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We have presented a new unified approach to model the dynamics of both the sum and difference of two correlated lognormal stochastic variables. By the Lie-Trotter operator splitting method, both the sum and difference are shown to follow a shifted lognormal stochastic process, and approximate probability distributions are determined in closed form. Illustrative numerical examples are presented to demonstrate the validity and accuracy of these approximate distributions. In terms of the approximate probability distributions, we have also obtained an analytical series expansion of the exact solutions, which can allow us to improve the approximation in a systematic manner. Moreover, we believe that this new approach can be extended to study both (1) the algebraic sum of $N$ lognormals, and (2) the sum and difference of other correlated stochastic processes, for example, two correlated CEV processes, two correlated CIR processes, and two correlated lognormal processes with mean-reversion.

1. Introduction

“Given two correlated lognormal stochastic variables, what is the stochastic dynamics of the sum or difference of the two variables?”; or equivalently “What is the probability distribution of the sum or difference of two correlated lognormal stochastic variables?” The solution to this long-standing problem has wide applications in many fields such as telecommunication studies [1–6], financial modelling [7–9], actuarial science [10–12], biosciences [13], physics [14], and so forth. Although the lognormal distribution is well known in the literature [15, 16], yet almost nothing is known of the probability distribution of the sum or difference of two correlated lognormal variables. However, it is commonly agreed that the distribution of either the sum or difference is neither normal nor lognormal.
The aforesaid problem can be formulated as follows. Given two lognormal stochastic variables $S_1$ and $S_2$ obeying the following stochastic differential equations:

$$\frac{dS_i}{S_i} = \sigma_i dZ_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $\sigma_i^2 = \text{Var} \left( \ln S_i \right)$, $dZ_i$ denotes a standard Weiner process associated with $S_i$, and the two Weiner processes are correlated as $dZ_1 dZ_2 = \rho dt$, the time evolution of the joint probability distribution function $P(S_1, S_2; t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0)$ of the two correlated lognormal variables is governed by the backward Kolmogorov equation

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L} \right\} P(S_1, S_2; t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t > t_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 S_{10} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_{10}^2} + \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 S_{10} S_{20} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_{10} \partial S_{20}} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 S_{20} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_{20}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

subject to the boundary condition

$$P(S_1, S_2; t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0 \rightarrow t) = \delta(S_1 - S_{10}) \delta(S_2 - S_{20}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

This joint probability distribution function tells us how probable the two lognormal variables assume the values $S_1$ and $S_2$ at time $t > t_0$, provided that their values at $t_0$ are given by $S_{10}$ and $S_{20}$. Since $P(S_1, S_2; t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0)$ is known in closed form as follows:

$$P(S_1, S_2; t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\left[ \ln S_1 - \ln S_{10} + (1/2)\sigma_1^2 (t - t_0) \right]^2}{2\sigma_1^2 (1 - \rho^2) (t - t_0)} \right\} \times \exp \left\{ \frac{\rho \left[ \ln S_1 - \ln S_{10} + (1/2)\sigma_1^2 (t - t_0) \right] \left[ \ln S_2 - \ln S_{20} + (1/2)\sigma_2^2 (t - t_0) \right]}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 (1 - \rho^2) (t - t_0)} \right\} \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{\left[ \ln S_2 - \ln S_{20} + (1/2)\sigma_2^2 (t - t_0) \right]^2}{2\sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2) (t - t_0)} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

the probability distribution of the sum or difference, namely $S^\pm \equiv S_1 \pm S_2$, of the two correlated lognormal variables can be obtained by evaluating the integral

$$\bar{P}_\pm(S^\pm; t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0) = \int_0^\infty dS_1 dS_2 P(S_1, S_2; t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0) \delta(S_1 \pm S_2 - S^\pm).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)
Despite that many methods have been developed to address the problem, a closed-form representation for the probability distribution of the sum or difference is still missing. Hence, we must resort to numerical methods to perform the integration. Nevertheless, the numerically exact solution does not provide any information about the stochastic dynamics of the sum or difference explicitly.

In the lack of knowledge about the probability distribution of the sum or difference of two correlated lognormal variables, several analytical approximation methods which focus on finding a good approximation for the desired probability distribution have been proposed in the literature [1–6, 8, 17–27]. Essentially, these analytical approximations assume a specific distribution that the sum or difference of the two correlated lognormal variables follow, and then use a variety of methods to identify the parameters for that specific distribution. However, no mathematical justification for the specific distribution was apparently given. In spite of this shortcoming, these approximations attract considerable attention and have been extended to tackle the algebraic sums of $N$ correlated lognormal variables, too.

In this communication, we apply the Lie-Trotter operator splitting method [28] to derive an approximation for the dynamics of the sum or difference of two correlated lognormal variables. It is shown that both the sum and difference can be described by a shifted lognormal stochastic process. Approximate probability distributions of both the sum and difference of the lognormal variables are determined in closed form, and illustrative numerical examples are presented to demonstrate the accuracy of these approximate distributions. Unlike previous studies which treat the sum and difference in a separate manner, our proposed method thus provides a new unified approach to model the dynamics of both the sum and difference of two correlated lognormal stochastic variables. In addition, in terms of the approximate solutions, we are able to obtain an analytical series expansion of the exact solutions, which can allow us to improve the approximation systematically. Moreover, we believe that this new approach can be extended to study both (1) the algebraic sum of $N$ lognormals, and (2) the sum and difference of other correlated stochastic processes, for example, two correlated CEV processes, two correlated CIR processes, and two correlated lognormal processes with mean-reversion.

2. Lie-Trotter Operator Splitting Method

It is observed that the probability distribution of the sum or difference of the two correlated lognormal variables, that is, $\mathcal{P}_\pm(S^\pm, t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0)$, also satisfies the same backward Kolmogorov equation given in (1.2), but with a different boundary condition

$$\mathcal{P}_\pm(S^\pm, t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0 \rightarrow t) = \delta(S_{10} \pm S_{20} - S^\pm).$$

To solve for $\mathcal{P}_\pm(S^\pm, t; S_{10}, S_{20}, t_0)$, we first rewrite the backward Kolmogorov equation in terms of the new variables $S_0^\pm \equiv S_{10} \pm S_{20}$ as

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} + \tilde{L}_+ + \tilde{L}_0 + \tilde{L}_- \right\} \mathcal{P}_\pm(S^\pm, t; S_0^+, S_0^-, t_0) = 0,$$
where

\[
\hat{L}_+ = \frac{1}{8} \left[ \sigma_1^2 (S_0^+)^2 + 2 \left( \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2 \right) S_0^+ S_0^- + \sigma_2^2 (S_0^-)^2 \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_0^2}
\]

\[
\hat{L}_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2) (S_0^+)^2 + (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) S_0^+ S_0^- + \sigma_2^2 (S_0^-)^2 \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_0^2 \partial S_0^-}
\]

\[
\hat{L}_- = \frac{1}{8} \left[ \sigma_1^2 (S_0^+)^2 + 2 \left( \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2 \right) S_0^+ S_0^- + \sigma_2^2 (S_0^-)^2 \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_0^-^2}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\pm} = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \pm 2 \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2}.
\]

The corresponding boundary condition now becomes

\[
\overline{P}_\pm(S^\pm, S_0^+; S_0^-, t_0 \rightarrow t) = \delta (S_0^+ - S^\pm). \tag{2.4}
\]

Accordingly, the formal solution of (2.2) is given by

\[
\overline{P}_\pm(S^\pm, S_0^+; S_0^-, t_0) = \exp \left\{ (t - t_0) \left( \hat{L}_+ + \hat{L}_0 + \hat{L}_- \right) \right\} \delta (S_0^+ - S^\pm). \tag{2.5}
\]

Since the exponential operator \( \exp \{ (t - t_0)(\hat{L}_+ + \hat{L}_0 + \hat{L}_-) \} \) is difficult to evaluate, we apply the Lie-Trotter operator splitting method [28] to approximate the operator by (see the appendix)

\[
\hat{O}_{\pm}^{LT} = \exp \left\{ (t - t_0) \hat{L}_+ \right\} \exp \left\{ (t - t_0) \left( \hat{L}_0 + \hat{L}_- \right) \right\}, \tag{2.6}
\]

and obtain an approximation to the formal solution \( \overline{P}_\pm(S^\pm, S_0^+; S_0^-, t_0) \), namely

\[
\overline{P}_\pm^{LT}(S^\pm, S_0^+; S_0^-, t_0) = \hat{O}_{\pm}^{LT} \delta (S_0^+ - S^\pm) = \exp \left\{ (t - t_0) \hat{L}_+ \right\} \delta (S_0^+ - S^\pm), \tag{2.7}
\]

where the relation \( \exp \{ (t - t_0)(\hat{L}_0 + \hat{L}_-) \} \delta (S_0^+ - S^\pm) = \delta (S_0^+ - S^\pm) \) is utilized. For \( (S_0^- / S_0^+)^2 \ll 1 \), which is normally valid unless \( S_{20} \) and \( S_{20} \) are both close to zero, the operators \( \hat{L}_- \) and \( \hat{L}_+ \) can be approximately expressed as

\[
\hat{L}_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\pm}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_0^2}
\]

in terms of the two new variables:

\[
\tilde{S}_0^+ = S_0^+ + \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) S_0^-,
\]

\[
\tilde{S}_0^- = S_0^- + \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2} \right) S_0^+.
\]
where $\tilde{\sigma}_+ = \sigma_+/2$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_- = (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2)/(2\sigma_-)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$. Obviously, both $\tilde{S}^+$ and $\tilde{S}^-$ are lognormal (LN) random variables defined by the stochastic differential equations

$$d\tilde{S}^\pm = \tilde{\sigma}_\pm \tilde{S}^\pm dZ_\pm,$$

(2.10)

and their closed-form probability distribution functions are given by

$$f_{LN}(\tilde{S}^\pm, t; \tilde{S}^\pm_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{\tilde{S}^\pm \sqrt{2\pi \tilde{\sigma}_\pm^2 (t - t_0)}} \exp \left\{ - \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tilde{S}^\pm}{\tilde{S}^\pm_0} \right) + (1/2) \tilde{\sigma}_\pm^2 (t - t_0) \right]^2 \right\}$$

(2.11)

for $t > t_0$. As a result, it can be inferred that within the Lie-Trotter splitting approximation both $S^+$ and $S^-$ are governed by a shifted lognormal process. It should be noted that for the Lie-Trotter splitting approximation to be valid, $\tilde{\sigma}_\pm^2 (t - t_0)$ needs to be small.

Alternatively, we can also approximate the operator $\hat{L}_-$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_- = \sqrt{(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2) S^+_0}/2$$

and

$$R^-_0 = S^-_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2} \right) S^+_0.$$ 

(2.13)

It is not difficult to recognize that $R^-$ follows the square-root (SR) stochastic process defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dR^- = \tilde{\sigma}_- \sqrt{R^-} dZ_-, $$

(2.14)

and has the closed-form probability distribution function

$$f_{SR}(R^-, t; R^-_0, t_0) = \frac{2}{\tilde{\sigma}_-^2 (t - t_0)} \sqrt{\frac{R^-_0}{R^-}} \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{R^- + R^-_0}{\tilde{\sigma}_-^2 (t - t_0)} \right)^2 \right\} I_1 \left( \frac{4\sqrt{R^-_0 R^-}}{\tilde{\sigma}_-^2 (t - t_0)} \right)$$

(2.15)

for $t > t_0$, where $I_1(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order one. Accordingly, we have shown that within the Lie-Trotter splitting approximation, which requires $\tilde{\sigma}_-^2 (t - t_0)$ to be small, $S^-$ can be described by a shifted square-root process, too.
Moreover, in terms of the approximate solutions $\overline{P}_{\pm}^{LT}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0)$, we can express the exact solutions $\overline{P}_{\pm}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0)$ in the following form:

\[
\overline{P}_{\pm}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0) = \overline{P}_{\pm}^{LT}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} d\xi \exp\{-(\xi - t_0)\overline{L}_+\} \left[\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right] \overline{P}_{\pm}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, \xi) \]
\[
= \left\{1 + \int_{t_0}^{t} d\xi_1 \overline{L}_+(t_0 - \xi_1) + \int_{t_0}^{t} d\xi_1 \int_{\xi_1}^{t} d\xi_2 \overline{L}_+(t_0 - \xi_1) \overline{L}_+(t_0 - \xi_2)\right\} \overline{P}_{\pm}^{LT}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0),
\]

where

\[
\overline{L}_\pm(\tau) = \exp\left\{-\tau \overline{L}_\pm\right\} \left(\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right) \exp\left\{\tau \overline{L}_\pm\right\} = \left(\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right) + \frac{\tau}{1!} \left[\left(\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right), \overline{L}_\pm\right] + \frac{\tau^2}{2!} \left[\left[\left(\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right), \overline{L}_\pm\right], \overline{L}_\pm\right] + \cdots.
\]

The integrals over the temporal variables $\{\xi_i; i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ can be evaluated analytically. If we keep terms up to the order of $(t - t_0)^2$, then $\overline{P}_{\pm}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0)$ can be approximated by

\[
\overline{P}_{\pm}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0) = \overline{P}_{\pm}^{LT}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0) + (t - t_0) \left(\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right) \overline{P}_{\pm}^{LT}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0) + \frac{1}{2} (t - t_0)^2 \left\{\left(\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right)^2 - \left[\left(\overline{L}_0 + \overline{L}_x\right), \overline{L}_\pm\right]\right\} \overline{P}_{\pm}^{LT}(S^+, t; S^+_0, S^-_0, t_0).
\]

This analytical series expansion can allow us to improve the approximate solutions systematically.

### 3. Illustrative Numerical Examples

In Figure 1 we plot the approximate closed-form probability distribution function of the sum $S^+$ given in (2.11) for different values of the input parameters. We start with $S_{10} = 110,$
Figure 1: Probability density versus $S_1 + S_2$: The solid lines denote the distributions of the approximate shifted lognormal process, and the dash lines show the exact results. (a) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 100, \sigma_1 = 0.25,$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (b) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 70, \sigma_1 = 0.25,$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (c) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 40, \sigma_1 = 0.25,$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (d) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 100, \sigma_1 = 0.3,$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$; (e) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 70, \sigma_1 = 0.3,$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$; (f) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 40, \sigma_1 = 0.3,$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$. 
Suppose that one needs to exponentiate an operator \( \hat{C} \) which can be split into two different parts, namely \( \hat{A} \) and \( \hat{B} \). For simplicity, let us assume that \( \hat{C} = \hat{A} + \hat{B} \), where the exponential operator \( \exp(\hat{C}) \) is difficult to evaluate but \( \exp(\hat{A}) \) and \( \exp(\hat{B}) \) are either solvable or easy to deal with. Under such circumstances, the exponential operator \( \exp(\varepsilon \hat{C}) \), with \( \varepsilon \) being a small parameter, can be approximated by the Lie-Trotter splitting formula [28]:

\[
\exp(\varepsilon \hat{C}) = \exp(\varepsilon \hat{A}) \exp(\varepsilon \hat{B}) + O(\varepsilon^2). \tag{A.1}
\]
Figure 2: Error versus $S_1 + S_2$: The error is calculated by subtracting the approximate estimate from the exact result. (a) $S_{10} = 110$, $S_{20} = 100$, $\sigma_1 = 0.25$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (b) $S_{10} = 110$, $S_{20} = 70$, $\sigma_1 = 0.25$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (c) $S_{10} = 110$, $S_{20} = 40$, $\sigma_1 = 0.25$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (d) $S_{10} = 110$, $S_{20} = 100$, $\sigma_1 = 0.3$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$; (e) $S_{10} = 110$, $S_{20} = 70$, $\sigma_1 = 0.3$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$; (f) $S_{10} = 110$, $S_{20} = 40$, $\sigma_1 = 0.3$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$. 

\[ \rho = 0 \quad \rho = 0.5 \quad \rho = -0.5 \]
Figure 3: Probability density versus $S_1 - S_2$: the dash lines denote the distributions of the approximate shifted lognormal process, the dotted lines indicate the distributions of the approximate shifted square-root process, and the solid lines show the exact results. (a) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 100, \sigma_1 = 0.25, \text{ and } \sigma_2 = 0.15$; (b) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 70, \sigma_1 = 0.25, \text{ and } \sigma_2 = 0.15$; (c) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 40, \sigma_1 = 0.25, \text{ and } \sigma_2 = 0.15$; (d) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 100, \sigma_1 = 0.3, \text{ and } \sigma_2 = 0.2$; (e) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 70, \sigma_1 = 0.3, \text{ and } \sigma_2 = 0.2$; (f) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 40, \sigma_1 = 0.3, \text{ and } \sigma_2 = 0.2$. 

\[ \rho = -0.5 \]  
\[ \rho = -0.5 \]  
\[ \rho = 0 \]  
\[ \rho = 0 \]  
\[ \rho = 0.5 \]  
\[ \rho = 0.5 \]
Figure 4: Error versus $S_1 - S_2$; the error is calculated by subtracting the approximate estimate from the exact result. The dash lines denote the errors of the approximate shifted square-root process, and the solid lines show the errors of the approximate shifted lognormal process. (a) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 100, \sigma_1 = 0.25$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (b) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 70, \sigma_1 = 0.25$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (c) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 40, \sigma_1 = 0.25$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.15$; (d) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 100, \sigma_1 = 0.3$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$; (e) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 70, \sigma_1 = 0.3$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$; (f) $S_{10} = 110, S_{20} = 40, \sigma_1 = 0.3$, and $\sigma_2 = 0.2$. 
This can be seen as the approximation to the solution at $t = \varepsilon$ of the equation $d\hat{Y} / dt = (\hat{A} + \hat{B})\hat{Y}$ by a composition of the exact solutions of the equations $d\hat{Y} / dt = A\hat{Y}$ and $d\hat{Y} / dt = B\hat{Y}$ at time $t = \varepsilon$.
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