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We introduce and study the notion of common coupled fixed points for a pair of mappings in complex valued metric space and demonstrate the existence and uniqueness of the common coupled fixed points in a complete complex-valued metric space in view of diverse contractive conditions. In addition, our investigations are well supported by nontrivial examples.

1. Introduction

Azam et al. [1] introduced the concept of complex-valued metric spaces and obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of contractive type mappings involving rational expressions. Subsequently, several authors have studied the existence and uniqueness of the fixed points and common fixed points of self-mappings in view of contrasting contractive conditions. Some of these investigations are noted in [2–26].

In [27], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham introduced the concept of coupled fixed points for a given partially ordered set X. Recently Samet et al. [28, 29] proved that most of the coupled fixed point theorems (on ordered metric spaces) are in fact immediate consequences of well-known fixed point theorems in the literature. In this paper, we deal with the corresponding definition of coupled fixed point for mappings on a complex-valued metric space along with generalized contraction involving rational expressions. Our results extend and improve several fixed point theorems in the literature.

2. Preliminaries

Let C be the set of complex numbers and z1, z2 ∈ C. Define a partial order ≤ on C as follows:

\[ z_1 \leq z_2 \iff \Re(z_1) \leq \Re(z_2), \; \Im(z_1) \leq \Im(z_2). \] (1)

Note that 0 ≤ z1, z2 and z1 ≠ z2, z1 ≤ z2 implies |z1| < |z2|.

Definition 1. Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the self-mapping \( d : X \times X \to C \) satisfies the following:

(1) \( 0 \leq d(x, y) \), for all \( x, y \in X \) and \( d(x, y) = 0 \) if and only if \( x = y \);

(2) \( d(x, y) = d(y, x) \) for all \( x, y \in X \);

(3) \( d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \), for all \( x, y, z \in X \).
Then $d$ is called a complex valued metric on $X$, and $(X, d)$ is known as a complex valued metric space. A point $x \in X$ is called interior point of a set $A \subseteq X$ whenever, there exists $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$B(x, r) = \{ y \in X : d(x, y) < r \} \subseteq A. \quad (2)$$

A point $x \in X$ is a limit point of $A$ whenever, for every $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$B(x, r) \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset. \quad (3)$$

$A$ is called open whenever each element of $A$ is an interior point of $A$. Moreover, a subset $B \subseteq X$ is called closed whenever each limit point of $B$ belongs to $B$. The family

$$F = \{ B(x, r) : x \in X, 0 < r \in \mathbb{C} \} \quad (4)$$

is a subbasis for a Hausdorff topology $\tau$ on $X$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $X$ and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x) < c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent, $\{x_n\}$ converges to $x$, and $x$ is the limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$, or $x_n \to x$, as $n \to +\infty$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x_{m+n}) < c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in $(X, d)$. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in $(X, d)$, then $(X, d)$ is called a complete complex valued metric space. We require the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2** (see [1]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complex valued metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to $x$ if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

**Lemma 3** (see [1]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complex valued metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{m+n})| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

**Definition 4** (see [27]). An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of $T : X \times X \to X$ if

$$x = T(x, y), \quad y = T(y, x). \quad (5)$$

**Definition 5.** An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of $S, T : X \times X \to X$ if

$$S(x, y) = T(x, y), \quad S(y, x) = T(y, x). \quad (6)$$

**Example 6.** Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $S, T : X \times X \to X$ defined as $S(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ and $T(x, y) = (4/3)(x + y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $(0, 0), (1, 2)$, and $(2, 1)$ are coupled coincidence points of $S$ and $T$.

**Example 7.** Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $S, T : X \times X \to X$ defined as $S(x, y) = x + y + \sin(x + y)$ and $T(x, y) = x + y + xy + \cos(x + y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $(0, \pi/4)$ and $(\pi/4, 0)$ are coupled coincidence points of $S$ and $T$.

**Definition 8.** An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a common coupled fixed point of $S, T : X \times X \to X$ if

$$x = S(x, y) = T(x, y), \quad y = S(y, x) = T(y, x). \quad (7)$$

**Example 9.** Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $S, T : X \times X \to X$ defined as $S(x, y) = x((x + (y - 1)^2)/2)$ and $T(x, y) = x((\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 4 - 2))/2$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $(0, 0), (1, 2)$, and $(2, 1)$ are common coupled fixed points of $S$ and $T$.

In the following, we provide common coupled fixed point theorem for a pair of mappings satisfying a rational inequality in complex valued metric spaces.

**Theorem 10.** Let $(X, d)$ be a complete complex-valued metric space, and let the mappings $S, T : X \times X \to X$ satisfy

$$d(S(x, y), T(u, v)) \leq \frac{\alpha (d(x, u) + d(y, v))}{2} + (\beta d(x, S(x, y)) d(u, T(u, v)) + \gamma d(u, S(x, y)) d(x, T(u, v))) \times (1 + d(x, u) + d(y, v))^{-1} \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are nonnegative reals with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common coupled fixed point.

**Proof.** Let $x_0$ and $y_0$ be arbitrary points in $X$. Define $x_{2k+1} = S(x_{2k}, y_{2k})$, $y_{2k+1} = S(y_{2k}, x_{2k})$, and $x_{2k+2} = T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})$, $y_{2k+2} = T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})$, for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ Then,

$$d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})$$

$$= d(S(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha (d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}))}{2}$$

$$+ (\beta d(x_{2k}, S(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) d(u, T(u, v)) + \gamma d(u, S(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) d(x, T(u, v))) \times (1 + d(x, u) + d(y, v))^{-1}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are nonnegative reals with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then $S$ and $T$ have a unique common coupled fixed point.
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha (d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})) & + \beta d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})
\end{align*}
\]

which implies that

\[
|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| + \beta |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| + \frac{1}{2} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})|.
\]

Since \( |1 + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| > |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| \), so we get

\[
|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| + \beta |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|,
\]

and hence

\[
|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})|.
\]

Similarly, one can show that

\[
|d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|.
\]

Also,

\[
|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| = d(T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}), S(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2})) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| + (\beta d(x_{2k+1}, T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
\times d(x_{2k+2}, S(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2})) \times (1 + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}))^{-1} + (\gamma d(x_{2k+2}, T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
\times d(x_{2k+1}, S(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2})) \times (1 + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}))^{-1}
\]

so that

\[
|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})|.
\]

As \( |1 + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| > |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \), therefore

\[
|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})|.
\]

Similarly, one can show that

\[
|d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3})| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|.
\]
Adding (12)–(17), we get
\[
\left| d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \right| + \left| d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \right| \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \left| d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \right| + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \left| d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \right| \\
\left| d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) \right| + \left| d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3}) \right| \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \left| d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \right| + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \left| d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \right|. 
\]
(18)

If \( h = \alpha / (1 - \beta) < 1 \), then from (18), we get
\[
\left| d(x_0, x_m) \right| + \left| d(y_0, y_m) \right| \\
\leq h \left( \left| d(x_0, x_{n-1}) \right| + \left| d(y_0, y_{n-1}) \right| \right) \\
\leq \cdots \leq h^n \left( \left| d(x_0, x_1) \right| + \left| d(y_0, y_1) \right| \right).
\]
(19)

Now if \( \left| d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \right| + \left| d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \right| = \delta_n \), then
\[
\delta_n \leq h \delta_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq h^n \delta_0.
\]
(20)

Without loss of generality, we take \( m > n \). Since \( 0 \leq h < 1 \), so we get
\[
\left| d(x_n, x_m) \right| + \left| d(y_n, y_m) \right| \\
\leq \left| d(x_0, x_{n-1}) \right| + \left| d(y_0, y_{n-1}) \right| + \cdots \\
+ \left| d(x_{m-1}, x_{n-1}) \right| + \left| d(y_{m-1}, y_{n-1}) \right| \\
\leq h^n \delta_0 + h^{n+1} \delta_0 + \cdots + h^{m-1} \delta_0 \\
\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} h^i \delta_0 \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } m, n \longrightarrow +\infty.
\]
(21)

This implies that \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) are Cauchy sequences in \( X \). Since \( X \) is complete, there exists \( x, y \in X \) such that \( x_n \to x \) and \( y_n \to y \) as \( n \to +\infty \). We now show that \( x = S(x, y) \) and \( y = S(y, x) \). We suppose on the contrary that \( x \neq S(x, y) \) and \( y \neq S(y, x) \) so that \( 0 < d(x, S(x, y)) = l_1 \) and \( 0 < d(y, S(y, x)) = l_2 \); we would then have
\[
l_1 = d(x, S(x, y)) \leq d(x, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k+2}, S(x, y)) \\
\leq d(x, x_{2k+2}) + d(T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}), S(x, y)) \\
\leq d(x, x_{2k+2}) + \alpha (d(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) + d(T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}), S(x, y)) \\
+ \frac{\beta d(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) d(x_{2k+1}, S(x, y))}{1 + d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y)} \\
+ \frac{\gamma d(x, T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) d(x_{2k+1}, S(x, y))}{1 + d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y)}
\]
(22)

so that
\[
|l_1| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \left| d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y) \right| \\
+ \beta \left| d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \right| \left| d(x, S(x, y)) \right| \\
+ \gamma \left| d(x, x_{2k+2}) \right| \left| d(x_{2k+1}, S(x, y)) \right| \\
\left[ 1 + d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y) \right].
\]
(23)

By taking \( k \to +\infty \), we get \( |d(x, S(x, y))| = 0 \) which is a contradiction so that \( x = S(x, y) \). Similarly, one can prove that \( y = S(y, x) \). It follows similarly that \( x = T(x, y) \) and \( y = T(y, x) \). So we have proved that \( (x, y) \) is a common coupled fixed point of \( S \) and \( T \). We now show that \( S \) and \( T \) have a unique common coupled fixed point. For this, assume that \( (x^*, y^*) \in X \) is a second common coupled fixed point of \( S \) and \( T \). Then
\[
d(x, x^*) = d(S(x, y), T(x^*, y^*)) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \left| d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*) \right| \\
+ \beta \left| d(S(x, y), T(x^*, T(x^*, y^*))) \right| \\
+ \gamma \left| d(x, T(x^*, y^*)) d(x^*, S(x, y)) \right|. 
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell & \leq \frac{\alpha (d(x, x^* ) + d(y, y^*))}{2} \\
& \quad + \frac{\beta d(x, x) d(x^*, x^*)}{1 + d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*)} \\
& \quad + \frac{\gamma d(x, x^*) d(x^*, x)}{1 + d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*)} \\
& \quad + d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*) + d(x^*, x) + d(y, y^*)
\end{align*}
\] (24)

so that

\[
|d(x, x^*)| \leq \left| \frac{\alpha (d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*))}{2} + \frac{\gamma |d(x, x^*)| |d(x^*, x)|}{1 + d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*)} \right|
\] (25)

Since \(|1 + d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*)| > |d(x, x^*)|\), so we get

\[
|d(x, x^*)| \leq \left| \frac{\alpha (d(x, x^*) + d(y, y^*))}{2} + \gamma |d(x, x^*)| \right|
\] (26)

Similarly, one can easily prove that

\[
|d(y, y^*)| \leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha - 2\gamma} \right) |d(x, x^*)|.
\] (27)

If we add (26) and (27), we get

\[
|d(x, x^*)| + |d(y, y^*)| \\
\leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha - 2\gamma} \right) (|d(x, x^*)| + |d(y, y^*)|),
\] (28)

which is a contradiction because \(\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1\). Thus, we get \(x^* = x\) and \(y^* = y\), which proves the uniqueness of common coupled fixed point of \(S\) and \(T\).

By setting \(S = T\) in Theorem 10, one deduces the following.

**Corollary 11.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete complex-valued metric space, and let the mapping \(T : X \times X \to X\) satisfy

\[
d(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha (d(x, u) + d(y, v))}{2} \\
+ (\beta d(x, T(x, y)) d(u, T(u, v)) \\
+ \gamma d(u, T(x, y)) d(x, T(u, v))) \times (1 + d(x, u) + d(y, v))^{-1}
\] (29)

for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\), where \(\alpha, \beta, \) and \(\gamma\) are nonnegative reals with \(\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1\). Then \(T\) has a unique coupled fixed point.

**Corollary 12.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete complex-valued metric space, and let the mapping \(T : X \times X \to X\) satisfy

\[
d(T^n(x, y), T^n(u, v)) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha (d(x, u) + d(y, v))}{2} \\
+ (\beta d(x, T^n(x, y)) d(u, T^n(u, v)) \\
+ \gamma d(u, T^n(x, y)) d(x, T^n(u, v))) \times (1 + d(x, u) + d(y, v))^{-1}
\] (30)

for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\), where \(\alpha, \beta, \) and \(\gamma\) are nonnegative reals with \(\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1\). Then \(T\) has a unique coupled fixed point.

**Theorem 13.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete complex-valued metric space, and let the mappings \(S, T : X \times X \to X\) satisfy

\[
d(S(x, y), T(u, v)) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha (d(x, u) + d(y, v))}{2} \\
+ (\beta d(x, S(x, y)) d(u, T(u, v)) \\
+ \gamma d(u, S(x, y)) d(x, T(u, v))) \times (1 + d(x, u) + d(y, v))^{-1}
\] (31)

for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\), where \(D = d(x, T(u, y)) + d(u, S(x, y)) + d(x, u) + d(y, v)\) and \(\alpha, \beta\) are nonnegative reals with \(\alpha + \beta < 1\). Then \(S\) and \(T\) have a unique common coupled fixed point.

**Proof.** Let \(x_0\) and \(y_0\) be arbitrary points in \(X\). Define \(x_{2k+1} = S(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), y_{2k+1} = S(y_{2k}, x_{2k})\) and \(x_{2k+2} = T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})\), \(y_{2k+2} = T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})\), for \(k = 0, 1, \ldots\).

Now, we assume that

\[
D_S(x_{2k}, y_{2k}) = d(x_{2k}, T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
+ d(x_{2k+1}, S(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) \\
+ d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\
= d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\
+ d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \neq 0,
\] (32)

\[
D_T(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) = d(y_{2k}, T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})) \\
+ d(y_{2k+1}, S(y_{2k}, x_{2k})) \\
+ d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\
= d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
+ d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \neq 0.
\]
Then,
\[
\begin{align*}
d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &= d(S(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} (d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&\quad + \beta d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) d(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta d(x_{2k}, S(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) d(x_{2k+1}, T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))}{D_t(x_{2k}, y_{2k})} \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{2} (d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&\quad + \beta d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) d(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) \\
&\quad + \beta d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) d(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]
which implies that
\[
\begin{align*}
|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| \\
&\quad + \beta |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| \\
&\quad + \beta |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]
as
\[
|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| + 2 \beta |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|.
\]
Therefore,
\[
|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\alpha + 2\beta}{2}\right) |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})|.
\]
Similarly, one can easily prove that
\[
|d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\alpha + 2\beta}{2}\right) |d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})| + \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|. 
\]
Now, if
\[
D_t(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) \\
= d(x_{2k+2}, T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
+ d(x_{2k+1}, S(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2})) \\
+ d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+3}) + d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1}) \\
+ d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1}) \neq 0,
\]
we get
\[
\begin{align*}
d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) &= d(T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}), S(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2})) \\
&\leq \alpha |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1})| \\
&\quad + \beta |d(x_{2k+2}, S(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2}))| \\
&\quad \times d(x_{2k+1}, T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&\quad \times (D_t(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))^{-1} \\
&= \alpha |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1})| \\
&\quad + \beta |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| \\
&\quad \times d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+3}) + d(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1}) \\
&\quad + d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1})^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]
which implies that
\[
\begin{align*}
|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| \\
&\leq \alpha |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1})| \\
&\quad + \beta |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| \\
&\quad \times d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+3}) + d(x_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1}) \\
&\quad + d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1})^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]
as
\[
|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| \\
&\leq |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+3})| \\
&\quad + d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1})^{-1}.
\]
Therefore,
\[ |d(\chi_{2k+2}, \chi_{2k+3})| \leq \frac{\alpha |d(\chi_{2k+2}, \chi_{2k+1})|}{2} + \frac{\alpha |d(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+1})|}{2} + \beta |d(\chi_{2k+1}, \chi_{2k+2})| + \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})|. \]  
(42)

Similarly, if \( D_T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) \neq 0 \), one can easily prove that
\[ |d(\chi_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3})| \leq \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)}{2} |d(\chi_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| + \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(\chi_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|. \]  
(43)

Adding the inequalities (36)–(43), we get
\[ |d(\chi_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| + |d(\chi_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| \leq (\alpha + \beta) \left( \frac{|d(\chi_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})|}{2} + \frac{|d(\chi_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})|}{2} \right). \]  
(44)

If \( h = (\alpha + \beta) < 1 \), then, from (44), we get
\[ |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| \leq h \left( |d(x_{n-1}, x_n)| + |d(y_{n-1}, y_n)| \right) \leq \cdots \leq h^n \left( |d(x_0, x_1)| + |d(y_0, y_1)| \right). \]  
(45)

Now if \( |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| = \delta_n \), then
\[ \delta_n \leq h\delta_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq h^n\delta_0. \]  
(46)

Without loss of generality, we take \( m > n \). Since \( 0 \leq h < 1 \), so we get
\[ |d(x_n, x_m)| + |d(y_n, y_m)| \leq \left| \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} h^i \delta_i \right| \to 0, \quad \text{as } m, n \to +\infty. \]  
(47)

This implies that \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) are Cauchy sequences in \( X \). Since \( X \) is complete, so there exists \( x, y \in X \) such that \( x_n \to x \) and \( y_n \to y \) as \( n \to +\infty \). We now show that \( x = S(x, y) \) and \( y = S(y, x) \). We suppose on the contrary that \( x \neq S(x, y) \) and \( y \neq S(y, x) \) so that \( 0 < d(x, S(x, y)) = l_1 \) and \( 0 < d(y, S(y, x)) = l_2 \); we would then have
\[ l_1 = d(x, S(x, y)) \leq d(x, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k+2}, S(x, y)) \leq d(x, x_{2k+2}) + d(T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}), S(x, y)) \leq d(x, x_{2k+2}) + \frac{\alpha (d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y))}{2} \]  
+ \left( \beta d(x_{2k+1}, y) + d(y_{2k+1}, y) \right) \times (d(x_{2k+1}, S(x, y)) + d(x, T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})) + d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y))^{-1}, \]  
(48)

so that
\[ |l_1| \leq |d(x, x_{2k+2})| + \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y)| + \left( \beta |l_1| \right) |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \times (d(x_{2k+1}, S(x, y)) + d(x, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k+1}, x) + d(y_{2k+1}, y))^{-1}. \]  
(49)

By taking \( k \to +\infty \), we get \( |d(x, S(x, y))| = 0 \) which is a contradiction so that \( x = S(x, y) \). Now
\[ l_2 = d(y, S(y, x)) \leq d(y, y_{2k+2}) + d(y_{2k+2}, S(y, x)) \leq d(y, y_{2k+2}) + d(T(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}), S(y, x)) \leq d(y, y_{2k+2}) + \frac{\alpha (d(y_{2k+1}, y) + d(x_{2k+1}, x))}{2} \]  
+ \left( \beta d(y_{2k+1}, x) + d(x_{2k+1}, x) \right) \times (d(y_{2k+1}, S(y, x)) + d(y, T(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) + d(y_{2k+1}, y))^{-1}, \]  
(50)
which implies that
\[
\left\| z_k \right\| \leq |d(y, y_{2k+2})| + \frac{\alpha}{2} |d(y_{2k+1}, y)d(x_{2k+1}, x)|
+ \left( \beta \left\| z_k \right\| |d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})| \right)
\times \left( |d(y_{2k+1}, S(y, x)) + d(y, y_{2k+2})
+ d(y_{2k+1}, y) + d(x_{2k+1}, x) \right)^{-1},
\]
(51)

Which, on making \( k \to +\infty \), gives us \( |d(y, S(y, x))| = 0 \) which is a contradiction so that \( y = S(y, x) \). It follows similarly that \( x = T(x, y) \) and \( y = T(y, x) \). So we have proved that \((x, y)\) is a common coupled fixed point of \( S \) and \( T \). As in Theorem 10, the uniqueness of common coupled fixed point remains a consequence of contraction condition (31).

We have obtained the existence and uniqueness of a unique common coupled fixed point if
\[
D_S(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), D_S(y_{2k}, x_{2k}),
\]
\[
D_T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}), D_T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) \neq 0
\]
(52)
for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Now, assume that \( D_S(x_{2k}, y_{2k}) = 0 \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). From
\[
d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}) + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) = 0,
\]
(53)
we obtain that \( x_{2k} = x_{2k+1} = x_{2k+2} \) and \( y_{2k} = y_{2k+1} \). If \( D_S(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) \neq 0 \), using (8), we deduce
\[
d(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) = d(S(y_{2k}, x_{2k}), T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})) = 0.
\]
(54)

That is, \( y_{2k+1} = y_{2k+2} \) (this equality holds also if \( D_S(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) = 0 \)). The equalities
\[
x_{2k} = x_{2k+1} = x_{2k+2}, \quad y_{2k} = y_{2k+1} = y_{2k+2},
\]
(55)
ensure that \((x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})\) is a unique common coupled fixed point of \( S \) and \( T \). The same holds if either \( D_S(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) = 0 \), \( D_T(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) = 0 \), or \( D_T(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) = 0 \).

From Theorem 13, if we assume \( \alpha = 0 \), we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 14.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete complex-valued metric space, and let the self-mappings \( S, T : X \times X \rightarrow X \) satisfy
\[
d(S(x, y), T(u, v))
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\beta d(x, S(x, y))d(u, T(u, v))}{d(x, T(u, v)) + d(u, S(x, y)) + d(x, u) + d(y, v)},
\]
(56)
\[
\begin{align*}
0, & \quad \text{if } D \neq 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]
for all \((x, y, u, v) \in X\), where \( D = d(x, T(u, y)) + d(u, S(x, y)) + d(x, y) + d(y, v) \) and \( \beta \) is a nonnegative real such that \( 0 < \beta < 1 \). Then \( S \) and \( T \) have a unique common coupled fixed point.

**Corollary 15.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete complex-valued metric space, and let the mapping \( T : X \times X \rightarrow X \) satisfy
\[
d(T(x, y), T(u, v))
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\alpha d(x, u) + d(y, v)}{d(x, u) + d(y, v)} + \frac{\beta d(x, T(x, y))d(u, T(u, v))}{d(x, T(u, v)) + d(u, T(x, y)) + d(x, u) + d(y, v)},
\]
(57)
\[
0, & \quad \text{if } D \neq 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]
for all \((x, y, u, v) \in X\), where \( D = d(x, T(u, y)) + d(u, T(x, y)) + d(x, u) + d(y, v) \) and \( \alpha, \beta \) are nonnegative reals with \( \alpha + \beta < 1 \). Then \( T \) has a unique coupled fixed point.

**Corollary 16.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete complex-valued metric space, and let the mapping \( T : X \times X \rightarrow X \) satisfy
\[
d(T^a(x, y), T^a(u, v))
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\alpha d(x, u) + d(y, v)}{d(x, u) + d(y, v)} + \frac{\beta d(T^a(x, y))d(u, T^a(u, v))}{d(T^a(x, y)) + d(u, T^a(x, y)) + d(x, u) + d(y, v)},
\]
(58)
\[
0, & \quad \text{if } D \neq 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]
for all \((x, y, u, v) \in X\), where \( D = d(x, T^a(u, y)) + d(u, T^a(x, y)) + d(x, u) + d(y, v) \) and \( \alpha, \beta \) are nonnegative reals with \( \alpha + \beta < 1 \). Then \( T \) has a unique coupled fixed point.

Now, we furnish a nontrivial example to support our main result (Theorem 10).

**Example 17.** Let
\[
X_1 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(z) \geq 0, \text{Im}(z) = 0 \},
\]
\[
X_2 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) \geq 0, \text{Re}(z) = 0 \},
\]
and let \( X = X_1 \cup X_2 \). Consider a complex valued metric \( d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) as follows:
\[
d(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases}
\frac{2}{3} |z_1 - z_2| + \frac{i}{2} |z_1 - z_2|, & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in X_1 \\
\frac{1}{2} |y_1 - y_2| + \frac{i}{3} |y_1 - y_2|, & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in X_2 \\
\frac{2}{9} (x_1 + y_2) + \frac{i}{6} (x_1 + y_2), & \text{if } z_1 \in X_1, z_2 \in X_2 \\
\frac{i}{3} (z_2 + y_1) + \frac{2i}{9} (x_2 + y_1), & \text{if } z_1 \in X_2, z_2 \in X_1,
\end{cases}
\]
(60)
with \( z_1 = x_1 + iy_1 \) and \( z_2 = x_2 + iy_2 \). Then \((X,d)\) is a complex valued metric space. Define \( S, T : X \times X \rightarrow X \) as follows:

\[
S(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} 
0 + \frac{x_1y_2}{4}i & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in X_1 \\
\frac{y_1y_2}{5} + 0i & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in X_2 \\
0 + \frac{x_1y_2}{8}i & \text{if } z_1 \in X_1 \text{ and } z_2 \in X_2 \\
\frac{y_1y_2}{9} + 0i & \text{if } z_1 \in X_2 \text{ and } z_2 \in X_1,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
T(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} 
0 + \frac{x_1y_2}{6}i & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in X_1 \\
\frac{y_1y_2}{7} + 0i & \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in X_2 \\
0 + \frac{x_1y_2}{10}i & \text{if } z_1 \in X_1 \text{ and } z_2 \in X_2 \\
\frac{y_1y_2}{11} + 0i & \text{if } z_1 \in X_2 \text{ and } z_2 \in X_1.
\end{cases}
\]

By a routine calculation, one can easily verify that the maps \( S \) and \( T \) satisfy the contraction condition (8) with \( \alpha = 3/4, \beta = 1/15, \) and \( \gamma = 2/15 \). Notice that the point \((0,0)\) remains fixed under \( S \) and \( T \) and is indeed unique common coupled fixed point.
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