Research Article

On Comparison Theorems for Splittings of Different Semimonotone Matrices

Shu-Xin Miao¹ and Yang Cao²

¹ College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China

² School of Transportation, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Shu-Xin Miao; shuxinmiao@gmail.com

Received 26 March 2014; Revised 24 June 2014; Accepted 24 June 2014; Published 6 July 2014

Academic Editor: Yang Zhang

Copyright © 2014 S.-X. Miao and Y. Cao. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Comparison theorems between the spectral radii of different matrices are useful tools for judging the efficiency of preconditioners. In this paper, some comparison theorems for the spectral radii of matrices arising from proper splittings of different semimonotone matrices are presented.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let O be the null matrix with suitable size. The notation $A \geq O$ ($A > O$) denotes that all entries of matrix A are nonnegative (positive), and in this case matrix A is called nonnegative (positive). For two real $m \times n$ matrices, A, B , $A \geq B$ ($A > B$) means that $A - B \geq O$ ($A - B > O$). The same notation is valid for vectors. A real rectangular $m \times n$ matrix A is said to be semimonotone if $A^\dagger \geq O$ [1]; here $A^\dagger$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A , that is, the unique matrix which satisfies the Moore-Penrose equation $AA^\dagger A = A$, $A^\dagger AA^\dagger = A^\dagger$, $(AA^\dagger)^T = AA^\dagger$, and $(A^\dagger A)^T = A^\dagger A$ (B^T denotes the transpose of B); see [2, 3].

Real rectangular linear system of the form

$$Ax = b, \quad (1)$$

where A is a real $m \times n$ matrix and b is a real m -vector, appears in many areas of mathematics. For example, finite difference discretization of partial differential equations with Neumann boundary conditions. Iterative methods for solving (1) can be formulated by the decomposition of A as $A = U - V$ [4], and the approximation solution of (1) is generated by

$$x^{(k+1)} = U^\dagger V x^{(k)} + U^\dagger b. \quad (2)$$

The decomposition $A = U - V$ is called a proper splitting if $R(A) = R(U)$ and $N(A) = N(U)$ [4], where $R(A)$ and

$N(A)$ are the range and kernel of A , respectively. Let $\rho(C)$ be the spectral radius of the real square matrix C ; then, for the proper splitting $A = U - V$, the iterative scheme (2) converges to the minimal norm least square solution $x = A^\dagger b$ of (1) for any initial vector x^0 if and only if $\rho(U^\dagger V) < 1$ [4, Corollary 1]. In this case, we say that the proper splitting $A = U - V$ is a convergent splitting. Moreover, the fact that $U = A + V$ is a proper splitting, as $A = U - V$ is a proper splitting, implies that $\rho(A^\dagger V) < 1$ and $I + A^\dagger V$ is invertible, so we have $U^\dagger = (I + A^\dagger V)^{-1} A^\dagger$ [1, Theorem 2.2] and $U^\dagger V = (I + A^\dagger V)^{-1} A^\dagger V$. The next lemma shows the relation between the eigenvalues of $U^\dagger V$ and $A^\dagger V$.

Lemma 1 (see [1, Lemma 2.6]). *Let $A = U - V$ be a proper splitting of real $m \times n$ matrix A . Let μ_i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, and λ_j , $1 \leq j \leq s$, be the eigenvalues of $U^\dagger V$ and $A^\dagger V$, respectively. Then for every j , we have $1 + \lambda_j \neq 0$. Also, for every i , there exists j such that $\mu_i = (\lambda_j / (1 + \lambda_j))$ and, for every j , there exists i such that $\lambda_j = (\mu_i / (1 - \mu_i))$.*

For nonnegative matrix, there is a well-known result which is shown next.

Lemma 2 (see [5, Theorem 2.21]). *Let A, B be $n \times n$ matrices; if $A \geq B \geq O$, then $\rho(A) \geq \rho(B)$.*

Using the notation of nonnegative matrix, the proper regular and proper weak regular splittings, which are the natural extensions of the regular and weak regular splittings of a real square matrix [5, 6], are defined as follows.

Definition 3. For a real $m \times n$ matrix A , the splitting $A = U - V$ is called

- (1) proper regular splitting if it is a proper splitting such that $U^\dagger \geq O$ and $V \geq O$ [7, Definition 1], [8, Definition 1.2];
- (2) proper weak regular splitting of first type if it is a proper splitting such that $U^\dagger \geq O$ and $U^\dagger V \geq O$; proper weak regular splitting of second type if it is a proper splitting such that $U^\dagger \geq O$ and $VU^\dagger \geq O$ [7, Definition 1], [8, Definition 1.2].

It should be remarked that Jena et al. [8] only considered proper weak regular splitting of first type; they name it as proper weak regular splitting. The existence of the proper splitting is discussed in [4]; there is an example in [4] to show how to construct such splitting.

Let $A = U - V$ be a proper regular splitting of A ; Berman and Plemmons in [4] showed that $\rho(U^\dagger V) < 1$ if and only if $A^\dagger \geq O$. Other convergence results of proper regular and/or weak regular splitting can be found in [8, 9]. Comparison theorems between the spectral radii of matrices are useful tools for analyzing the rate of convergence of iterative methods or for judging the efficiency of preconditioners [8, 10–12]. There is also a connection to population dynamics [11]. Some comparison theorems of proper splittings of a semimonotone matrix are established recently in [8, 13].

Our basic purpose here is to give a new convergence theorem for proper weak regular splitting of a semimonotone matrix and to derive the comparison theorems of proper regular and proper weak regular splittings of different semimonotone matrices. The condition of new convergence theorem is weaker than that in [8], and the comparison results generalized the corresponding results in [5, 8, 11]. The comparison results can be further used for judging the efficiency of the preconditioners.

2. Main Results

Recall that the proper regular splitting of a semimonotone matrix is a convergent splitting [4, 8]. For proper weak regular splitting of a semimonotone matrix, we have the following convergence theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $A = U - V$ be a proper weak regular splitting (of any type) of real $m \times n$ matrix A . If $A^\dagger \geq O$ and $A^\dagger V \geq O$, then

$$\rho(VU^\dagger) = \rho(U^\dagger V) = \frac{\rho(A^\dagger V)}{1 + \rho(A^\dagger V)} < 1. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Note that $A^\dagger V \geq O$; the proof is essentially analogous to that in [8]. We omit it here. $\square$

Remark 5. Jena et al. [8] concluded that, for a proper weak regular splitting of real $m \times n$ matrix A , the convergence conditions are $A^\dagger \geq O$ and $V \geq O$, so the condition of Theorem 4 is weaker than that in [8]. To see this, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Then A is a semimonotone matrix and $A = U - V$ is a proper weak regular splitting of A . It is easy to see that $A^\dagger V \geq O$ and $\rho(U^\dagger V) = 0.5 < 1$, but $V \geq O$ does not hold.

Let A_1 and A_2 be two semimonotone matrices and let $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ be proper splittings of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. In what follows, we will present the comparison results between $\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1)$ and $\rho(U_2^\dagger V_2)$. The comparison theorems for proper regular splittings are given first.

Theorem 6. Let A_1 and A_2 be two semimonotone matrices and let $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ be proper regular splittings of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. If $A_2^\dagger \geq A_1^\dagger$ and $V_2 \geq V_1$, then

$$\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2) < 1. \quad (5)$$

Proof. As A_1 and A_2 are semimonotone matrices and $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ are proper regular splittings, it follows from [4] that $\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i) < 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus all we need to show is $\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2)$.

For $i = 1, 2$, note that the matrices $A_i^\dagger V_i$ are nonnegative; Perron-Frobenius theorem (cf. [5]) states that the spectral radius $\rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)$ of $A_i^\dagger V_i$ is an eigenvalue corresponding to a nonnegative eigenvector; then from Lemma 1, $(\rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)/(1 + \rho(A_i^\dagger V_i))) \geq 0$ is an eigenvalue of $U_i^\dagger V_i$; hence, $\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i) \geq (\rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)/(1 + \rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)))$. Again, by Perron-Frobenius theorem, $U_i^\dagger V_i \geq O$ implies existence of a nonnegative vector x ($x \neq 0$) such that $U_i^\dagger V_i x = \rho(U_i^\dagger V_i)x$. Then

$$A_i^\dagger V_i x = (I - U_i^\dagger V_i)^{-1} U_i^\dagger V_i x = \frac{\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i)}{1 - \rho(U_i^\dagger V_i)} x \quad (6)$$

implies $(\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i)/(1 - \rho(U_i^\dagger V_i))) \geq 0$ is an eigenvalue of $A_i^\dagger V_i$; hence, $\rho(A_i^\dagger V_i) \geq (\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i)/(1 - \rho(U_i^\dagger V_i)))$; that is, $\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i) \leq (\rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)/(1 + \rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)))$. Therefore, we have

$$\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i) = \frac{\rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)}{1 + \rho(A_i^\dagger V_i)}. \quad (7)$$

Note that $V_1 \geq O$; then, $A_2^\dagger \geq A_1^\dagger$ and $V_2 \geq V_1$ lead to $A_2^\dagger V_2 \geq A_1^\dagger V_1 \geq O$, and Lemma 2 yields $\rho(A_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(A_2^\dagger V_2)$. Let $f(\lambda) = (\lambda/(1 + \lambda))$; then, $f(\lambda)$ is a strictly increasing function for $\lambda > 0$. Hence the inequality $\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2)$ holds. $\square$

Remark 7. The assumptions $A_2^\dagger \geq A_1^\dagger$ and $V_2 \geq V_1$ of Theorem 4 can be weakened as $A_2^\dagger V_2 \geq A_1^\dagger V_1$.

For different proper regular splittings of one semimonotone matrix A , the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 8 (see [8, Theorem 3.2]). *Let A be a semimonotone matrix and let $A = U_1 - V_1 = U_2 - V_2$ be two proper regular splittings of A . If $V_2 \geq V_1$, then*

$$\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2) < 1. \quad (8)$$

When we consider the monotone matrices, we have the following corollaries directly.

Corollary 9 (see [11, Theorem 4.2]). *Let A_1 and A_2 be two monotone matrices and let $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ be regular splittings of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. If $A_2^{-1} \geq A_1^{-1}$ and $V_2 \geq V_1$, then*

$$\rho(U_1^{-1} V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^{-1} V_2) < 1. \quad (9)$$

Corollary 10. *Let A_1 and A_2 be two monotone matrices and let $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ be regular splittings of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. If $A_2^{-1} V_2 \geq A_1^{-1} V_1$, then*

$$\rho(U_1^{-1} V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^{-1} V_2) < 1. \quad (10)$$

Corollary 11 (see [5, Theorem 3.32]). *Let A be a monotone matrix and let $A = U_1 - V_1 = U_2 - V_2$ be two regular splittings of A . If $V_2 \geq V_1$, then*

$$\rho(U_1^{-1} V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^{-1} V_2) < 1. \quad (11)$$

Next the comparison results for proper weak regular splittings are given.

Theorem 12. *Let A_1 and A_2 be two semimonotone matrices and let $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ be proper weak regular splittings of the same types of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. If $A_2^\dagger V_2 \geq A_1^\dagger V_1 \geq O$, then*

$$\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2) < 1. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Note that $A_2^\dagger V_2 \geq A_1^\dagger V_1 \geq O$; from Theorem 4 we have $\rho(U_i^\dagger V_i) < 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). Analogous to the proof of Theorem 6, the desired comparison results are obtained. $\square$

Theorem 13. *Let A_1 and A_2 be two semimonotone matrices and let $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ be proper weak regular splittings of different types of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. Assume that $A_1^\dagger - A_2^\dagger \geq O$ and $A_2^\dagger V_2 \geq O$. If $U_1^\dagger - U_2^\dagger \geq A_1^\dagger - A_2^\dagger$, then*

$$\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2) < 1. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Since $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ is a proper weak regular splitting of semimonotone matrix A_2 and $A_2^\dagger V_2 \geq O$, it follows from Theorem 4 that $\rho(U_2^\dagger V_2) < 1$. Hence, it suffices to show that $\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2)$.

Assume first that $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ is of second type and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ is of first type. Note that the splittings $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ are proper splittings; then, $U_i^\dagger U_i A_i^\dagger = A_i^\dagger$,

$U_i^\dagger A_i A_i^\dagger = U_i^\dagger$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $A_1^\dagger A_1 U_1^\dagger = U_1^\dagger$ and $A_1^\dagger U_1 U_1^\dagger = A_1^\dagger$ (see, e.g., [3, Exercise 1.3(2)]). Using $U_1^\dagger - U_2^\dagger \geq A_1^\dagger - A_2^\dagger$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_2^\dagger V_2 A_2^\dagger &= U_2^\dagger (U_2 - A_2) A_2^\dagger = A_2^\dagger - U_2^\dagger \\ &\geq A_1^\dagger - U_1^\dagger = U_1^\dagger (U_1 - A_1) A_1^\dagger \\ &= U_1^\dagger V_1 A_1^\dagger \\ &= A_1^\dagger V_1 U_1^\dagger \geq O. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For $U_1^\dagger V_1 \geq O$ and $U_2^\dagger V_2 \geq O$, by Perron-Frobenius theorem (cf. [5]), there exist two nonzero vectors $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$ such that

$$V_1 U_1^\dagger x = \rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) x, \quad y^T U_2^\dagger V_2 = y^T \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2). \quad (15)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2) y^T A_2^\dagger x &= y^T U_2^\dagger V_2 A_2^\dagger x \\ &\geq y^T A_1^\dagger V_1 U_1^\dagger x = \rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) y^T A_1^\dagger x. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By assumption $A_1^\dagger \geq A_2^\dagger$ we obtain

$$\rho(U_2^\dagger V_2) y^T A_2^\dagger x \geq \rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) y^T A_2^\dagger x. \quad (17)$$

Therefore

$$\rho(U_1^\dagger V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^\dagger V_2). \quad (18)$$

The case that $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ is of first type and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ is of second type can be proved in a similar way.

The proof is completed. $\square$

When considering the monotone matrices, the condition $A_2^{-1} V_2 \geq O$ for the convergence of weak regular splitting (weak nonnegative splitting in [11]) is not necessary. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 14. *Let A_1 and A_2 be two monotone matrices and let $A_1 = U_1 - V_1$ and $A_2 = U_2 - V_2$ be weak regular splittings of different types of A_1 and A_2 , respectively. Assume that $A_1^{-1} - A_2^{-1} \geq O$. If $U_1^{-1} - U_2^{-1} \geq A_1^{-1} - A_2^{-1}$, then*

$$\rho(U_1^{-1} V_1) \leq \rho(U_2^{-1} V_2) < 1. \quad (19)$$

3. Conclusion

In this paper, a new convergence theorem for proper weak regular splitting of a semimonotone matrix and two comparison theorems for proper weak regular and proper weak regular splittings of different semimonotone matrices are given. The obtained results are improved and/or generalized the previous results. Applying the comparison results to judge the efficiency of the preconditioners for rectangular linear system needs further study.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank two anonymous referees and Dr. Zhiping Xiong of Wuyi University for their valuable comments and suggestions, which improve the presentation of this paper. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 11301290) and the Youth Research Ability Project of Northwest Normal University (Grant no. NWNLU-LKQN-13-15).

References

- [1] D. Mishra and K. Sivakumar, "Comparison theorems for a subclass of proper splittings of matrices," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 2339–2343, 2012.
- [2] A. Ben-Israel and T. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- [3] G. Wang, Y. Wei, and S. Qiao, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Computations*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2004.
- [4] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, "Cones and iterative methods for best least squares solutions of linear systems," *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 11, pp. 145–154, 1974.
- [5] R. S. Varga, *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, vol. 27, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [6] A. Berman and R. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*, SIAM, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 1994.
- [7] J.-J. Climent and C. Perea, "Iterative methods for least-square problems based on proper splittings," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 158, no. 1, pp. 43–48, 2003.
- [8] L. Jena, D. Mishra, and S. Pani, "Convergence and comparison theorems for single and double decompositions of rectangular matrices," *Calcolo*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 141–149, 2014.
- [9] J.-J. Climent, A. Devesa, and C. Perea, "Convergence results for proper splittings," in *Recent Advances in Applied and Theoretical Mathematics*, N. Mastorakis, Ed., pp. 39–44, 2000.
- [10] S.-X. Miao and B. Zheng, "A note on double splittings of different monotone matrices," *Calcolo*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 261–266, 2009.
- [11] L. Elsner, A. Frommer, R. Nabben, and D. B. Szyld, "Conditions for strict inequality in comparisons of spectral radii of splittings of different matrices," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 363, pp. 65–80, 2003.
- [12] C.-X. Li, Q.-F. Cui, and S.-L. Wu, "Comparison theorems for single and double splittings of matrices," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 827826, 4 pages, 2013.
- [13] D. Mishra, "Nonnegative splittings for rectangular matrices," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 136–144, 2014.