ay \| \leq k \| x - y \|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.
\]

Clearly, every inverse strongly monotone mapping is Lipschitz continuous and monotone.

A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be $\xi$-strictly pseudocontractive if there exists a constant $\xi \in [0, 1)$ such that
\[
\| Tx - Ty \|^2 \leq \| x - y \|^2 + \xi \| (I - T)x - (I - T)y \|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.
\]

It is known that if $T$ is a 0-strictly pseudocontractive mapping, then $T$ is a nonexpansive mapping. So the class of $\xi$-strictly pseudocontractive mappings includes the class of nonexpansive mappings.

Let $\Theta : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. The equilibrium problem for $\Theta$ is to find that $x \in C$ such that
\[
\Theta(x, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

The set of solutions of problem (1.5) is denoted by $EP$.

Given a mapping $A : C \to H$, let $\Theta(x, y) = \langle Ax, y - x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$. Then problem (1.5) reduces to the following classical variational inequality problem of finding $x \in C$ such that
\[
\langle Ax, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

The set of solutions of problem (1.6) is denoted by $VI(C, A)$.

Numerous problems in physics, optimization, saddle point problems, complementarity problems, mechanics, and economics reduce to find a solution of problem (1.5). Many methods have been proposed to solve problem (1.5); see, for instant, [1–3]. In 1997, Combettes and Hirstoaga [4] introduced an iterative scheme of finding the best approximation to initial data when $EP$ is nonempty and proved a strong convergence theorem.

Recently, Peng and Yao [5] introduced the following generalized mixed equilibrium problem of finding $x \in C$ such that
\[
\Theta(x, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) + \langle Bx, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
\]

where $B : C \to H$ is a nonlinear mapping, and $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function. The set of solutions of problem (1.7) is denoted by $GMEP$. 
In the case of $B = 0$ and $\varphi = 0$, then problem (1.7) reduces to problem (1.5). In the case of $\Theta = 0$, $\varphi = 0$, and $B = A$, then problem (1.7) reduces to problem (1.6). In the case of $\varphi = 0$, problem (1.7) reduces to the generalized equilibrium problem. In the case of $B = 0$, problem (1.7) reduces to the following mixed equilibrium problem of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$\Theta(x, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

which was considered by Ceng and Yao [6]. The set of solutions of this problem is denoted by MEP.

The problem (1.7) is very general in the sense that it includes, as special cases, some optimization, variational inequalities, minimax problems, the Nash equilibrium problem in noncooperative games, economics, and others (see, for instance, [6]).

Recently, S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi [7] introduced the following iteration process:

$$x_1 = u \in C,$$
$$\Theta(u_n, y) + \langle Ax_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

and used this iteration process to find a common element of the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping $S$ and the set of solutions of a generalized equilibrium problem in a Hilbert space.

In 2008, Bnouhachem et al. [8] introduced the following new extragradient iterative method. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert $H$, $A$ be an $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone mapping of $C$ into $H$, and let $S$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself such that $F(S) \cap \text{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be given by

$$x_1, u \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary},$$
$$y_n = \text{proj}_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n),$$
$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) S [\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n], \quad n \geq 1,$$

and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\},$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy some parameters controlling conditions. They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common element of $F(S) \cap \text{VI}(C, A)$.

In 2010, Ceng et al. [9] introduced the following hybrid extragradient-like method. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$, $A : C \to H$ be a monotone, $k$-Lipschitz continuous mapping, and let $S : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(S) \cap \text{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\},$ and $\{z_n\}$ be defined by

$$x_0 \in C,$$
$$y_n = (1 - \gamma_n) x_n + \gamma_n \text{proj}_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n),$$
$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) S [\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n]. \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$
\[ z_n = (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)x_n + \alpha_n y_n + \beta_n SP_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ay_n), \]

\[ C_n = \left\{ z \in C : \|z_n - z\|^2 \leq \|x_n - z\|^2 + (3 - 3\gamma_n + \alpha_n)b^2\|Ax_n\|^2 \right\}, \]

\[ Q_n = \{ z \in C, (x_n - z, x_0 - x_n) \geq 0 \}, \]

\[ x_{n+1} = P_{C \cap Q_n}x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \]

\[ (1.11) \]

Under the suitable conditions, they proved the sequences \{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\} converge strongly to the same point \( P_{F(S) \cap \bigcap_i (C, A)}x_0 \).

In 2010, Inchan [10] introduced a new iterative scheme by the hybrid extragradient method in a Hilbert space \( H \) as follows: \( x_0 \in H, C_1 = C \subset H, x_1 = P_Cx_0 \), and let

\[ u_n \in C, \quad \Theta(u_n, y) + \langle Bx_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{\tau_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \]

\[ y_n = P_C(u_n - \lambda_n Au_n), \]

\[ z_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)S(\beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)P_C(u_n - \lambda_n Ay_n)), \]

\[ C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C_n : \|z_n - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\| \}, \]

\[ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \]

where \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, and \{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 1) satisfy some parameters controlling conditions. They proved that \{x_n\} and \{y_n\} strongly converge to the same common element of the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping, the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem, and the set of solutions of the variational inequality for nonexpansive mappings.

Very recently, Wang [11] defined the mapping \( W_n \) as follows:

\[ U_{n,n+1} = I, \]

\[ U_{n,n} = \gamma_n T_n' U_{n,n+1} + (1 - \gamma_n)I, \]

\[ U_{n,n-1} = \gamma_{n-1} T_{n-1}' U_{n,n} + (1 - \gamma_{n-1})I, \]

\[ \vdots \]

\[ U_{n,k} = \gamma_k T_k' U_{n,k+1} + (1 - \gamma_k)I, \]

\[ U_{n,k-1} = \gamma_{k-1} T_{k-1}' U_{n,k} + (1 - \gamma_{k-1})I, \]

\[ \vdots \]

\[ U_{n,2} = \gamma_2 T_2' U_{n,3} + (1 - \gamma_2)I, \]

\[ W_n = U_{n,1} = \gamma_1 T_1' U_{n,2} + (1 - \gamma_1)I, \]

where \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots \) are real numbers such that \( 0 \leq \gamma_n \leq 1, T_i' = \theta_i I + (1 - \theta_i)T_i \), where \( T_i \) is a \( \mu_i \)-strictly pseudocontractive mapping of \( C \) into itself and \( \theta_i \in [\mu_i, 1] \). It follows from [12] that \( T_i' \) is nonexpansive and \( F(T_i) = F(T_i') \). Nonexpansivity of each \( T_i' \) ensures the nonexpansivity of \( W_n \).
Motivated and inspired by the above work, in this paper, we introduced the following new iterative scheme by the extragradient-like method: \( C_1 = C \subset H, x_1 = P_C x_0, \)

\[
u_n \in C \text{ such that } \Theta(u_n, y) + (Bx_n, y - u_n) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad y \in C,
\]

\[
y_n = (1 - s_n)u_n + s_n P_C (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n),
\]

\[
z_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) W_n (\beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) P_C (u_n - \lambda_n A y_n)),
\]

\[
C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \|z_n - z\|^2 \leq \|x_n - z\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|Au_n\|^2 \right\},
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\]

(1.14)

where \( A, B : C \to H \) and \( A \) is a monotone, \( k \)-Lipschitz continuous mapping, and \( B \) is a \( \beta \)-inverse strongly monotone mapping. Then under the suitable conditions, we derive some strong convergence results.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space \( H \), for any \( x \in H \), and there exists a unique nearest point in \( C \), denoted by \( P_C x \) such that

\[
\| x - P_C x \| \leq \| x - y \|, \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

The projection operator \( P_C : H \to C \) is nonexpansive. Moreover, \( P_C x \) is characterized by the following properties: for every \( x \in H \) and \( y \in C, \)

\[
\| x - y \|^2 \geq \| x - P_C x \|^2 + \| y - P_C x \|^2,
\]

(2.2)

\[
\langle x - P_C x, y - P_C x \rangle \leq 0.
\]

(2.3)

Suppose that \( A \) is monotone and continuous. Then the solutions of the variational inequality \( VI(C, A) \) can be characterized as solutions of the so-called Minty variational inequality:

\[
x^* \in VI(C, A) \iff \langle Ax, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C.
\]

(2.4)

In what follows, we shall make use of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space. Then for any \( x, y \in H \), we have

- (i) \( \| x \pm y \|^2 = \| x \|^2 \pm 2 \langle x, y \rangle + \| y \|^2, \)
- (ii) \( \|tx + (1 - t)y\|^2 = t\| x \|^2 + (1 - t)\| y \|^2 - t(1 - t)\|x - y\|^2, \) for all \( t \in [0, 1]. \)

We denote by \( N_C(v) \) the normal cone for \( C \) at a point \( v \in C \), that is \( N_C(v) := \{ x^* \in E^* : \langle v - y, x^* \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in C \} \). In the following, we shall use the following Lemma.
Lemma 2.2 (see [13]). Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space \( E \), and let \( A \) be a monotone and hemicontinuous operator of \( C \). Let \( T \subset E \times E^* \) be an operator defined as follows:

\[
Tv = \begin{cases} 
  Av + N_C(v), & v \in C, \\
  \emptyset, & v \notin C.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.5)

Then \( T \) is maximal monotone, and \( T^{-1}0 = VI(C, A) \).

Lemma 2.3 (see [14]). Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space \( E \). Let \( T_1', T_2', \ldots \) be nonexpansive mappings of \( C \) into itself such that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i') \neq \emptyset \) and \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots \) be real numbers such that \( 0 < \gamma_i \leq b < 1 \) for every \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \). Then for any \( x \in C \) and \( k \in N \), the limit \( \lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,k} \) exists.

Using Lemma 2.3, define the mapping \( W \) of \( C \) into itself as follows:

\[
Wx := \lim_{n \to \infty} W_n x = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,1} x, \quad x \in C.
\]  

(2.6)

Such a mapping \( W \) is called the modified \( W \) mapping generalized by \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots \), and \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots \).

Lemma 2.4 (see [14]). Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space \( E \). Let \( T_1', T_2', \ldots \) be nonexpansive mappings of \( C \) into itself such that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i') \neq \emptyset \) and \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots \) be real numbers such that \( 0 < \gamma_i \leq b < 1 \) for every \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \). Then \( W \) is a nonexpansive mapping satisfying that \( F(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i') \).

Lemma 2.5 (see [15]). Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space \( E \). Let \( T_1', T_2', \ldots \) be nonexpansive mappings of \( C \) into itself such that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i') \neq \emptyset \) and \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots \) be real numbers such that \( 0 < \gamma_i \leq b < 1 \) for every \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \). If \( K \) is any bounded subset of \( C \), then

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty, x \in K} \|Wx - W_n x\| = 0.
\]  

(2.7)

For solving the equilibrium problem, let us assume that \( \Theta \) satisfies the following conditions:

(H1) \( \Theta(x, x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in C \),

(H2) \( \Theta \) is monotone, that is, \( \Theta(x, y) + \Theta(y, x) \leq 0 \) for all \( x, y \in C \),

(H3) for each \( y \in C, x \mapsto \Theta(x, y) \) is weakly upper semicontinuous,

(H4) for each \( x \in C, y \mapsto \Theta(x, y) \) is convex and lower semicontinuous,

(A1) for each \( x \in H \) and \( r > 0 \), there exists a bounded subset \( D_x \subset C \) and \( y_x \in C \) such that for any \( z \in C \setminus D_x \),

\[
\Theta(z, y_x) + \varphi(y_x) - \varphi(z) + \frac{1}{r}(y_x - z, z - x) < 0,
\]  

(2.8)

(A2) \( C \) is a bounded set.
Lemma 2.6 (see [6]). Let $C$ be a closed subset of $H$. Let $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function, and $\Theta$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (H1)--(H4). For $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, define a mapping $T_r : H \to C$ as follows:

$$T_r(x) = \left\{ z \in C : \Theta(z, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

(2.9)

for all $x \in H$. Assume that either (A1) or (A2) holds. Then the following results hold:

1. $T_r(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in H$, and $T_r$ is single valued,
2. $T_r$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is, for all $x, y \in H$, $\|T_r x - T_r y\|^2 \leq \langle T_r x - T_r y, x - y \rangle$,
3. $F(T_r) = \text{MEP}$,
4. MEP is closed and convex.

3. Strong Convergence Theorems

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $\Theta$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (H1)--(H4) and $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function with (A1) or (A2). Let $A : C \to H$ be a monotone, $k$-Lipschitz continuous mapping and $B : C \to H$ be a $\beta$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping. Let $T_i : C \to C$ be a $\mu_i$-strictly pseudocontractive mapping with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i} \text{VI}(C, A) \cap \text{G MEP} \neq \emptyset$ and $\{y_i\}$ be a real sequence such that $0 < \gamma_i \leq b < 1$, for all $i \geq 1$. Assume that the control sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{s_n\} \subset [0, 1], \{r_n\} \subset (0, 2\beta), \text{ and } \{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 1/2k)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1, \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$,
(ii) $0 < a \leq \lambda_n \leq b < 1/2k, 0 < d \leq r_n \leq c < 2\beta$,
(iii) $s_n \to 1(n \to \infty)$ and $s_n > 3/4$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.14) converges strongly to $P_F x_0$.

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1 ($\{x_n\}$ is well defined). Indeed, for any $q \in F$. Put $t_n = P_C(u_n - \lambda_n A y_n)$. Since $u_n = T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n B x_n)$, $q = T_{r_n}(q - r_n B q)$, and $B$ is $\beta$-inverse-strongly monotone and $r_n \in [0, 2\beta]$, for any $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\|u_n - q\|^2 = \|T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n B x_n) - T_{r_n}(q - r_n B q)\|^2 \leq \|x_n - r_n B x_n - (q - r_n B q)\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - 2r_n \langle B x_n - B q, x_n - q \rangle + r_n^2 \|B x_n - B q\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 + r_n (r_n - 2\beta) \|B x_n - B q\|^2 \leq \|x_n - q\|^2.$$

(3.1)
It follows from (2.2) and (2.4) that

\[
\|t_n - q\|^2 \leq \|u_n - \lambda_n Ay_n - q\|^2 - \|u_n - \lambda_n Ay_n - t_n\|^2
\]

\[
= \|u_n - q\|^2 - \|u_n - t_n\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle Ay_n, t_n - q \rangle
\]

\[
= \|u_n - q\|^2 - \|u_n - y_n\|^2 - \|y_n - t_n\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle Ay_n, y_n - q \rangle
\]

\[
- 2\langle u_n - y_n, y_n - t_n \rangle + 2\lambda_n \langle Ay_n, y_n - t_n \rangle
\]

\[
\leq \|u_n - q\|^2 - \|u_n - y_n\|^2 - \|y_n - t_n\|^2 + 2\langle u_n - y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n, t_n - y_n \rangle.
\]

In addition, we have

\[
\langle u_n - y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n, t_n - y_n \rangle = \langle u_n - y_n - \lambda_n Ay_n, t_n - y_n \rangle + \lambda_n \langle Au_n - Ay_n, t_n - y_n \rangle
\]

\[
\leq s_n \langle u_n - \lambda_n Au_n - P_C (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n), t_n - y_n \rangle
\]

\[
+ \lambda_n (s_n - 1) \langle Au_n, t_n - y_n \rangle + \lambda_n k \|u_n - y_n\| \|t_n - y_n\|,
\]

and by (2.3), we obtain

\[
\langle u_n - \lambda_n Au_n - P_C (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n), t_n - y_n \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle u_n - \lambda_n Au_n - P_C (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n), (1 - s_n) (t_n - u_n) + s_n (t_n - P_C (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n)) \rangle
\]

\[
\leq (1 - s_n) \lambda_n \|Au_n\| (\|t_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - u_n\|)
\]

\[
+ s_n \langle u_n - \lambda_n Au_n - P_C (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n), t_n - P_C (u_n - \lambda_n Au_n) \rangle
\]

\[
\leq (1 - s_n) \lambda_n \|Au_n\| (\|t_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - u_n\|).
\]

It follows from (3.2)–(3.4) that

\[
\|t_n - q\|^2 \leq \|u_n - q\|^2 - \|u_n - y_n\|^2 - \|y_n - t_n\|^2 + 2s_n (1 - s_n) \lambda_n \|Au_n\| (\|t_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - u_n\|)
\]

\[
+ 2\lambda_n (1 - s_n) \|Au_n\| \|t_n - y_n\| + 2\lambda_n k \|u_n - y_n\| \|t_n - y_n\|
\]

\[
\leq \|u_n - q\|^2 - \|u_n - y_n\|^2 - \|y_n - t_n\|^2
\]

\[
+ s_n (1 - s_n) \left[ 2b^2 \|Au_n\|^2 + \|t_n - y_n\|^2 + \|y_n - u_n\|^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ (1 - s_n) \left[ 2b^2 \|Au_n\|^2 + \|t_n - y_n\|^2 + \|y_n - u_n\|^2 \right]
\]

\[
= \|u_n - q\|^2 - (s_n - bk) \|u_n - y_n\|^2 - (2s_n - 1 - kb) \|t_n - y_n\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|Au_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|u_n - q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|Au_n\|^2.
\]
Setting \( w_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) t_n \). Therefore, from (1.14), (3.1), and (3.5), we get the following:

\[
\| z_n - q \|^2 \leq \alpha_n \| x_n - q \|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \| W_n w_n - q \|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \| x_n - q \|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \| w_n - q \|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \| x_n - q \|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n \| x_n - q \|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) \| t_n - q \|^2 \\
\leq (\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)) \| x_n - q \|^2 + 3(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) b^2 \| Au_n \|^2 \\
\leq \| x_n - q \|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \| Au_n \|^2.
\]

(3.6)

So, \( q \in C_n \) and hence \( F \subseteq C_n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). It is easy to see that \( C_n \) is closed and convex for all \( n \geq 1 \). This implies that \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{u_n\} \) are well defined.

**Step 2 \((\{x_n\}\) is a Cauchy sequence).** It is easy to see that \( F \) is closed and convex. From \( x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n \) and \( x_n = P_{C_n} x_0 \), for any \( q \in F \), we have

\[
\| x_n - x_0 \| \leq \| x_{n+1} - x_0 \| \leq \| q - x_0 \|. 
\]

(3.7)

So, \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded, and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - x_0 \| \) exists. So it follows from (3.1), (3.6), and the continuity of \( A \) that \( \{u_n\}, \{z_n\}, \) and \( \{Au_n\} \) are bounded. By the construction of \( C_n \), we have \( C_m \subseteq C_n \) and \( x_m = P_{C_m} x_0 \in C_n \) for any positive integer \( m \geq n \). So from (2.2), we have

\[
\| x_m - x_n \|^2 \leq \| x_m - x_0 \|^2 - \| x_n - x_0 \|^2.
\]

(3.8)

Letting \( m, n \to \infty \) in (3.8), we have \( \| x_m - x_n \| \to 0 \), which implies that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. So there exists \( z \in C \) such that \( x_n \to z \) (\( n \to \infty \)).

**Step 3 \((\lim_{n \to \infty} \| w_n - W w_n \| = 0 \))**. From (3.8), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - x_{n+1} \| = 0.
\]

(3.9)

Since \( x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \), by (3.9) and condition (iii), we obtain that

\[
\| z_n - x_{n+1} \| \leq \| x_n - x_{n+1} \| + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \| Au_n \|^2 \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).
\]

(3.10)

So

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| z_n - x_n \| = 0.
\]

(3.11)

Since

\[
\| z_n - x_n \| = (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_n - W_n w_n \|,
\]

(3.12)

from (3.11) and condition (i), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - W_n w_n \| = 0.
\]

(3.13)
For any $q \in F$, from (3.1) and (3.5), we obtain that

$$\|w_n - q\|^2 \leq \beta_n\|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)\|t_n - q\|^2$$

$$\leq \beta_n\|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n)b^2\|A u_n\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n)r_n(2\beta - r_n)\|B x_n - B q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n)b^2\|A u_n\|^2. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|z_n - q\|^2 \leq \alpha_n\|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|w_n - q\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)r_n(2\beta - r_n)\|B x_n - B q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n)b^2\|A u_n\|^2,$$\tag{3.15}

which implies that

$$(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)r_n(2\beta - r_n)\|B x_n - B q\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - \|z_n - q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n)b^2\|A u_n\|^2$$

$$\leq \|z_n - x_n\|(\|z_n - q\| + \|x_n - q\|) + 3(1 - s_n)b^2\|A u_n\|^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Combining the above inequality, (3.11) and conditions (i)–(iii), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|B x_n - B q\| = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\|u_n - q\|^2 = \|T_{r_n}(x_n - r_nB x_n) - T_{r_n}(q - r_nB q)\|^2$$

$$\leq \langle x_n - r_nB x_n - (q - r_nB q), u_n - q \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \|x_n - r_nB x_n - (q - r_nB q)\|^2 + \|u_n - q\|^2 - \|x_n - r_nB x_n - (q - r_nB q) - (u_n - q)\|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|x_n - q\|^2 + \|u_n - q\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2r_n\langle x_n - u_n, B x_n - B q \rangle - r_n^2\|B x_n - B q\|^2 \right). \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_n - q\|^2 \leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2r_n\langle x_n - u_n, B x_n - B q \rangle - r_n^2\|B x_n - B q\|^2. \tag{3.19}$$
By (3.5) and (3.19), we have

\[
\|z_n - q\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|w_n - q\|^2
\]
\[
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \beta_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|t_n - q\|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \beta_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|A u_n\|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2r_n(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) \langle x_n - u_n, B x_n - B q \rangle
\]
\[
- (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) r_n^2 \|B x_n - B q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|A u_n\|^2.
\]

(3.20)

It follows from (3.20) that

\[
(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - u_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - \|z_n - q\|^2 + 2r_n(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) \langle x_n - u_n, B x_n - B q \rangle
\]
\[
- (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) r_n^2 \|B x_n - B q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|A u_n\|^2
\]
\[
\|z_n - x_n\| \left( \|z_n - q\| + \|x_n - q\| \right) + 2e \|x_n - u_n\| \|B x_n - B q\|
\]
\[
e^2 \|B x_n - B q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|A u_n\|^2.
\]

(3.21)

Therefore, from (3.11), (3.17), (3.21), and conditions (i), (iii),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0,
\]

(3.22)

which implies that \(u_n \to z(n \to \infty)\). And from (3.1), (3.5), and (3.6), we have

\[
\|z_n - q\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) \|t_n - q\|^2
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) \|s_n - bk\| \|u_n - y_n\|^2
\]
\[
- (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)(2s_n - 1 - kb) \|t_n - y_n\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|A u_n\|^2.
\]

(3.23)

Thus it follows that

\[
(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)(s_n - bk) \|u_n - y_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)(2s_n - 1 - kb) \|t_n - y_n\|^2
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - \|z_n - q\|^2 + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|A u_n\|^2
\]
\[
\leq \|z_n - x_n\| \left( \|z_n - q\| + \|x_n - q\| \right) + 3(1 - s_n) b^2 \|A u_n\|^2.
\]

(3.24)

Therefore, from (3.11), (3.24) and conditions (i)–(iii), we obtain \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - y_n\| = 0\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|t_n - y_n\| = 0\). Furthermore, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|t_n - u_n\| = 0,
\]

(3.25)
which implies that $t_n \to z(n \to \infty)$. It follows from (3.22) and (3.25) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|t_n - x_n\| = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.26)

Note that $w_n - x_n = (1 - \beta_n)(t_n - x_n)$, so by (3.26) and condition (i), we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|w_n - x_n\| = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.27)

which implies that $w_n \to z(n \to \infty)$. Note that

$$\|w_n - Ww_n\| \leq \|w_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - W_n w_n\| + \|W_n w_n - Ww_n\|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.28)

Therefore, by (3.13), (3.27), (3.28) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|w_n - Ww_n\| = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.29)

**Step 4 ($z \in F$).** Since $w_n \to z(n \to \infty)$ and $W$ is nonexpansive, by (3.29), we have

$$\|z - Wz\| \leq \|z - w_n\| + \|w_n - Ww_n\| + \|Ww_n - Wz\| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.30)

So $z = Wz$, that is, $z \in F(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$.

Next we show that $z \in G \text{ MEP}$. Indeed, from (H2) and (1.14), we get

$$\langle Bx_n, y - u_n \rangle + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq \Theta(y, u_n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.31)

Put $y_t = ty + (1 - t)z$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $y \in C$. So $y_t \in C$. By (3.31), we have

$$\langle By_t, y_t - u_n \rangle \geq \langle By_t, y_t - u_n \rangle - \langle Bx_n, y_t - u_n \rangle - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(u_n) - \left( y_t - u_n, \frac{u_n - x_n}{r_n} \right) + \Theta(y_t, u_n)$$

$$= \langle By_t - Bu_n, y_t - u_n \rangle + \langle Bu_n - Bx_n, y_t - u_n \rangle$$

$$- \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(u_n) - \left( y_t - u_n, \frac{u_n - x_n}{r_n} \right) + \Theta(y_t, u_n)$$

$$\geq -\|Bu_n - Bx_n\| \|y_t - u_n\| - \varphi(y_t) + \varphi(u_n) - \|y_t - u_n\| \left( \frac{u_n - x_n}{r_n} \right) + \Theta(y_t, u_n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.32)

Let $n \to \infty$ in (3.32), since $B$ is nonexpansive and $\varphi$ is lower semicontinuous, by (3.22), condition (ii) and (H4), we have

$$\langle By_t, y_t - z \rangle \geq -\varphi(y_t) + \varphi(z) + \Theta(y_t, z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.33)
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So, from (H1), (H4), and the above inequality, we obtain

\[
0 = \Theta(y_t, y_t) + \varphi(y_t) - \varphi(y_t) \\
\leq t\Theta(y_t, y) + (1-t)\Theta(y_t, z) + t\varphi(y) + (1-t)\varphi(z) - \varphi(y) \\
= t(\Theta(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)) + (1-t)(\Theta(y_t, z) + \varphi(z) - \varphi(y)) \\
\leq t(\Theta(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t)) + (1-t)(By_t, y - z),
\]

that is,

\[
\Theta(y_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_t) + (1-t)(By_t, y - z) \geq 0.
\]  \hfill (3.34)

Letting \( t \to 0 \) in the above inequality, we obtain for each \( y \in C \),

\[
\Theta(z, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z) + (Bz, y - z) \geq 0.
\]  \hfill (3.35)

This implies that \( z \in G \text{ MEP} \).

Finally, we show that \( z \in \text{VI}(C, A) \). Define a mapping \( T \) as Lemma 2.2. Let \( (v, u) \in G(T) \). Since \( u - Av \in N_C v \) and \( t_n \in C \), we have \( \langle v - t_n, u - Av \rangle \geq 0 \). Since \( t_n = P_C(u_n - \lambda_n Ay_n) \), we have

\[
\langle v - t_n, t_n - (u_n - \lambda_n Ay_n) \rangle \geq 0,
\]  \hfill (3.37)

and hence

\[
\langle v - t_n, u \rangle \geq \langle v - t_n, Av \rangle \\
\geq \langle v - t_n, Av \rangle - \left( v - t_n, \frac{t_n - u_n}{\lambda_n} + Ay_n \right) \\
= \left( v - t_n, Av - Ay_n - \frac{t_n - u_n}{\lambda_n} \right) \\
= \langle v - t_n, Av - At_n \rangle + \langle v - t_n, At_n - Ay_n \rangle - \left( v - t_n, \frac{t_n - u_n}{\lambda_n} \right) \\
\geq -\|v - t_n\|\|At_n - Ay_n\| - \|v - t_n\|\frac{t_n - u_n}{\lambda_n}.
\]  \hfill (3.38)

Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|t_n - y_n\| = 0 \), and \( A \) is Lipschitz continuous, by (3.25) and condition (ii), we deduce that \( \langle v - z, u \rangle \geq 0 \). Since \( T \) is maximal monotone, we have \( z \in T^{-1}0 \) and so \( z \in \text{VI}(C, A) \). Hence \( z \in F \).

**Step 5** \((z = P_Fx_0)\). Put \( z^* = P_Fx_0 \). Since \( x_n = P_{C_n}x_0 \), \( z \in F \) and the norm is lower semicontinuous, we have

\[
\|z^* - x_0\| \leq \|z - x_0\| \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| = \|z^* - x_0\|,
\]  \hfill (3.39)
that is, \( \| z^* - x_0 \| = \| z - x_0 \| \). Hence \( z = z^* = P_F x_0 \), since \( z^* \) is the unique element in \( F \) that minimizes the distance from \( x_0 \).

Thus, \( \{ x_n \} \) converges strongly to \( P_F x_0 \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.2.** Theorem 3.1 mainly improves the results of Inchan [10]. To be more precise, Theorem 3.1 improves and extends Theorem 3.1 of [10] from the following several aspects:

(i) from a single nonexpansive mapping to an infinite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings,

(ii) from generalized equilibrium problems to generalized mixed equilibrium problems,

(iii) from hybrid extragradient methods to hybrid extragradient-like methods,

(iv) the condition of \( A \) relaxes to monotone, Lipschitz continuous.

**4. Application**

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \). Let \( \Theta \) be a bifunction from \( C \times C \) into \( R \) satisfying (H1)–(H4) and \( \varphi : C \to R \) be a lower semicontinuous and convex function with (A1) or (A2). Let \( A : C \to H \) be a monotone, \( k \)-Lipschitz continuous mapping and \( T : C \to C \) be a \( \xi \)-strictly pseudocontractive mapping. Let \( T_i : C \to C \) be a \( \mu_i \)-strictly pseudocontractive mapping with \( F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \text{VI}(C, A) \cap G \text{ MEP} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \{ \gamma_i \} \) be a real sequence such that \( 0 < \gamma_i \leq b < 1 \), for all \( i \geq 1 \). Let the sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) be generated \( C_1 = C \subset H \), \( x_1 = P_C x_0 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
&u_n \in C \text{ such that } \Theta(u_n, y) + \langle (I - T)x_n, y - u_n \rangle \\
&\quad + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad y \in C, \\
&\quad y_n = P_C(u_n - \lambda_n Au_n), \\
&z_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) W_n (\beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) P_C(u_n - \lambda_n A y_n)), \\
&\quad C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C_n : \| z_n - z \| \leq \| x_n - z \| \}, \\
&\quad x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Assume that the control sequence \( \{ \alpha_n \} \), \( \{ \beta_n \} \subset [0, 1] \), \( \{ r_n \} \subset (0, 1 - \xi) \) and \( \{ \lambda_n \} \subset (0, 1/2k) \) satisfy the following conditions:

(i) \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1 \), \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1 \),

(ii) \( 0 < a \leq \lambda_n \leq b < 1/2k \), \( 0 < d \leq r_n \leq e < 1 - \xi \).

Then \( \{ x_n \} \) converges strongly to \( P_F x_0 \).

**Proof.** A \( \xi \)-strictly pseudocontractive mapping is \( (1 - \xi)/2 \)-inverse-strongly monotone. Then taking \( s_n = 1 \), for all \( n \geq 1 \) in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the conclusion.

\[ \square \]
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