Research Article

Analysis of Laminated Shells by Murakami's Zig-Zag Theory and Radial Basis Functions Collocation

D. A. Maturi,¹ A. J. M. Ferreira,^{1,2} A. M. Zenkour,^{1,3} and D. S. Mashat¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

² Faculdade de Engenharia da Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt

Correspondence should be addressed to A. J. M. Ferreira; ferreira@fe.up.pt

Received 10 July 2013; Accepted 15 September 2013

Academic Editor: Song Cen

Copyright © 2013 D. A. Maturi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The static and free vibration analysis of laminated shells is performed by radial basis functions collocation, according to Murakami's zig-zag (ZZ) function (MZZF) theory. The MZZF theory accounts for through-the-thickness deformation, by considering a ZZ evolution of the transverse displacement with the thickness coordinate. The equations of motion and the boundary conditions are obtained by Carrera's Unified Formulation and further interpolated by collocation with radial basis functions.

1. Introduction

The efficient load-carrying capabilities of shell structures make them very useful in a variety of engineering applications. The continuous development of new structural materials leads to the ever increasingly complex structural designs that require careful analysis. Although analytical techniques are very important, the use of numerical methods to solve shell mathematical models of complex structures has become an essential ingredient in the design process.

The most common mathematical models used to describe shell structures may be classified into two classes according to different physical assumptions: the Koiter model [1], based on the Kirchhoff hypothesis, and the Naghdi model [2], based on the Reissner-Mindlin assumptions that take into account the transverse shear deformation. But these theories are not adequate to describe the so-called zig-zag (ZZ) effect in sandwich structures or layered composites, due to the discontinuity of mechanical properties between faces and core at the interfaces; see Figure 1 (to trace accurate responses of sandwich structures, see the books by Zenkert [3] and Vinson [4]).

The ZZ effect can be captured by the layerwise theories which typically assume independent degrees of freedom per layer. Unfortunately the computation can be prohibitive. The layerwise theories are reviewed in Burton and Noor

[5], Noor et al. [6], Altenbach [7], Librescu and Hause [8], Vinson [9], and Demasi [10]. In order to overcome the computational cost of the layerwise theories, Murakami [11] proposed a zig-zag function (ZZF) that is able to reproduce the slope discontinuity. Equivalent Single Layer models with only displacement unknowns can be developed on the basis of ZZF. A review of the application of ZZF in plates and shells was presented by Carrera [12–16] and some relevant papers on the analysis of sandwich structures were presented in [17–19].

The most common numerical procedure for the analysis of the shells is the finite element method [20–24]. It is known that the phenomenon of numerical locking may arise from hidden constraints that are not well represented in the finite element approximation and, in the scientific literature, it is possible to find many methods to overcome this problem [25–30]. The present paper, that performs the bending and free vibration analysis of laminated shells by collocation with radial basis functions, avoids the locking phenomenon. A radial basis function, $\phi(\|x - x_j\|)$, is a spline that depends on the Euclidian distance between distinct data centers $x_{j,j} = 1, 2, \dots, N \in \mathbb{R}^n$, also called nodal or collocation points. We use the so-called unsymmetrical Kansa method that was introduced by Kansa [31]. The use of radial basis function for the analysis of structures and materials has been previously studied by numerous authors [32–46]. The

FIGURE 1: Scheme of the zig-zag assumptions for a three-layered laminate.

authors have recently applied the RBF collocation to the static deformations of composite beams and plates [47–49]. One of the authors has already combined Reddy's theory with radial basis functions in [50]. In fact, Reddy's theory is quite efficient for laminated (monolithic) composite plates or shells but not as efficient (or adequate) for sandwich structures because of the very high difference on material properties from the skins and the core. Reddy's theory does not allow the thickness stretching, but our formulation is more general and allows any expansion in the thickness direction. This is where the present paper shows more interest.

In this paper for the first time how the Unified Formulation can be combined with radial basis functions to the analysis of thin and thick laminated shells, using Murakami's zig-zag function, allowing for through-the-thickness deformations, is investigated. The quality of the present method in predicting static deformations and free vibrations of thin and thick laminated shells is compared and discussed with other methods in some numerical examples.

2. Applying the Unified Formulation to MZZF

The Unified Formulation (UF) proposed by Carrera [13, 51–53], also known as CUF, is a powerful framework for the analysis of beams, plates, and shells. This formulation has been applied in several finite element analyses, either by using the Principle of Virtual Displacement, or by using Reissner's mixed variational theorem. The stiffness matrix components, the external force terms, or the inertia terms can be obtained directly with this UF, irrespective of the shear deformation theory being considered.

In this section Carrera's Unified Formulation is briefly reviewed. How to obtain the fundamental nuclei, which allows the derivation of the equations of motion and boundary conditions, in weak form for the finite element analysis and in strong form for the present RBF collocation, is shown.

2.1. The MZZF Theory. Let us consider a sandwich plate (translation to shells becomes evident later in the paper) composed of three perfectly bonded layers, with z being the thickness coordinate of the whole plate while z_k is the layer thickness coordinate; see Figure 1. a and h are length

and thickness of the square laminated plate, respectively. The adimensional layer coordinate $\zeta_k = (2z_k)/h_k$ is further introduced (h_k is the thickness of the k th layer). Murakami's zig-zag function $Z(z)$ was defined according to the following formula [11]:

$$Z(z) = (-1)^k \zeta_z. \quad (1)$$

$Z(z)$ has the following properties.

- (1) It is a piecewise linear function of layer coordinates z_k .
- (2) $Z(z)$ has unit amplitude for the whole layers.
- (3) The slope $Z'(z) = dZ/dz$ assumes opposite sign between two adjacent layers. Its amplitude is layer thickness independent.

A possible FSDT theory has been investigated by Carrera [14] and Demasi [15], ignoring the through-the-thickness deformations:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_0 + zu_1 + (-1)^k \frac{2}{h_k} \left(z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right) u_z, \\ v &= v_0 + zv_1 + (-1)^k \frac{2}{h_k} \left(z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right) v_z, \\ w &= w_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

A refinement of FSDT by inclusion of ZZ effects and transverse normal strains was introduced in Murakami's original ZZF, defined by the following displacement field:

$$u = u_0 + zu_1 + (-1)^k \frac{2}{h_k} \left(z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right) u_z, \quad (3)$$

$$v = v_0 + zv_1 + (-1)^k \frac{2}{h_k} \left(z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right) v_z, \quad (4)$$

$$w = w_0 + zw_1 + (-1)^k \frac{2}{h_k} \left(z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right) w_z, \quad (5)$$

where z_k and z_{k+1} are the bottom and top z -coordinates at each layer. The additional degrees of freedom u_z and v_z have a meaning of displacement, and their amplitude is layer independent.

2.2. Governing Equations and Boundary Conditions in the Framework of Unified Formulation. Shells are bidimensional structures in which one dimension (in general the thickness in z direction) is negligible with respect to the other two in-plane dimensions. Geometry and the reference system are indicated in Figure 3. The square of an infinitesimal linear segment in the layer and the associated infinitesimal area and volume are given by

$$ds_k^2 = H_\alpha^{k2} d\alpha^2 + H_\beta^{k2} d\beta^2 + H_z^{k2} dz^2, \quad (6)$$

$$d\Omega_k = H_\alpha^k H_\beta^k d\alpha d\beta,$$

$$dV = H_\alpha^k H_\beta^k H_z^k d\alpha d\beta dz,$$

where the metric coefficients are

$$H_\alpha^k = A^k \left(1 + \frac{z}{R_\alpha^k} \right), \quad (7)$$

$$H_\beta^k = B^k \left(1 + \frac{z}{R_\beta^k} \right), \quad H_z^k = 1.$$

k denotes the k -layer of the multilayered shell; R_α^k and R_β^k are the principal radii of curvature along the coordinates α and β , respectively. A^k and B^k are the coefficients of the first fundamental form of Ω_k (Γ_k is the Ω_k boundary). In this work, the attention has been restricted to shells with constant radii of curvature (cylindrical, spherical, and toroidal geometries) for which $A^k = B^k = 1$.

Although one can use the UF for one-layer, isotropic shell, a multilayered shell with N_l layers is considered. The Principle of Virtual Displacement (PVD) for the pure-mechanical case reads

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \int_{\Omega_k} \int_{A_k} \left\{ \delta \epsilon_{pG}^k \sigma_{pC}^k + \delta \epsilon_{nC}^k \sigma_{nC}^k \right\} \times d\Omega_k dz = \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \delta L_e^k, \quad (8)$$

where Ω_k and A_k are the integration domains in plane (α, β) and z direction, respectively. Here, k indicates the layer and T the transpose of a vector, and δL_e^k is the external work for the k th layer. G means geometrical relations and C constitutive equations.

The steps to obtain the governing equations are

- (i) substitution of the geometrical relations (subscript G),
- (ii) substitution of the appropriate constitutive equations (subscript C),
- (iii) introduction of the Unified Formulation.

Stresses and strains are separated into in-plane and normal components, denoted, respectively, by the subscripts p and n . The mechanical strains in the k th layer can be related to the displacement field $\mathbf{u}^k = \{u_\alpha^k, u_\beta^k, u_z^k\}$ via the geometrical relations:

$$\epsilon_{pG}^k = [\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^k, \epsilon_{\beta\beta}^k, \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^k]^T = (\mathbf{D}_p^k + \mathbf{A}_p^k) \mathbf{u}^k, \quad (9)$$

$$\epsilon_{nC}^k = [\epsilon_{\alpha z}^k, \epsilon_{\beta z}^k, \epsilon_{zz}^k]^T = (\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega}^k + \mathbf{D}_{nz}^k - \mathbf{A}_n^k) \mathbf{u}^k.$$

The explicit form of the introduced arrays is as follows

$$\mathbf{D}_p^k = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_\alpha}{H_\alpha^k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial_\beta}{H_\beta^k} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial_\beta}{H_\beta^k} & \frac{\partial_\alpha}{H_\alpha^k} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{n\Omega}^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial_\alpha}{H_\alpha^k} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial_\beta}{H_\beta^k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{nz}^k = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_z}{0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial_z}{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial_z}{0} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{A}_p^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{H_\alpha^k R_\alpha^k} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{H_\beta^k R_\beta^k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_n^k = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{H_\alpha^k R_\alpha^k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{H_\beta^k R_\beta^k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

The 3D constitutive equations are given as

$$\sigma_{pC}^k = \mathbf{C}_{pp}^k \epsilon_{pG}^k + \mathbf{C}_{pn}^k \epsilon_{nC}^k, \quad (12)$$

$$\sigma_{nC}^k = \mathbf{C}_{np}^k \epsilon_{pG}^k + \mathbf{C}_{nn}^k \epsilon_{nC}^k$$

with

$$\mathbf{C}_{pp}^k = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11}^k & C_{12}^k & C_{16}^k \\ C_{12}^k & C_{22}^k & C_{26}^k \\ C_{16}^k & C_{26}^k & C_{66}^k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{pn}^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_{13}^k \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23}^k \\ 0 & 0 & C_{36}^k \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{np}^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{13}^k & C_{23}^k & C_{36}^k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{nn}^k = \begin{bmatrix} C_{55}^k & C_{45}^k & 0 \\ C_{45}^k & C_{44}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33}^k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

According to the Unified Formulation by Carrera, the three displacement components u_α , u_β , and u_z and their relative variations can be modelled as

$$(u_\alpha, u_\beta, u_z) = F_\tau (u_{\alpha\tau}, u_{\beta\tau}, u_{z\tau}), \quad (14)$$

$$(\delta u_\alpha, \delta u_\beta, \delta u_z) = F_s (\delta u_{\alpha s}, \delta u_{\beta s}, \delta u_{zs})$$

with Taylor expansions from the first up to the 4th order: $F_0 = z^0 = 1$, $F_1 = z^1 = z$, ..., $F_N = z^N$, ..., and $F_4 = z^4$ if an Equivalent Single Layer (ESL) approach is used.

Resorting to the displacement field in (3), we choose vectors $F_t = [1 z (-1)^k (2/h_k) (z - (1/2)(z_k + z_{k+1}))]$ for displacement u , v , and w . We then obtain all terms of the equations of motion by integrating through-the-thickness direction.

It is interesting to note that, under this combination of the Unified Formulation and RBF collocation, the collocation code depends only on the choice of F_t , in order to solve this type of problems. We designed a MATLAB code that just by changing F_t can analyse static deformations, free vibrations,

FIGURE 2: Assembling procedure for ESL approach.

and buckling loads for any type of C° shear deformation theory. An obvious advantage of the present methodology is that the tedious derivation of the equations of motion and boundary conditions for a particular shear deformation theory is no longer an issue, as this MATLAB code does all that work for us.

In Figure 2 the assembling procedures are shown on layer k for ESL approach.

Substituting the geometrical relations, the constitutive equations, and the Unified Formulation into the variational statement PVD, for the k th layer, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_k} \int_{A_k} \left\{ ((\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p) \delta \mathbf{u}^k)^T \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \times (\mathbf{C}_{pp}^k (\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p) \mathbf{u}^k \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{C}_{pn}^k (\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n) \mathbf{u}^k) \\
 & \quad + ((\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n) \delta \mathbf{u}^k)^T \\
 & \quad \times (\mathbf{C}_{np}^k (\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p) \mathbf{u}^k \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{C}_{nn}^k (\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n) \mathbf{u}^k) \left. \right\} d\Omega_k dz_k \Big\} \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \delta L_e^k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

At this point, the formula of integration by parts is applied

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega_k} ((\mathbf{D}_\Omega) \delta \mathbf{a}^k)^T \mathbf{a}^k d\Omega_k &= - \int_{\Omega_k} \delta \mathbf{a}^{kT} ((\mathbf{D}_\Omega^T) \mathbf{a}^k) d\Omega_k \\
 &+ \int_{\Gamma_k} \delta \mathbf{a}^{kT} ((\mathbf{I}_\Omega) \mathbf{a}^k) d\Gamma_k,
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_\Omega$ matrix is obtained applying the *gradient theorem*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} dv = \oint_{\Gamma} n_i \psi ds \tag{17}$$

with n_i being the components of the normal $\hat{n}$ to the boundary along the direction i . After integration by parts and the substitution of CUF, the governing equations and boundary conditions for the shell in the mechanical case are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_k} \int_{A_k} \left\{ \delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} [(-\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p)^T \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \times F_s (\mathbf{C}_{pp}^k (\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p) F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{C}_{pn}^k (\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n) F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k) \Big] \\
 & \quad + \delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} [(-\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n)^T \\
 & \quad \times F_s (\mathbf{C}_{np}^k (\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p) F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{C}_{nn}^k (\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} \\
 & \quad - \mathbf{A}_n) F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k) \Big] \Big\} d\Omega_k dz_k \Big\} \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_k} \int_{A_k} \left\{ \delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} [\mathbf{I}_p^T F_s (\mathbf{C}_{pp}^k (\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p) F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k \right. \right. \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{C}_{pn}^k (\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} \\
 & \quad - \mathbf{A}_n) F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k) \Big] \\
 & \quad + \delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} [\mathbf{I}_{np}^T F_s (\mathbf{C}_{np}^k (\mathbf{D}_p - \mathbf{A}_p) F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{C}_{nn}^k (\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n) \\
 & \quad \times F_\tau \mathbf{u}_\tau^k) \Big] \Big\} d\Gamma_k dz_k \Big\} \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_k} \delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} F_s \mathbf{p}_u^k \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_p^k$ and $\mathbf{I}_{np}^k$ depend on the boundary geometry:

$$\mathbf{I}_p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_\alpha}{H_\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n_\beta}{H_\beta} & 0 \\ \frac{n_\beta}{H_\beta} & \frac{n_\alpha}{H_\alpha} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{I}_{np} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{n_\alpha}{H_\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{n_\beta}{H_\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

The normal to the boundary of domain Ω is

$$\hat{n} = \begin{bmatrix} n_\alpha \\ n_\beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\varphi_\alpha) \\ \cos(\varphi_\beta) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

where φ_α and φ_β are the angles between the normal $\hat{n}$ and the directions α and β , respectively.

The governing equations for a multilayered shell subjected to mechanical loadings are

$$\delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} : \mathbf{K}_{uu}^{k\tau s} \mathbf{u}_\tau^k = \mathbf{p}_{u\tau}^k, \tag{21}$$

FIGURE 3: Geometry and notations for a multilayered shell (doubly curved).

where the fundamental nucleus $\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{k\tau s}$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{uu}^{k\tau s} = & \int_{A_k} \left[[-\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p]^T \mathbf{C}_{pp}^k [\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p] \right. \\ & + [-\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p]^T \mathbf{C}_{pn}^k [\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n] \\ & + [-\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n]^T \mathbf{C}_{np}^k [\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p] \\ & + [-\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n]^T \\ & \left. \times \mathbf{C}_{nn}^k [\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n] \right] F_\tau F_s H_\alpha^k H_\beta^k dz. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

And the corresponding Neumann-type boundary conditions on Γ_k are

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_d^{k\tau s} \mathbf{u}_\tau^k = \mathbf{\Pi}_d^{k\tau s} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\tau^k, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Pi}_d^{k\tau s} = & \int_{A_k} \left[\mathbf{I}_p^T \mathbf{C}_{pp}^k [\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p^\tau] + \mathbf{I}_p^T \mathbf{C}_{pn}^k [\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n^\tau] \right. \\ & + \mathbf{I}_{np}^T \mathbf{C}_{np}^k [\mathbf{D}_p + \mathbf{A}_p^\tau] + \mathbf{I}_{np}^T \mathbf{C}_{nn}^k \\ & \left. \times [\mathbf{D}_{n\Omega} + \mathbf{D}_{nz} - \mathbf{A}_n^\tau] \right] F_\tau F_s H_\alpha^k H_\beta^k dz. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

And $\mathbf{P}_{u\tau}^k$ are variationally consistent loads with applied pressure.

2.3. Fundamental Nuclei. The following integrals are introduced to perform the explicit form of fundamental nuclei:

$$\begin{aligned} & (J^{k\tau s}, J_\alpha^{k\tau s}, J_\beta^{k\tau s}, J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s}, J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s}, J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau s}) \\ & = \int_{A_k} F_\tau F_s \left(1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, \frac{H_\alpha}{H_\beta}, \frac{H_\beta}{H_\alpha}, H_\alpha H_\beta \right) dz, \\ & (J^{k\tau_z s}, J_\alpha^{k\tau_z s}, J_\beta^{k\tau_z s}, J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau_z s}, J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau_z s}, J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau_z s}) \\ & = \int_{A_k} \frac{\partial F_\tau}{\partial z} F_s \left(1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, \frac{H_\alpha}{H_\beta}, \frac{H_\beta}{H_\alpha}, H_\alpha H_\beta \right) dz, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (J^{k\tau s_z}, J_\alpha^{k\tau s_z}, J_\beta^{k\tau s_z}, J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s_z}, J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s_z}, J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau s_z}) \\ & = \int_{A_k} F_\tau \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z} \left(1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, \frac{H_\alpha}{H_\beta}, \frac{H_\beta}{H_\alpha}, H_\alpha H_\beta \right) dz, \\ & (J^{k\tau_z s_z}, J_\alpha^{k\tau_z s_z}, J_\beta^{k\tau_z s_z}, J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau_z s_z}, J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau_z s_z}, J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau_z s_z}) \\ & = \int_{A_k} \frac{\partial F_\tau}{\partial z} \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z} \left(1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, \frac{H_\alpha}{H_\beta}, \frac{H_\beta}{H_\alpha}, H_\alpha H_\beta \right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The fundamental nucleus $\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{k\tau s}$ is reported for doubly curved shells (radii of curvature in both α and β directions; see Figure 3):

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{11} = & -C_{11}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s - C_{16}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s \\ & - C_{16}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{66}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s \\ & + C_{55}^k \left(J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau_z s_z} - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_\beta^{k\tau_z s_z} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_\beta^{k\tau s_z} + \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}^2} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \right), \\ (\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{12} = & -C_{12}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s - C_{16}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s \\ & - C_{26}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{66}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau \\ & + C_{45}^k \left(J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau_z s_z} - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_\alpha^{k\tau_z s_z} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_\beta^{k\tau s_z} + \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \right), \\ (\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{13} = & -C_{11}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s - C_{12}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s \\ & - C_{13}^k J_\beta^{k\tau s_z} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s - C_{16}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -C_{26}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{36}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s_z} \partial_\beta^\tau \\
& + C_{45}^k \left(J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\beta^s - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \right) \\
& + C_{55}^k \left(J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\alpha^s - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \right), \\
(\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{21} & = -C_{12}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{16}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau \\
& - C_{26}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{66}^k J_{\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau \\
& + C_{45}^k \left(J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau_z s_z} - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} + \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \right), \\
(\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{22} & = -C_{22}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{26}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau \\
& - C_{26}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s - C_{66}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau \\
& + C_{44}^k \left(J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau_z s_z} - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s_z} + \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}^2} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \right), \\
(\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{23} & = -C_{12}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{22}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^\tau \\
& - C_{23}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s_z} \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{16}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \\
& - C_{26}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau - C_{36}^k J_{\beta}^{k\tau s_z} \partial_\alpha^\tau \\
& + C_{45}^k \left(J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\alpha^s - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \right) \\
& + C_{44}^k \left(J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\beta^s - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \right), \\
(\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{31} & = C_{11}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + C_{12}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \\
& + C_{13}^k J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\alpha^s + C_{16}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \\
& + C_{26}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s + C_{36}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\beta^s \\
& - C_{45}^k \left(J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\beta^\tau - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^\tau \right) \\
& - C_{55}^k \left(J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\alpha^\tau - \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{32} & = C_{12}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s + C_{22}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \\
& + C_{23}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\beta^s + C_{16}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \\
& + C_{26}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + C_{36}^k J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\alpha^s \\
& - C_{45}^k \left(J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\alpha^\tau - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \right) \\
& - C_{44}^k \left(J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} \partial_\beta^\tau - \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^\tau \right), \\
(\mathbf{K}_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{33} & = C_{11}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}^2} J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} + C_{22}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}^2} J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \\
& + C_{33}^k J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau_z s_z} + 2C_{12}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} J^{k\tau s} \\
& + C_{13}^k \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} \left(J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} + J_{\beta}^{k\tau s_z} \right) \\
& + C_{23}^k \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} \left(J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s} + J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s_z} \right) \\
& - C_{44}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{55}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\alpha^\tau \\
& - C_{45}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s \partial_\beta^\tau - C_{45}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The application of boundary conditions makes use of the fundamental nucleus Π_d in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{11} & = n_{\alpha} C_{11}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + n_{\beta} C_{66}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \\
& + n_{\beta} C_{16}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + n_{\alpha} C_{16}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s, \\
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{12} & = n_{\alpha} C_{16}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + n_{\beta} C_{26}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \\
& + n_{\alpha} C_{12}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s + n_{\beta} C_{66}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s, \\
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{13} & = n_{\alpha} \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} C_{11}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} + n_{\alpha} \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} C_{12}^k J^{k\tau s} \\
& + n_{\alpha} C_{13}^k J_{\beta}^{k\tau_z s} + n_{\beta} \frac{1}{R_{\alpha_k}} C_{16}^k J^{k\tau s} \\
& + n_{\beta} \frac{1}{R_{\beta_k}} C_{26}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau s} + n_{\beta} C_{36}^k J_{\alpha}^{k\tau_z s}, \\
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{21} & = n_{\alpha} C_{16}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + n_{\beta} C_{26}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \\
& + n_{\beta} C_{12}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + n_{\alpha} C_{66}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s, \\
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{22} & = n_{\alpha} C_{66}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + n_{\beta} C_{22}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s \\
& + n_{\beta} C_{26}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\alpha^s + n_{\alpha} C_{26}^k J^{k\tau s} \partial_\beta^s,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{23} &= n_\alpha \frac{1}{R_{\alpha k}} C_{16}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{\tau ks} + n_\alpha \frac{1}{R_{\beta k}} C_{26}^k J^{\tau ks} \\
&\quad + n_\alpha C_{36}^k J_{\beta}^{\tau ks_z} + n_\beta \frac{1}{R_{\alpha k}} C_{12}^k J^{\tau ks} \\
&\quad + n_\beta \frac{1}{R_{\beta k}} C_{22}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{\tau ks} + n_\beta C_{23}^k J_{\alpha}^{\tau ks_z}, \\
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{31} &= -n_\alpha \frac{1}{R_{\alpha k}} C_{55}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{\tau ks} + n_\alpha C_{55}^k J_{\beta}^{\tau ks_z} \\
&\quad - n_\beta \frac{1}{R_{\alpha k}} C_{45}^k J^{\tau ks} + n_\beta C_{45}^k J_{\alpha}^{\tau ks_z}, \\
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{32} &= -n_\alpha \frac{1}{R_{\beta k}} C_{45}^k J^{\tau ks} + n_\alpha C_{45}^k J_{\beta}^{\tau ks_z} \\
&\quad - n_\beta \frac{1}{R_{\beta k}} C_{44}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{\tau ks} + n_\beta C_{44}^k J_{\alpha}^{\tau ks_z}, \\
(\Pi_{uu}^{\tau sk})_{33} &= n_\alpha C_{55}^k J_{\beta/\alpha}^{\tau ks} \partial_\alpha^s + n_\beta C_{44}^k J_{\alpha/\beta}^{\tau ks} \partial_\beta^s \\
&\quad + n_\beta C_{45}^k J^{\tau ks} \partial_\alpha^s + n_\alpha C_{45}^k J^{\tau ks} \partial_\beta^s.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

One can note that all the equations written for the shell degenerate into those for the plate when $1/R_{\alpha k} = 1/R_{\beta k} = 0$. In practice we set the radii of curvature to 10^9 .

2.4. Dynamic Governing Equations. The PVD for the dynamic case is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \int_{\Omega_k} \int_{A_k} \left\{ \delta \epsilon_{pG}^k \sigma_{pC}^k + \delta \epsilon_{nG}^k \sigma_{nC}^k \right\} d\Omega_k dz \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \int_{\Omega_k} \int_{A_k} \rho^k \delta \mathbf{u}^{kT} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}^k d\Omega_k dz + \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \delta L_e^k,
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where ρ^k is the mass density of the k th layer and double dots denote acceleration.

By substituting the geometrical relations, the constitutive equations, and the Unified Formulation, we obtain the following governing equations:

$$\delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} : \mathbf{K}_{uu}^{k\tau s} \mathbf{u}_\tau^k = \mathbf{M}^{k\tau s} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\tau^k + \mathbf{P}_{u\tau}^k. \tag{29}$$

In the case of free vibrations one has

$$\delta \mathbf{u}_s^{kT} : \mathbf{K}_{uu}^{k\tau s} \mathbf{u}_\tau^k = \mathbf{M}^{k\tau s} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\tau^k, \tag{30}$$

where $\mathbf{M}^{k\tau s}$ is the fundamental nucleus for the inertial term. The explicit form of that is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}_{11}^{k\tau s} &= \rho^k J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau s}, & \mathbf{M}_{12}^{k\tau s} &= 0, & \mathbf{M}_{13}^{k\tau s} &= 0, \\
\mathbf{M}_{21}^{k\tau s} &= 0, & \mathbf{M}_{22}^{k\tau s} &= \rho^k J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau s}, & \mathbf{M}_{23}^{k\tau s} &= 0, \\
\mathbf{M}_{31}^{k\tau s} &= 0, & \mathbf{M}_{32}^{k\tau s} &= 0, & \mathbf{M}_{33}^{k\tau s} &= \rho^k J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau s},
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where the meaning of the integral $J_{\alpha\beta}^{k\tau s}$ has been illustrated in (25). The geometrical and mechanical boundary conditions are the same of the static case. Because we consider the static case only, the mass terms will be neglected.

3. The Radial Basis Function Method

3.1. The Static Problem. Radial basis functions (RBFs) approximations are mesh-free numerical schemes that can exploit accurate representations of the boundary, are easy to implement, and can be spectrally accurate. In this section the formulation of a global unsymmetrical collocation RBF-based method to compute elliptic operators is presented.

Consider a linear elliptic partial differential operator L and a bounded region Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with some boundary $\partial\Omega$. In the static problems we seek the computation of displacement ($\mathbf{u}$) from the global system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{f} \text{ in } \Omega, \\
\mathcal{L}_B\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{g} \text{ on } \partial\Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_B$ are linear operators in the domain and on the boundary, respectively. The right-hand sides of (32) represent the external forces applied on the plate or shell and the boundary conditions applied along the perimeter of the plate or shell, respectively. The PDE problem defined in (32) will be replaced by a finite problem, defined by an algebraic system of equations, after the radial basis expansions.

3.2. The Eigenproblem. The eigenproblem looks for eigenvalues (λ) and eigenvectors ($\mathbf{u}$) that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{u} &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\
\mathcal{L}_B\mathbf{u} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

As in the static problem, the eigenproblem defined in (33) is replaced by a finite-dimensional eigenvalue problem, based on RBF approximations.

3.3. Radial Basis Functions Approximations. The radial basis function (ϕ) approximation of a function ($\mathbf{u}$) is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \phi(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_i\|_2), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{34}$$

where $\mathbf{y}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, is a finite set of distinct points (centers) in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The most common RBFs are

$$\text{Cubic: } \phi(r) = r^3,$$

$$\text{Thin plate splines: } \phi(r) = r^2 \log(r),$$

$$\text{Wendland functions: } \phi(r) = (1-r)_+^m p(r),$$

$$\text{Gaussian: } \phi(r) = e^{-(cr)^2}, \tag{35}$$

$$\text{Multiquadrics: } \phi(r) = \sqrt{c^2 + r^2},$$

$$\text{Inverse Multiquadrics: } \phi(r) = (c^2 + r^2)^{-1/2},$$

where the Euclidian distance r is real and nonnegative and c is a positive shape parameter. Hardy [54] introduced multi-quadratics in the analysis of scattered geographical data. In the 1990s Kansa [31] used multi-quadratics for the solution of partial differential equations. Considering N distinct interpolations and knowing $u(x_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we find α_i by the solution of a $N \times N$ linear system

$$\mathbf{A}\alpha = \mathbf{u}, \quad (36)$$

where $\mathbf{A} = [\phi(\|x - y_i\|_2)]_{N \times N}$, $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N]^T$, and $\mathbf{u} = [u(x_1), u(x_2), \dots, u(x_N)]^T$.

3.4. Solution of the Static Problem. The solution of a static problem by radial basis functions considers N_I nodes in the domain and N_B nodes on the boundary, with a total number of nodes $N = N_I + N_B$. We denote the sampling points by $x_i \in \Omega$, $i = 1, \dots, N_I$, and $x_i \in \partial\Omega$, $i = N_I + 1, \dots, N$. At the points in the domain we solve the following system of equations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathcal{L}\phi(\|x - y_i\|_2) = \mathbf{f}(x_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N_I, \quad (37)$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}^I \alpha = \mathbf{F}, \quad (38)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^I = [\mathcal{L}\phi(\|x - y_i\|_2)]_{N_I \times N}. \quad (39)$$

At the points on the boundary, we impose boundary conditions as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathcal{L}_B \phi(\|x - y_i\|_2) = \mathbf{g}(x_j), \quad j = N_I + 1, \dots, N, \quad (40)$$

or

$$\mathbf{B}\alpha = \mathbf{G}, \quad (41)$$

where

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{L}_B \phi(\|x_{N_I+1} - y_j\|_2)_{N_B \times N}. \quad (42)$$

Therefore, we can write a finite-dimensional static problem as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^I \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

By inverting the system (43), we obtain the vector α . We then obtain the solution $\mathbf{u}$ using the interpolation (34).

3.5. Solution of the Eigenproblem. We consider N_I nodes in the interior of the domain and N_B nodes on the boundary, with $N = N_I + N_B$. We denote interpolation points by $x_i \in \Omega$, $i = 1, \dots, N_I$, and $x_i \in \partial\Omega$, $i = N_I + 1, \dots, N$. At the points in the domain, we define the eigenproblem as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathcal{L}\phi(\|x - y_i\|_2) = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{u}}(x_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N_I, \quad (44)$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}^I \alpha = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{u}}^I, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^I = [\mathcal{L}\phi(\|x - y_i\|_2)]_{N_I \times N}. \quad (46)$$

At the points on the boundary, we enforce the boundary conditions as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathcal{L}_B \phi(\|x - y_i\|_2) = 0, \quad j = N_I + 1, \dots, N, \quad (47)$$

or

$$\mathbf{B}\alpha = 0. \quad (48)$$

Equations (45) and (48) can now be solved as a generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^I \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \alpha = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^I \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \alpha, \quad (49)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}^I = \phi(\|x_{N_I} - y_j\|_2)_{N_I \times N}. \quad (50)$$

3.6. Discretization of the Equations of Motion and Boundary Conditions. The radial basis collocation method follows a simple implementation procedure. Taking (11), we compute

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} L^I \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (51)$$

This α vector is then used to obtain solution $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$, by using (5). If derivatives of $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ are needed, such derivatives are computed as

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x}, \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial x^2} = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \frac{\partial^2 \phi_j}{\partial x^2},$$

and so forth.

In the present collocation approach, we need to impose essential and natural boundary conditions. Consider, for example, the condition $w = 0$, on a simply supported or clamped edge. We enforce the conditions by interpolating as

$$w = 0 \longrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j^w \phi_j = 0. \quad (53)$$

Other boundary conditions are interpolated in a similar way.

3.7. Free Vibrations Problems. For free vibration problems we set the external force to zero and assume harmonic solution in terms of displacement, $u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1, \dots$, as

$$u_0 = U_0(w, y) e^{i\omega t}; \quad u_1 = U_1(w, y) e^{i\omega t},$$

$$u_Z = U_Z(w, y) e^{i\omega t},$$

$$v_0 = V_0(w, y) e^{i\omega t}, \quad v_1 = V_1(w, y) e^{i\omega t},$$

TABLE 1: Nondimensional central deflection, $\bar{w} = w(10^2 E_2 h^3 / P_0 a^4)$, and variation with various numbers of grid points per unit length, N , for different R/a ratios, for $R_1 = R_2$.

		Method	R/a					
a/h			5	10	20	50	100	10^9
[0°/90°/0°]	10	Present (13 × 13)	6.8510	7.0818	7.1429	7.1609	7.1637	7.1649
	10	Present (17 × 17)	6.8516	7.0822	7.1433	7.1612	7.1640	7.1653
	10	Present (21 × 21)	6.8516	7.0822	7.1433	7.1612	7.1640	7.1653
	10	HSDT [56]	6.7688	7.0325	7.1016	7.1212	7.1240	7.125
	10	FSDT [56]	6.4253	6.6247	6.6756	6.6902	6.6923	6.6939
	100	Present (13 × 13)	1.0245	2.3658	3.5167	4.0714	4.1652	4.1975
	100	Present (17 × 17)	1.0250	2.3667	3.5181	4.0728	4.1667	4.1990
	100	Present (21 × 21)	1.0250	2.3669	3.5183	4.0731	4.1669	4.1992
	100	HSDT [56]	1.0321	2.4099	3.617	4.2071	4.3074	4.3420
	100	FSDT [56]	1.0337	2.4109	3.6150	4.2027	4.3026	4.3370
[0°/90°/90°/0°]	10	Present (13 × 13)	6.7737	7.0012	7.0614	7.0791	7.0819	7.0831
	10	Present (17 × 17)	6.7742	7.0015	7.0618	7.0795	7.0822	7.0834
	10	Present (21 × 21)	6.7742	7.0015	7.0618	7.0795	7.0822	7.0834
	10	HSDT [56]	6.7865	7.0536	7.1237	7.1436	7.1464	7.1474
	10	FSDT [56]	6.3623	6.5595	6.6099	6.6244	6.6264	6.6280
	100	Present (13 × 13)	1.0190	2.3583	3.5125	4.0702	4.1647	4.1972
	100	Present (17 × 17)	1.0194	2.3593	3.5138	4.0717	4.1662	4.1987
	100	Present (21 × 21)	1.0195	2.3594	3.5140	4.0719	4.1664	4.1989
	100	HSDT [56]	1.0264	2.4024	3.6133	4.2071	4.3082	4.3430
	100	FSDT [56]	1.0279	2.4030	3.6104	4.2015	4.3021	4.3368

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_Z &= V_Z(w, y) e^{i\omega t}, \\
 w_0 &= W_0(w, y) e^{i\omega t}, \quad w_1 = W_1(w, y) e^{i\omega t}, \\
 w_2 &= W_2(w, y) e^{i\omega t},
 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where ω is the frequency of natural vibration. Substituting the harmonic expansion into (49) in terms of the amplitudes $U_0, U_1, U_Z, V_0, V_1, V_Z, W_0, W_1$, and W_2 , we may obtain the natural frequencies and vibration modes for the plate or shell problem, by solving the eigenproblem

$$[\mathcal{L} - \omega^2 \mathcal{G}] \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{55}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ collects all stiffness terms and $\mathcal{G}$ collects all terms related to the inertial terms. In (55) $\mathbf{X}$ are the modes of vibration associated with the natural frequencies defined as ω .

4. Numerical Examples

All numerical examples consider a Chebyshev grid and a Wendland function, defined as

$$\phi(r) = (1 - cr)^8 + (32(cr)^3 + 25(cr)^2 + 8cr + 1), \tag{56}$$

where the shape parameter (c) was obtained by an optimization procedure, as detailed in Ferreira and Fasshauer [55].

4.1. Spherical Shell in Bending. A laminated composite spherical shell is here considered, of side a and thickness

h , to be composed of layers oriented at [0°/90°/0°] and [0°/90°/90°/0°]. The shell is subjected to a sinusoidal vertical pressure of the form

$$p_z = P \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{a}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi y}{a}\right) \tag{57}$$

with the origin of the coordinate system located at the lower left corner on the midplane and P the maximum load (at center of shell).

The orthotropic material properties for each layer are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_1 &= 25.0E_2, & G_{12} &= G_{13} = 0.5E_2, \\
 G_{23} &= 0.2E_2, & \nu_{12} &= 0.25.
 \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The in-plane displacement, the transverse displacement, the normal stresses, and the in-plane and transverse shear stresses are presented in normalized form as

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\bar{w} &= \frac{10^2 w_{(a/2, a/2, 0)} h^3 E_2}{Pa^4}, & \bar{\sigma}_{xx} &= \frac{\sigma_{xx(a/2, a/2, h/2)} h^2}{Pa^2}, \\
 \bar{\sigma}_{yy} &= \frac{\sigma_{yy(a/2, a/2, h/4)} h^2}{Pa^2}, & \bar{\tau}_{xz} &= \frac{\tau_{xz(0, a/2, 0)} h}{Pa}, \\
 \bar{\tau}_{xy} &= \frac{\tau_{xy(0, 0, h/2)} h^2}{Pa^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

The shell is simply supported on all edges.

In Table 1 we compare the static deflections for the present shell model with results of Reddy shell formulation using

FIGURE 4: The first 4 vibrational modes of cross-ply laminated spherical shells, $\bar{\omega} = \omega(a^2/h)\sqrt{\rho/E_2}$, for laminate $([0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ])$ using a grid of 21×21 points, for $a/h = 100$, $R/a = 10$.

first-order and third-order shear deformation theories [56]. We consider nodal grids with 13×13 , 17×17 , and 21×21 points. We consider various values of R/a and two values of a/h (10 and 100). Results are in good agreement for various a/h ratios with the higher-order results of Reddy and Liu [56].

4.2. Free Vibration of Spherical and Cylindrical Laminated Shells. We consider nodal grids with 13×13 , 17×17 , and

21×21 points. In Tables 2 and 3 we compare the nondimensionalized natural frequencies from the present Murakami theory for various cross-ply spherical shells, with analytical solutions done by Reddy and Liu [56] who considered both the first-order (FSDT) and the third-order (HSDT) theories. The first-order theory overpredicts the fundamental natural frequencies of symmetric thick shells and symmetric shallow thin shells. The present radial basis function method is

TABLE 2: Nondimensionalized fundamental frequencies of cross-ply laminated spherical shells, $\bar{\omega} = \omega(a^2/h)\sqrt{\rho/E_2}$, and laminate $([0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ])$.

a/h	Method	R/a					
		5	10	20	50	100	10^9
10	Present (13×13)	12.0527	11.8889	11.8474	11.8357	11.8340	11.8335
	Present (17×17)	12.0523	11.8886	11.8471	11.8355	11.8338	11.8332
	Present (21×21)	12.0522	11.8885	11.8470	11.8355	11.8338	11.8332
	HSDT [56]	12.040	11.840	11.790	11.780	11.780	11.780
100	Present (13×13)	31.2170	20.5742	16.8698	15.6744	15.4960	15.4361
	Present (17×17)	31.2072	20.5679	16.8648	15.6698	15.4915	15.4316
	Present (21×21)	31.2059	20.5672	16.8642	15.6693	15.4910	15.4311
	HSDT [56]	31.100	20.380	16.630	15.420	15.230	15.170

TABLE 3: Nondimensionalized fundamental frequencies of cross-ply laminated spherical shells, $\bar{\omega} = \omega(a^2/h)\sqrt{\rho/E_2}$, and laminate $([0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ])$.

a/h	Method	R/a					
		5	10	20	50	100	10^9
10	Present (13×13)	11.9831	11.8192	11.7777	11.7660	11.7643	11.7638
	Present (17×17)	11.9827	11.8190	11.7774	11.7658	11.7641	11.7635
	Present (21×21)	11.9827	11.8190	11.7774	11.7658	11.7641	11.7635
	HSDT [56]	12.060	11.860	11.810	11.790	11.790	11.790
100	Present (13×13)	31.1343	20.5420	16.8595	15.6721	15.4950	15.4355
	Present (17×17)	31.1244	20.5357	16.8545	15.6675	15.4905	15.4310
	Present (21×21)	31.1231	20.5350	16.8540	15.6671	15.4901	15.4306
	HSDT [56]	31.020	20.350	16.620	15.420	15.240	15.170

TABLE 4: Nondimensionalized fundamental frequencies of cross-ply cylindrical shells, $\bar{\omega} = \omega(a^2/h)\sqrt{\rho/E_2}$.

R/a	Method	$[0/90/0]$		$[0/90/90/0]$	
		$a/h = 100$	$a/h = 10$	$a/h = 100$	$a/h = 10$
5	Present (13×13)	20.4956	11.7774	20.5298	11.8524
	Present (17×17)	20.4839	11.7770	20.5201	11.8521
	Present (21×21)	20.4829	11.7770	20.5189	11.8521
	FSDT [56]	20.332	12.207	20.361	12.267
	HSDT [56]	20.330	11.850	20.360	11.830
10	Present (13×13)	16.8475	11.7672	16.8583	11.8382
	Present (17×17)	16.8409	11.7669	16.8522	11.8380
	Present (21×21)	16.8401	11.7669	16.8516	11.8380
	FSDT [56]	16.625	12.173	16.634	12.236
	HSDT [56]	16.620	11.800	16.630	11.790
20	Present (13×13)	15.8006	11.7646	15.8039	11.8346
	Present (17×17)	15.7955	11.7644	15.7990	11.8344
	Present (21×21)	15.7950	11.7644	15.7985	11.8344
	FSDT [56]	15.556	12.166	15.559	12.230
	HSDT [56]	15.55	11.79	15.55	11.78
50	Present (13×13)	15.4945	11.7639	15.4955	11.8336
	Present (17×17)	15.4899	11.7637	15.4909	11.8334
	Present (21×21)	15.4895	11.7637	15.4905	11.8334
	FSDT [56]	15.244	12.163	15.245	12.228
	HSDT [56]	15.24	11.79	15.23	11.78
100	Present (13×13)	15.4503	11.7638	15.4510	11.8335
	Present (17×17)	15.4457	11.7636	15.4464	11.8333
	Present (21×21)	15.4453	11.7636	15.4460	11.8333
	FSDT [56]	15.198	12.163	15.199	12.227
	HSDT [56]	15.19	11.79	15.19	11.78
Plate	Present (13×13)	15.4355	11.7638	15.4361	11.8335
	Present (17×17)	15.4310	11.7635	15.4316	11.8332
	Present (21×21)	15.4306	11.7635	15.4311	11.8332
	FSDT [56]	15.183	12.162	15.184	12.226
	HSDT [56]	15.170	11.790	15.170	11.780

FIGURE 5: The first 4 vibrational modes of cross-ply laminated spherical shells, $\bar{\omega} = \omega(a^2/h)\sqrt{\rho/E_2}$, for laminate $([0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ])$ using a grid of 21×21 points, for $a/h = 100$, $R/a = 10e^9$ (plate).

compared with analytical results by Reddy and Liu [56] and shows excellent agreement.

Table 4 contains nondimensionalized natural frequencies obtained using the the present Murakami theory for cross-ply cylindrical shells with lamination schemes $[0/90/0]$, $[0/90/90/0]$. Present results are compared with analytical solutions done by Reddy and Liu [56] who considered both the first-order (FSDT) and the third-order (HSDT) theories. The present radial basis function method is compared with

analytical results by Reddy and Liu [56] and shows excellent agreement.

In Figure 4 we illustrate the first 4 vibrational modes of cross-ply laminated spherical shells, $\bar{\omega} = \omega(a^2/h)\sqrt{\rho/E_2}$, for a laminate $([0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ])$, using a grid of 21×21 points, for $a/h = 100$, $R/a = 10$. The modes of vibration are quite stable.

In Figure 5 we illustrate the first 4 vibrational modes of cross-ply laminated spherical shells, $\bar{\omega} = \omega(a^2/h)\sqrt{\rho/E_2}$, for a laminate $([0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ])$, using a grid of 21×21 points, for

$a/h = 100$, $R/a = 10e^9$. Again the modes of vibration are quite stable.

5. Concluding Remarks

In this paper Murakami's theory was implemented for the first time for laminated orthotropic elastic shells through a multi-quadric discretization of equations of motion and boundary conditions. The multiquadric radial basis function method for the solution of shell bending and free vibration problems was presented. Results for static deformations and natural frequencies were obtained and compared with other sources. This meshless approach demonstrated that is very successful in the static deformations and free vibration analysis of laminated composite shells. Advantages of radial basis functions are absence of mesh, ease of discretization of boundary conditions and equations of equilibrium or motion, and very easy coding. We show that the static displacement and stresses and the natural frequencies obtained from present method are in excellent agreement with analytical solutions.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This paper was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under Grant no. HiCi/1433/363-4. The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support.

References

- [1] W. T. Koiter, "On the foundations of the linear theory of thin elastic shells," *Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen*, vol. 73, pp. 169–195, 1970.
- [2] P. M. Naghdi, "A survey of recent progress in the theory of elastic shells," *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 9, pp. 365–368, 1956.
- [3] D. Zenkert, *An Introduction to Sandwich Structures*, Chameleon Press, Oxford, UK, 1995.
- [4] J. R. Vinson, *The Behavior of Sandwich Structures of Isotropic and Composite Materials*, Technomic Publishing Co., 1999.
- [5] W. S. Burton and A. K. Noor, "Assessment of computational models for sandwich panels and shells," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 124, no. 1-2, pp. 125–151, 1995.
- [6] A. K. Noor, W. S. Burton, and C. W. Bert, "Computational models for sandwich panels and shells," *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 155–199, 1996.
- [7] H. Altenbach, "Theories for laminated and sandwich plates. A review," *Mechanics of Composite Materials*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 243–252, 1998.
- [8] L. Librescu and T. Hause, "Recent developments in the modeling and behavior of advanced sandwich constructions: a survey," *Composite Structures*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2000.
- [9] J. R. Vinson, "Sandwich structures," *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 201–214, 2001.
- [10] L. Demasi, "2D, quasi 3D and 3D exact solutions for bending of thick and thin sandwich plates," *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 271–310, 2008.
- [11] H. Murakami, "Laminated composite plate theory with improved in-plane responses," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 661–666, 1986.
- [12] E. Carrera, "Historical review of Zig-Zag theories for multilayered plates and shells," *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 287–308, 2003.
- [13] E. Carrera, "Developments, ideas, and evaluations based upon Reissner's Mixed Variational Theorem in the modeling of multilayered plates and shells," *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 301–328, 2001.
- [14] E. Carrera, "On the use of the Murakami's zig-zag function in the modeling of layered plates and shells," *Computers and Structures*, vol. 82, no. 7-8, pp. 541–554, 2004.
- [15] L. Demasi, " ∞^3 Hierarchy plate theories for thick and thin composite plates: the generalized unified formulation," *Composite Structures*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 256–270, 2008.
- [16] S. Brischetto, E. Carrera, and L. Demasi, "Improved bending analysis of sandwich plates using a zig-zag function," *Composite Structures*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 408–415, 2009.
- [17] H. Hu, S. Belouettar, E. M. Daya, and M. Potier-Ferry, "Evaluation of kinematic formulations for viscoelastically damped sandwich beam modeling," *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 477–495, 2006.
- [18] Y. Frostig, "Bending of curved sandwich panels with a transversely flexible core-closed-form high-order theory," *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 4–41, 1999.
- [19] Y. Frostig and O. Rabinovich, "Behavior of unidirectional sandwich panels with a multi-skin construction or a multilayered core layout-higher-order approach," *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, vol. 2, pp. 181–213, 2000.
- [20] D. Chapelle and K. J. Bathe, *The Finite Element Analysis of Shells—Fundamentals*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2003.
- [21] W. Flügge, *Stresses in Shells*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1960.
- [22] A. Scordelis and K. S. Lo, "Computer analysis in cylindrical shells," *Journal of American Concrete Institute*, vol. 61, pp. 561–593, 1964.
- [23] J. N. Reddy, *Mechanics of Laminated Composite Plates and Shells: Theory and Analysis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2nd edition, 2003.
- [24] E. Carrera, "Theories and finite elements for multilayered plates and shells: a unified compact formulation with numerical assessment and benchmarking," *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 215–296, 2003.
- [25] J. Pitkäranta, "The problem of membrane locking in finite element analysis of cylindrical shells," *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 523–542, 1992.
- [26] C. Chinosi, L. Della Croce, and T. Scapolla, "Numerical results on the locking for cylindrical shells," *Computer Assisted Mechanics and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 31–44, 1998.
- [27] O. C. Zienkiewicz, R. L. Taylor, and J. M. Too, "Reduced integration technique in general analysis of plates and shells," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 275–290, 1971.
- [28] K. J. Bathe and E. N. Dvorkin, "A formulation of general shell elements—the use of mixed interpolation of tensorial components," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 697–722, 1986.

- [29] D. N. Arnold and F. Brezzi, "Locking-free finite element methods for shells," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 66, no. 217, pp. 1–14, 1997.
- [30] H. Hou-Cheng, "Membrane locking and assumed strain shell elements," *Computers and Structures*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 671–677, 1987.
- [31] E. J. Kansa, "Multiquadrics—a scattered data approximation scheme with applications to computational fluid-dynamics. I. Surface approximations and partial derivative estimates," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 19, no. 8–9, pp. 127–145, 1990.
- [32] Y. C. Hon, M. W. Lu, W. M. Xue, and Y. M. Zhu, "Multiquadric method for the numerical solution of a biphasic mixture model," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 88, no. 2–3, pp. 153–175, 1997.
- [33] Y. C. Hon, K. F. Cheung, X. Z. Mao, and E. J. Kansa, "Multiquadric solution for shallow water equations," *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, vol. 125, no. 5, pp. 524–533, 1999.
- [34] J. G. Wang, G. R. Liu, and P. Lin, "Numerical analysis of Biot's consolidation process by radial point interpolation method," *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1557–1573, 2002.
- [35] G. R. Liu and Y. T. Gu, "A local radial point interpolation method (LRPIM) for free vibration analyses of 2-D solids," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 246, no. 1, pp. 29–46, 2001.
- [36] J. G. Wang and G. R. Liu, "A point interpolation meshless method based on radial basis functions," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 54, no. 11, pp. 1623–1648, 2002.
- [37] J. G. Wang and G. R. Liu, "On the optimal shape parameters of radial basis functions used for 2-D meshless methods," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 191, no. 23–24, pp. 2611–2630, 2002.
- [38] X. L. Chen, G. R. Liu, and S. P. Lim, "An element free Galerkin method for the free vibration analysis of composite laminates of complicated shape," *Composite Structures*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 279–289, 2003.
- [39] K. Y. Dai, G. R. Liu, K. M. Lim, and X. L. Chen, "A mesh-free method for static and free vibration analysis of shear deformable laminated composite plates," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 269, no. 3–5, pp. 633–652, 2004.
- [40] G. R. Liu and X. L. Chen, "Buckling of symmetrically laminated composite plates using the element-free galerkin method," *International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics*, vol. 2, pp. 281–294, 2002.
- [41] K. M. Liew, X. L. Chen, and J. N. Reddy, "Mesh-free radial basis function method for buckling analysis of non-uniformly loaded arbitrarily shaped shear deformable plates," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 193, no. 3–5, pp. 205–224, 2004.
- [42] Y. Q. Huang and Q. S. Li, "Bending and buckling analysis of antisymmetric laminates using the moving least square differential quadrature method," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 193, no. 33–35, pp. 3471–3492, 2004.
- [43] L. Liu, G. R. Liu, and V. B. C. Tan, "Element free method for static and free vibration analysis of spatial thin shell structures," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 191, no. 51–52, pp. 5923–5942, 2002.
- [44] S. Xiang, K. M. Wang, Y. T. Ai, Y. D. Sha, and H. Shi, "Analysis of isotropic, sandwich and laminated plates by a meshless method and various shear deformation theories," *Composite Structures*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 31–37, 2009.
- [45] S. Xiang, H. Shi, K. M. Wang, Y. T. Ai, and Y. D. Sha, "Thin plate spline radial basis functions for vibration analysis of clamped laminated composite plates," *European Journal of Mechanics A*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 844–850, 2010.
- [46] C. M. C. Roque, A. J. M. Ferreira, and R. M. N. Jorge, "Free vibration analysis of composite and sandwich plates by a trigonometric layerwise deformation theory and radial basis functions," *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 497–515, 2006.
- [47] A. J. M. Ferreira, "A formulation of the multiquadric radial basis function method for the analysis of laminated composite plates," *Composite Structures*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 385–392, 2003.
- [48] A. J. M. Ferreira, "Thick composite beam analysis using a global meshless approximation based on radial basis functions," *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 271–284, 2003.
- [49] A. J. M. Ferreira, C. M. C. Roque, and P. A. L. S. Martins, "Analysis of composite plates using higher-order shear deformation theory and a finite point formulation based on the multiquadric radial basis function method," *Composites Part B*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 627–636, 2003.
- [50] A. J. M. Ferreira, C. M. C. Roque, and R. M. N. Jorge, "Modelling cross-ply laminated elastic shells by a higher-order theory and multiquadrics," *Computers and Structures*, vol. 84, no. 19–20, pp. 1288–1299, 2006.
- [51] E. Carrera, "C⁰ reissner-mindlin multilayered plate elements including zig-zag and interlaminar stress continuity," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 39, no. 11, pp. 1797–1820, 1996.
- [52] E. Carrera and B. Kröplin, "Zigzag and interlaminar equilibria effects in large-deflection and postbuckling analysis of multilayered plates," *Mechanics of Composite Materials and Structures*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 69–94, 1997.
- [53] E. Carrera, "Evaluation of layerwise mixed theories for laminated plates analysis," *AIAA Journal*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 830–839, 1998.
- [54] R. L. Hardy, "Multiquadric equations of topography and other irregular surfaces," *Geophysical Research*, vol. 176, pp. 1905–1915, 1971.
- [55] A. J. M. Ferreira and G. E. Fasshauer, "Computation of natural frequencies of shear deformable beams and plates by an RBF-pseudospectral method," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 196, no. 1–3, pp. 134–146, 2006.
- [56] J. N. Reddy and C. F. Liu, "A higher-order shear deformation theory of laminated elastic shells," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 319–330, 1985.