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The objective of this paper is to present a numerical iterative method for solving systems of first-order ordinary differential equations subject to periodic boundary conditions. This iterative technique is based on the use of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space method in which every function satisfies the periodic boundary conditions. The present method is accurate, needs less effort to achieve the results, and is especially developed for nonlinear case. Furthermore, the present method enables us to approximate the solutions and their derivatives at every point of the range of integration. Indeed, three numerical examples are provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the present method. Results obtained show that the numerical scheme is very effective and convenient for solving systems of first-order ordinary differential equations with periodic boundary conditions.

1. Introduction

Systems of ordinary differential equations with periodic boundary value conditions, the so-called periodic boundary value problems (BVPs), are well known for their applications in sciences and engineering [1–5]. In this paper, we focus on finding approximate solutions to systems of first-order periodic BVPs, which are a combination of systems of first-order ordinary differential equations and periodic boundary conditions. In fact, accurate and fast numerical solutions of systems of first-order periodic BVPs are of great importance due to their wide applications in scientific and engineering research.

Numerical methods are becoming more and more important in mathematical and engineering applications, simply not only because of the difficulties encountered in finding exact analytical solutions but also because of the ease with which numerical techniques can be used in conjunction with modern high-speed digital computers. A numerical procedure for solving systems of first-order periodic BVPs based on the use of reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) method is discussed in this work.

Among a substantial number of works dealing with systems of first-order periodic BVPs, we mention [6–10]. The existence of solutions to systems of first-order periodic BVPs has been discussed as described in [6]. In [7], the authors have discussed some existence and uniqueness results of periodic solutions for first-order periodic differential systems. Also, in [8] the authors have provided the existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive periodic solutions for systems of first-order periodic BVPs. Furthermore, the existence of periodic solutions for the coupled first-order differential systems of Hamiltonian type is carried out in [9]. Recently, the existence of positive solutions for systems of first-order periodic BVPs is proposed in [10]. For more results on the solvability analysis of solutions for systems of first-order periodic BVPs, we refer the reader to [11–15], and for numerical solvability of different categories of BVPs, one can consult [16–19].
Investigation about systems of first-order periodic BVPs numerically is scarce. In this paper, we utilize a methodical way to solve these types of differential systems. In fact, we provide criteria for finding the approximate and exact solutions to the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u'_1(x) &= F_1(x, u_1(x), u_2(x), \ldots, u_n(x)), \\
  u'_2(x) &= F_2(x, u_1(x), u_2(x), \ldots, u_n(x)), \\
  &\vdots \\
  u'_n(x) &= F_n(x, u_1(x), u_2(x), \ldots, u_n(x)),
\end{align*}
\]  

(1) subject to the periodic boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_1(0) &= u_1(1), \\
  u_2(0) &= u_2(1), \\
  &\vdots \\
  u_n(0) &= u_n(1),
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

where \( x \in [0, 1], u_i \in W^2[0, 1] \) are unknown functions to be determined, \( F_i(x, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s) \) are continuous terms in \( W^s[0, 1] \) as \( v_s = v_s(x) \in W^s[0, 1] \), \( 0 \leq x \leq 1, -\infty < v_s < \infty \) in which \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and \( W^2[0, 1], W^1[0, 1] \) are two reproducing kernel spaces. Here, we assume that (1) subject to the periodic boundary conditions (2) has a unique solution on \([0, 1]\).

Reproducing kernel theory has important applications in numerical analysis, differential equations, integral equations, probability and statistics, and so forth [20–22]. In the last years, extensive work has been done using RKHS method which provides numerical approximations for linear and nonlinear equations. This method has been implemented in several operator, differential, integral, and integrodifferential equations side by side with their theories. The reader is kindly requested to go through [23–35] in order to know more details about RKHS method, including its history, its modification for use, its applications, and its characteristics.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, two reproducing kernel spaces are described in order to formulate the reproducing kernel functions. In Section 3, some essential results are introduced and a method for the existence of solutions for (1) and (2) is described. In Section 4, we give an iterative method to solve (1) and (2) numerically. Numerical examples are presented in Section 5. Section 6 ends this paper with brief conclusions.

2. Construct of Reproducing Kernel Functions

In this section, two reproducing kernels needed are constructed in order to solve (1) and (2) using RKHS method. Before the construction, we utilize the reproducing kernel concept. Throughout this paper, \( \mathbb{C} \) is the set of complex numbers, \( L^2[a, b] = \{ u | \int_a^b u^2(x)dx < \infty \} \), and \( \mathbb{F} = \{A | \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i)^2 < \infty \} \).

Definition 1 (see [23]). Let \( E \) be a nonempty abstract set. A function \( R : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is a reproducing kernel of the Hilbert space \( H \) if

\[ R(x, x) \]

(1) for each \( x \in E, R(x, x) \in H \),

\[ R(x, x) \]

(2) for each \( x \in E \) and \( \varphi \in H, \langle \varphi, R(x, x) \rangle = \varphi(x) \).

Remark 2. Condition (2) in Definition 1 is called “the reproducing property,” which means that the value of the function \( \varphi \) at the point \( x \) is reproducing by the inner product of \( \varphi(\cdot) \) with \( R(\cdot, x) \). A Hilbert space which possesses a reproducing kernel is called a RKHS.

To solve (1) and (2) using RKHS method, we first define and construct a reproducing kernel space \( W^2_2[0, 1] \) in which every function satisfies the periodic boundary condition \( u(0) = u(1) \). After that, we utilize the reproducing kernel space \( W^2_2[0, 1] \).

Definition 3. The inner product space \( W^2_2[0, 1] \) is defined as

\[ \langle u, v \rangle_{W^2_2} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_i(0) v_i(0) + \int_0^1 u''(t) v''(t) dt, \]

(3)

and \( \| u \|_{W^2_2} = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{W^2_2}} \), where \( u, v \in W^2_2[0, 1] \).

It is easy to see that \( \langle u, v \rangle_{W^2_2} \) satisfies all the requirements for the inner product. First, \( \langle u, u \rangle_{W^2_2} \geq 0 \). Second, \( \langle u, v \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle v, u \rangle_{W^2_2} \). Third, \( \langle u + v, w \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle u, w \rangle_{W^2_2} + \langle v, w \rangle_{W^2_2} \). Fourth, \( \langle u \cdot w, v \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle w \cdot v \rangle_{W^2_2} \), where \( u, v, w \in W^2_2[0, 1] \).

It therefore remains only to prove that \( \langle u, u \rangle_{W^2_2} = 0 \) if and only if \( u = 0 \). In fact, it is obvious that when \( u = 0 \), then \( \langle u, u \rangle_{W^2_2} = 0 \). On the other hand, if \( \langle u, u \rangle_{W^2_2} = 0 \), then by (3), we have \( \langle u, u \rangle_{W^2_2} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (u_i(0))^2 + \int_0^1 (u''(t))^2 dt = 0 \); therefore, \( u(0) = u'(0) = 0 \) and \( u''(t) = 0 \). Then, we can obtain \( u = 0 \).

Definition 4 (see [23]). The Hilbert space \( W^2_2[0, 1] \) is called a reproducing kernel if, for each fixed \( x \in [0, 1] \), there exist \( R(x, y) \in W^2_2[0, 1] \) (simply \( R_x(y) \)) such that \( \langle u(y), R_x(y) \rangle_{W^2_2} = u(x) \) for any \( u(y) \in W^2_2[0, 1] \) and \( y \in [0, 1] \).

An important subset of the RKHSs is the RKHSs associated with continuous kernel functions. These spaces have wide applications, including complex analysis, harmonic analysis, quantum mechanics, statistics, and machine learning.

Theorem 5. The Hilbert space \( W^2_2[0, 1] \) is a complete reproducing kernel and its reproducing kernel function \( R_x(y) \) can be written as

\[ R_x(y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  p_1(x) + p_2(x) y + p_3(x) y^2 + p_4(x) y^3, & y \leq x, \\
  q_1(x) + q_2(x) y + q_3(x) y^2 + q_4(x) y^3, & y > x,
\end{array} \right. \]

(4)
where \( p_i(x) \) and \( q_i(x) \), \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), are unknown coefficients of \( R_c(y) \) and will be given in the following proof.

**Proof.** The proof of the completeness and reproducing property of \( W^2_2[0,1] \) is similar to the proof in [24]. Now, let us find out the expression form of the reproducing kernel function \( R_c(y) \) in the space \( W^2_2[0,1] \). Through several integration by parts, we have

\[
\int_0^y u''(y)d^2R_c(y)dy = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^{i-1}u''(y)d^2R_c(y)|_{y=0} + \int_0^y u(y)d^2R_c(y)dy.
\]

Thus, from (3), we can write

\[
\langle u(y), R_c(y) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \sum_{i=0}^3 u(i)(0)[d^2R_c(0) + (-1)^i d^2R_c(1)] + \int_0^1 u(y)d^2R_c(y)dy.
\]

Since \( R_c(y) \) is defined in \( W^2_2[0,1] \), it follows that \( R_c(0) = R_c(1) \); also since \( u(x) \in W^2_2[0,1] \), it follows that \( u(0) = u(1) \). Then

\[
\langle u(y), R_c(y) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \sum_{i=0}^3 u(i)(0)[d^2R_c(0) + (-1)^i d^2R_c(1)] + \int_0^1 u(y)d^2R_c(y)dy + c_1(u(0) - u(1)).
\]

\[
R_c(y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{48} (x^3y(6 + 3y - y^3) + 3x^2y(-6 - 3yy^2) + 6xy(2 + y + y^2) - 8(-6 + y^3)), & y \leq x, \\
\frac{1}{48} (48 + 6xy(-2 - 3y + y^3) + 3x^2y(2 - 3y + y^2) - x^3(8 - 6y - 3y^2 + y^3)), & y > x,
\end{cases}
\]

This completes the proof.

**Definition 6** (see [25]). The inner product space \( W^2_2[0,1] \) is defined as \( W^2_2[0,1] = \{u(x) \mid u \text{ is absolutely continuous real-valued function on } [0,1] \text{ and } u' \in L^2[0,1] \} \). On the other hand, the inner product and the norm in \( W^2_2[0,1] \) are defined, respectively, by

\[
\langle u(x), v(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = u(0)v(0) + \int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x)dx,
\]

and \( \|u\|_{W^2_2} = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{W^2_2}} \), where \( u, v \in W^2_2[0,1] \).

**Theorem 7** (see [25]). The Hilbert space \( W^2_2[0,1] \) is a complete reproducing kernel and its reproducing kernel function \( G_x(y) \) can be written as

\[
G_x(y) = \begin{cases} 
1 + y, & y \leq x, \\
1 + x, & y > x,
\end{cases}
\]

Reproducing kernel functions possess some important properties such as being symmetric, unique, and nonnegative. The reader is asked to refer to [23–35] in order to know more details about reproducing kernel functions, including their mathematical and geometrical properties, their types and kinds, and their applications and method of calculations.

But on the other aspect as well, if \( \partial^2 R_c(1) = 0 \), \( R_c(0) + \partial^2 R_c(0) + c_1 = 0 \), \( \partial^2 R_c(0) - \partial^2 R_c(0) = 0 \), and \( \partial^2 R_c(1) + c_1 = 0 \), then (5) implies that

\[
\langle u(y), R_c(y) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \int_0^1 u(y)\partial^2 R_c(y)dy.
\]

Now, for any \( x \in [0,1] \), if \( R_c(y) \) satisfies

\[
\partial^2 R_c(y) = -\delta(x - y), \quad \delta \text{ dirac-delta function},
\]

then \( \langle u(y), R_c(y) \rangle_{W^2_2} = u(x) \). Obviously, \( R_c(y) \) is the reproducing kernel function of the space \( W^2_2[0,1] \). Next, we give the expression form of the reproducing kernel function \( R_c(y) \). The characteristic formula of (6) is given by \( \lambda^4 = 0 \). Then the characteristic values are \( \lambda = 0 \) with multiplicity 4. So, let the expression form of the reproducing kernel function \( R_c(y) \) be as defined in (4). On the other hand, for (6), let \( R_c(y) \) satisfy the equation \( \partial^2 R_c(x + 0) = \partial^2 R_c(x - 0) \), \( m = 0, 1, 2 \). Integrating \( \partial^2 R_c(y) = -\delta(x - y) \) from \( x - \varepsilon \) to \( x + \varepsilon \) with respect to \( y \) and letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we have the jump of \( \partial^2 R_c(y) \) at \( y = x \) given by \( \partial^2 R_c(x + 0) - \partial^2 R_c(x - 0) = -1 \). Through the last descriptions, the unknown coefficients of (4) can be obtained. However, by using MAPLE 13 software package, the representation form of the reproducing kernel function \( R_c(y) \) is provided by

**3. Formulation of Linear Operator**

In this section, the formulation of a differential linear operator and the implementation method are presented in the reproducing kernel space \( W^2_2[0,1] \). After that, we construct an orthogonal function system of the space \( W^2_2[0,1] \) based on the use of the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process in order to obtain the exact and approximate solutions of (1) and (2) using RKHS method.

First, as in [23–35], we transform the problem into a differential operator. To do this, we define a differential operator \( L : W^2_2[0,1] \to W^2_2[0,1] \) such that \( Lu(x) = u'(x) \). As a result, (1) and (2) can be converted into the equivalent form as follows:

\[
Lu_i(x) = F_i(x, u_1(x), u_2(x), \ldots, u_n(x)), \quad u_i(0) - u_i(1) = 0,
\]

where \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \) and \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) in which \( u_i(x) \in W^2_2[0,1] \) and \( F_i(x, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \in W^2_2[0,1] \) for \( v_i = v_i(x) \in W^2_2[0,1] \), \( -\infty < v_i < \infty \), and \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \). It is easy to show that \( L \) is
a bounded linear operator from the space $W^2_2[0,1]$ into the space $W^2_2[0,1]$.

Initially, we construct an orthogonal function system of $W^2_2[0,1]$. To do so, put $\varphi_i(x) = G_i(x)$ and $\psi_i(x) = L^*\varphi_i(x)$, where $[x]_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is dense on $[0,1]$ and $L^*$ is the adjoint operator of $L$. In terms of the properties of reproducing kernel function $G_i(x)$, one obtains $\langle u_i(x), \psi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle u_i(x), L^*\varphi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle L u_i(x), \varphi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = L u_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

For the orthonormal function system $\{\overline{\psi}_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of the space $W^2_2[0,1]$, it can be derived from the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process of $\{\psi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$\overline{\psi}_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \beta_{ik} \psi_k(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(10)}

where $\beta_{ik}$ are orthogonalization coefficients and are given as

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{\|\psi_j\|} \quad \text{for } i = j,$$

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{\|\psi_j\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (\langle \psi_i, \overline{\psi}_k \rangle_{W^2_2})^2} \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

$$\beta_{ij} = -\frac{1}{\|\psi_j\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (c_{ik})^2} \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} \langle \psi_i, \overline{\psi}_k \rangle_{W^2_2} \beta_{kj},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(11)}

for $i > j$.

Clearly, $\psi_i(x) = L^*\varphi_i(x) = \langle L^*\varphi_i(x), R_y(y) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle \varphi_i(x), L_y R_y(y) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle \varphi_i(x), L_y R_y(y) \rangle_{W^2_2}$ for $y = x_i \in W^2_2[0,1]$. Thus, $\psi_i(x)$ can be written in the form $\psi_i(x) = L_y R_y(y) \varphi_i(x)$, where $L_y$ indicates that the operator $L$ function to the function of $y$.

**Theorem 8.** If $[x]_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is dense on $[0,1]$, then $\{\psi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete function system of the space $W^2_2[0,1]$.

**Proof.** For each fixed $u_s(x) \in W^2_2[0,1]$, let $\langle u_s(x), \psi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = 0$. In other words, one can write $\langle u_s(x), \psi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle u_s(x), L^*\varphi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle \overline{\psi}_s(x), \psi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle \overline{\psi}_s(x), \psi_i(x) \rangle_{W^2_2}$. Note that $[x]_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is dense on $[0,1]$; therefore $L u_s(x) = 0$. It follows that $u_s(x) = 0$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, from the existence of $L^{-1}$. So, the proof of the theorem is complete.

**Lemma 9.** If $u_s(x) \in W^2_2[0,1]$, then there exist positive constants $M_i^{[s]}$ such that $\|u_i^{(s)}(x)\|_{C} \leq M_i^{[s]}\|u_i(x)\|_{W^2_2}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$, where $\|u_i(x)\|_{C} = \max_{0 < s \leq 1} \|u_i(x)\|$.

**Proof.** For any $x, y \in [0,1]$, we have $u_i^{(s)}(x) = \langle u_i(y), \overline{\psi}_s(x) \rangle_{W^2_2}$. By the expression form of the kernel function $R_s(x, y)$, it follows that $\|u_i^{(s)}(x)\|_{W^2_2} \leq M_i^{[s]}$. Thus, $\|u_i^{(s)}(x)\|_{W^2_2} \leq \|u_i(x)\|_{W^2_2} \leq M_i^{[s]}\|u_i(x)\|_{W^2_2}$. Hence, $\|u_i^{(s)}(x)\|_{C} \leq \max_{0 < s \leq 1} \|M_i^{[s]}\|\|u_i(x)\|_{W^2_2}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. 

The internal structure of the following theorem is as follows: firstly, we will give the representation form of the exact solutions of (1) and (2) in the form of a finite series in the space $W^2_2[0,1]$. After that, the convergence of approximate solutions $u_{s,m}(x)$ to the exact solutions $u_s(x)$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, will be proved.

**Theorem 10.** For each $u_s, s = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ in the space $W^2_2[0,1]$, the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle u_s(x), \psi_i(x) \rangle \overline{\psi}_i(x)$ is convergent in the sense of the norm of $W^2_2[0,1]$. On the other hand, if $[x]_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is dense on $[0,1]$, then the following hold:

(i) the exact solutions of (9) could be represented by

$$u_s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{ik} F_i(x, u_1(x), u_2(x), \ldots, u_n(x)) \overline{\psi}_i(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(12)}

(ii) the approximate solutions of (9)

$$u_{s,m}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{ik} F_i(x, u_1(x), u_2(x), \ldots, u_n(x)) \overline{\psi}_i(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(13)}

and $u_{s,m}^{(0)}(x), i = 0, 1, are converging uniformly to the exact solutions $u_s(x)$ and their derivatives as $m \rightarrow \infty$, respectively.

**Proof.** For the first part, let $u_s(x)$ be solutions of (9) in the space $W^2_2[0,1]$. Since $u_s(x) \in W^2_2[0,1]$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle u_s(x), \overline{\psi}_i(x) \rangle \overline{\psi}_i(x)$ is the Fourier series expansion about normal orthogonal system $\{\overline{\psi}_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty},$ and $W^2_2[0,1]$ is the Hilbert space, then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle u_s(x), \overline{\psi}_i(x) \rangle \overline{\psi}_i(x)$ is convergent in the sense of $\| \cdot \|_{W^2_2}$. On the other hand, using (10), it easy to see that

$$u_s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle u_s(x), \overline{\psi}_i(x) \rangle \overline{\psi}_i(x),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{ik} \langle u_s(x), \psi_k(x) \rangle \overline{\psi}_i(x),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{ik} \langle L u_s(x), \psi_k(x) \rangle \overline{\psi}_i(x),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{ik} \langle L u_s(x), \psi_k(x) \rangle \overline{\psi}_i(x).$$
Therefore, the form of (12) is the exact solutions of (9). For the second part, it is easy to see that by Lemma 9, for any \( x \in [0, 1] \),

\[
\left| u_{s,m}^{(i)}(x) - u^{(i)}(x) \right| = \left| \left< u_{s,m}(x) - u^{(i)}(x), R^{(i)}_x(x) \right> \right|_{W_2^2} \\
\leq \left< \left| R_x(x) \right|_{W_2^2} \right| \left| u_{s,m}(x) - u^{(i)}(x) \right|_{W_2^2} \\
\leq M_i \left| u_{s,m}(x) - u^{(i)}(x) \right|_{W_2^2},
\]

where \( i = 0, 1 \) and \( M_i \) are positive constants. Hence, if \( \left| u_{s,m}(x) - u^{(i)}(x) \right|_{W_2^2} \to 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \), the approximate solutions \( u_{s,m}(x) \) and \( u^{(i)}(x) \), \( i = 0, 1 \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), are converged uniformly to the exact solutions \( u_s(x) \) and their derivatives, respectively. So, the proof of the theorem is complete.

We mention here that the approximate solutions \( u_{s,m}(x) \) in (13) be obtained directly by taking finitely many terms in the series representation for \( u_s(x) \) of (12).

### 4. Construction of Iterative Method

In this section, an iterative method of obtaining the solutions of (1) and (2) is represented in the reproducing kernel space \( W_2^2[0,1] \) for linear and nonlinear cases. Initially, we will mention the following remark about the exact and approximate solutions of (1) and (2).

In order to apply the RKHS technique to solve (1) and (2), we have the following two cases based on the algebraic structure of the function \( F_s \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

**Case 1.** If (1) is linear, then the exact and approximate solutions can be obtained directly from (12) and (13), respectively.

**Case 2.** If (1) is nonlinear, then in this case the exact and approximate solutions can be obtained by using the following iterative algorithm.

**Algorithm II.** According to (12), the representation form of the solutions of (1) can be denoted by

\[
u_s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^{[s]} \phi_i(x), \quad s = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where \( B_i^{[s]} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \beta_{i,k} F_i(x_k, u_{s,1-k}(x_k), u_{s,2-k}(x_k), \ldots, u_{s,n-k}(x_k)) \). In fact, \( B_i^{[s]} \) in (16) are unknown; one will approximate \( B_i^{[s]} \) using known \( A_i^{[s]} \). For numerical computations, one defines the initial functions \( u_{s,0}(x) = 0 \), put \( u_{s,0}(x_1) = u_s(x_1) \), and define the \( m \)-term approximations to \( u_s(x) \) by

\[
u_{s,m}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^{[s]} \phi_i(x), \quad s = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where the coefficients \( A_i^{[s]} \) of \( \phi_i(x) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), are given as

\[
A_1^{[s]} = F_1(x_1, u_{s,0}(x_1), u_{s,2,0}(x_1), \ldots, u_{s,n,0}(x_1)) = u_{s,1}(x) = A_1^{[s]} \phi_1(x),
\]

\[
A_2^{[s]} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \beta_{2,k} F_2(x_k, u_{s,1-k}(x_k), u_{s,2-k}(x_k), \ldots, u_{s,n-k}(x_k)) = u_{s,2}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_i^{[s]} \phi_i(x),
\]

\[
h_{n,k-1}(x_k),
\]

\[
u_{s,n}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^{[s]} \phi_i(x).
\]

Here, we note that, in the iterative process of (17), we can guarantee that the approximations \( u_{s,m}(x) \) satisfy the periodic boundary conditions (2). Now, the approximate solutions \( v_{s,m}^{(M)}(x) \) can be obtained by taking finitely many terms in the series representation of \( u_{s,m}(x) \) and

\[
u_{s,m}(x)
\]

\[
u_{s,m}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{i} \beta_{i,k} F_i(x_k, u_{s,1-k}(x_k), u_{s,2-k}(x_k), \ldots, u_{s,n-k}(x_k)) \phi_i(x),
\]

where \( M \) is a positive constant. Hence, if \( \left| u_{s,m}(x) - u^{(i)}(x) \right|_{W_2^2} \to 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \), the approximate solutions \( v_{s,m}^{(M)}(x) \) and \( u_s(x) \) are converged uniformly to the exact solutions \( u_s(x) \) and their derivatives, respectively. So, the proof of the theorem is complete.

**Lemma 12.** If \( \left| u_{s,m}(x) - u_s(x) \right|_{W_2^2} \to 0 \), \( x_m \to y \) as \( m \to \infty \), and \( F_s(x, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \) is continuous in \([0,1] \).
with respect to \( x, v_j \), for \( x \in [0, 1] \) and \( v_j \in (-\infty, \infty) \), then \( F_j(x_m, u_{m-1}(x_m), u_{m-1}(x_m), \ldots, u_{m-1}(x_m)) \rightarrow F_j(y, u_j(y), u_j(y), \ldots, u_j(y)) \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) as \( m \to \infty \).

Proof. Firstly, we will prove that \( u_{m-1}(x_m) \rightarrow u_j(y) \) in the sense of \( \| \cdot \|_{W^2_2} \). Since

\[
|u_{m-1}(x_m) - u_j(y)| = |u_{m-1}(x_m) - u_{m-1}(y) + u_{m-1}(y) - u_j(y)| 
\leq |u_{m-1}(x_m) - u_{m-1}(y)| + |u_{m-1}(y) - u_j(y)|. 
\]

By reproducing property of \( R_x(y) \), we have \( u_{m-1}(x_m) = \langle u_{m-1}(x), R_x(y) \rangle \) and \( u_{m-1}(y) = \langle u_{m-1}(y), R_x(y) \rangle \). Thus, \( |u_{m-1}(x_m) - u_{m-1}(y)| = \|u_{m-1}(x) - R_x(y)\|_{W^2_2} \leq \|u_{m-1}(x)\|_{W^2_2} \). From the symmetry of \( R_x(y) \), it follows that \( \|R_x(y) - R_x(y)\|_{W^2_2} \rightarrow 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \). Hence, \( u_{m-1}(x_m) - u_{m-1}(y) \rightarrow 0 \) as soon as \( x_m \to y \). On the other hand, by Theorem 10 part (ii), for any \( y \in [0, 1] \), it holds that \( u_{m-1}(y) - u_j(y) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \). Therefore, \( u_{m-1}(x_m) \rightarrow u_j(y) \) in the sense of \( \| \cdot \|_{W^2_2} \) as \( x_m \to y \) and \( m \to \infty \). Thus, by means of the continuation of \( F_j \), it is obtained that \( F_j(x_m, u_{m-1}(x_m), u_{m-1}(x_m), \ldots, u_{m-1}(x_m)) \rightarrow F_j(y, u_j(y), u_j(y), \ldots, u_j(y)) \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) as \( m \to \infty \). □

Lemma 13. For \( j \leq m \), one has \( L u_{s,m}(x_i) = L u_s(x_i) \) = \( F_j(x_{j,1}, u_{1,1-1}(x_{j,1}), u_{2,1-1}(x_{j,1}), \ldots, u_{n,1-1}(x_{j,1})) \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Proof. The proof of \( L u_{s,m}(x_i) = F_j(x_{j,1}, u_{1,1-1}(x_{j,1}), u_{2,1-1}(x_{j,1}), \ldots, u_{n,1-1}(x_{j,1})) \) will be obtained by induction as follows: if \( j \leq m \), then \( L u_{s,m}(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2} \). Using the orthogonality of \( \{\overline{\psi}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \), it yields that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^j L u_{s,m}(x_i) 
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2}. 
\]

Now, if \( j = 1 \), then \( L u_{s,m}(x_i) = F_j(x_{1,1}, u_{1,1}(x_{1,1}), u_{2,1}(x_{1,1}), \ldots, u_{n,1}(x_{1,1})) \). Again, if \( j = 2 \), then \( \beta_{21} L u_{s,m}(x_i) + \beta_{22} L u_{s,m}(x_i) = \beta_{21} F_j(x_{2,1}, u_{1,1}(x_{2,1}), u_{2,1}(x_{2,1}), \ldots, u_{n,1}(x_{2,1})) + \beta_{22} F_j(x_{2,1}, u_{1,1}(x_{2,1}), u_{2,1}(x_{2,1}), \ldots, u_{n,1}(x_{2,1})). \) Thus, \( L u_{s,m}(x_i) = F_j(x_{2,1}, u_{1,1}(x_{2,1}), u_{2,1}(x_{2,1}), \ldots, u_{n,1}(x_{2,1})). \) Indeed, it is easy to see by using mathematical induction that \( L u_{s,m}(x_i) = F_j(x_{j,1-1}(x_{j,1}), u_{1,1-1}(x_{j,1}), u_{2,1-1}(x_{j,1}), \ldots, u_{n,1-1}(x_{j,1})), s = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \)

But on the other hand, from Theorem 10, \( u_{s,m}(x) \) converge uniformly to \( u_j(x) \). It follows that, on taking limits in (17), \( u_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2} \). Therefore, \( u_{s,m}(x) = P_m u_j(x) \), where \( P_m \) is an orthogonal projector from the space \( W^2_2[0, 1] \) to Span \( \{\overline{\psi}_1, \overline{\psi}_2, \ldots, \overline{\psi}_m\} \). Thus,

\[
L u_{s,m}(x) = \langle L u_{s,m}(x), \overline{\psi}(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle u_{s,m}(x), L_j \overline{\psi}(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle P_m u_j(x), \overline{\psi}(x) \rangle_{W^2_2} = \langle u_j(x), \overline{\psi}(x) \rangle_{W^2_2}.
\]

as \( j \leq m \) and \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \)

□

Theorem 14. If \( \|u_{s,m}\|_{W^2_2} \) is bounded and \( \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is dense on \([0, 1]\), then the \( m \)-term approximate solutions \( u_{s,m}(x) \) in the iterative formula (17) converge to the exact solutions \( u_j(x) \) of (9) in the space \( W^2_2[0, 1] \) and \( u_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2} \). Therefore, \( \|u_{s,m}\|_{W^2_2} \to 1 \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), is monotone increasing. Secondly, we will prove the convergence of \( u_{s,m}(x) \). From (17), we have \( u_{s,m+1}(x) = u_{s,m}(x) + A_i^j \langle \overline{\psi}(x_i), \overline{\psi}'(x_i) \rangle_{W^2_2} \). From the orthogonality of \( \{\overline{\psi}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \), it follows that \( \|u_{s,m}\|_{W^2_2} = \|u_{s,m}\|_{W^2_2} + (A_i^j)^2 \). It implies that \( \|u_{s,m}\|_{W^2_2} = \|u_{s,m}\|_{W^2_2} + (A_i^j)^2 \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), is monotone increasing. Therefore, \( \|u_{s,m}\|_{W^2_2} \) is convergent as \( m \to \infty \). Then, there exist constants \( c_j \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i^j)^2 = c_i^2 \). It implies that \( A_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_j(x_{k,1}, x_{k,1-1}(x_{k,1}), x_{k,1-1}(x_{k,1}), \ldots, x_{k,1-1}(x_{k,1}))}{1, 2, \ldots, n} \). On the other hand, since \( u_{s,m} - u_{s,m-1}) \) \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) if \( m \to \infty \) it follows that \( m \to \infty \) that

\[
\|u_{s,m}(x) - u_{s,m}(x)\|_{W^2_2} = \|u_{s,m}(x) - u_{s,m}(x)\|_{W^2_2} + \|u_{s,m}(x) - u_{s,m}(x)\|_{W^2_2} \to 0.
\]

(23)
Furthermore, \( \| u_s^l(x) - u_{s-1}^l(x) \|_{W_2^2}^2 = (A_s^l)^2 \). Consequently, as \( m \to \infty \), we have \( \| u_s^l(x) - u_{s,m}^l(x) \|_{W_2^2}^2 \to 0 \). Considering the completeness of \( W_2^2[0,1] \), there exists \( u_s(x) \in W_2^2[0,1] \) such that \( u_s(x) \to u_s(x) \) as \( l \to \infty \) in the sense of \( \| \cdot \|_{l_2^2} \). Thirdly, we will prove that \( u_s(x) \) are the solutions of (9). Since \( x \{ s \}_{i=1}^\infty \) is dense on \( [0,1] \), for any \( x \in [0,1] \), there exists subsequence \( x_{m_j} \to x \) as \( j \to \infty \). From Lemma 13, it is clear that \( u_s(x_{m_j}) = F_s(x_{m_j}, u_{s,m-1}(x_{m_j}), u_{m,m-1}(x_{m_j}), \ldots, u_{m,m-1}(x_{m_j})) \). Hence, let \( j \to \infty \); by Lemma 12 and the continuity of \( F_s \), we have \( u_s(x) = F_s(x, u_s(x), u_s(x), \ldots, u_s(x)) \). That is, \( u_s(x) \) satisfies (1). Also, since \( \{ x_s \}_{i=1}^\infty \) is dense on \( [0,1] \), clearly, \( u_s(x) \) satisfies the periodic boundary conditions (2). In other words, \( u_s(x) \) are the solutions of (1) and (2), where \( u_s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty A_s^i \phi_s(x) \) and \( A_s^i \) are given by (18). The proof is complete.

According to the internal structure of the present method, it is obvious that if we let \( u_s(x) \) denote the exact solutions of (9), \( u_{s,m}^l(x) \) denote the approximate solutions obtained by the RKHS method as given by (17), and \( r_s^i(x) \) denote the difference between \( u_s(x) \) and \( u_{s,m}^l(x) \), where \( s \in [0,1] \) and \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), then
\[
\| r_s^i(x) \|_{W_2^2}^2 = \| u_s(x) - u_{s,m}^l(x) \|_{W_2^2}^2 = \| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_s^{i} \phi_s(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_s^{i} \phi_s(x) \|_{W_2^2}^2 \leq \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_s^{i} \phi_s(x) \|_{W_2^2}^2.
\]
Consequently, this shows the following theorem.

**Theorem 15.** The difference \( r_s^i(x) \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), is monotone decreasing in the sense of the norm of \( W_2^2[0,1] \).

### 5. Numerical Examples

In this section, the theoretical results of the previous sections are illustrated by means of some numerical examples in order to illustrate the performance of the RKHS method for solving systems of first-order periodic BVPs and justify the accuracy and efficiency of the method. To do so, we consider the following three numerical examples. These examples have been solved by the presented method with different values of \( m \) and \( M \). Results obtained by the method are compared with the exact solution of each example by computing the absolute and relative errors and are found to be in good agreement with each other. In the process of computation, all experiments were performed in MAPLE 13 software package.

**Example 1.** Consider the following first-order nonlinear differential system:
\[
\begin{align*}
&u_1'(x) - u_1(x) + (u_2(x))^3 = f_1(x), \\
&u_2'(x) - \sin(u_1(x))u_2(x) = f_2(x), \\
&f_1(x) = (x - 1)\cos(x) + \sin(x) + e^{3(x-1)}, \\
&f_2(x) = (\sinh((\sin(x)(1 - x)) + 2x - 1)e^{3(x-1)},
\end{align*}
\]
subject to the periodic boundary conditions
\[
\begin{align*}
u_1(0) &= u_1(1), \\
u_2(0) &= u_2(1).
\end{align*}
\]
The exact solutions are \( u_1(x) = (x - 1)\sin(x) \) and \( u_2(x) = e^{3(x-1)} \).

Using RKHS method, take \( x_i = (i - 1)/(M - 1), i = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), on \( [0,1] \). The numerical results at some selected grid points for \( M = 101 \) and \( m = 3 \) are given in Tables 1 and 2 for the dependent variables \( u_1(x) \) and \( u_2(x) \), respectively.

The present method enables us to approximate the solutions and their derivatives at every point of the range of integration. Hence, it is possible to pick any point in \([0,1]\) and as well the approximate solutions and their derivatives will be applicable. Next, new numerical results for Example 1 which include the absolute error at some selected grid points in \([0,1]\) for approximating \( u_1(x) \) and \( u_2(x) \), where \( x_i = (i - 1)/(M - 1) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, M, M = 101 \), and \( m = 3 \), are given in Table 3.

**Example 2.** Consider the following first-order nonlinear differential system:
\[
\begin{align*}
u_1'(x) + \sqrt{u_1(x) + 1}u_2(x) &= f_1(x), \\
u_2(x) - u_1(x)(u_2(x))^2 + (u_2(x))^2 &= f_2(x), \\
f_1(x) &= \left((x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 + 1)^{-1/2} + 4x^3 - 6x^2 + 2x, \\
f_2(x) &= \frac{-x^4 + 2x^3 - 5x^2 + 2x - 1}{(x^3 - 2x^2 + x^2 + 1)^2},
\end{align*}
\]
subject to the periodic boundary conditions
\[
\begin{align*}
u_1(0) &= u_1(1), \\
u_2(0) &= u_2(1).
\end{align*}
\]
The exact solutions are \( u_1(x) = (x(x - 1))^2 \) and \( u_2(x) = 1/((x(x - 1))^2 + 1) \).

Using RKHS method, take \( x_i = (i - 1)/(M - 1), i = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), on \([0,1]\). The numerical results at some selected grid points for \( M = 101 \) and \( m = 3 \) are given in Tables 4 and 5 for the dependent variables \( u_1(x) \) and \( u_2(x) \), respectively.

**Example 3.** Consider the following first-order nonlinear differential system:
\[
\begin{align*}
u_1'(x) + u_1(x)e^{u_1(x)} + (u_2(x))^2 &= f_1(x), \\
u_2'(x) - u_2(x)e^{u_2(x)} + (u_3(x))^2 &= f_2(x), \\
u_1'(x) - u_1(x)u_2(x)u_3(x) &= f_3(x),
\end{align*}
\]
### Table 1: Numerical results of $u_1(x)$ for Example 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>Approximate solution</th>
<th>Absolute error</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>−0.133827</td>
<td>−0.13382630119666272</td>
<td>9.92359 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>7.41522 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−0.213905</td>
<td>−0.21390423277976867</td>
<td>1.02844 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.80792 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>−0.240125</td>
<td>−0.2401243380235342</td>
<td>1.03748 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.32058 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>−0.214990</td>
<td>−0.21498933621279104</td>
<td>1.02686 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.75685 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>−0.143471</td>
<td>−0.14347022966680445</td>
<td>9.88513 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>6.88997 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>−0.032768</td>
<td>−0.03276672205464815</td>
<td>9.40677 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>2.87075 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Numerical results of $u_2(x)$ for Example 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>Approximate solution</th>
<th>Absolute error</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.874240</td>
<td>0.8742398572490666</td>
<td>4.41286 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>5.04765 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.804447</td>
<td>0.80444689485744</td>
<td>6.70233 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>8.33160 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.792426</td>
<td>0.792415498560056</td>
<td>8.33160 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>8.84848 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.852144</td>
<td>0.8521434857394574</td>
<td>5.15073 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>6.04443 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.962328</td>
<td>0.9623277968729329</td>
<td>1.35849 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>1.41167 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Absolute error of approximating $u_1'(x)$ and $u_2'(x)$ for Example 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative</th>
<th>$x = 0.16$</th>
<th>$x = 0.48$</th>
<th>$x = 0.64$</th>
<th>$x = 0.96$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u_1'(x)$</td>
<td>3.96943 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.14991 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.09071 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>3.76270 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_2'(x)$</td>
<td>8.88178 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>3.15362 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.11022 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>2.10942 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Numerical results of $u_1(x)$ for Example 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>Approximate solution</th>
<th>Absolute error</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0180634</td>
<td>0.01806252000000006</td>
<td>8.39999 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>4.65029 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.0473498</td>
<td>0.04734840000000003</td>
<td>1.35999 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>2.87224 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.0623002</td>
<td>0.06229859999999997</td>
<td>1.56000 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>2.50401 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.0530842</td>
<td>0.0530872000000007</td>
<td>1.43999 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>2.71267 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.0256000</td>
<td>0.02559900000000005</td>
<td>9.99999 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>3.90625 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.0014746</td>
<td>0.00147432000000012</td>
<td>2.39999 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>1.62760 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Numerical results of $u_2(x)$ for Example 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>Approximate solution</th>
<th>Absolute error</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.982257</td>
<td>0.982258015821409</td>
<td>8.80077 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>8.95974 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.954791</td>
<td>0.954792335061675</td>
<td>1.35412 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.41824 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.941354</td>
<td>0.941355026123241</td>
<td>1.50133 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.59487 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.949592</td>
<td>0.94959316843461</td>
<td>1.41570 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.49085 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.9705039</td>
<td>0.97050409311652</td>
<td>1.03765 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.06422 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.998528</td>
<td>0.998527858662958</td>
<td>2.47537 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>2.47902 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6: Numerical results of $u_1(x)$ for Example 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>Approximate solution</th>
<th>Absolute error</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>−0.144332</td>
<td>−0.1443317288548306</td>
<td>6.42035 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>4.44831 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−0.245389</td>
<td>−0.2453879153282803</td>
<td>1.24493 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>5.07330 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>−0.287149</td>
<td>−0.2871473420226861</td>
<td>1.53927 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>5.36052 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>−0.261884</td>
<td>−0.2618830218614385</td>
<td>1.35777 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>5.18460 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>−0.174353</td>
<td>−0.1743525808946390</td>
<td>8.06250 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>4.62423 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>−0.0391567</td>
<td>−0.0391565628696831</td>
<td>1.52332 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>3.89030 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 7: Numerical results of $u_2(x)$ for Example 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>Approximate solution</th>
<th>Absolute error</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.14385</td>
<td>1.143845656757054</td>
<td>$7.02579 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$6.14223 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.24309</td>
<td>1.243088505342329</td>
<td>$1.2692 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$9.86995 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.28351</td>
<td>1.28351014918347</td>
<td>$1.3145 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.04388 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.25910</td>
<td>1.25910236286934</td>
<td>$8.56073 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$7.29498 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.17351</td>
<td>1.17351004918347</td>
<td>$1.44905 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.12897 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.03915</td>
<td>1.03914662444131</td>
<td>$1.84037 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.77104 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8: Numerical results of $u_3(x)$ for Example 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>Approximate solution</th>
<th>Absolute error</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.874645</td>
<td>0.8746445398520759</td>
<td>$7.43544 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$8.50109 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.806168</td>
<td>0.806167234997103</td>
<td>$1.20922 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.49996 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.781712</td>
<td>0.7817107460286419</td>
<td>$1.39143 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.77998 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.796259</td>
<td>0.796258197762563</td>
<td>$1.28185 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.60984 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.852827</td>
<td>0.852826441003238</td>
<td>$8.85879 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.03876 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.962337</td>
<td>0.9623371578268029</td>
<td>$2.12773 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.21100 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using RKHS method, take $x_i = (i-1)/(M-1)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, on $[0, 1]$. The numerical results at some selected grid points for $M = 101$ and $m = 3$ are given in Tables 6, 7, and 8 for the dependent variables $u_1(x)$, $u_2(x)$, and $u_3(x)$, respectively.

The exact solutions are $u_1(x) = \ln(x(x-1) + 1)$, $u_2(x) = e^{x(1-x)}$, and $u_3(x) = x(x-1) + \cosh(x(x-1))$.

### 6. Conclusions

Here, we use the RKHS method to solve systems of first-order periodic BVPs. The solutions were calculated in the form of a convergent series in the space $W^2_2[0,1]$ with easily computable components. In the proposed method, the $m$-term approximations are obtained and proved to converge to the exact solutions. Meanwhile, the error of the approximate solutions is monotone decreasing in the sense of the norm of $W^2_2[0,1]$. It is worthy to note that, in our work, the approximate solutions and their derivatives converge uniformly to the exact solutions and their derivatives, respectively. On the other aspect as well, the present method enables us to approximate the solutions and their derivatives at every point of the range of integration. The results show that the present method is an accurate and reliable analytical technique for solving systems of first-order periodic BVPs.
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