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1. Introduction

The quasilinearization method was introduced by Bellman and Kalaba [1] to solve nonlinear ordinary or partial differential equations as a generalization of the Newton-Raphson method. The origin of this method lies in the theory of dynamic programming. In this method, the nonlinear equations are expressed as a sequence of linear equations and these equations are solved recursively. The main advantage of this method is that it converges monotonically and quadratically to the exact solution of the original equations [2]. Therefore, the quasilinearization method is an effective approach for obtaining approximate solutions of nonlinear equations such as differential equations [3–7], functional equations [8, 9], integral equations [10–12], and integrodifferential equations [13–15].

In this paper, we consider the nonlinear FVIDE in the general form

\[ g(x, y(x), y'(x), \ldots, y^{(m)}(x)) = \lambda_1 \int_a^b f(x, t, y(t), y'(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}(t)) \, dt + \lambda_2 \int_a^x v(x, t, y(t), y'(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}(t)) \, dt, \]

under the initial

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \tau_{jk} y^{(k)}(c) = \mu_j; \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1, \quad c \in [a, b], \]

or boundary conditions

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} [\alpha_{jk} y^{(k)}(a) + \beta_{jk} y^{(k)}(b)] = \gamma_j; \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1. \]

Here \( g : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R}, f : [a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R}, \) and \( v : [a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R} \) are known functions, \( \alpha_{jk}, \beta_{jk}, \tau_{jk}, \mu_j, \gamma_j, \lambda_1, \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are known constants, and \( y(x) \) is an unknown function.

Besides, we approximate to the nonlinear FVIDE (1) by the generalized Bernstein polynomials defined on the interval \([a, b]\) as

\[ y(x) \equiv B_n(y; x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} y \left( a + \frac{(b-a)i}{n} \right) p_{i,n}(x), \]

where \( B_n(y; x) \) is the Bernstein polynomial of degree \( n \) of the function \( y(x) \) on the interval \([a, b]\).
where \( p_{i,n}(x) \) denotes the generalized Bernstein basis polynomials of the form
\[
p_{i,n}(x) = \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \binom{n}{i} (x-a)^i (b-x)^{n-i}; \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n.
\]

For convenience, we set \( p_{i,n}(x) = 0 \) if \( i < 0 \) or \( i > n \).

Bernstein polynomials have many useful properties such as the positivity, continuity, differentiability, integrability, recursion's relation, symmetry, and unity partition of the basis set over the interval \([a, b]\). For more information about the Bernstein polynomials, see [16, 17]. Recently, these polynomials have been used for the numerical solutions of differential equations [4, 18, 19], integral equations [20–24], and integrodifferential equations [25–27].

Now, we give two main theorems for the generalized Bernstein polynomials and their basis forms that were proved by Akyuz Dascioglu and Isler [4] as follows.

**Theorem 1.** If \( y \in C^k[a, b] \), for some integer \( m \geq 0 \), then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} B_{n,k}^i(y; x) = y^{(k)}(x); \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m
\]

converges uniformly.

**Proof.** The above theorem can be easily proved by applying transformation \( t = (x - a)/(b - a) \) to the theorem given on the interval \([0, 1]\) by Phillips [28].

**Theorem 2 (see [4]).** There is a relation between generalized Bernstein basis polynomials matrix and their derivatives in the form
\[
P^{(k)}(x) = P(x) N^k; \quad k = 1, \ldots, m
\]
such that
\[
P(x) = \left[ p_{0,n}(x), p_{1,n}(x), \ldots, p_{n,n}(x) \right].
\]

Here the elements of \((n+1) \times (n+1)\) matrix \( N = (d_{ij}) \), \( i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n \), are defined by
\[
d_{ij} = \frac{1}{b-a} \begin{cases} 
2i-n; & \text{if } j = i+1 \\
2i; & \text{if } j = i-1 \\
i; & \text{if } j = i \\
0; & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

In highlight of these theorems, a collocation method based on the generalized Bernstein polynomials, given in Section 2, is developed for the approximate solutions of the nonlinear FVIDE in the most general form (1) via the quasilinearization technique iteratively. In Section 3, some numerical examples are presented for exhibiting the accuracy and applicability of the proposed method. Finally, the paper ends with the conclusions in Section 4.

### 2. Method of the Solution

Our aim is to obtain a numerical solution of the nonlinear FVIDE in the general form (1) under conditions (2) or (3) in terms of the generalized Bernstein polynomials. For this, we firstly express this nonlinear equation as a sequence of linear FVIDEs via the quasilinearization technique iteratively. After that, using the collocation points yields the system of linear algebraic equations. This system represents a matrix equation given by the following theorem. Finally, solving this system with the conditions we get the desired approximate solution.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( x_s \) be collocation points defined on the interval \([a, b]\), and let the functions \( g, f, \) and \( v \) be able to expand by Taylor series with respect to \( y^{(k)}; \, k = 0, \ldots, m \). Suppose that nonlinear FVIDE (1) has the generalized Bernstein polynomial solution. Then, the following matrix relation holds:
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( G_{r,k} P - \lambda_1 F_{r,k} - \lambda_2 V_{r,k} \right) N^k Y_{r+1} = H_r;
\]

where \( N \) is defined in Theorem 2, \( P = [p_{i,n}(x_s)] \), \( G_{r,k} = \text{diag}(G_r(x_s)) \), \( F_{r,k} = [F_{r,k}] \), and \( V_{r,k} = [V_{r,k}] \) are \((n+1) \times (n+1)\) matrices, and \( Y_{r+1} = [y_{r+1}(a + (b-a)i/n)] \) and \( H_r = [h_r(x_s)] \) are \((n+1) \times 1\) matrices for \( i, s = 0, 1, \ldots, n \), such that
\[
G_{r,k}(x_s) = g_{y^{(k)}(x_s)}, \quad F_{r,k} = \int_a^b f_{y^{(k)}(t)}(t) dt,
\]
\[
V_{r,k} = \int_a^b v_{y^{(k)}(t)}(t) dt.
\]

Here \( r \) is iteration index, \( p_{i,n}(x) \) is generalized Bernstein basis polynomials, and \( h_r(x) \) is given in the following proof.

**Proof.** Firstly, by applying the quasilinearization method to the nonlinear FVIDE (1), we obtain a sequence of linear FVIDEs:
\[
g(x, y_r(x), y_r'(x), \ldots, y_r^{(m)}(x)) + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( y_{r+1}^{(k)}(x) - y_r^{(k)}(x) \right) g_{y^{(k)}}
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[ \lambda_1 \int_a^b \left( y_{r+1}^{(k)}(t) - y_r^{(k)}(t) \right) f_{y^{(k)}} dt + \lambda_2 \int_a^b \left( y_{r+1}^{(k)}(t) - y_r^{(k)}(t) \right) v_{y^{(k)}} dt \right]
\]
\[
+ \lambda_1 \int_a^b f(x, t, y_r(t), y_r'(t), \ldots, y_r^{(m)}(t)) dt
\]
\[
+ \lambda_2 \int_a^b v(x, t, y_r(t), y_r'(t), \ldots, y_r^{(m)}(t)) dt,
\]
where the expressions $g_{y_r}(k)$, $f_{y_r}(k)$, and $v_{y_r}(k)$ represent partial differentiation of the functions $g$, $f$, and $v$ with respect to $y_r(k)$, and these are defined, respectively, as

$$g_{y_r}(k) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_r(x)}(x, y_r(x), y'_r(x), \ldots, y^{(m)}_r(x)),
$$

$$f_{y_r}(k) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_r(x)}(x, t, y_r(t), y'_r(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}_r(t)),
$$

$$v_{y_r}(k) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_r(x)}(x, t, y_r(t), y'_r(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}_r(t)).$$

Here $y_0(x)$ is a reasonable initial approximation of the function $y(x)$, and $y_r(x)$ is always considered known and is obtained from previous iteration. The recurrence relation (12) can now be written compactly in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[ g_{y_r}(k) y_{r+1}^{(k)}(x) - \lambda_1 \int_a^b f_{y_r}(k) y_{r+1}^{(k)}(t) \, dt - \lambda_2 \int_a^x v_{y_r}(k) y_{r+1}^{(k)}(t) \, dt \right] = h_r(x),$$

(14)

denoting $h_r(x)$:

$$h_r(x) = -g(x, y_r, y'_r, \ldots, y^{(m)}_r) + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[ g_{y_r}(k) y_r^{(k)}(x) - \lambda_1 \int_a^b f_{y_r}(k) y_r^{(k)}(t) \, dt - \lambda_2 \int_a^x v_{y_r}(k) y_r^{(k)}(t) \, dt \right] + \lambda_1 \int_a^b f(x, t, y_r(t), y'_r(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}_r(t)) \, dt + \lambda_2 \int_a^x v(x, t, y_r(t), y'_r(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}_r(t)) \, dt.$$

Notice that (14) is a linear FVIDE with variable coefficients, since $y_r(x)$ is known function of $x$. $y_{r+1}(x)$ is an unknown function that has the Bernstein polynomial solution; also this function and its derivatives can be expressed by

$$y_{r+1}^{(k)}(x) = H^k_{r+1}(y_{r+1}; x) = P^{(k)}(x) Y_{r+1}; \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots, m.$$  

(16)

By utilizing Theorem 2 and collocation points, above relation becomes

$$y_{r+1}^{(k)}(x_j) = P(x_j) N^k Y_{r+1}; \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m.$$  

(17)

Substituting the collocation points and the relation (17) into (14), we obtain a linear algebraic system:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[ G_{r,k}(x_j) P(x_j) - \lambda_1 F_{r,k}(x_j) - \lambda_2 V_{r,k}(x_j) \right] Y_{r+1} = h_r(x_j),$$

(18)

Here $F_{r,k}(x_j)$ and $V_{r,k}(x_j)$ are denoted by

$$F_{r,k}(x_j) = \int_a^b f_{y_r}(k) (x_j, t, y_r(t), y'_r(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}_r(t)) P(t) \, dt,
$$

$$V_{r,k}(x_j) = \int_a^x v_{y_r}(k) (x_j, t, y_r(t), y'_r(t), \ldots, y^{(m)}_r(t)) P(t) \, dt.$$  

(19)

$P(t)$ and $G_r(x)$ are defined, respectively, in Theorems 2 and 3.

For $s = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, the system (18) can be written compactly in the matrix form

$$W_r Y_{r+1} = H_r; \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots$$

(20)

so that

$$W_r = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (G_{r,k} P - \lambda_1 F_{r,k} - \lambda_2 V_{r,k}) N^k,$$

(21)

where matrices are clearly

$$G_{r,k} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{r,k}(x_0) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & G_{r,k}(x_1) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & G_{r,k}(x_n) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_{r,k} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{r,k}(x_0) \\ F_{r,k}(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ F_{r,k}(x_n) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P(x_0) \\ P(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ P(x_n) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V_{r,k} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{r,k}(x_0) \\ V_{r,k}(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ V_{r,k}(x_n) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_r = \begin{bmatrix} h_r(x_0) \\ h_r(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ h_r(x_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(22)

Hence, the proof is completed.  

\[\square\]

**Corollary 4.** For $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, the nonlinear FVIDE (1) is reduced to the $m$th order nonlinear differential equation

$$g(x, y(x), y'(x), \ldots, y^{(m)}(x)) = 0,$$

(23)

and by utilizing Theorem 3, this equation can be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} G_{r,k} P N^k Y_{r+1} = \tilde{H}_r; \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots$$

(24)

Here the matrices $P$, $N$, and $G_{r,k}$ are defined as above, and elements of the matrix $\tilde{H}_r = [\tilde{h}_r(x_j)]$ are denoted by

$$\tilde{h}_r(x_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_{r,k}(x_j) y_r^{(k)}(x_j) - g(x, y(x_j), y'(x_j), \ldots, y^{(m)}(x_j)).$$

(25)
Corollary 5. For $m = 0$, the nonlinear FVIDE (1) is reduced to the nonlinear Fredholm-Volterra integral equation in the form
\[ g(x, y(x)) = \lambda_1 \int_a^b f(x, t, y(t)) \, dt + \lambda_2 \int_a^x v(x, t, y(t)) \, dt \]
(26)
such that $y^{(0)}(t) = y(t)$. From Theorem 3, this equation has the iteration matrix form as
\[ [G_r - \lambda_1 F_r - \lambda_2 V_r] Y_{r+1} = H_r; \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots \]
(27)
Here $G_r = \text{diag}(G_r(x_s))$, $F_r = [F_{r,sj}]$, $V_r = [V_{r,sj}]$, $H_r = [h_r(x_s)]$, and the elements of these matrices are denoted as follows:
\[
G_r(x_s) = g_{y_r}(x_s, y_r(x_s)), \\
F_{r,sj} = \int_a^b f_{y_r}(x_s, t, y_r(t)) p_{j,n}(t) \, dt, \\
V_{r,sj} = \int_a^x v_{y_r}(x_s, t, y_r(t)) p_{j,n}(t) \, dt, \\
h_r(x_s) = g_{y_r}(x_s, y_r(x_s)) - \lambda_1 \int_a^b \left[ f(x_s, t, y_r(t)) - f_{y_r}(x_s, t, y_r(t)) \right] y_r(t) \, dt \\
- \lambda_2 \int_a^x \left[ v(x_s, t, y_r(t)) - v_{y_r}(x_s, t, y_r(t)) \right] y_r(t) \, dt.
\]
(28)
Now we can solve the nonlinear FVIDE (1) under the initial (2) or boundary (3) conditions as follows.

Step 1. Firstly, we use Theorem 3 for the nonlinear FVIDE (1) and determine the matrices in (10). This matrix equation is a system of linear algebraic equations with $n$-unknown coefficients $y_{r+1}(x_{s}(a + (b - a)s/n))$. Let the augmented matrix corresponding equation (10) be denoted by $[W_r; H_r].$

Step 2. We need to choose the first iteration function $y_0(x)$ for calculating the $W_r$ and $H_r$. Notice that this function can be obtained in a variety of ways. For instance, it can be obtained from the physical situation for engineering problems. However, a very rough choice for the first iteration function such as initial value is enough for the procedure to converge. We can also consider that the first iteration function as the highest degree polynomial satisfied the given conditions (2) or (3).

Step 3. From expression (17), initial (2) and boundary (3) conditions can be written in the matrix forms, respectively,
\[
I_j Y_{r+1} = \mu_j, \\
B_j Y_{r+1} = \gamma_j,
\]
(29)
where the matrices are
\[
I_j = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} r_{jk} P(c) N^k, \\
B_j = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left[ \alpha_{jk} P(a) N^k + \beta_{jk} P(b) N^k \right].
\]
(30)
Besides, (29) can be denoted by the augmented matrices $[I_j; \mu_j]$ and $[B_j; \gamma_j]$.

Step 4. To obtain the solution of nonlinear FVIDE (1) under the given conditions, we insert the elements of the row matrices $[I_j; \mu_j]$ or $[B_j; \gamma_j]$ to the end of the augmented matrix $[W_r; H_r]$. In this way, we have the new augmented matrix $[W_r; H_r]$, that is, $(n + m + 1) \times (n + 1)$ rectangular matrix.

Step 5. If rank($W_r$) = rank($W_r; H_r$) = $n + 1$, then unknown coefficients $y_r$ are uniquely determined for each iteration $r$. This kind of systems can be solved by the Gauss Elimination, Generalized Inverse, and QR factorization methods.

3. Numerical Results

Four numerical examples are given to illustrate the applicability, accuracy, and efficiency of the proposed method. All results are computed by using an algorithm written in Matlab 71. Besides, in the tables, the absolute and $L^2$-norm errors are computed numerically on the collocation points $x_s = a + (b - a)s/n; s = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, by the following formulas:
\[
E_{abs} = \left| y(x_s) - B_n(y_s; x_s) \right|, \\
E_2 = \left( \int_0^x \left( y(x) - B_n(y_s; x)^2 \right) dx \right)^{1/2}
\]
(31)
such that $B_n(y_s; x)$ is a Bernstein approximation for the $r$th iteration function $y_r$ and $y(x)$ is an exact solution.

Example 1. Consider the nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation
\[
y'(x) = 2x - \frac{1}{2} \sin(x^4) + \int_0^x x^2 \cos(x^2 y(t)) \, dt; \\
0 < x, \quad t < 1
\]
under the initial condition $y(0) = 0$. Exact solution of this equation is $y(x) = x^2$. Here $g(x, y'(x)) = 2x - 2x + (1/2) \sin(x^4)$ and $v(x, t, y(t)) = x^2 \cos(x^2 y(t))$.

Let $y_0(x) = 0$ be the first iteration function. From Theorem 3, matrix relation of the above problem can be written as
\[
(G_r PN + V_{r,0}) Y_{r+1} = H_r; \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots
\]
(33)
where $H_r = [h_r(x_s)]$, $V_{r,0} = [V_{r,sj}]$, $P = [p_{j,n}(x_s)]$, and $G_{r,1} = I_{r+1}$ ($I_{r+1}$ is an identity matrix with dimensional
Table 1: Comparison of the $L_2$-norm errors for Example 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$y_0(x) = 0$</th>
<th>$y_0(x) = x$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presented method</td>
<td>Hybrid method [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$7.0e - 006$</td>
<td>$8.7e - 008$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1.7e - 012$</td>
<td>$3.5e - 017$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2.2e - 007$</td>
<td>$2.2e - 009$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3.8e - 017$</td>
<td>$7.2e - 017$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$6.0e - 008$</td>
<td>$1.1e - 009$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1.3e - 016$</td>
<td>$2.4e - 017$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of the absolute errors for Example 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$n = 2$, $r = 1$</th>
<th>$n = 3$, $r = 2$</th>
<th>$n = 3$, $r = 3$</th>
<th>$n = 4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$5.7e - 004$</td>
<td>$2.5e - 004$</td>
<td>$9.8e - 004$</td>
<td>$2.9e - 005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$8.2e - 003$</td>
<td>$3.5e - 004$</td>
<td>$7.0e - 004$</td>
<td>$1.2e - 004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$2.1e - 003$</td>
<td>$4.2e - 004$</td>
<td>$7.4e - 004$</td>
<td>$9.0e - 005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$4.3e - 004$</td>
<td>$4.6e - 005$</td>
<td>$3.1e - 003$</td>
<td>$3.1e - 004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$6.7e - 004$</td>
<td>$4.7e - 005$</td>
<td>$1.6e - 003$</td>
<td>$1.0e - 003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$9.3e - 004$</td>
<td>$5.0e - 005$</td>
<td>$1.2e - 003$</td>
<td>$1.0e - 003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$1.0e - 003$</td>
<td>$5.4e - 005$</td>
<td>$8.2e - 003$</td>
<td>$2.0e - 003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$1.0e - 003$</td>
<td>$5.0e - 005$</td>
<td>$8.2e - 003$</td>
<td>$2.9e - 003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$8.4e - 003$</td>
<td>$4.2e - 005$</td>
<td>$1.1e - 003$</td>
<td>$1.1e - 003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$8.4e - 003$</td>
<td>$4.2e - 005$</td>
<td>$1.5e - 004$</td>
<td>$1.8e - 003$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$(n + 1)$), because of the $g_{y'} = 1$. Elements of the matrices become

$$h_r(x_r) = 2x_r - \frac{1}{2} \sin(x_r)$$

$$+ \int_0^{x_r} \left[ x_r^2 t \cos(x_r^3) + x_r^4 t \sin(x_r^3) \right] y_r(t) \, dt,$$

$$V_{r,0}^{r,0} = \int_0^{x_r} x_r^4 t \sin(x_r^3) \, dt.$$

$$P_{r,0}^{r,0} = \int_0^{x_r} x_r^4 t \sin(x_r^3) \, dt.$$

(34)

A numerical comparison of the proposed method with the Hybrid method [29] is given in Table 1. The obtained numerical results for two different initial approximations are also listed in Table 1. It can be seen that the computational results of the proposed method are better and more effective for smaller values $n$ and iterations $r$ than the other method, and the choice of the higher degree polynomial for the initial approximation leads to better results.

Example 2. Consider the nonlinear Fredholm-Volterra integro-differential equation

$$y'(x) = -y(x) + 2x + x^2 + x^5 \frac{10}{10} - \frac{1}{32}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 t y^3(t) \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x y^2(t) \, dt; \quad x, t \in [0, 1]$$

(35)

with the initial condition $y(0) = 0$ that exact solution is $y(x) = x^2$. Here the required functions and constants are denoted by $g(x, y(x), y'(x)) = y'(x) + y(x) - 2x - x^2 - x^5(10) + (1/32)$, $f(x, t, y(t)) = ty^3(t)$, $v(x, t, y(t)) = y^2(t)$, $\lambda_1 = 1/4$, and $\lambda_2 = -1/2$.

We have two choices satisfying the initial condition for the first iteration functions such that $y_0(x) = 0$ and $y_0(x) = x$. Let the first iteration function be $y_0(x) = x$, because of the higher degree. From Theorem 3, matrix relation of the above problem is

$$\left( G_{\gamma,0} P + G_{\gamma,1} P N - \frac{1}{4} F_{\gamma,0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{r,0}}{r} \right) Y_{r+1} = H_r;$$

$$r = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

(36)

where $H_r = [h_r(x_r)]$, $F_{\gamma,0} = [F_{\gamma,0}^{r,0}]$, $V_{r,0} = [V_{r,0}^{r,0}]$, $P = [P_{r,0}(x_r)]$, and $G_{\gamma,0} = G_{\gamma,1} = I_{n+1}$ because of the $g_{y'} = g_{y''} = 1$.

Elements of these matrices are as follows:

$$F_{\gamma,0}^{r,0} = \int_0^1 3ty^2(t) \, dt,$$

$$V_{r,0}^{r,0} = \int_0^x 2y(t) \, dt,$$

(37)

$$h_r(x) = 2x + x^2 + x^5 \frac{10}{10} - \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\times \int_0^1 \left[-2ty^3(t) \right] \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \left[-y^2(t) \right] \, dt.$$

Table 2 contains a numerical comparison of the proposed method between the numerical method based on fixed point theorem [30] and direct method by using triangular functions [31]. The table reveals that convergence of the presented method is faster and more accurate than the others.
Table 3: Absolute errors for Example 3.

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 6)</td>
<td>(n = 10)</td>
<td>(n = 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(r = 1)</td>
<td>(r = 3)</td>
<td>(r = 5)</td>
<td>(r = 1)</td>
<td>(r = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.6e-004</td>
<td>2.6e-004</td>
<td>2.6e-004</td>
<td>5.1e-009</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>2.6e-004</td>
<td>2.6e-004</td>
<td>2.2e-008</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>6.6e-008</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>1.3e-007</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>2.5e-004</td>
<td>2.0e-007</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>3.0e-007</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>4.1e-007</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.3e-004</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>2.4e-004</td>
<td>5.3e-007</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.3e-004</td>
<td>2.3e-004</td>
<td>2.3e-004</td>
<td>6.7e-007</td>
<td>1.4e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.3e-004</td>
<td>2.3e-004</td>
<td>2.3e-004</td>
<td>8.3e-007</td>
<td>1.3e-008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 3. Consider the third-order nonlinear Fredholm integrodifferential equation

\[ y'''(x) = -e^x + \int_0^x e^{x-2t} y^2(t) dt \]  

(38)

with the boundary conditions

\[ y(0) = y'(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = e. \]  

(39)

The exact solution of the above equation is \( y(x) = e^x \). Here \( g(x, y'''(x)) = y'''(x) + e^x \) and \( f(x, t, y(t)) = e^{x-2t} y^2(t) \).

Let the first iteration function be \( y_0(x) = 1 + x + (e-2)x^2 \).

From Theorem 3, matrix relation of the above problem can be denoted by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
G_{r,3}PN^3 - F_{r,0} \\
V_{r,0} \\
\end{pmatrix}
= H_r; \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots 
\]  

(40)

such that \( H_r = [h_r(x_j)], \quad F_{r,0} = [F_{s,i}^0], \quad P = [p_i, n(x_j)], \) and \( G_{r,3} = I_{n+1} \). Here elements of matrices are, respectively,

\[
F_{s,i}^0 = \int_0^x 2e^{x-2t} y'_r(t) p_i(t) dt, \\
h_r(x_j) = -e^{x_j} - \int_0^x e^{x_j-2t} y'_r(t) dt. 
\]  

(41)

In Table 3, absolute errors of the proposed method are given for different values \( n \) and iterations \( r \). The table shows that the presented method converges quite rapidly for increasing values \( n \) and iterations \( r \). Besides, the absolute error of the homotopy analysis method [32] given with figure is approximately \( 2.0e-006 \) for iteration \( r = 6 \). Therefore, we can say that the proposed method has more effective numerical results than the other methods.

Example 4. Consider the fourth-order Volterra integrodifferential equation

\[ y^{(4)}(x) = 1 + \int_0^x e^{-t} y^2(t) dt \]  

(42)

with the boundary conditions

\[ y(0) = y'(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = e, \quad y'(1) = e \]  

(43)

that exact solution is \( y(x) = e^x \). Here the functions are \( g(x, y^{(4)}(x)) = y^{(4)}(x) - 1 \) and \( f(x, t, y(t)) = e^{-t} y^2(t) \).

Let the first iteration function be \( y_0(x) = 1 + x + (2e-5)x^2 + (3 - e)x^3 \). From Theorem 3, matrix form of the above problem can be written as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
G_{r,4}PN^4 - V_{r,0} \\
V_{r,0} \\
\end{pmatrix}
= H_r; \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots, 
\]  

(44)

where \( H_r = [h_r(x_j)], \quad V_{r,0} = [V_{s,i}^0], \quad P = [p_i, n(x_j)], \) and \( G_{r,4} = I_{n+1} \). Here elements of matrices are, respectively,

\[
V_{s,i}^0 = \int_0^x 2e^{-t} y'_r(t) p_i(t) dt, \\
h_r(x_j) = 1 - \int_0^{x_j} e^{-t} y'_r(t) dt. 
\]  

(45)
Table 4: Comparison of the $L^2$-norm errors for Example 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$r = 0$</th>
<th>$r = 1$</th>
<th>$r = 2$</th>
<th>$r = 3$</th>
<th>$r = 4$</th>
<th>$n = 15$</th>
<th>Hybrid method [29]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0e−004</td>
<td>2.1e−004</td>
<td>2.1e−004</td>
<td>2.1e−004</td>
<td>2.1e−004</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.0e−010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3e−008</td>
<td>8.3e−009</td>
<td>8.3e−009</td>
<td>8.3e−009</td>
<td>8.3e−009</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.7e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3e−008</td>
<td>1.2e−012</td>
<td>1.2e−012</td>
<td>1.2e−012</td>
<td>1.2e−012</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.0e−009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.4e−008</td>
<td>5.4e−015</td>
<td>2.0e−015</td>
<td>2.0e−015</td>
<td>2.0e−015</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.5e−012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.6e−008</td>
<td>5.5e−015</td>
<td>1.3e−014</td>
<td>1.3e−014</td>
<td>1.3e−014</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6.0e−013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 4, $L^2$-norm errors of the proposed method are compared with the $L^2$-norm errors computed via the Hybrid of Block-Pulse functions and Lagrange interpolation polynomials [29] for different values $n$. While the results of other methods are effective, the computational results of the proposed method are more rapid, effective, for smaller values $n$.

4. Conclusions

In general, nonlinear integrodifferential equations cannot be solved analytically. For this reason, numerical solutions of nonlinear equations are needed. With the presented method, we have reduced the nonlinear FVIDE (1) to a sequence of linear equations depending on the collocation points and the iteration function, and then, combining the conditions with obtained linear matrix equation, we have the generalized Bernstein polynomials solution. Besides, the proposed method is valid for both nonlinear differential and integral equations; this is explained in Section 2. If unknown function is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$, then the Bernstein collocation method can be used for solving these kinds of equations via the quasilinearization technique. For convenience, the first iteration function can be chosen as initial value. Besides, this function can be selected as the higher degree polynomials satisfied the given conditions for providing the better approximation. These polynomials can be obtained from interpolation or the least square approximation methods. The applicability and accuracy of the proposed method have been tested with some numerical examples. These results have shown that the presented method converges rapidly for all problems. Consequently, all these positive implications lead to applicability of the proposed method for numerical solutions of any other kinds of problems including nonlinear equations.
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