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The stabilized Gauge-Uzawa method (SGUM), which is a 2nd-order projection type algorithm used to solve Navier-Stokes equations, has been newly constructed in the work of Pyo, 2013. In this paper, we apply the SGUM to the evolution Boussinesq equations, which model the thermal driven motion of incompressible fluids. We prove that SGUM is unconditionally stable, and we perform error estimations on the fully discrete finite element space via variational approach for the velocity, pressure, and temperature, the three physical unknowns. We conclude with numerical tests to check accuracy and physically relevant numerical simulations, the Bénard convection problem and the thermal driven cavity flow.

1. Introduction

The stabilized Gauge-Uzawa method (SGUM) is a 2nd-order projection type method to solve the evolution Navier-Stokes equations. In this paper, we extend SGUM to the evolution Boussinesq equations: given a bounded polygon $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d = 2$ or $3$,

\begin{align}
\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla p - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \kappa \mu^2 g \theta & = \mathbf{f}, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} & = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\theta_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta - \lambda \mu \Delta \theta & = b, \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\end{align}

with initial conditions $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{u}^0$, $\theta(\mathbf{x},0) = \theta^0$ in $\Omega$, vanishing Dirichlet boundary conditions $\mathbf{u} = 0$, $\theta = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, and pressure mean-value $\int_{\Omega} p = 0$. The forcing functions $\mathbf{f}$ and $b$ are given, and $g$ is the vector of gravitational acceleration. The nondimensional numbers $\mu = \text{Re}^{-1}$ and $\lambda = \text{Pr}^{-1}$ are reciprocal of the Reynolds and Prandtl numbers, respectively, whereas $\kappa$ is the Grashof number. The Boussinesq system (1) describes fluid motion due to density differences which are in turn induced by temperature gradients: hot and thus less dense fluid tends to rise against gravity and cooler fluid falls in its place. The simplest governing equations are thus the Navier-Stokes equations for motion of an incompressible fluid, with forcing $\kappa \mu^2 g \theta$ due to buoyancy and the heat equation for diffusion and transport of heat. Density differences are thus ignored altogether except for buoyancy.

The projection type methods are representative solvers for the incompressible flows, and the Gauge-Uzawa method is a typical projection method. The Gauge-Uzawa method was constructed in [1] to solve Navier-Stokes equations and extended to more complicated problems, the Boussinesq equations in [2] and the nonconstant density Navier-Stokes equations in [3]. However, most of studies for the Gauge-Uzawa method have been limited only for the first-order accuracy backward Euler time marching algorithm. The second-order Gauge-Uzawa method using BDF2 scheme was introduced in [4] and proved superiority for accuracy on the normal mode space, but we could not get any theoretical proof via energy estimate even stability and we suffer from weak stability performance on the numerical test. Recently, we construct SGUM in [5] which is unconditionally stable for semidiscrete level to solve the Navier-Stokes equations. The goal of this paper is to extend SGUM to the Boussinesq equations (1), which model the motion of an incompressible viscous fluid due to thermal effects [6, 7]. We will estimate errors and stability on the fully discrete finite element space. The main difficulties in the fully discrete estimation arise from losing the cancellation law due to the failing of
the divergence free condition of the discrete velocity function. The strategy of projection type methods computes first an artificial velocity and then decomposes it to divergence free velocity and curl free functions. However, the divergence free condition cannot be preserved in discrete finite element space, and so the cancellation law (12) cannot be satisfied any more. In order to solve this difficulty, we impose the discontinuous velocity on across interelement boundaries to make fulfill discrete divergence free velocity (12) automatically. We will discuss this issue at Remark 2 below. This discontinuity makes it difficult to treat nonlinear term and to apply the integration by parts, because the discontinuous solution is not included in \( H^1(\Omega) \). So we need to hire technical skills in proof of this paper.

One more remarkable discovery is in the second numerical test at the last section which is the Bénard convection problem with the same setting in [2]. In this performance, we newly find out that the number of circulations depends on the time step size \( \tau \). We obtain similar simulation within [2] for a relatively larger \( \tau \), but the behavior is changed for the small \( \tau \). So we conclude that the numerical result of the Bénard convection problem in [2] is not an eventual solution and a more smaller time marching step is required to get the desired simulation.

We will denote \( \tau \) as the time marching size. Also we will use \( \delta \) as the difference of 2 consecutive functions for example, for any sequence function \( z^{n+1} \),

\[
\delta z^{n+1} = z^{n+1} - z^n,
\]

\[
\delta \delta z^{n+1} = (\delta z^{n+1}) - 2 \delta z^n + \delta z^{n-1}, \ldots
\]

In order to introduce the finite element discretization, we need further notations. Let \( H^s(\Omega) \) be the Sobolev space with \( s \) derivatives in \( L^2(\Omega) \), set \( L^2(\Omega) = (L^2(\Omega))^d \) and \( H^s(\Omega) = (H^s(\Omega))^d \), where \( d = 2 \) or \( 3 \), and denote by \( L^2_0(\Omega) \) the subspace of \( L^2(\Omega) \) of functions with vanishing mean value. We indicate with \( \| \cdot \| \) the norm in \( H^s(\Omega) \) and with \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) the inner product in \( L^2(\Omega) \). Let \( \mathcal{I} = [K] \) be a shape-

regular quasiuniform partition of \( \Omega \) of mesh size \( h \) into closed elements \( K \) [8–10]. The vector and scalar finite element spaces are

\[
\mathbb{W}_h := \{ w_h \in L^2(\Omega) : \| w_h \|_K \in S(\mathcal{K}) \forall K \in \mathcal{I} \},
\]

\[
\mathbb{P}_h := \{ p_h \in \mathbb{W}_h \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{T}_h := \{ \theta_h \in H^1_0(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega) : \| \theta_h \|_K \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{K}) \forall K \in \mathcal{I} \},
\]

\[
\mathbb{Q}_h := \{ q_h \in L^2_0(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega) : \| q_h \|_K \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{K}) \forall K \in \mathcal{I} \},
\]

where \( S(\mathcal{K}), \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{K}), \) and \( \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}) \) are spaces of polynomials with degree bounded uniformly with respect to \( K \in \mathcal{I} \) [9, 10]. We stress that the space \( \mathbb{P}_h \) is composed of continuous functions to ensure the crucial equality

\[
\langle \nabla \cdot w_h, \phi_h \rangle = -\langle w_h, \nabla \phi_h \rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in \mathbb{W}_h, \forall \phi_h \in \mathbb{P}_h.
\]

Using the following discrete counterpart of the form \( \mathcal{A}(u, v, w) := \langle (u \cdot \nabla) v, w \rangle \),

\[
\mathcal{A}(u_h, v_h, w_h) := \frac{1}{2} \langle (u_h \cdot \nabla) v_h, w_h \rangle
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \langle (u_h \cdot \nabla) v_h, w_h \rangle,
\]

we now introduce the fully discrete SGUM to solve the evolution Boussinesq equations (1).

**Algorithm 1** (the fully discrete stabilized Gauge-Uzawa method). Compute \( \theta_h^0, u_h^0 \) and \( p_h^0 \) via any method satisfying Assumption 4 below, and set \( \psi_h^1 = (-2\tau/3)p_h^1 \) and \( q_h^1 = 0 \). Repeat for \( 1 \leq n \leq N = [T/\tau - 1] \).

**Step 1.** Set \( u_h^n = 2u_h^{n-1} - u_h^{n-2} \) and \( \theta_h^n = 2\theta_h^{n-1} - \theta_h^{n-2} \), and then find \( \tilde{u}_h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{P}_h \) as the solution of

\[
\frac{1}{2\tau} \left\langle 3\tilde{u}_h^{n+1} - 4u_h^n + u_h^{n-1}, w_h \right\rangle + \langle \nabla p_h^n, w_h \rangle + \mathcal{A}(u_h^n, \tilde{u}_h^{n+1}, w_h)
\]

\[
+ \mu \left( \nabla \tilde{u}_h^{n+1}, \nabla w_h \right) + \kappa \mu \langle \mathcal{G}(\theta_h^n), w_h \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle f(t^{n+1}), w_h \rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in \mathbb{P}_h.
\]

**Step 2.** Find \( \psi_h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{P}_h \) as the solution of

\[
\langle \nabla \psi_h^{n+1}, \nabla \phi_h \rangle = \langle \nabla \psi_h^n, \nabla \phi_h \rangle + \langle \nabla \cdot \tilde{u}_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \rangle, \quad \forall \phi_h \in \mathbb{P}_h.
\]

**Step 3.** Update \( u_h^{n+1} \) and \( q_h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{P}_h \) according to

\[
\tilde{u}_h^{n+1} = u_h^{n+1} + \nabla (\psi_h^{n+1} - \psi_h^n),
\]

\[
\langle q_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \rangle = \langle q_h^n, \phi_h \rangle - \langle \nabla \cdot \tilde{u}_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \rangle, \quad \forall \phi_h \in \mathbb{P}_h.
\]

**Step 4.** Update pressure \( p_h^{n+1} \) by

\[
p_h^{n+1} = \frac{3\psi_h^{n+1}/2\tau + \mu q_h^{n+1}}{2\tau}.
\]

**Step 5.** Find \( \theta_h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{P}_h \) as the solution of

\[
\frac{1}{2\tau} \left\langle 3\theta_h^{n+1} - 4\theta_h^n + \theta_h^{n-1}, \phi_h \right\rangle + \mathcal{A}(\theta_h^n, \theta_h^{n+1}, \phi_h)
\]

\[
+ \lambda \mu \langle \nabla \theta_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle b(t^{n+1}), \phi_h \rangle, \quad \forall \phi_h \in \mathbb{P}_h.
\]

**Remark 2** (discontinuity of \( u_h^{n+1} \)). We note that \( u_h^{n+1} \) is a discontinuous function across inter-element boundaries and
that, in light of (7) and (8), $u_n^{n+1}$ is discrete divergence free in the sense that
\[
\langle u_h^{n+1}, \nabla \phi_h \rangle = \langle \tilde{u}_h^{n+1} + \delta \psi_h^{n+1}, \nabla \phi_h \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \phi_h \in \mathcal{P}_h.
\] (12)

We now summarize the results of this paper along with organization. We introduce appropriate Assumptions 1–5 in Section 2 and introduce well-known lemmas. In Section 3, we prove the stability result.

**Theorem 3** (stability). The SGUM is unconditionally stable in the sense that, for all $\tau > 0$, the following a priori bound holds:

\[
\| u_h^{n+1} \|_0^2 + \| \tilde{u}_h^{n+1} \|_0^2 \leq \| \tilde{u}_h^n \|_0^2 + \| 2u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n \|_0^2
\]
\[+ \tau \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \| \tilde{u}_h^{n+1} \|_0^2 + \| \tilde{u}_h^n \|_0^2 \right) \leq C \left( \tau^2 + h^2 \right) \].

(13)

We then will carry out the following optimal error estimates through several lemmas in Section 4.

**Theorem 4** (error estimates). Suppose the exact solution of (1) is smooth enough and $\tau \leq C h$. If Assumptions 1, 3, 4, and 5 mentioned later hold, then the errors of Algorithm 1 will be bounded by

\[
\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \| u_h(t^{n+1}) - u_h^n \|_0^2 + \| \tilde{u}_h(t^{n+1}) - \tilde{u}_h^n \|_0^2 \right) \leq C \left( \tau^2 + h^4 \right),
\]
\[
\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \| u_h(t^{n+1}) - u_h^n \|_0^2 + h^2 \| \tilde{u}_h(t^{n+1}) - \tilde{u}_h^n \|_0^2 \right) \leq C \left( \tau^2 + h^2 \right),
\]
\[
\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \| \tilde{u}_h(t^{n+1}) - \tilde{u}_h^n \|_0^2 \leq C \left( \tau^4 + h^4 \right).
\] (14)

Moreover, if Assumption 2 also hold, then one has
\[
\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \| p(t^{n+1}) - p_h^{n+1} \|_0 \leq C \left( \tau^2 + h^2 \right).
\] (15)

We note that the condition $\tau \leq C h$ in Theorem 4 can be omitted for the linearized Boussinesq equations (see Remark 16). Finally, we perform numerical tests in Section 5 to check accuracy and physically relevant numerical simulations, the Bénard convection problem and the thermal driven cavity flow.

**2. Preliminaries**

In this section, we introduce 5 assumptions and well known lemmas to use in proof of main theorems. We resort to a duality argument for

\[-\Delta v + \nabla r = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \]

which is the stationary Stokes system with vanishing boundary condition $v = 0$, as well as Poisson’s equation

\[-\Delta \omega = \xi, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \]

with boundary condition $\omega = 0$.

We now state a basic assumption about $\Omega$.

**Assumption 1** (regularity of $(v, r)$ and $\omega$). The unique solutions $(v, r)$ of (16) and $\omega$ of (17) satisfy

\[
\| v \|_2 + \| r \|_1 + C \| z \|_0, \quad \| v \|_2 + C \| \xi \|_0.
\] (18)

We remark that the validity of Assumption 1 is known if $\partial \Omega$ is of class $C^2$ [11, 12], or if $\partial \Omega$ is a two-dimensional convex polygon [13] and is generally believed for convex polyhedral [12].

We impose the following properties for relations between the spaces $V_h$ and $\mathcal{P}_h$.  

**Assumption 2** (discrete inf-sup). There exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that

\[
\inf_{s_h \in \mathcal{P}_h} \sup_{w_h, \tilde{w}_h} \frac{\langle \nabla \cdot w_h, s_h \rangle}{\| w_h \|_0 \| s_h \|_0} \geq \beta.
\] (19)

**Assumption 3** (shape regularity and quasiuniformity [8–10]). There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the ratio between the diameter $h_K$ of an element $K \in \mathcal{T}$ and the diameter of the largest ball contained in $K$ is bounded uniformly by $C$, and $h_K$ is comparable with the meshsize $h$ for all $K \in \mathcal{T}$.

In order to launch Algorithm 1, we need to set $(u_h^0, p_h^0, \theta_h^0)$ via any first-order methods which hold the following conditions.

**Assumption 4** (the setting of the first step values). Let $(u(t^1), p(t^1), \theta(t^1))$ be the exact solution of (1) at $t = t^1$. The first step value $(u_h^0, p_h^0, \theta_h^0)$ satisfies

\[
\| u(t^1) - u_h^0 \|_0 + \| \tilde{u}_h(t^1) - \tilde{u}_h^0 \|_0 \leq C \left( \tau^2 + h^2 \right),
\]
\[
\| u(t^1) - u_h^0 \|_0 + \| p(t^1) - p_h^0 \|_1 + \| \theta(t^1) - \theta_h^0 \|_1 \leq C \left( \tau + h \right).
\] (20)
Assumption 5 (approximability [8–10]). For each \((w, \eta, s) \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)\), there exist approximations \((w_h, \eta_h, s_h) \in V_h \times T_h \times P_h\) such that
\[
\|w - w_h\|_0 + h\|w - w_h\|_1 \leq Ch^2\|w\|_2, \\
\|\eta - \eta_h\|_0 + h\|\eta - \eta_h\|_1 \leq Ch^2\|\eta\|_2, \\
\|s - s_h\|_0 \leq Ch\|s\|_1.
\]
(21)

The following elementary but crucial relations are derived in [14].

Lemma 5 (inverse inequality). If \(I_h\) denotes the Clément interpolant, then
\[
\|I_h w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{-d/6}\|I_h w\|_0, \\
\|w - I_h w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^2\|w\|_2. 
\]
(22)

Lemma 6 (div-grad relation). If \(w \in H^1_0(\Omega)\), then
\[
\|\nabla \cdot w\|_0 \leq \|\nabla w\|_0.
\]
(23)

Let now \((v_h, r_h) \in V_h \times P_h\) indicate the finite element solution of (16); namely,
\[
\langle \nabla v_h, \nabla w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla r_h, w_h \rangle = \langle z, w_h \rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in V_h, \\
\langle \nabla \cdot v_h, s_h \rangle = 0, \quad \forall s_h \in P_h.
\]
(24)

Then we can find the well-known lemmas in [8–10].

Lemma 7 (error estimates for mixed FEM). Let \((v, r) \in H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)\) be the solutions of (16), and \((v_h, r_h) = \mathbb{S}_h(v, r) \in V_h \times P_h\) be the Stokes projection defined by (24), respectively. If Assumptions 1, 2, 3, and 5 hold, then
\[
\|v - v_h\|_0 + h\|v - v_h\|_1 + h\|r - r_h\|_0 \leq Ch^2 (\|\nabla v\|_2 + \|r\|_1), \\
\|v - v_h\| := \|v - v_h\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|\nabla(v - v_h)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla v\|_0.
\]
(25)

Proof. Inequality (25) is standard [8–10]. To establish (26), we just deal with the \(L^\infty\) norm, since the other can be treated similarly. If \(I_h\) denotes the Clément interpolant, then \(\|v - I_h v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla v\|_2\) and
\[
\|I_h v - v_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{-d/2}\|I_h v - v_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla v\|_2
\]
(27)
as a consequence of an inverse estimate. This completes the proof.

Remark 8 (H^1 stability of \(r_h\)). The bound \(\|\nabla r_h\|_0 \leq C(\|\nabla v\|_2 + \|r\|_1)\) is a simple by-product of (16). To see this, we add and subtract \(I_h q_h\) use the stability of \(I_h\) in \(H^1\), and observe that (25) implies \(\|\nabla(r_h - I_h r)\|_0 \leq Ch^{-1}\|r_h - I_h r\|_0 \leq C.\)

Lemma 9 (error estimates for Poisson’s equation [8, 10]). Let \(\omega \in H^2(\Omega)\) be the solution of Poisson’s equation (17), and \(\omega_h \in T_h\) be its finite element approximation
\[
\langle \nabla \omega_h, \nabla \phi_h \rangle = \langle \xi, \phi_h \rangle, \quad \forall \phi_h \in T_h.
\]
(28)

If Assumptions 1, 3, and 5 hold, then there exists a positive constant \(C\) satisfying
\[
\|\omega - \omega_h\|_0 + h\|\omega - \omega_h\|_1 \leq Ch_3\|\omega\|_2^2 \\
\leq Ch^2\|\xi\|_0, \\
\|\omega - \omega_h\|_0 \leq C\|\xi\|_0.
\]
(29)

We finally state without proof several properties of the nonlinear form \(\mathcal{N}\). In view of (5), we have the following properties of \(\mathcal{N}\) for all \(u_h, v_h, w_h \in V_h:\)
\[
\mathcal{N}(u_h, v_h, w_h) = -\mathcal{N}(u_h, w_h, v_h), \\
\mathcal{N}(u_h, v_h, v_h) = 0, \\
\nabla \cdot u = 0 \implies \mathcal{N}(u, v_h, w_h) \\
= \langle (u \cdot \nabla) v_h, w_h \rangle \\
= -\langle (u \cdot v) w_h, v_h \rangle.
\]
(30)

Applying Sobolev imbedding lemma yields the following useful results.

Lemma 10 (bounds on nonlinear convection [1, 12]). Let \(u, v \in H^2(\Omega)\) with \(\nabla \cdot u = 0\), and let \(u_h, v_h, w_h \in V_h\). Then
\[
\mathcal{N}(u_h, v_h, w_h) \leq C \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\|u_h\|_1 \|v_h\|_1 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_1 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\end{array} \right.
\]
(32)

In addition,
\[
\mathcal{N}(u_h, v_h, w_h) \leq C \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\|u_h\|_1 \|v_h\|_1 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_1 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\|u_h\|_2 \|v_h\|_2 \|w_h\|_1 \\
\end{array} \right.
\]
(33)

Remark 11 (Treatment of convection term). As we mention in Remark 2, \(u_h^{n+1}\) is discontinuous across inter-element boundaries and so \(u_h^{n+1} \notin H^1(\Omega)\). Thus, we can’t directly apply (32) anymore to treat the convection term. To solve this difficulty, we apply (34) together with (26) and inverse inequality Lemma 5.

We will use the following algebraic identities frequently to treat time derivative terms.
Lemma 12 (inner product of time derivative terms). For any sequence \( \{z_n\}_{n=0}^N \), one has

\[
2 \langle z_{n+1}^{r+1} - z_n^{r+1}, z_{n+1}^{r+1} \rangle = \delta \|z_{n+1}^{r+1}\|_0^2 + \delta \|2z_{n+1}^{r+1} - z_n^{r+1}\|_0^2 + \delta \|\delta z_{n+1}^{r+1}\|_0^2, \tag{35}
\]

\[
2 \langle z_{n+1}^{r+1} - z_n^{r+1}, z_{n+1}^{r+1} \rangle = \|z_{n+1}^{r+1}\|_0^2 - \|z_n^{r+1}\|_0^2 + \|z_{n+1}^{r+1} - z_n^{r+1}\|_0^2, \tag{36}
\]

\[
2 \langle z_{n+1}^{r+1} - z_n^{r+1}, z_{n+1}^{r+1} \rangle = \|z_{n+1}^{r+1}\|_0^2 - \|z_n^{r+1}\|_0^2 - \|z_{n+1}^{r+1} - z_n^{r+1}\|_0^2. \tag{37}
\]

3. Proof of Stability

We show that the SGUM is unconditionally stable via a standard energy method in this section. We start to prove stability with rewriting the momentum equation (6) by using (8) and (10) as follows:

\[
\frac{1}{2 \tau} \left( 3u_{h}^{n+1} - 4u_{h}^{n} + u_{h}^{n-1}, w_h \right) + \mathcal{N} \left( u_{h}^{n}, \bar{v}_{h}^{n+1}, w_h \right) + \kappa \mu^2 \left( g \bar{v}_{h}^{n+1}, w_h \right) - \left( V \left( u_{h}^{n-1} - \mu g_{h}^{n-1} \right), w_h \right) + \mu \left( \nabla u_{h}^{n+1}, \nabla w_h \right) = \langle f(t^{n+1}), w_h \rangle. \tag{38}
\]

We now choose \( w_h = 4\tau \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1} \) and apply (35) to obtain

\[
\delta \|u_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 + \delta \|2u_{h}^{n+1} - u_{h}^{n}\|_0^2 + \|\delta u_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \leq 4 A_1, \tag{39}
\]

where

\[
A_1 := 6 \left( \nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}, \bar{v}_{h}^{n+1} \right),
\]

\[
A_2 := 4\tau \mu \left( g_{h}^{n}, \nabla \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1} \right),
\]

\[
A_3 := 4\tau \left( f(t^{n+1}), \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1} \right),
\]

\[
A_4 := -4\kappa \mu^2 \left( g \left( 2\psi_{h}^{n} - \theta_{h}^{n-1} \right), \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1} \right).
\]

We give thanks to (30) for eliminating the convection term. In light of \( \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1} = u_{h}^{n+1} - \nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1} \), (12) and (36) yield

\[
A_1 = -6 \left( \nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}, \nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1} \right) = -3 \left( \|\nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 - \|\nabla \psi_{h}^{n}\|_0^2 + \|\nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right). \tag{41}
\]

Before we estimate \( A_2 \), we evaluate an inequality via choosing \( \phi_h = \delta q_{h}^{n+1} \) in (9) to get

\[
\|\delta q_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 = -\langle V \cdot \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1}, \delta q_{h}^{n+1} \rangle \leq \|V \cdot \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1}\|_0 \|\delta q_{h}^{n+1}\|_0. \tag{42}
\]

Lemma 6 derives \( \|\delta q_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \leq \|V \cdot \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \leq \|V \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \), and so (9) and (37) lead us to

\[
A_2 = -4\tau \mu \left( q_{h}^{n+1}, \delta q_{h}^{n+1} \right) \leq -2\tau \mu \left( \|q_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 - \|q_{h}^{n}\|_0^2 - \|\delta q_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right) \tag{43}
\]

Clearly, we have

\[
A_3 \leq C \frac{\tau}{\mu} \left\| f(t^{n+1}) \right\|_0^2 + \tau \mu \|\nabla \bar{u}_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2. \tag{44}
\]

We now attack \( A_4 \) with \( \|\bar{u}_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 = \|u_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 + \|\nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \) which comes from (8) and (12). Then we arrive at

\[
A_4 \leq C \kappa \mu^2 \tau \left( 2\theta_{h}^{n} - \theta_{h}^{n-1} \right) \|\nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 + C \tau \left( \|\psi_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 + \|\nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right). \tag{45}
\]

In conjunction with \( \mathcal{N}(u_{h}^{n}, \theta_{h}^{n+1}, \theta_{h}^{n+1}) = 0 \) which comes from (30), if we choose \( \phi_h = 4\tau \theta_{h}^{n+1} \) in (11) and use (35), then we obtain

\[
\delta \|\theta_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 + \delta \|2\theta_{h}^{n} - \theta_{h}^{n-1}\|_0^2 + \|\delta \theta_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \leq 4\tau \left( b(t^{n+1}), \theta_{h}^{n+1} \right) \leq C \left( \frac{\tau}{\lambda \mu} \|b(t^{n+1})\|_0^2 + \lambda \mu \|\theta_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right) \tag{46}
\]

Inserting \( A_1 - A_4 \) back into (39), adding with (46), and then summing over \( n \) from 1 to \( N \) lead to (13) by help of discrete Gronwall inequality and the equality \( \|\theta_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 = \|u_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 + \|\nabla \psi_{h}^{n+1}\|_0^2 \). So we finish the proof of Theorem 3.

4. Error Estimates

We prove Theorem 4 which is error estimates for SGUM of Algorithm 1. This proof is carried out through several lemmas. We start to prove this theorem with defining \( (U_{h}^{n+1}, P_{h}^{n+1}) := \mathcal{S}_h(u(t^{n+1}), p(t^{n+1})) \in \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{P}_h \) to be
the Stokes projection of the true solution at time $t^{n+1}$. It means that $(U_h^{n+1}, P_h^{n+1}) \in V_h \times P_h$ is the solution of

\[
\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla \left( u \left( t^{n+1} \right) - U_h^{n+1} \right), \nabla w_h \rangle \\
+ \langle \nabla \left( p \left( t^{n+1} \right) - P_h^{n+1} \right), w_h \rangle &= 0, \\
\forall w_h &\in V_h,
\end{aligned}
\]

(47)

and this result is instrumental in proving weak estimates of order 2 for the errors

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\| G^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + \left\| G^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 &+ h^2 \left\| G^{n+1} \right\|_2^2 \\
\leq C h^4 \left\| u(t^{n+1}) \right\|_0^2 + \left\| p(t^{n+1}) \right\|_0^2,
\end{aligned}
\]

(50)

We also define $\Theta_h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{T}_h$ as the solution of

\[
\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla \Theta_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \rangle &= \langle \nabla \theta \left( t^{n+1} \right), \phi_h \rangle, \\
\forall \phi_h &\in \mathbb{T}_h.
\end{aligned}
\]

(48)

And we denote notations $G^{n+1} := u(t^{n+1}) - U_h^{n+1}$,

\[
\begin{aligned}
g^{n+1} &:= p \left( t^{n+1} \right) - P_h^{n+1}, \\
\eta^{n+1} &:= \theta \left( t^{n+1} \right) - \Theta_h^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}
\]

(49)

From Lemma 7, we can deduce

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\| G^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + h^2 \left\| G^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 + h^2 \left\| G^{n+1} \right\|_2^2 \\
\leq C h^4 \left\| u(t^{n+1}) \right\|_0^2 + \left\| p(t^{n+1}) \right\|_0^2,
\end{aligned}
\]

(51)

In conjunction with the definition of $\left\| \cdot \right\|_n$ in (26), we can derive

\[
\left\| G^{n+1} \right\|_n \leq C.
\]

(52)

We now carry out error evaluation by comparing (65) with (6)–(10) and then by comparing (82) and (11). We derive strong estimates of order 1, and this result is instrumental in proving weak estimates of order 2 for the errors

\[
\begin{aligned}
E_h^{n+1} &:= U_h^{n+1} - \mathcal{U}_h^{n+1}, \\
\bar{E}_h^{n+1} &:= U_h^{n+1} - \mathcal{U}_h^{n+1}, \\
\epsilon_h^{n+1} &:= P_h^{n+1} - \mathcal{P}_h^{n+1}, \\
\bar{\epsilon}_h^{n+1} &:= P_h^{n+1} - \mathcal{P}_h^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}
\]

(53)

Then, in conjunction with (50), we can readily get the same accuracy for the errors

\[
\begin{aligned}
E^{n+1} &:= u \left( t^{n+1} \right) - \mathcal{U}_h^{n+1}, \\
\bar{E}^{n+1} &:= u \left( t^{n+1} \right) - \mathcal{U}_h^{n+1}, \\
\epsilon^{n+1} &:= p \left( t^{n+1} \right) - \mathcal{P}_h^{n+1}, \\
\bar{\epsilon}^{n+1} &:= p \left( t^{n+1} \right) - \mathcal{P}_h^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}
\]

(54)

Additionally, we denote

\[
\epsilon_h^{n+1} := P_h^{n+1} + \frac{3\psi_h^{n+1}}{2\tau}.
\]

(55)

We readily obtain the following properties:

\[
\begin{aligned}
G^{n+1} &= E^{n+1} - \mathcal{E}^{n+1} = \bar{E}^{n+1} - \mathcal{E}^{n+1}, \\
E_h^{n+1} &= E_h^{n+1} + \nabla \psi^{n+1}, \\
\bar{E}^{n+1} &= \mathcal{E}^{n+1} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

(56)

Moreover, from (12),

\[
\begin{aligned}
\langle E_h^{n+1}, \psi_h \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{E}^{n+1}, \psi_h \rangle \\
&= \langle G^{n+1}, \psi_h \rangle \\
&= 0, \quad \forall \psi_h \in \mathbb{P}_h.
\end{aligned}
\]

(57)

Whence we deduce crucial orthogonality properties:

\[
\left\| \mathcal{E}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 = \left\| \mathcal{E}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \nabla \psi^{n+1} \right\|_0^2.
\]

(58)

We also point out that, owing to Lemma 6, $q_h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{P}_h$ defined in (9) satisfies

\[
\left\| q_h^{n+1} - \mathcal{Q}_h^{n+1} \right\|_0 \leq \left\| \nabla \mathcal{E}^{n+1} \right\|_0.
\]

(59)

In conjunction with $\eta^{n+1} = \theta(t^{n+1}) - \Theta_h^{n+1} = \Theta_h^{n+1} - \theta_h^{n+1}$, Assumption 5 leads to

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\| \eta^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + h^2 \left\| \eta^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 &\leq C h^4 \left\| \theta(t^{n+1}) \right\|_2^2, \\
\left\| \delta \eta^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + h^2 \left\| \delta \eta^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 &\leq C h^4 \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \left\| \theta \right\|_2 dt.
\end{aligned}
\]

(60)

We now estimate the first-order accuracy for velocity and temperature in Lemma 13 and then the 2nd-order accuracy for time derivative of velocity and temperature in Lemma 15. The result of Lemma 13 is instrumental to treat the convection term in proof of Lemma 15. We will use Lemmas 13 and 15 to prove optimal error accuracy in Lemma 17. Finally, we will prove pressure error estimate in Lemma 18.

**Lemma 13** (reduced rate of convergence for velocity and temperature). *Suppose the exact solution of (1) is smooth enough.*
If Assumptions 3–5 hold, then the velocity and temperature error functions satisfy
\[
\left\| \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 + \left\| 2E^{n+1}_h - E^n_h \right\|^2 + 2\mu \left\| \tilde{G}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 + \frac{4\tau^2}{3} \left\| \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2
\]
+ \mu N \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \left\| \nabla \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 + \lambda \left\| \tilde{G}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 \right)
+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \left\| \delta \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 + \left\| \tilde{G}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 \right)
\leq C \left( r^2 + h^2 \right).
\]

Proof. We resort to the Taylor theorem to write (1) as follows:
\[
\frac{3u(t^{n+1}) - 4u(t^n) + u(t^{n-1})}{2\tau}
\]
\[
+ \left( u(t^{n+1}) \cdot \nabla \right) u(t^{n+1}) + \frac{\nabla p(t^{n+1})}{\tau}
\]
\[- \mu \Delta u(t^{n+1}) + \kappa \mu^2 \nabla \theta(t^{n+1})
\]
\[
= R^{n+1} + f(t^{n+1}),
\]
\[
3\theta(t^{n+1}) - 4\theta(t^n) + \theta(t^{n-1})
\]
\[
= Q^{n+1} + b(t^{n+1}),
\]
where
\[
R^{n+1} := \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} u_{tt}(s)(s - t^n)^2 ds
\]
\[- \left( \frac{1}{4\tau} \right) \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} u_{tt}(s)(t^{n+1} - s)^2 ds,
\]
\[
Q^{n+1} := \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \theta_{tt}(s)(s - t^n)^2 ds
\]
\[- \left( \frac{1}{4\tau} \right) \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \theta_{tt}(s)(t^{n+1} - s)^2 ds,
\]
are the truncation errors. In conjunction with the definition of the Stokes projection \( \{ U^n_h, P^n_h \} \), we readily get a weak formulation for (62), \( \forall w_h \in V_h\):
\[
\frac{1}{2\tau} \left\langle 3u(t^{n+1}) - 4u(t^n) + u(t^{n-1}), w_h \right\rangle
\]
\[+ N(u(t^{n+1}), u(t^{n+1}), w_h) + \left\langle \nabla P^{n+1}_h, w_h \right\rangle
\]
\[+ \mu \left\langle \nabla U^n_h, \nabla w_h \right\rangle + \kappa \mu^2 \left\langle g(t^{n+1}), w_h \right\rangle
\]
\[= \left\langle R^{n+1}, w_h \right\rangle + \left\langle f(t^{n+1}), w_h \right\rangle.
\]
We replace the pressure \( p^n_h \) term in (6) with (10) and then subtract it from (65) to obtain
\[
2\tau \left\langle 3E^{n+1}_h - 4E^n_h + E^{n-1}_h, w_h \right\rangle
\]
\[+ \mu \left\langle \nabla E^{n+1}_h, \nabla w_h \right\rangle
\]
\[= - \left\langle \nabla \delta P^{n+1}_h + e^n_h, w_h \right\rangle + \mu \left\langle \nabla q^n_h, w_h \right\rangle
\]
\[+ N'(2u^n_h - u_h^{n-1}, \tilde{u}_h^{n+1}, w_h)
\]
\[- N'(u(t^{n+1}), u(t^{n+1}), w_h)
\]
\[- k\mu^2 \left\langle g(t^{n+1}) - 2\theta^n_h + \theta^{n-1}_h, w_h \right\rangle
\]
\[+ \left\langle R^{n+1}, w_h \right\rangle.
\]
Choosing \( w_h = 4\tau \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h = 4\tau (\tilde{E}^{n+1}_h - G^{n+1}_h) = 4\tau (E^{n+1}_h + \nabla \tilde{G}^{n+1}_h) \) in (66) and using (58) and (35) yield
\[
\delta \left\| E^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 + \delta \left\| 2E^{n+1}_h - E^n_h \right\|^2
\]
\[+ \left\| \delta \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2 + 6 \left\| \nabla \tilde{G}^{n+1}_h \right\|^2
\]
\[+ 4\tau \left\| \nabla E^{n+1}_h \right\|^2
\]
\[= \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_i,
\]
where
\[
A_1 := -4\tau \nabla'(u(t^{n+1}), u(t^{n+1}), \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h)
\]
\[+ 4\tau \nabla'(2u^n_h - u_h^{n-1}, \tilde{u}_h^{n+1}, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h),
\]
\[
A_2 := -2 \left\langle 3E^{n+1}_h - 4G^n + G^{n-1}, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\rangle,
\]
\[
A_3 := -4\tau \left\langle \nabla \delta E^{n+1}_h, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\rangle,
\]
\[
A_4 := -4\tau \left\langle \nabla q^n_h, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\rangle,
\]
\[
A_5 := 4\tau \left\langle \nabla q^n_h, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\rangle,
\]
\[
A_6 := 4\tau \left\langle R^{n+1}, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\rangle.
\]
\[
A_7 := -4\tau \kappa \mu^2 \left\langle g(t^{n+1}) - 2\theta^n_h + \theta^{n-1}_h, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h \right\rangle.
\]
We now estimate all the terms from \( A_1 \) to \( A_7 \), respectively. To tackle \( A_1 \), we first add and subtract \( 2u(t^n) \) to obtain
\[
A_1 = -4\tau \nabla'(\delta u(t^{n+1}), u(t^{n+1}), \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h)
\]
\[+ 4\tau \nabla'(2u^n_h - u_h^{n-1}, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h)
\]
\[+ 4\tau \nabla'(-2E^n_h - E^{n-1}_h, u(t^{n+1}), \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h).
\]
Because of \( \delta'(2u^n_h - u_h^{n-1}, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h, \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h) = 0 \), which comes from (30), the second term of \( A_1 \) can be replaced by
\[
4\tau \nabla'(2E^n_h - E^{n-1}_h, u(t^{n+1}), \tilde{E}^{n+1}_h).
\]
If we apply Lemma 10, then we can readily obtain
\[ A_1 \leq C_1 \left( \| \delta u^{(n+1)} \|_0 \| u^{(n+1)} \|_2 + 2E^{n+1}_0 - E^{n-1}_0 \|_2 \right) \]
\[ + C_2 \left( \| 2E^{n+1}_0 - E^{n-1}_0 \|_2 \right) \]
\[ + C_3 \left( \| 2E^{n+1}_0 - E^{n-1}_0 \|_2 + \| G^{n+1}_0 \|_2 \right) \]
\[ + \mu \tau \| \nabla E^{n+1}_0 \|_0^2 + \frac{C_4 \tau^4}{\mu} \]
\[ \times \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \| u_{tt}(t) \|_0^2 dt. \]

In light of (51) and (58), \( A_2 \) becomes
\[ A_2 \leq C_1 \left( \| E^{n+1} \|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ + C_3 \left( \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ + C_4 \left( \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ \times \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left( \| u_{tt}(t) \|_0^2 + \| \rho_t(t) \|_0^2 \right) dt. \]

In order to estimate \( A_3 \) and \( A_4 \), we note that the cancellation law (12) gives \( \langle \nabla E^{n+1}_0, \epsilon^{(n+1)} \rangle = 0 \). Then \( \nabla E^{n+1}_0 = \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h + \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \) yields
\[ A_3 = -4 \tau \left( \langle \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h, \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \rangle \right) \]
\[ \leq \tau \left( \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + C_5 \right) \]
\[ \times \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left( \| u_{tt}(t) \|_0^2 + \| \rho_t(t) \|_0^2 \right) dt. \]

In conjunction with the definition \( \epsilon^{n+1}_h = P^{n+1}_h + 3 \psi^{n+1}_h / 2 \), \( A_4 \) can be evaluated by
\[ A_4 = -4 \tau \left( \langle \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h, \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \rangle \right) \]
\[ \leq -4 \tau \left( \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ + C_6 \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + C_7 \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2. \]

If we now apply inequality \((a + b)^2 \leq 4a^2 + (4/3)b^2\), then we can get
\[ 4\tau^2 \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \]
\[ = \frac{4\tau^2}{3} \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \]
\[ \leq C_8 \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + 4\| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2. \]

So we arrive at
\[ A_4 \leq -4 \tau^2 \left( \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ \leq C_9 \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + 4\| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2. \]

In light of \( \langle \psi^{n+1}_h, \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \rangle = 0 \) and (37), (9) and (59) yield
\[ A_5 = 4\mu \tau \left( \langle \psi^{n+1}_h, \psi^{n+1}_h \rangle \right) \]
\[ = -4\mu \tau \left( \langle \psi^{n+1}_h, \delta \psi^{n+1}_h \rangle \right) \]
\[ \leq -2\mu \tau \left( \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ + 2\mu \tau \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2. \]

Also we readily get
\[ A_6 \leq C_1 \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \]
\[ + C_7 \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \]
\[ \times \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \| u_{tt}(t) \|_0^2 dt. \]

The Hölder inequality and (60) yield
\[ A_7 = -4k\mu^2 \tau \left( \langle \delta \psi^{n+1}_h (t_{n+1}) - 28^n + \delta \psi^{n+1}_h \rangle, \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \right) \]
\[ \leq C_1 \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \]
\[ + \tau \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 + \| \nabla \psi^{n+1}_h \|_0^2 \]
\[ \times \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \| u_{tt}(t) \|_0^2 dt. \]
Inserting the above estimates into (67) gives

$$\begin{align*}
\delta \left\| E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 & + \delta \left\| 2E_{h+1}^{n+1} - E_{h+1}^n \right\|_0^2 \\
& + \left\| \delta \delta E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \nabla \delta \psi_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + \mu \tau \left\| \nabla E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& + \frac{4 \tau^2}{3} \left\| \nabla e_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + 2 \mu \tau \left\| \phi_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& \leq \frac{4 \tau^2}{3} \left\| \nabla e_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + C \tau^2 \left\| \nabla e_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + 2 \mu \tau \left\| \phi_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& + C \tau \left( \left\| E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + \left\| 2E_{h+1}^{n} - E_{h+1}^{n-1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& + 2 \left\| G - G_h^{n-1} \right\|_0^2 + \left\| G_h^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \right) \\
& + C \kappa^2 \mu \tau \left\| 2\theta_{h+1}^{n+1} - \theta_{h+1}^{n-1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& + C \kappa^4 \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left( \left\| u_m(t) \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \theta_m(t) \right\|_0^2 \right) dt \\
& + C \left( t^2 + \tau^4 \right) \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left( \left\| u(t) \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \theta(t) \right\|_0^2 \right) dt.
\end{align*}$$

On the other hand, the definition (48) of $\Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \in \mathbb{T}_h$ leads to a weak formula of (63) as, for all $\phi_h \in \mathbb{T}_h$,

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \tau} \left\langle 3 \Theta(t^{n+1}) - 4 \Theta(t) + \Theta(t^{n-1}), \phi_h \right\rangle \\
+ \mathcal{N} \left( u(t^{n+1}), \phi_h \right) \\
- \mathcal{N} \left( u_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \right) \\
+ \lambda \mu \left\langle \nabla \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1}, \nabla \phi_h \right\rangle \\
= \left\langle Q^{n+1}, \phi_h \right\rangle.
\end{align*}$$

We now subtract (11) from (82) to derive

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \tau} \left\langle 3 \Theta(t^{n+1}) - 4 \Theta(t) + \Theta(t^{n-1}), \phi_h \right\rangle \\
+ \mathcal{N} \left( u(t^{n+1}), \phi_h \right) \\
- \mathcal{N} \left( u_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \right) \\
+ \lambda \mu \left\langle \nabla \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1}, \nabla \phi_h \right\rangle \\
= \left\langle Q^{n+1}, \phi_h \right\rangle.
\end{align*}$$

Choosing $\phi_h = 4 \tau \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} = 4 \tau (\delta \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} - \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1})$ yields

$$\begin{align*}
\delta \left\| \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 & + \delta \left\| 2\Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} - \Theta_{h+1}^{n-1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& + \left\| \delta \delta \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + 4 \lambda \mu \tau \left\| \nabla \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \\
= A_8 + A_9,
\end{align*}$$

where

$$\begin{align*}
A_8 & := - 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( u(t^{n+1}), \phi_h \right) \\
& + 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( u_h^{n+1}, \phi_h \right), \\
A_9 & := - 2 \left\langle 3 \eta^{n+1} - 4 \eta(t) + \eta(t^{n+1}), \phi_h \right\rangle \\
& + 4 \tau \left\langle Q^{n+1}, \phi_h \right\rangle.
\end{align*}$$

To estimate $A_8$, we note $\mathcal{N} \left( E_{h+1}^{n+1} - u(t^{n+1}), \delta \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right) = 0$ which comes from (30), then $\left\| E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_{L^2(0)} \leq C \tau^{1/2} \left\| E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0$ and $\left\| \eta^{n+1} \right\|_1 \leq C \tau \left\| \eta^{n+1} \right\|_1$ yield

$$\begin{align*}
A_8 & = - 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( E_{h+1}^{n+1}, \phi_h \right) \\
& + 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( E_{h+1}^{n+1} - u(t^{n+1}), \phi_h \right) \\
& \leq C \tau \left\| E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0 \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_1 \\
& + C \tau \left\| E_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_{L^2(0)} \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_1 \\
& \leq C \tau \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 + C \tau \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_0^2.
\end{align*}$$

We use $\left\| \delta \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \leq C h \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left\| \phi(t) \right\|_0^2 dt$ which is (60) to attack $A_9$:

$$\begin{align*}
A_9 & \leq C \| 3 \eta^{n+1} - 4 \eta(t) + \eta(t^{n+1}) \|_0 \\
& + C \tau \left\| Q^{n+1} \right\|_0 \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_1 \\
& \leq C \tau \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 + C h \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left\| \phi(t) \right\|_0^2 dt \\
& + C \tau \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left\| \theta_m(t) \right\|_0^2 dt.
\end{align*}$$

Inserting the above estimates into (84) gives

$$\begin{align*}
\delta \left\| \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 & + \delta \left\| 2\Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} - \Theta_{h+1}^{n-1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& + \left\| \delta \delta \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + 4 \lambda \mu \tau \left\| \nabla \Theta_{h+1}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \\
& \leq C \tau \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 + C \tau \left\| \phi_h^{n+1} \right\|_0^2
\end{align*}$$
Adding 2 inequalities (81) and (88) and summing over \( n \) from 1 to \( N \) imply

\[
\|E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|2E_{n+1}^N - E_{n}^N\|^2 \\
+ \|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|2\delta E_{n+1}^N - \delta E_{n}^N\|^2 \\
+ 2\mu r \|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \frac{4\tau^2}{3} \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \|\delta \delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\delta \delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \right) \\
+ \mu r \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \right) \\
+ \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \right) \\
+ Cr \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \right) \\
+ \mu r \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \mu r \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ \frac{4\tau^2}{3} \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \frac{\mu \tau}{3} \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
\leq C (\tau^2 + h^4) \\
\]  

Because of \( q^1 = 0 \), we have \( e_1^1 = P_1^1 + (3/2\tau)\psi_1^1 = e_1^1 \), and thus, Assumption 4 yields \( \|\nabla e_1^1\|^2 \leq C \) and the first 4 terms in the right-hand side can be bound by Assumption 4 and properties \( E_0 = 0 \) and \( q^1 = 0 \) which are directly deduced from the conditions in Algorithm 1. Moreover, the remaining terms can be treated by the discrete Gronwall lemma. Finally, in conjunction with (58), we conclude the desired result and complete this proof. \( \square \)

Remark 14 (optimal estimation). In order to get optimal accuracy, we must get rid of the terms of \( A_3 \) and \( A_4 \) by applying duality argument in Lemma 17. To do this, we first evaluate the errors for time derivative of velocity and temperature in Lemma 15. Thus, we need to evaluate optimal initial errors for the case \( n = 1 \), and so we have to recompute again (77). We start to rewrite \( A_4 \) as

\[
A_4 = - \frac{8\tau^2}{3} \left( \nabla e_1^1, \nabla (\delta q_1^2 - \delta P_1^2) \right) \\
\leq - \frac{4\tau^2}{3} \left( \|\nabla e_1^1\|^2 - \|\nabla \epsilon_1^1\|^2 - \|\nabla \epsilon_1^1\|^2 \right) \\
+ Cr^2 \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + Cr^2 \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ 4\|\nabla \psi^2\|^2 + Cr^3 \\
\times \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 \right) dt. \\
\]  

In light of Assumption 4, we arrive at

\[
\|E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|2E_{n+1}^N - E_{n}^N\|^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\nabla \psi^2\|^2 \\
+ \|2\delta E_{n+1}^N - \delta E_{n}^N\|^2 + \|\nabla \psi^2\|^2 \\
+ \mu r \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \mu r \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ \frac{4\tau^2}{3} \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \mu \lambda r \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
\leq C (\tau^4 + h^4). \\
\]  

We now start to estimate errors for time derivative of velocity.

Lemma 15 (error estimate for time derivative of velocity and temperature). Suppose the exact solution of (1) is smooth enough and \( \tau \leq Ch \). If Assumptions 3–5 hold, then the time derivative velocity and temperature error functions satisfy

\[
\|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ \|2\delta E_{n+1}^N - \delta E_{n}^N\|^2 \\
+ 2\mu r \|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ \|2\delta E_{n+1}^N - \delta E_{n}^N\|^2 + \|\delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ \sum_{n=2}^{N} \left( \|\delta \delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\nabla \delta \psi_{n+1}\|^2 \right) \\
+ \|\delta \delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \|\nabla \delta \psi_{n+1}\|^2 \\
+ \frac{4\tau^2}{3} \|\nabla \delta E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \\
+ \mu r \sum_{n=2}^{N} \left( \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 + \lambda \|\nabla E_{n+1}^N\|^2 \right) \\
\leq C (\tau^4 + h^4). \\
\]  


Remark 16 (the condition $\tau \leq C h$). The assumption $\tau \leq C h$ requires to control convection terms which are used at only (100) and (117), so we can omit this condition for the linearized Boussinesq equations. However, this condition cannot be removed for nonlinear equation case, because (99) must be bounded by $h$.

Proof. We subtract two consecutive formulas of (66) and impose $w_{n} = 4\tau \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|\delta E^{n+1}_{h}\|_{0}^{2} + 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h} - \delta E^{n}_{h} \|_{0}^{2} + \delta \|\delta \delta E_{h}^{n+1}\|_{0}^{2} + 2\|\delta \delta E_{h}^{n+1}\|_{0}^{2} - \|E^{n}_{h}\|_{0}^{2} - 2\|\delta E^{n}_{h} - \delta E^{n-1}_{h}\|_{0}^{2} \\
&+ 4\mu \tau \|\nabla \delta E^{n+1}_{h}\|_{0}^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{7} A_{n},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A_{1} := 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( u(t^{n}), u(t^{n+1}), \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) - 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2u^{n+1}_{h} - u^{n-2}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) - 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( u(t^{n+1}), u(t^{n}), \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) + 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2u^{n}_{h} - u^{n-2}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right),
$$

$$
A_{2} := -2 \left( 3\delta G^{n+1} - 4\delta G^{n} + \delta G^{n-1} - \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right),
$$

$$
A_{3} := 4\tau \left( \nabla \delta \delta E_{h}^{n+1}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right),
$$

$$
A_{4} := 4\tau \left( \nabla \delta E_{h}^{n+1}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right),
$$

$$
A_{5} := 4\mu \left( \nabla \delta \bar{E}_{h}^{n+1}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right),
$$

$$
A_{6} := 4\tau \left( \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right),
$$

$$
A_{7} := 4\mu \tau \tau \times \left( g \delta \left( \theta^{n+1} - 2\theta^{n} + \theta^{n-1} \right), \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right).
$$

We now estimate each term from $A_{1}$ to $A_{7}$ separately. The convection term $A_{1}$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$
A_{1} = 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( \delta \delta u(t^{n}), u(t^{n+1}), \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) - 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( \delta \delta u(t^{n+1}), u(t^{n+1}), \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) + 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2E^{n+1}_{h} - E^{n-2}_{h}, u(t^{n}), \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) - 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2E^{n+1}_{h} - E^{n-2}_{h}, u(t^{n+1}), \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) + 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2u^{n+1}_{h} - u^{n-2}_{h}, \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) - 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2u^{n+1}_{h} - u^{n-2}_{h}, \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right).
$$

In estimating convection terms, we will use Lemma 10 frequently without notice. We recall $\|u(t)\|_{2} \leq C$ to obtain

$$
A_{1,1} + A_{1,2} \\
\leq Cr \left( \|\delta \delta u(t^{n+1})\|_{0} \|u(t^{n+1})\|_{2} + \|\delta \delta u(t^{n})\|_{0} \|u(t^{n})\|_{2} \right) \|\delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}\|_{1} \\
\leq \frac{\mu r}{6} \|\nabla \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{Cr}{\mu} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} dt.
$$

The result in Lemma 13, $\|2E^{n} - E^{n-1}\|_{0} \leq C(\tau + h)$, is essential to treat the next 2 convection terms. Invoking (33), we have

$$
A_{1,3} + A_{1,4} \\
\leq Cr \left( 2E^{n} - E^{n-1} \right) \times \|u(t^{n+1})\|_{2} \|E^{n+1}_{h}\|_{1} + Cr \left( 2E^{n} - E^{n-1} \right) \times \|u(t^{n})\|_{2} \|E^{n+1}_{h}\|_{1} \\
\leq \frac{\mu r}{6} \|\nabla \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{Cr}{\mu} \|\bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{Cr}{\mu} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} dt.
$$

We note $\mathcal{N} \left( 2u^{n+1}_{h} - u^{n-2}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) = 0$ which comes from (30). Then we obtain

$$
A_{1,5} + A_{1,6} \\
= -4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2\delta u^{n+1}_{h} - \delta u^{n-2}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) \\
= -4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2\delta u^{n+1}_{h} - \delta u^{n-2}_{h}, G^{n+1}_{h} + \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) \\
= -4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2\delta u^{n+1}_{h} - \delta u^{n-2}_{h}, \delta G^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) \\
= 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h} - 2\delta u^{n+1}_{h}, G^{n+1}_{h} + \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) \\
= 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h} - 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h}, E^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) \\
= 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h} - 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) + 4\tau \mathcal{N} \left( 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h} - 2\delta E^{n+1}_{h}, \delta \bar{E}^{n+1}_{h} \right) \\
:= B_{1} + B_{2}.
$$
To attack $B_1$, we first note Lemma 5 which is, for any $w \in V_h$,
\[ \|w\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \leq C \] (99)
which is the result of Lemma 13, then we can conclude, in light of (34),
\[ B_1 \leq C \|2\delta E' - \delta E''\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \]
\[ \times \|\delta E_h^{n+1} + G^{n+1}\|_0 \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0 \]
\[ + C \|2\delta u(t^n) - \delta u(t^{n-1})\|_2 \]
\[ \times \|E_h^{n+1} + G^{n+1}\|_0 \|\delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0 \]
\[ \leq \frac{\mu r}{6} \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 + \frac{C r^2}{\mu} (1 + \frac{r}{h}) \]
\[ \times \|2\delta E' - \delta E''\|_0^2 \]
\[ + C r^2 (r + h)^2 \int_{t^n}^{t_{n+1}} \|u(t)\|_2^2 \] (100)
We now estimate $B_2$ using $\|2\delta E^{n-1} - E^{n-2}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{-d/6} \|E^{n-1} - E^{n-2}\|_0 \leq M$:
\[ B_2 \leq C \|2\delta E^{n-1} - E^{n-2}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \]
\[ \times \|\delta G^{n+1}\|_1 \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0 \]
\[ + C \|2\delta u(t^{n-1}) - u(t^{n-2})\|_2 \]
\[ \times \|\delta G^{n+1}\|_1 \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0 \]
\[ \leq C \|\delta G^{n+1}\|_1 \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0 \]
\[ + \frac{\mu r}{6} \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \]
\[ \leq \frac{\mu r}{6} \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 + C r^2 h^2 \]
\[ \times \int_{t^n}^{t_{n+1}} \left( \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \|p_t(t)\|_2^2 \right) dt. \] (101)
In light of Hölder inequality, (51) yields
\[ A_2 = -2 \left\{ 3\delta G^{n+1} - 4\delta G^{n} \right\} \]
\[ + \delta G^{n-1}, \delta E_h^{n+1} \right\} \] (102)
Integral by parts leads to
\[ A_3 = 4\tau \left( \delta\delta E_h^{n+1}, \nabla \cdot \delta E_h^{n+1} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{\mu r}{6} \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 + \frac{C r^4}{\mu} \]
\[ \times \int_{t^n}^{t_{n+1}} \left( \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|p_{tt}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dt. \] (103)
In order to tackle $A_4$, marking use of $\delta E_h^{n+1} = \delta E_h^{n-1} + \nabla \delta \psi_h^{n+1}$, we readily get
\[ A_4 = -4\tau \left( \delta\delta E_h^{n+1}, \delta E_h^{n+1} + \nabla \delta \psi_h^{n+1} \right) \]
\[ = -\frac{8r^2}{3} \left( \delta\delta E_h^{n+1}, \nabla \left( \delta\psi_h^{n+1} - \delta E_h^{n+1} \right) \right) \]
\[ \leq -\frac{8r^2}{3} \left( \|\delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 - \|\delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ = -\frac{8r^2}{3} \left( \|\delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 - \|\delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right) \] (104)
If we now apply inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 4a^2 + (4/3)b^2$, then we can have
\[ \frac{4r^2}{3} \|\nabla \delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \]
\[ = \frac{4r^2}{3} \|\nabla \delta\psi_h^{n+1} + \frac{3}{2r} \nabla \delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \]
\[ \leq C r^2 \|\nabla \delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \]
\[ + 4 \|\nabla \delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2. \] (105)
So we arrive at
\[ A_4 \leq -\frac{4r^2}{3} \left( \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 - \|\nabla \delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ + 4 \|\nabla \delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 + C r^4 \|\nabla \delta\psi_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \]
\[ + C r^4 \int_{t^n}^{t_{n+1}} \left( \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|p_{tt}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dt. \] (106)
Invoking (9), (37) and (60) lead to
\[ A_5 = -4\tau \left( \delta q_h^{n+1}, \delta \delta q_h^{n+1} \right) \]
\[ = -2\tau \left( \|\delta q_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 - \|\delta q_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \right) \]
\[ - \|\delta q_h^{n+1}\|_0^2 \] (107)
Finally, the $A_6$ term becomes
\begin{equation}
A_6 \leq C r \int \frac{\|\delta R^{n-1}\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2} \ \|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2
+ C r^4 \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t+1} \frac{\|u_i(t)\|_0^2}{\int_0^t} dt.
\end{equation}

For the new term $A_7$, we argue as in Lemma 13 to arrive at
\begin{equation}
A_7 \leq C r \int \frac{\|\delta E_h^n\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2} \ \|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2
+ \int \frac{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^n\|_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \\|\Delta \psi h^{n+1}\|_0^2
+ C r^4 \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t+1} \frac{\|\theta_i(t)\|_0^2}{\int_0^t} dt.
\end{equation}

We now insert the above estimates into (93) to obtain
\begin{equation}
\delta \int \frac{\|\delta E_h^n\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2} + \delta \int \frac{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^n\|_0^2}
+ \int \frac{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^n\|_0^2} + \frac{2}{\mu} \\|\Delta \psi h^{n+1}\|_0^2
+ \int \frac{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^n\|_0^2} + \frac{4 \tau^2}{3} \\|\Delta \psi h^{n+1}\|_0^2
\leq \frac{C r}{\mu} \left( \int \frac{\|\delta E_h^n\|_0^2}{\|\delta E_h^{n-1}\|_0^2} + \frac{4 \tau^2}{3} \\|\Delta \psi h^{n+1}\|_0^2 + C r^4 \right)
\end{equation}

To evaluate errors of $\delta h^{n+1}$, we subtract two consecutive formulas (83) and choose by $\phi_h = 4r\delta h^{n+1} = 4r(\delta h^{n+1} - \delta h^{n-1})$ as follows:
\begin{equation}
\delta \int \frac{\|\delta h^{n+1}\|_0^2}{\|\delta h^n\|_0^2} + \delta \int \frac{\|\delta h^n\|_0^2}{\|\delta h^{n-1}\|_0^2}
+ \int \frac{\|\delta h^{n-1}\|_0^2}{\|\delta h^n\|_0^2} + 4 \mu \tau \\|\nabla \delta h^{n+1}\|_0^2
= A_8 + A_9,
\end{equation}

where
\begin{equation}
A_8 := 4r A\left( \frac{u(t^n)}{\theta(t^n)}, \theta(t^n), \delta h^{n+1}\right)
- 4r A\left( u_0^n, \theta_h^n, \delta h^{n+1}\right)
- 4r A\left( u(t^n), \theta(t^n), \delta h^{n+1}\right)
+ 4r A\left( u_0^n, \theta_h^n, \delta h^{n+1}\right),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
A_9 := -2 \left( 3 \delta h^{n+1} - 4 \delta h^n + \delta h^{n-1}, \delta h^{n+1}\right)
+ 4 \tau \left( \delta h^{n+1}, \delta h^{n+1}\right).
\end{equation}

We treat the $A_9$ term first by rewriting it as follows:
\begin{equation}
A_9 = -4r A\left( \frac{\theta(t^n)}{\theta_h(t^n)}, \delta h^{n+1}\right)
- 4r A\left( \theta_h^n, \theta_h^n, \delta h^{n+1}\right)
+ 4r A\left( \theta^n, \theta(t^n), \delta h^{n+1}\right)
+ 4r A\left( u_h^n, \theta, \delta h^{n+1}\right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
:= \sum_{i=1}^4 A_{8,i}.
\end{equation}

In estimating convection terms, we will use Lemma 10 frequently without notice. We recall $\|\theta(t)\|_0 \leq C$ and $\|E_h\|_0 \leq C(r + h)$ which is the result in Lemma 13 to obtain
\begin{equation}
A_{8,1} + A_{8,3} = -4r A\left( \frac{\theta(t^n)}{\theta_h(t^n)}, \delta h^{n+1}\right)
- 4r A\left( \theta_h^n, \theta_h^n, \delta h^{n+1}\right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\leq C r \left( \|\delta E_h^{n+1}\|_0 \|\theta(t^n)\|_0\right)
+ \|E_h\|_0 \|\delta h(t^n)\|_0 \|\delta h^{n+1}\|_0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\leq \lambda \mu \|\nabla \delta h^{n+1}\|_0^2
+ \frac{C r (r + h)^2}{\lambda \mu}
\int \frac{\|\theta_i(t)\|_0^2}{\|\theta_i(t)\|_0^2} dt.
\end{equation}
In conjunction with $\mathcal{N}(\beta_h^{n+1}, \delta u_h^{n+1}, \delta \theta_h^{n+1}) = 0$ which comes from (30), we rewrite $A_{8.2} + A_{8.4}$ as

$$A_{8.2} + A_{8.4} = 4\tau \mathcal{N}(F_h^{n+1} - U_h^{n+1},$$

$$\delta q_h^{n+1}, \delta \theta_h^{n+1}) + 4\tau \mathcal{N}(\delta u_h^{n+1} - \delta U_h^{n+1},$$

$$\delta \theta_h^{n+1}) \tag{115}$$

$$:= B_3 + B_4.$$  

Because we can readily get $\|F_h^{n+1} - U_h^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq M$ via Lemmas 5 and 13, we conclude, in conjunction with (60),

$$B_3 \leq C \tau \|F_h^{n+1} - U_h^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\times \|\delta q_h^{n+1}\|_{1} \|\delta \theta_h^{n+1}\|_{1}$$

$$\leq \lambda \mu \tau \|V \delta \theta_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + \frac{C \tau^2 h^2}{\lambda \mu}$$

$$\times \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} \|\theta_h(t)\|_{2}^2 dt. \tag{116}$$

Before we attack $B_4$, we note that the assumption $\tau \leq Ch$ is required to apply $\|\delta E_h^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \tau^{-1/2} \|\delta E_h^{n}\|_{0}$. We now imply $\|q^n\|_{2}^2 + \tau\|\theta^n\|_{1}^2 \leq C(\tau^2 + h^2)$ and (51) to get

$$B_4 = 4\tau \mathcal{N}(\delta G_h^{n+1} - \delta \theta_h^{n-1})$$

$$+ \delta E_h^{n+1}, \delta \theta_h^{n+1}) \leq C \tau \left( \|\delta G_h^{n+1}\|_{1} + \|\delta E_h^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)$$

$$\times \|\theta^n\|_{1} + \|\delta u(t^n)\|_{2} \|\theta^n\|_{2} \|\delta \theta_h^{n+1}\|_{1}$$

$$\leq \frac{C \tau}{\lambda \mu} \|\delta E_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + \lambda \mu \tau \|V \delta \theta_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \frac{C \tau^2 (\tau^2 + h^2)}{\lambda \mu}$$

$$\times \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau+1} \left( \|u_h(t)\|_{2}^2 + \|p_h(t)\|_{2}^2 \right) dt. \tag{117}$$

Inserting the above estimates into (111) yields

$$\delta \|\delta v_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + \delta \|\delta \theta_h^{n+1} - \delta \theta_h^{n}\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \|\delta \tilde{v}_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + \lambda \mu \tau \|V \delta \theta_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2$$

$$\leq C \tau \|\delta E_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \frac{C \tau}{\lambda \mu} \left( \|\delta E_h^{n+1}\|_{0} + \|\delta E_h^{n}\|_{0} \right)^2$$

$$+ \|\delta G_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + C (\tau^4 + h^4)$$

$$\times \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau+1} \left( \|\theta_h(t)\|_{2}^2 + \|\theta_h(t)\|_{2}^2 \right) dt. \tag{119}$$

Adding 2 equations (110) and (119) and then summing up $n$ from 2 to $N$ lead up to (92) and complete the proof.

We now estimate optimal accuracy for velocity and temperature.

**Lemma 17** (full rate of convergence for velocity and temperature). One denotes that $(\nu_h^{n+1}, r_h^{n+1})$ and $(\mu_h^{n+1}, \omega_h^{n+1})$ are the solutions of (16) and (24) with $z = E_h$, respectively. And let $\omega_h^{n+1}$ and $\omega_h^{n+1}$ be solutions of (17) and (28) with $\xi = \theta_h$. Let the exact solution of (1) be smooth enough and $\tau \leq Ch$. If Assumptions 1 and 3–5 hold, then one has

$$\|\nu_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \|\nu(2\nu_h^{n+1} - \nu_h^{n})\|_{0}^2 + \|\nu\omega_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \|\nu(2\omega_h^{n+1} - \omega_h^{n})\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \|\nabla \delta \nu_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + \|\nabla \delta \omega_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 \right)$$

$$+ 2\mu \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|E_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \lambda \|\theta_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2$$

$$\leq C (\tau^4 + h^4). \tag{120}$$

**Proof.** We choose $w_h = 4\tau \nu_h^{n+1} \in \mathcal{V}_h$ in (66) to obtain

$$\delta \|\nu_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + \delta \|\nu(2\nu_h^{n+1} - \nu_h^{n})\|_{0}^2$$

$$+ \|\nabla \delta \nu_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 + 4\mu \tau \|E_h^{n+1}\|_{0}^2 \tag{121}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{5} A_i,$$
where
\[
A_1 := 4 \tau N \left( 2 u_n^n - u_{n-1}^n, \bar{u}_{n+1}^n, \psi_{n+1}^r \right) \\
- 4 \tau N \left( u^n(t_{n+1}), u^n(t_{n+1}), \ldots, \tau \| \nabla \psi_{n+1} \|_0 + C \frac{2}{\tau} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n-1}} \left( \| u(t) \|_2^2 + \| p(t) \|_2^2 \right) dt \right),
\]
\[
A_2 := \left\langle 3 G^{n+1} - 4 G^n + \sum_{i=1}^{r} G^{n+1}, \psi_{n+1}^r \right\rangle,
\]
\[
A_3 := 4 \tau \left( \bar{E}_{n+1}^r, \nabla \psi_{n+1}^r \right),
\]
\[
A_4 := 4 \tau \left( \bar{E}_{n+1}^r, \psi_{n+1}^r \right),
\]
\[
A_5 := 4 \kappa \tau^2 \left( \theta \left( (t_{n+1}) - 2 \theta_{n+1} + \theta_{n-1}^r \right), \psi_{n+1}^r \right).
\]

We now estimate all the terms from \( A_1 \) to \( A_5 \), respectively. The convection term \( A_1 \) can be rewritten as follows:
\[
A_1 = -4 \tau A \left( \delta u \left( t^{n+1} \right), u \left( t^{n+1} \right), \psi_{n+1}^r \right) \\
- 4 \tau A \left( 2 u(t^n) - u(t^{n+1}), \bar{E}_{n+1}^r, \psi_{n+1}^r \right) \\
+ 4 \tau A \left( 2 E^n - E^{n+1}, \bar{E}_{n+1}^r, \psi_{n+1}^r \right) \\
- 4 \tau A \left( 2 E^n - E^{n+1}, u(t^{n+1}), \psi_{n+1}^r \right),
\]
and we denote by \( A_{1,i} \), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4 \), the four terms in the right-hand side. To estimate convection terms, we will use frequently Lemma 10 without notice. Using \( \| u(t^{n+1}) \|_2 \leq M \), we can readily get
\[
A_{1,1} \leq C \tau \| \delta \bar{E} \|_0 \| u(t^{n+1}) \|_2 \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_1
\]
\[
A_{1,2} \leq C \tau \| \nabla \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2 + C \mu \tau^4 \times \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n+1}} \| u(t) \|_2^2 dt,
\]
\[
A_{1,3} \leq C \tau \left( \| E^n \|_0 + \| \delta E^n \|_0 \right) \\
\times \| u(t^{n+1}) \|_2 \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_1
\]
\[
A_{1,4} \leq \frac{\mu \tau}{2} \left( \| E^n \|_0^2 + \| \delta E^n \|_0^2 \right) \\
+ \| \delta \bar{E}_{n+1}^r \|_0^2 + \| \delta \bar{G} \|_0^2
\]
\[
A_{1,5} \leq \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2.
\]
Because \( V \cdot (2 u(t^n) - u(t^{n-1})) = 0 \) and \( 2 u(t^n) - u(t^{n-1}) = 0 \) on boundary, we can use (32) and so we get
\[
A_{1,1} \leq C \tau \| E^n \|_0 \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_1
\]
\[
A_{1,2} \leq C \tau \| E^n \|_0 \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_1
\]
\[
A_{1,3} \leq \frac{\mu \tau}{2} \left( \| E^n \|_0^2 + \| \delta E^n \|_0^2 \right)
\]
\[
A_{1,4} \leq \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2.
\]
In light of \( \epsilon_{n+1} = p_{n+1} + \psi_{n+1}^r + 2 \psi_{n+1}^r / 2 \tau \), we can obtain
\[
\| \nabla \psi_{n+1} \|_0^2
\]
\[
= \frac{4 \tau^2}{9} \| \nabla (\epsilon_{n+1} - \epsilon_{n+1}) \|_0^2
\]
\[
\leq C \tau^2 \| \nabla \epsilon_{n+1} \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ C \tau^3 \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}} \left( \| u(t) \|_2^2 + \| p(t) \|_2^2 \right) dt,
\]
and so we can conclude
\[
A_{1,2} \leq \frac{\mu \tau}{2} \left( \| E^n \|_0^2 + \| \delta E^n \|_0^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ C \tau \| \nabla \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ C \tau^3 \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}} \left( \| u(t) \|_2^2 + \| p(t) \|_2^2 \right) dt
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2.
\]
If we apply \( \| 2 E^n - E^{n+1} \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C h^{-d/6} \| 2 E^n - E^{n-1} \|_0 \leq C h^{-d/6} \tau + h \) which can be derived from Lemmas 5 and 13, then we can get, by the help of Lemma 10 and Assumption 1,
\[
A_{1,3} \leq \frac{C \tau}{\tau} \left( \| E^n \|_0^2 + \| \delta E^n \|_0^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau^2}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ C \tau h \| E^n \|_0 \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_2
\]
\[
+ C \tau h \| E^n \|_0 \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
In conjunction with (35), we can have
\[
A_2 \leq \frac{C \tau}{\tau} \left( \| \delta G^n \|_0^2 + \| \delta G^n \|_0^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau^2}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau^2}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \| \psi_{n+1}^r \|_0^2
\]
The definition $E_{n+1}^h = E_n^h + \nabla \delta \psi_{n+1}^h$ and Assumption 1 give us

\[ A_3 = 4 \mu \tau \left\langle \nabla \delta \psi_{n+1}^h, \nabla \psi_{n+1}^h \right\rangle \leq \mu \tau \left\| E_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \left\| \nabla \delta \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2. \tag{131} \]

If we apply (127) again, then we arrive at

\[ A_3 \leq \mu \tau \left\| E_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \left\| \nabla \delta \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + \frac{C \tau}{\mu} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left\| \nabla \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 dt. \tag{132} \]

On the other hand, the truncation error term becomes

\[ A_4 = 4 \tau \left\langle \mathbf{R}_{n+1}^h, \psi_{n+1}^h \right\rangle \leq C \tau \left\| \nabla \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + C \tau \left( 2 \left\| \mathbf{R}_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \nabla \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 \right), \tag{133} \]

For the new term $A_5$, we observe $\left\| \delta \delta \theta(t_{n+1}) \right\|_0 \leq C \tau \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n+1}} \left\| \theta(t) \right\|_0^2 dt$; whence

\[ A_5 = 4 \kappa \mu \tau \times \left\langle \mathbf{g} \left( \delta \delta \theta \left( t_{n+1} \right) + 2 \theta^{n+1} - \theta^{n+1} \right), \psi_{n+1}^h \right\rangle \leq C \tau \left\| \nabla \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + C \kappa \mu \tau \left\| 2 \theta^{n+1} - \theta^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + C \kappa \mu \tau^4 \left( \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n+1}} \left\| \theta(t) \right\|_0^2 dt \right). \tag{134} \]

Invoking $\psi^0 = 0$ and inserting the above estimates from $A_1$ and $A_5$ into (121) lead us to

\[ \delta \left\| \nabla \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + \delta \left\| \nabla \left( 2 \psi_{n+1} - \psi_{n+1} \right) \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \delta \nabla \psi_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + 2 \mu \tau \left\| E_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 \leq \frac{\mu \tau}{2} \left( \left\| E_{n+1}^h \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \mathbf{G}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \right) + C \kappa \mu \tau \left( 2 \psi^{n+1} - \theta^{n+1} \right)_0^2, \]

On the other hand, we choose $\phi_h = 4 \tau \omega_{n+1}^1$ in (83) to obtain

\[ \delta \left\| \omega_{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + \delta \left\| \nabla \left( 2 \omega_{n+1} - \omega_{n+1} \right) \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \delta \nabla \omega_{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + 4 \lambda \mu \tau \left\| \nabla \omega_{n+1} \right\|_0^2 \]

\[ = A_6 + A_7, \]

where

\[ A_6 := 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( \omega_{n+1}, \delta \omega_{n+1}^h, \omega_{n+1}^h \right) - 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( \omega_{n+1}^1, \delta \omega_{n+1}^h, \omega_{n+1}^h \right), \]

\[ A_7 := - 2 \left( 3 \eta^{n+1} - 4 \eta^{n+1} + \eta^{n+1} \right) + 4 \tau \left( \mathbf{Q}^{n+1}, \omega_{n+1}^h \right). \tag{137} \]

In order to estimate $A_6$, we note first $\left\| E_{n+1}^1 \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C h^{-1/2} \left\| E_{n+1}^1 \right\|_0$ and $\left\| \theta^{n+1} \right\|_1 \leq C (h^2 + h^2)/\tau$ which come from Lemmas 5 and 13, respectively. Then Lemma 10 and the assumption $\tau \leq C h$ yield

\[ A_6 = - 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( \omega_{n+1}^1, \delta \omega_{n+1}^h, \omega_{n+1}^h \right) + 4 \tau \mathcal{N} \left( \mathbf{E}^{n+1} - u \left( \tau n \right), \theta \left( t_{n+1} \right), \omega_{n+1}^h \right) \]

\[ \leq C \tau \left\| \mathbf{E}^{n+1} \right\| _0 \left\| \theta \left( t_{n+1} \right) \right\|_0 \left\| \omega_{n+1}^h \right\|_1 \]

\[ + C \tau \left\| \mathbf{E}^{n+1} \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \left\| \omega_{n+1}^1 \right\|_1 \]

\[ + C \tau \left\| u \left( \tau n \right) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \left\| \theta \left( t_{n+1} \right) \right\|_0 \left\| \omega_{n+1}^h \right\|_1 \]

\[ \leq C \tau \left\| \omega_{n+1}^h \right\|_0 + \mu \tau \left\| \mathbf{E}^{n+1} \right\|_0^2 + \lambda \tau \left( \left\| \omega_{n+1}^1 \right\|_0^2 + \left\| \theta^{n+1} \right\|_1^2 \right). \tag{138} \]
Since \( \| \delta f^{n+1} \|_0^2 \leq Ch^2 \tau \int_0^{n+1} \| \theta(t) \|_0^2 \, dt \), we can readily get
\[
A_7 \leq C \tau \| \omega_h^{n+1} \|_0^2 + C (\tau^4 + h^4)
\times \int_{n-1}^{n+1} \left( \| \theta(t) \|_2^2 + \| \theta_{tt}(t) \|_2^2 \right) \, dt.
\]
Inserting the above estimates into (136) yields
\[
\delta \| \omega_h^{n+1} \|_0^2
+ \delta \| V (2\omega_h^{n+1} - \omega_h^n) \|_0^2
+ \| \nabla \delta \omega_h^{n+1} \|_0^2 + 3 \lambda \mu \tau \| \theta_h^{n+1} \|_0^2
\leq C \tau \| \omega_h^{n+1} \|_0^2 + A \mu \tau \| \theta_h^{n+1} \|_0^2
+ \mu \tau [\| \theta_h^{n+1} \|_0^2 + C (\tau^4 + h^4)
\times \int_{n-1}^{n+1} \left( \| \theta(t) \|_2^2 + \| \theta_{tt}(t) \|_2^2 \right) \, dt.
\]
In conjunction with the discrete Gronwall inequality, adding (135) and (140) and summing over \( n \) from 1 to \( N \) lead to (120).

We now proceed to estimate each term from \( A_1 \) to \( A_7 \) separately. We readily obtain
\[
A_1 \leq C \frac{\tau}{\tau} \left( \| \delta E^r_{n+1} \|_0 + \| \delta E^r_n \|_0 \right) \| w_h \|_0,
\]
\[
A_2 \leq C \frac{\tau}{\tau} \left( \| \delta E^r_{n+1} \|_0 + \| \delta E^r_n \|_0 \right) \| \nabla w_h \|_0.
\]
Terms \( A_3 \) and \( A_4 \) can be dealt with thanks to the aid of Lemma 10 and \( \| u(t^{n+1}) \|_2 \leq M \) as follows:
\[
A_3 \leq C \| \delta u \left( t^{n+1} \right) \|_0 \times \| u(t^{n+1}) \|_0, \quad A_4 \leq C \| 2u \left( t^{n+1} \right) - u(t^n) \|_2 \times \| \nabla w_h \|_0.
\]
In light of \( \| u_h^{n+1} \|_1 = \| E^{n+1} - u(t^{n+1}) \|_1 \leq C \) from Lemma 13, we have
\[
A_5 \leq C \| 2E - E^{n+1} \|_L^2(\Omega) \times \| u_h^{n+1} \|_1 \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{h}} \left( \| E^{n+1} \|_0 + \| E^0 \|_0 \right) \times \| \nabla w_h \|_0.
\]
Integrate by parts and Hölder inequality yield
\[
A_6 \leq C \| \delta u_h^{n+1} \|_0 \| \nabla w_h \|_0.
\]
On the other hand, we have
\[
A_7 \leq \left( R^{n+1} \right) \left( \| \nabla w_h \|_0 \right).
\]
The new term \( A_8 \) can be bound by the Hölder inequality as
\[
A_8 = C \mu^2 \left( g \left( \theta(t^{n+1}) \right)
\times \left( 2g - 8\theta^{n+1} \right), w_h^{n+1} \right) \leq C \mu^2 \left( \| \delta \theta(t^{n+1}) \|_0 \right.
\times \left( \| \delta \theta(t^{n+1}) \|_0 \right.
\times \left( 2g - 8\theta^{n+1} \right), w_h^{n+1} \right) \leq C \mu^2 \left( \| \delta \theta(t^{n+1}) \|_0 \right.
\times \left( \| \delta \theta(t^{n+1}) \|_0 \right.
\times \left( 2g - 8\theta^{n+1} \right), w_h^{n+1} \right) \leq C \mu^2 \left( \| \delta \theta(t^{n+1}) \|_0 \right.
\times \left( \| \delta \theta(t^{n+1}) \|_0 \right.
\times \left( 2g - 8\theta^{n+1} \right), w_h^{n+1} \right).
Table 1: Error decay for Algorithm 1 with $\tau = h$ and $\mu = 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau = h$</th>
<th>1/16</th>
<th>1/32</th>
<th>1/64</th>
<th>1/128</th>
<th>1/256</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$|E|_0$</td>
<td>0.00198001</td>
<td>0.00065173</td>
<td>0.000188113</td>
<td>5.0822e−05</td>
<td>1.3268e−05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>1.603153</td>
<td>1.792684</td>
<td>1.888075</td>
<td>1.937437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|E|_{1,\infty}$</td>
<td>0.0837795</td>
<td>0.00282504</td>
<td>0.0000825997</td>
<td>0.000225008</td>
<td>5.90462e−05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>1.568326</td>
<td>1.774063</td>
<td>1.876160</td>
<td>1.930060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|E|_1$</td>
<td>0.0279984</td>
<td>0.00950852</td>
<td>0.00280233</td>
<td>0.00077052</td>
<td>0.000205078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>1.558052</td>
<td>1.762594</td>
<td>1.862723</td>
<td>1.909660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|e|_0$</td>
<td>0.0341734</td>
<td>0.0123334</td>
<td>0.00376493</td>
<td>0.00105695</td>
<td>0.000283961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>1.470303</td>
<td>1.711876</td>
<td>1.832716</td>
<td>1.896142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|e|_{1,\infty}$</td>
<td>0.197857</td>
<td>0.107171</td>
<td>0.0453294</td>
<td>0.0170497</td>
<td>0.00610541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>1.568326</td>
<td>1.774063</td>
<td>1.876160</td>
<td>1.930060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|\theta|_0$</td>
<td>0.000215482</td>
<td>5.3851e−05</td>
<td>1.34612e−05</td>
<td>3.36511e−06</td>
<td>8.4091e−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>2.000522</td>
<td>2.000166</td>
<td>2.000081</td>
<td>2.000630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|\theta|_{1,\infty}$</td>
<td>0.000197687</td>
<td>4.98479e−05</td>
<td>1.25012e−05</td>
<td>3.1314e−06</td>
<td>7.84502e−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>1.987613</td>
<td>1.995466</td>
<td>1.997187</td>
<td>1.996959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|\theta|_1$</td>
<td>0.0167834</td>
<td>0.00839145</td>
<td>0.0041957</td>
<td>0.00209784</td>
<td>0.00104892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>1.000043</td>
<td>1.000009</td>
<td>1.000007</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Example 20: initial and boundary values of Benard convection problem.

5. Numerical Experiments

We finally document 3 computational performances of SGUM. The first is to check accuracy, and then the next 2 examples are physically relevant numerical simulations, the Benard convection problem and the thermal driven cavity flow. We perform the last 2 examples under the same set within [2], but we conclude with different numerical simulations for the second test, the Benard convection problem, from that of [2]. We impose Taylor-Hood ($P_2 - P_1$) in all 3 experiments.

Example 19 (mesh analysis). In this first experiment, we choose square domain $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and impose forcing term the exact solution to become

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi &= \pi\left( t^2 - t + 1 \right) x^2 \left( 1 - x \right)^2 \sin(2\pi y), \\
\theta &= \cos(t) \sin(\pi x) \left( y^2 + 1 \right), \\
\theta &= \cos(t) \sin(\pi x) (1 - y).
\end{align*}
\]

If we now square it, multiply it by $\tau$, and sum over $n$ from 1 to $N$, then Lemmas 13, 15, and 17 derive (141).

Table 1 is error decay with $\mu = 1$ and $\tau = h$. We conclude that the numerical accuracy of SGUM is optimal and consists with the result of Theorem 4.
Example 20 (Bénard convection). In order to explore the applicability of the SGUM, we consider the Bénard convection on the domain $\Omega = [0, 5] \times [0, 1]$ with forcing $f = 0$ and $b = 0$. Figure 1 displays the initial and boundary conditions for velocity $u$ and temperature $\theta$, as already studied in [2]. Figures 2–4 are simulations at $t = 1$ with the nondimensional parameters $\kappa = 10^4$, $\lambda = 1$, and $\mu = 1$. Figure 2 is the result for the case $\tau = 10^{-2}$, $h = 2^{-4}$ which is the same condition in [2], and so it displays similar behavior within [2] including 6 circulations in the velocity stream line. However, Figures 3 and 4, the higher resolution simulations with $\tau = 10^{-3}$, $h = 2^{-4}$, and $\tau = 10^{-4}$, $h = 2^{-6}$, display 8 circulations in

\[ \theta = \frac{1}{2} \]
\[ \theta^0 = 0 \]
\[ \theta = -\frac{1}{2} \]

Figure 5: Example 21: initial and boundary values for thermal driven cavity flow.
the stream line. So we conclude that the high resolution result is correct simulation and thus Figure 2 and the result in [2] are not eventual simulation.

Example 21 (thermal driven cavity flow). We consider the thermal driven cavity flow in an enclosed square \( \Omega = [0, 1]^2 \), as already studied in several papers [2, 6, 7]. The experiment is carried out with the same setting as in the work of Gresho et al. [6], which is shown in Figure 5. Figure 6 displays the evolution from rest \((t = 0)\) to steady state \((t = 0.2)\).
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