Research Article

On an Iterative Method for Finding a Zero to the Sum of Two Maximal Monotone Operators

Hongwei Jiao¹ and Fenghui Wang²

¹ School of Mathematical Science, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang 453003, China

² Department of Mathematics, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang 471022, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hongwei Jiao; hongweijiao@126.com and Fenghui Wang; wfenghui@163.com

Received 2 March 2014; Revised 18 August 2014; Accepted 19 August 2014; Published 21 August 2014

Academic Editor: Luigi Muglia

Copyright © 2014 H. Jiao and F. Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper we consider a problem that consists of finding a zero to the sum of two monotone operators. One method for solving such a problem is the forward-backward splitting method. We present some new conditions that guarantee the weak convergence of the forward-backward method. Applications of these results, including variational inequalities and gradient projection algorithms, are also considered.

1. Introduction

It is well known that monotone inclusions problems play an important role in the theory of nonlinear analysis. This problem consists of finding a zero of maximal monotone operators. However, in some examples such as convex programming and variational inequality problems, the operator is needed to be decomposed of the sum of two monotone operators (see, e.g., [1–6]). In this way, one needs to find $x \in \mathcal{H}$ so that

$$0 \in Ax + Bx, \quad (1)$$

where A and B are two monotone operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. To solve such problem, the splitting method, such as Peaceman-Rachford algorithm [7] and Douglas-Rachford algorithm [8], is usually considered. We consider a special case whenever $B : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is multivalued and $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is single-valued. A classical way to solve problem (1) under our assumption is the forward-backward splitting (FBS) (see [2, 9]). Starting with an arbitrary initial $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, the FBS generates a sequence (x_n) satisfying

$$x_{n+1} = (I + rB)^{-1}(I - rA)x_n, \quad (2)$$

where r is some properly chosen real number. Then the FBS converges weakly to a solution of problem (1) whenever such point exists.

On the other hand, we observe that problem (1) is equivalent to the fixed point equation:

$$(I + rB)^{-1}(I - rA)x = x, \quad (3)$$

for the single-valued operator $(I + rB)^{-1}(I - rA)$. Moreover, if r is properly chosen, the operator $(I + rB)^{-1}(I - rA)$ should be nonexpansive. Motivated by this assumption, by using the techniques of the fixed point theory for nonexpansive operators, we try to investigate and study various monotone inclusion problems.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some useful lemmas are introduced. In Section 3, we consider the modified forward-backward splitting method and prove its weak convergence under some new conditions. In Section 4, some applications of our results in finding a solution of the variational inequality problem are included.

2. Preliminary and Notation

Throughout the paper, I denotes the identity operator, $\text{Fix}(S)$ the set of the fixed points of an operator S , and ∇f the gradient of the functional $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The notation “ $\rightarrow$ ” denotes strong convergence and “ $\rightharpoonup$ ” weak convergence. Denote by $\omega_w(x_n)$ the set of the cluster points of (x_n) in the weak topology (i.e., the set $\{x : \exists x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup x\}$, where (x_{n_j}) means a subsequence of (x_n)).

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$. Denote by P_C the projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto C ; namely, for $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $P_C x$ is the unique point in C with the property

$$\|x - P_C x\| = \min_{y \in C} \|x - y\|. \quad (4)$$

It is well-known that $P_C x$ is characterized by the inequality

$$\langle x - P_C x, z - P_C x \rangle \leq 0, \quad z \in C. \quad (5)$$

A single-valued operator $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is called nonexpansive if

$$\|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}); \quad (6)$$

firmly nonexpansive if

$$\langle Sx - Sy, x - y \rangle \geq \|Sx - Sy\|^2 \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}); \quad (7)$$

and κ -averaged if there exists a constant $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and a nonexpansive operator R such that $S = (1 - \kappa)I + \kappa R$. Firmly nonexpansive operators are $(1/2)$ -averaged.

Lemma 1 (see [10]). *The following assertions hold.*

(i) S is κ -averaged for $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ if and only if

$$\|Sx - Sy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \frac{1 - \kappa}{\kappa} \|(I - S)x - (I - S)y\|^2 \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y \in \text{dom } S$.

(ii) Assume that S_i is κ_i -averaged for $\kappa_i \in (0, 1)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $S_1 S_2$ is κ -averaged with $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2$.

The following lemma is known as the demiclosedness principle for nonexpansive mappings.

Lemma 2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$ and S a nonexpansive operator with $\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$. If (x_n) is a sequence in C such that $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ and $(I - S)x_n \rightarrow y$, then $(I - S)x = y$. In particular, if $y = 0$, then $x \in \text{Fix}(S)$.*

A multivalued operator $B : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is called monotone if

$$\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad (\forall u \in Bx, v \in By); \quad (9)$$

κ -inverse strongly monotone (κ -ism), if there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ so that

$$\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq \kappa \|u - v\|^2, \quad (\forall u \in Bx, v \in By); \quad (10)$$

and maximal monotone if it is monotone and its graph $G(B) = \{(x, y) : y \in Bx\}$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator.

In what follows, we shall assume that

- (i) $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is single-valued and κ -ism;
- (ii) $B : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is multivalued and maximal monotone.

Hereafter, if no confusion occurs, we denote by

$$J_r := (I + rB)^{-1} \quad (11)$$

the resolvent of B for any given $r > 0$. It is known that J_r is single-valued and firmly nonexpansive; moreover $\text{dom}(I + rB) = \mathcal{H}$ (see [11]).

Lemma 3 (see [12]). *For $r > 0$, let $T_r = J_r(I - rA)$. Then*

- (i) $\text{Fix}(T_r) = (A + B)^{-1}(0)$;
- (ii) T_r is $(2\kappa + r)/4\kappa$ -averaged;
- (iii) For $0 < r \leq s \leq 2\kappa$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - T_r x\| &\leq 2 \|x - T_s x\|, \\ \|T_s x - T_r x\| &\leq \left|1 - \frac{r}{s}\right| \|x - T_s x\|. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Definition 4. Assume that (x_n) is a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ and that (ϵ_n) is a real sequence with $\sum_n \epsilon_n < \infty$. Then (x_n) is called *quasi Fejér monotone* w.r.t. C , if

$$\|x_{n+1} - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\| + \epsilon_n \quad (\forall z \in C). \quad (13)$$

Lemma 5 (see [13]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$. If the sequence (x_n) is quasi-Fejér-monotone w.r.t. C , then the following hold:*

- (i) $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in C$ if and only if $\omega_w(x_n) \subseteq C$;
- (ii) the sequence $(P_C x_n)$ converges strongly;
- (iii) if $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in C$, then $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_C x_n$.

3. Weak Convergence Theorem

In [10] Combettes considered a modified FBS: for any initial guess $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, set

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) x_n + \alpha_n J_{r_n} (x_n - r_n A x_n) + e_n, \quad (14)$$

where $(\alpha_n) \subseteq [0, 1]$, $(r_n) \subseteq [0, 2\kappa]$ and $(e_n) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is computation error. He proved the weak convergence of algorithm (14) provided that

- (1) $\liminf_n \alpha_n > 0$,
- (2) $0 < \liminf_n r_n \leq \overline{\lim}_n r_n < 2\kappa$,
- (3) $\sum_n \|e_n\| < \infty$.

We observe that (14) is in fact a Mann-type iteration. In the following we shall prove the convergence of (14) under some slightly weak conditions.

Theorem 6. *Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (C1) $\overline{\lim}_n \alpha_n > 0$;
- (C2) $0 \leq r_n \leq 2\kappa$;
- (C3) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n)$;
- (C4) $\overline{\lim}_n (4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n) - \alpha_n) > 0$;
- (C5) $\sum_n \|e_n\| < \infty$.

If in addition $\Omega := (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence (x_n) generated by (14) converges weakly to $x^* := P_\Omega x_n$.

Proof. We first show that (x_n) is quasi-Fejér-monotone. Let $T_n = J_{r_n}(I - r_n A)$. Then It follows from Lemma 3 that T_n is β_n -averaged with $\beta_n = (2\kappa + r_n)/4\kappa$ and $\Omega = \text{Fix}(T_n)$. Letting $z \in \Omega$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - z\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - z) + \alpha_n(T_n x_n - z) + e_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - z\| + \alpha_n\|x_n - z\| + \|e_n\| \quad (15) \\ &= \|x_n - z\| + \|e_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (C5), we conclude that (x_n) is quasi-Fejér-monotone w.r.t Ω .

Next let us show $\omega_w(x_n) \subseteq \Omega$. To see this, choose $M > 0$ so that

$$2 \sup_{n \geq 0} (\|x_n - z\|) \leq M. \quad (16)$$

Let $R_n = (1 - \alpha_n)I + \alpha_n T_n$. Obviously R_n is $\alpha_n \beta_n$ -averaged. According to Lemma 1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - z\|^2 &= \|((1 - \alpha_n)I + \alpha_n T_n)x_n - z + e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|R_n x_n - z\|^2 + 2 \langle x_{n+1} - z, e_n \rangle \\ &\leq \|R_n x_n - z\|^2 + M \|e_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - z\|^2 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n \beta_n}{\alpha_n \beta_n} \|R_n x_n - x_n\|^2 + M \|e_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - z\|^2 - \alpha_n \left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} - \alpha_n \right) \|T_n x_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + M \|e_n\|, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which in turn implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{\beta_i} - \alpha_i \right) \|T_i x_i - x_i\|^2 \leq \|x_0 - z\|^2 + M \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|e_i\| \quad (18)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} - \alpha_n \right) \|T_n x_n - x_n\|^2 < \infty. \quad (19)$$

By conditions (C1) and (C4), we check that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} - \alpha_n \right) > 0, \quad (20)$$

which yields that $\|T_n x_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. By condition (C2), we find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in (0, 2\kappa]$ so that $r_n \geq r$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Let $T_r = J_r(I - rB)$. It then follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\|T_r x_n - x_n\| \leq 2 \|T_n x_n - x_n\| \quad (21)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\|T_r x_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Take $x' \in \omega_w(x_n)$ and a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of

(x_n) such that $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x'$. Since T_r is nonexpansive, applying Lemmas 2 and 3 yields $x' \in \text{Fix}(T_r) = \Omega$ and thus $\omega_w(x_n) \subseteq \Omega$. By Lemma 5 the proof is complete. $\square$

We can also present another condition for the weak convergence of (14).

Theorem 7. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (C1) $\sum_n |r_{n+1} - r_n| < \infty$;
- (C2) $0 < \liminf_n r_n \leq 2\kappa$;
- (C3) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n)$;
- (C4) $\sum_n \alpha_n (4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n) - \alpha_n) = \infty$;
- (C5) $\sum_n \|e_n\| < \infty$.

If in addition $\Omega := (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence (x_n) generated by (14) converges weakly to $x^* := P_\Omega x_n$.

Proof. Compared with the proof of Theorem 6, it suffices to show that $\|T_n x_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that the estimate

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left(\frac{4\kappa}{2\kappa + r_n} - \alpha_n \right) \|T_n x_n - x_n\|^2 < \infty \quad (22)$$

still holds. Let $a_n := \|x_n - T_n x_n\|$. Then by condition (C4) $\lim_n a_n = 0$. According to Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - T_n x_n) + (T_n x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}) + e_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \|T_n x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \|e_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \|T_{n+1} x_n - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \|T_n x_n - T_{n+1} x_n\| + \|e_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \|T_n x_n - T_{n+1} x_n\| + \|e_n\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \|\alpha_n(x_n - T_n x_n) - e_n\| \\ &\quad + \left| 1 - \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} \right| \|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \|e_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \alpha_n \|x_n - T_n x_n\| \\ &\quad + \left| 1 - \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} \right| \|x_n - T_n x_n\| + 2 \|e_n\| \\ &\leq a_n + M(|r_n - r_{n+1}| + \|e_n\|), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $M > 0$ is properly chosen real number. Then, for any given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we arrive at

$$a_{n+p} \leq a_n + M \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (|r_i - r_{i+1}| + \|e_i\|). \quad (24)$$

Conditions (C1) and (C5) imply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists and therefore $\|x_n - T_n x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the proof is complete. $\square$

Applying Theorem 7, one can easily get the following.

Corollary 8. *Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $0 < r < 2\kappa$,
- (2) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 4\kappa/(2\kappa + r)$;
- (3) $\sum_n \alpha_n (4\kappa/(2\kappa + r) - \alpha_n) = \infty$,
- (4) $\Omega := (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$.

Then the sequence (x_n) generated by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n J_r(x_n - rAx_n) \quad (25)$$

converges weakly to some point in Ω .

Remark 9. Corollary 8 implies that our condition is slightly weaker than that of Combettes' whenever the sequence (r_n) approaches to some constant.

4. Application

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$. A variational inequality problem (VIP) is formulated as a problem of finding a point $x^* \in C$ with the property

$$\langle Ax^*, z - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C, \quad (26)$$

where $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a nonlinear operator. We shall denote by Ω the solution set of VIP (26). One method for solving VIP is the projection algorithm which generates, starting with an arbitrary initial $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, a sequence (x_n) satisfying

$$x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - rAx_n), \quad (27)$$

where r is properly chosen real number. If, in addition, A is κ -ism, then the iteration (27) with $0 < r < 2\kappa$ converges weakly to a point in Ω , whenever such point exists.

Let B be the normal cone for C , that is, $B := \{w \in \mathcal{H} : \langle x - z, w \rangle \geq 0, \forall z \in C\}$. By [14, Theorem 3], VIP (26) is equivalent to finding a zero of the maximal monotone operator $A + B$. Recalling $P_C = J_r$ for any $r > 0$, we thus can apply the previous results to get the following.

Corollary 10. *Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (C1) $\overline{\lim}_n \alpha_n > 0$;
- (C2) $0 \leq r_n \leq 2\kappa$;
- (C3) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n)$;
- (C4) $\overline{\lim}_n (4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n) - \alpha_n) > 0$.

Then the sequence (x_n) generated by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_C(x_n - r_n Ax_n) \quad (28)$$

converges weakly to $x^* := P_\Omega x_n$, whenever such point exists.

Corollary 11. *Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (C1) $\sum_n |r_{n+1} - r_n| < \infty$;
- (C2) $0 < \lim_n r_n \leq 2\kappa$;
- (C3) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n)$;
- (C4) $\sum_n \alpha_n (4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n) - \alpha_n) = \infty$.

Then the sequence (x_n) generated by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_C(x_n - r_n Ax_n) \quad (29)$$

converges weakly to $x^* := P_\Omega x_n$, whenever such point exists.

Consider the optimization problem of finding a point x^* with the property

$$x^* \in \arg \min_{x \in C} f(x), \quad (30)$$

where $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex and differentiable function. The gradient projection algorithm (GPA) generates a sequence (x_n) by the iterative procedure

$$x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - r \nabla f(x_n)), \quad (31)$$

where $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and r is a positive parameter. If, in addition, ∇f is $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz continuous, that is, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq \frac{1}{\kappa} \|x - y\|, \quad (32)$$

then the GPA with $0 < r < 2\kappa$ converges weakly to a minimizer of f onto C , if such minimizers exist (see, e.g., [15, Corollary 4.1]). Denote by Ω the solution set of the variational inequality

$$\langle \nabla f(x), z - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad z \in C. \quad (33)$$

According to [16, Lemma 5.13], we have $\Omega = \arg \min_{x \in C} f(x)$. Further, if ∇f is $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz continuous, then it is also κ -ism (see [17, Corollary 10]). Thus, we can apply the previous results by letting $A = \nabla f$.

Corollary 12. *Assume that $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable with $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz-continuous gradient ∇f and that*

- (C1) $\overline{\lim}_n \alpha_n > 0$;
- (C2) $0 \leq r_n \leq 2\kappa$;
- (C3) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n)$;
- (C4) $\overline{\lim}_n (4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n) - \alpha_n) > 0$.

Then the sequence (x_n) generated by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_C(x_n - r_n \nabla f(x_n)) \quad (34)$$

converges weakly to $x^* := P_\Omega x_n$, whenever such point exists.

Corollary 13. *Assume that $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable with $(1/\kappa)$ -Lipschitz-continuous gradient ∇f and that*

- (C1) $\sum_n |r_{n+1} - r_n| < \infty$;
 (C2) $0 < \lim_n r_n \leq 2\kappa$;
 (C3) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n)$;
 (C4) $\sum_n \alpha_n(4\kappa/(2\kappa + r_n) - \alpha_n) = \infty$.

Then the sequence (x_n) generated by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_C(x_n - r_n \nabla f(x_n)) \quad (35)$$

converges weakly to $x^* := P_\Omega x_n$, whenever such point exists.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the anonymous referees and editors for their careful review of the paper and the valuable comments, which have greatly improved the earlier version of this paper. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant nos. 11301253 and 11271112), the Basic and Frontier Project of Henan (no. 122300410268), and the Science and Technology Key Project of Education Department of Henan Province (14A110024).

References

- [1] J. Eckstein and D. P. Bertsekas, "On the Douglas-Rachford splitting method and the proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 293–318, 1992.
- [2] P. L. Lions and B. Mercier, "Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators," *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 964–979, 1979.
- [3] T. Pennanen, "A splitting method for composite mappings," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 23, no. 7–8, pp. 875–890, 2002.
- [4] J. E. Spingarn, "Applications of the method of partial inverses to convex programming: decomposition," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 199–223, 1985.
- [5] P. Tseng, "Further applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in variational inequalities and convex programming," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 48, pp. 249–263, 1990.
- [6] P. Tseng, "Applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in convex programming and variational inequalities," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 119–138, 1991.
- [7] D. W. Peaceman and J. Rachford, "The numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic differential equations," *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 28–41, 1955.
- [8] J. Douglas and H. H. Rachford, "On the numerical solution of heat conduction problems in two or three space variables," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 82, pp. 421–439, 1956.
- [9] G. B. Passty, "Ergodic convergence to a zero of the sum of monotone operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 383–390, 1979.
- [10] P. L. Combettes, "Solving monotone inclusions via compositions of nonexpansive averaged operators," *Optimization*, vol. 53, no. 5–6, pp. 475–504, 2004.
- [11] R. T. Rockafellar, "Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 877–898, 1976.
- [12] G. López, V. Martín-Márquez, F. Wang, and H.-K. Xu, "Forward-backward splitting methods for accretive operators in Banach spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 109236, 25 pages, 2012.
- [13] D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich, *Quasi-Fejérian Analysis of Some Optimization Algorithms*, Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [14] R. T. Rockafellar, "On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 149, pp. 75–88, 1970.
- [15] C. Byrne, "A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 103–120, 2004.
- [16] H. W. Engl, M. Hanke, and A. Neubauer, *Regularization of Inverse Problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [17] J. B. Baillon and G. Haddad, "Quelques propriétés des opérateurs angle-bornés et n -cycliquement monotones," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 137–150, 1977.