Uniform Statistical Convergence on Time Scales
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We will introduce the concept of \( m \)-and \((\lambda,m)\)-uniform density of a set and \( m \)-and \((\lambda,m)\)-uniform statistical convergence on an arbitrary time scale. However, we will define \( m \)-uniform Cauchy function on a time scale. Furthermore, some relations about these new notions are also obtained.

1. Introduction

The idea of statistical convergence was known to Zygmund [1] as early as 1935 and in particular after 1951 when Fast [2] and Steinhaus [3] reintroduced statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers. Later, Schoenberg [4] independently gave some basic properties of statistical convergence. Several generalizations and applications of this notion have been discussed in the theory of Fourier analysis, ergodic theory, number theory, measure theory, trigonometric series, turnpike theory, and Banach spaces under different names (see [5–11]).

Statistical convergence depends on the density of subsets of the set \( \mathbb{N} \). Recall that a subset \( A \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) is said to have “asymptotic density” \( \delta(A) \) if

\[
\delta(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in A\}|,
\]

where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the enclosed set. It is clear that any finite subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) has zero asymptotic density and \( \delta(A^c) = 1 - \delta(A) \) (see [12]).

A sequence \( \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) is said to be statistically convergent to a real number \( L \) if

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k - L| = 0
\]

for some \( n \). We write \( x_k \vartriangleright L \) or \( S - \lim x_k = L \). The set of all statistically convergent sequences is denoted by \( S \) (see [2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 13]).

The generalized de la Vallée-Poussin mean is defined by

\[
t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,
\]

where \( \lambda = (\lambda_n) \) is a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers such that \( \lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1 \), \( \lambda_1 = 1 \), \( \lambda_n \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \) and \( I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n] \). The set of all such sequences will be denoted by \( \Lambda \) (see [14]).

A sequence \( x = (x_k) \) is said to be \((V,\lambda)\)-summable to a number \( L \) if \( t_n(x) \to L \) as \( n \to \infty \). \((V,\lambda)\)-summability reduces to \((C,1)\) summability when \( \lambda = (\lambda_n) = (n) \) (see [14]). We write

\[
[C,1] = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k - L| = 0 \text{ for some } L \right\},
\]

\[
[V,\lambda] = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - L| = 0 \text{ for some } L \right\},
\]

for the sets of sequences \( x = (x_k) \) which are strongly Cesàro summable and strongly \((V,\lambda)\)-summable, respectively. Strong \((V,\lambda)\)-summability reduces to strong \((C,1)\) summability when \( \lambda_n = n \).

The notion of \( \lambda \)-statistical convergence was introduced by Mursaleen [15] as follows.

Let \( K \subset \mathbb{N} \) and define the \( \lambda \)-density of \( K \) by

\[
\delta_\lambda(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \{n - \lambda_n + 1 \leq k \leq n : k \in K\} \right|.
\]
\(\delta_\lambda(K)\) reduces to the asymptotic density \(\delta(K)\) in case of \(\lambda_n = n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) (see [15]).

A sequence \(x = (x_k)\) is said to be \(\lambda\)-statistically convergent to \(L\) if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) (see [15])
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda_n} \| k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \| = 0.
\]  
(6)

After the concept of almost \(\lambda\)-statistical convergence was studied by Savaş [16], many authors have studied statistical convergence (see [6, 9, 10]). Statistical convergence is applied to time scales for different purposes by various authors (see [17, 18]).

We here recall some basic concepts and notations from the theory of time scales. A time scale is an arbitrary nonempty closed set of real numbers. We use the symbol \(\mathbb{T}\) to denote a time scale. A time scale has the topology associated with family \(\mathcal{A}\) and is denoted by \(\mathbb{T}\).

Then, it is known that \(\sigma(t) = \inf \{ s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t \} \),
\[
\sigma(t) = \inf \{ s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t \}.
\]  
(7)

for \(t \in \mathbb{T}\). And the graininess function \(\mu : \mathbb{T} \to [0, \infty)\) is defined by \(\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t\). In this definition, we put inf \(\phi\) as the empty set. A half open interval on an arbitrary time scale \(\mathbb{T}\) is given by
\[
[a, b)_\mathbb{T} = \{ t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leq t < b \}.
\]  
(8)

Open intervals or closed intervals can be defined similarly (see [20, 21]).

Now, let \(A\) denote the family of all left closed and right open intervals of \(\mathbb{T}\) of the form \([a, b)_\mathbb{T}\). Let \(s : A \to [0, \infty)\) be the set function on \(A\) such that
\[
s([a, b)_\mathbb{T}) = b - a.
\]  
(9)

Then, it is known that \(s\) is a countably additive measure on \(A\). Now, the Carathéodory extension of the set function \(s\) associated with family \(A\) is said to be the Lebesgue \(\Delta\)-measure on \(\mathbb{T}\) and is denoted by \(\mu_\Delta\). In this case, it is known that if \(a \in \mathbb{T} - \{ \max \mathbb{T}\} \), then the single point set \{a\} is \(\Delta\)-measurable and \(\mu_\Delta(a) = \sigma(a) - a\). If \(a, b \in \mathbb{T}\) and \(a \leq b\), then \(\mu_\Delta(a, b) = b - \sigma(a)\).

If \(a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{ \max \mathbb{T}\}\) and \(a \leq b\), then \(\mu_\Delta(a, b) = \sigma(b) - \sigma(a)\) and \(\mu_\Delta([a, b)_\mathbb{T}) = \sigma(b) - a\) (see [18]).

In this study, we will give some notations for \(m\)-uniform and \((\lambda, m)\)-uniform density of a set and \(m\)-uniform and \((\lambda, m)\)-uniform statistical convergence and some properties of \(m\)-uniform and \((\lambda, m)\)-uniform statistical convergence on time scales.

Definition 1 (see [25]). A subset \(E\) of \(\mathbb{N}\) is said to be uniformly dense if
\[
u(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_E(j + m) = a
\]  
(10)
uniformly in \(m\) or, equivalently,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |E \cap \{m + 1, \ldots, m + n\}| = a,
\]  
(11)
uniformly in \(m\), where \(m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) and \(\chi_E\) is characteristic function. Subsequently, uniform density was studied by Baláz and Šalát [26], Brown and Freedman [27], and Maddox [28].

The notion of \(m\)-uniform statistical convergence is first introduced by Nuray [29] as follows.

Definition 2 (see [29]). Let \(x = (x_k)\) be a real or complex valued sequence. If
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m}^{n+m} |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon = 0
\]  
(12)
uniformly in \(m\), \(x = (x_k)\) is said to be \(m\)-uniform statistically convergent to \(L\) for \(\varepsilon > 0\).

Based on this notion, we give the following definitions to generalize \(m\)-uniform statistical convergence.

Definition 3. Let \(K \subset \mathbb{N}\) and define the \((\lambda, m)\)-uniform density of \(K\) by
\[
\delta_\lambda^m(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} |k + m - \lambda_n \leq k < n + m : k \in K|.
\]  
(13)

\(\delta_\lambda^m(K)\) reduces to the \(\delta^m(K)\) in case of \(\lambda_n = n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\).

Definition 4. A sequence \(x = (x_k)\) is said to be \((\lambda, m)\)-uniform statistically convergent to \(L\) if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon = 0
\]  
(14)
for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) uniformly in \(m\).

In [30], Borwein introduced and studied strongly summable functions. His definition is as follows.

Definition 5 (see [30]). A real-valued function \(x(t)\), measurable (in Lebesgue sense) on the interval \((1, \infty)\), is said to be strongly summable to \(L = L_x\) if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_1^n |x(t) - L|^p \, dt = 0,
\]  
(15)
\([W_p]\) will denote the space of real-valued function \(x\), measurable (in the Lebesgue sense) on the interval \((1, \infty)\).

Furthermore, Nuray [31] studied \(\lambda\)-strong summable and \(\lambda\)-statistically convergent functions as in the following.

Definition 6 (see [31]). Let \(\lambda \in \Lambda\), let \(p\) be a real number, and let \(x(t)\) be a real-valued function which is measurable (in Lebesgue sense) on the interval \((1, \infty)\), if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{n-\lambda_n^{p+1}}^{n} |x(t) - L|^p \, dt = 0;
\]  
(16)then, one says that \(x(t)\) is \(\lambda_p\)-strongly summable to \(L\). Strongly summable number sequences and statistically convergent
number sequences were studied by Maddox [32], Nuray and Aydin [33], and Et et al. [34].

There are some studies about statistical convergence on time scales in the literature. For instance, Seyyidoglu and Tan [17] gave some new notations such as $\Delta$-convergence and $\Delta$-Cauchy by using $\Delta$-density and investigated their relations. Turan and Duman [18] introduced the concept of density and statistical convergence of delta measurable real-valued functions defined on time scales as follows.

**Definition 7** (see [18]). Suppose that $\Omega$ is a $\Delta$-measurable subset of $\mathbb{T}$. Then, for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, one defines the set $\Omega(t)$ by

$$
\Omega(t) = \{ s \in [t_0, t] : s \in \Omega \}.
$$

In this case, one defines the density of $\Omega$ on $\mathbb{T}$, denoted by $\delta_(\Omega)$,

$$
\delta_(\Omega) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Delta}(\Omega)}{\mu_{\Delta}([t_0, t]),}
$$

provided that the above limit exists. Furthermore, $f$ is statistically convergent to a real number $L$ on $\mathbb{T}$ if, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$
\delta_((t \in \mathbb{T} : |f(t) - L| \geq \epsilon)) = 0,
$$

where $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $\Delta$-measurable function. $f$ is statistical Cauchy on $\mathbb{T}$ if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a number $t_1 > t_0$ such that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Delta}([s \in [t_0, t] : |f(s) - f(t_1)| \geq \epsilon])}{\mu_{\Delta}([t_0, t])} = 0.
$$

**2. Main Results and Preliminaries**

It is well known that the notion of statistical convergence is closely related to the density of the subset of $\mathbb{N}$. So, in this section, we will first define $m$-uniform and $(\lambda, \mu)$-uniform density of the subset of the time scale. By using these definitions, we will focus on constructing a concept of $m$-uniform (or $(\lambda, \mu)$-uniform) statistical convergence and $m$-uniform statistical Cauchy function on time scales. In following definitions, notations $\Delta_m$ and $\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ shows that $\Delta$ depends on $m$ and $(\lambda, \mu)$, respectively.

**Definition 9.** Let $\Omega$ be a $\Delta_m$-measurable subset of $\mathbb{T}$. Then, one defines the set $\Omega(t, m)$ by

$$
\Omega(t, m) = \{ s \in [m + t_0 - 1, t + m] : s \in \Omega \},
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}$. In this case, one defines the $m$-uniform density of $\Omega$ on $\mathbb{T}$, denoted by $\delta_{\Delta_m}^m(\Omega)$, as follows:

$$
\delta_{\Delta_m}^m(\Omega) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Delta_m}(\Omega(t, m))}{\mu_{\Delta_m}([m + t_0 - 1, t + m])},
$$

provided that the above limit exists.

**Definition 10.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\Delta_m$-measurable function. Then, one says that $f$ is $m$-uniform statistically convergent to a real number $L$ on $\mathbb{T}$ if

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Delta_m}([s \in [m + t_0 - 1, t + m] : |f(s) - L| \geq \epsilon])}{\mu_{\Delta_m}([m + t_0 - 1, t + m])} = 0,
$$

uniformly in $m$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. In this case, one writes $\delta_{\Delta_m}^m(\Omega) = 0$. The set of all $(\lambda, \mu)$-uniform statistically convergent functions on $\mathbb{T}$ will be denoted by $\delta_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}^m(\Omega)$.

**Definition 11.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\Delta_m$-measurable function. $f$ is an $m$-uniform statistical Cauchy function on $\mathbb{T}$ if there exists a number $t_1 > t_0$ such that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Delta_m}([s \in [t + m - \lambda_1 + t_0 - 1, t + m] : |f(s) - f(t_1)| \geq \epsilon])}{\mu_{\Delta_m}([t + m - \lambda_1 + t_0 - 1, t + m])} = 0
$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$ uniformly in $m$. One can easily see that this definition is a generalization of Definition 8.

**Definition 12.** Let $\Omega(t, m, \lambda)$ be a $\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}$-measurable subset of $\mathbb{T}$. Then, one defines the set $\Omega(t, m, \lambda)$ by

$$
\Omega(t, m, \lambda) = \{ s \in [t + m - \lambda_1 + t_0 - 1, t + m] : s \in \Omega \}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}$. In this case, one defines the $(\lambda, \mu)$-uniform density of $\Omega$ on $\mathbb{T}$ denoted by $\delta_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}^m(\Omega)$, as follows:

$$
\delta_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}^m(\Omega) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}(\Omega(t, m, \lambda))}{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}([t + m - \lambda_1 + t_0 - 1, t + m])},
$$

provided that the above limit exists.

**Definition 13.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}$-measurable function. One says that $f$ is $(\lambda, \mu)$-uniform statistically convergent to a real number $L$ on $\mathbb{T}$ if

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}([s \in [t + m - \lambda_1 + t_0 - 1, t + m] : |f(s) - L| \geq \epsilon])}{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}([t + m - \lambda_1 + t_0 - 1, t + m])} = 0
$$

uniformly in $m$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. In this case, one writes $\delta_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}^m(\Omega) = 0$. The set of all $(\lambda, \mu)$-uniform statistically convergent functions on $\mathbb{T}$ will be denoted by $\delta_{\Delta_{(\lambda, \mu)}}^m(\Omega)$. 


Hence, we have generalized Definition 3 to an arbitrary time scale. We can easily get classical $(\lambda, m)$-uniform statistical convergence by taking $t_0 = 1$ in Definition 13.

**Proposition 14.** If $f, g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)} - \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = L_1$ and $s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)} - \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = L_2$, then the following statements hold:

(i) $s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)} - \lim_{t \to \infty} (f(t) + g(t)) = L_1 + L_2$,

(ii) $s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)} - \lim_{t \to \infty} (cf(t)) = cL_1 (c \in \mathbb{R})$.

**Theorem 15.** For $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ to be any $\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}$-measurable function, $f$ is $(\lambda, m)$-uniformly statistically convergent on $\mathbb{T}$ if and only if $f$ is a $(\lambda, m)$-uniform statistical Cauchy function on $\mathbb{T}$.

**Proof.** We can prove this by using techniques similar to Theorem 3 of [29].

**Theorem 16.** Consider $s_{T}^{m} \subset s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)}$ if and only if

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}}([m+T_{\lambda}+t_{0}, t_{0}-1, t+m)_{T})}{\mu_{\Delta_{m}}([m+T_{\lambda}+t_{0}, t_{0}-1, t+m)_{T})} > 0. \tag{28}
$$

**Proof.** For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$\mu_{\Delta_{m}} (s \in [m + t_{0} - 1, t, t_{0} + 1, t + m)_{T} : |f(s) - L| \geq \varepsilon) \geq \mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}} (s \in [t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T} : |f(s) - L| \geq \varepsilon). \tag{29}
$

Therefore,

$$(\mu_{\Delta_{m}} (s \in [m + t_{0} - 1, t, t_{0} + 1, t + m)_{T} : |f(s) - L| \geq \varepsilon)) \times (\mu_{\Delta_{m}} ([m + T_{\lambda} + t_{0}, t_{0}-1, t+m)_{T}))^{-1} \geq (\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}} (s \in [t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T} : |f(s) - L| \geq \varepsilon)) \times (\mu_{\Delta_{m}} ([m + T_{\lambda} + t_{0}, t_{0}-1, t+m)_{T}))^{-1} \times \frac{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}} ([t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T})}{\mu_{\Delta_{m}} ([m + T_{\lambda} + t_{0}, t_{0}-1, t+m)_{T})} \times \frac{1}{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}} ([t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T})} \mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}} (s \in [t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T} : |f(s) - L| \geq \varepsilon). \tag{30}
$$

Hence by using (28) and taking the limit as $t \to \infty$, we get $f(s) \to L(s_{T}^{m})$ which implies $f(s) \to L(s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)})$. \hfill \Box

The definition of $p$-Cesàro summability on time scales was given by Turan and Duman [18] as follows.

**Definition 17** (see [18]). Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\Delta$-measurable function and $0 < p < \infty$. Then, $f$ is strongly $p$-Cesàro summable on $\mathbb{T}$ if there exists some $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}} ([t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T})} \int_{[t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T}} |f(s) - L|^p \, \Delta s = 0. \tag{31}
$$

The set of all $p$-Cesàro summable functions on $\mathbb{T}$ will be denoted by $[W_{mp}]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Measure theory on time scales was first constructed by Guseinov [20] and Lebesgue $\Delta$-integral on time scales introduced by Cabada and Vivero [35].

**Definition 18.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}$-measurable function and $0 < p < \infty$. One says that $f$ is $(\lambda, m)$ uniformly strongly $p$-summable on $\mathbb{T}$ if there exists some $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu_{\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}} ([t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T})} \times \int_{[t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T}} |f(s) - L|^p \, \Delta s = 0. \tag{32}
$$

In this case, one writes $[W_{mp}]_{\mathbb{T}} - \lim f(s) = L$. The set of all $(\lambda, m)$ uniformly strongly $p$-summable functions on $\mathbb{T}$ will be denoted by $[\hat{W}_{mp}]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

**Lemma 19.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}$-measurable function and

$$
\Omega(t, m, \lambda) = \{ s \in [t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T} : s \in \Omega \} \tag{33}
$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. In this case, we have

$$
\mu_{\Delta_{m}} (\Omega(t, m, \lambda)) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega(t, m, \lambda)} |f(s) - L| \, \Delta s \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{[t + m - \lambda, t_{0} - 1, t + m)_{T}} |f(s) - L| \, \Delta s. \tag{34}
$$

**Proof.** This can be proved by using a method similar to the approach in [18]. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 20.** Let $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\Delta_{(\lambda,m)}$-measurable function, $L \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < p < \infty$. Then, one gets the following.

(i) $[W_{mp}]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \hat{W}_{mp}$.

(ii) If $f$ is $(\lambda, m)$ uniformly strongly $p$-summable to $L$, then $s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)} - \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = L$.

(iii) If $s_{T}^{(\lambda,m)} - \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = L$ and $f$ is a bounded function, then $f$ is uniformly strongly $p$-summable to $L$. \hfill \Box
Proof. (i) Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( \lim_{\mathcal{W}_{m p}} T_{-} f(s) = L \). We can write
\[
\int_{[t+m-\lambda, t+m-1, t+m)} |f(s) - L|^p \Delta s
\geq \int_{\Omega(t,m,\lambda)} |f(s) - L|^p \Delta s \geq \varepsilon \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)).
\]
Therefore, \( \lim_{\mathcal{W}_{m p}} T_{-} f(s) = L \) implies \( S_{\lambda m} - \lim f(s) = L \).
(ii) Let \( f \) be \((\lambda, m)\)-uniformly strongly \( p \)-summable to \( L \).
For given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), let
\[
\Omega(t,m,\lambda) = \{ s \in [t + m - \lambda_i + t_0 - 1, 1, t + m) : s \in \Omega \}
\]
on time scale \( \mathbb{T} \). Then, it follows from Lemma 19 that
\[
e^p \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)) \leq \int_{[t+m-\lambda, t+m-1, t+m)} |f(s) - L|^p \Delta s.
\]
Dividing both sides of the last inequality by \( \varepsilon \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)) \) and taking limit as \( t \to \infty \), we obtain
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \varepsilon \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)) \leq \frac{1}{e^p \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda))} \left( (t + m - \lambda_i + t_0 - 1, t + m) \right) - \frac{1}{1}
\]
which yields \( S_{\lambda m} - \lim f(t) = L \).
(iii) Let \( f \) be bounded and statistically convergent to \( L \) on \( \mathbb{T} \). Then, there exists a positive number \( M \) such that \( |f(s)| \leq M \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{T} \), and also
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)) = 0,
\]
where \( \Omega(t,m,\lambda) \) is as before. Since
\[
\int_{[t+m-\lambda, t+m-1, t+m)} |f(s) - L|^p \Delta s
= \int_{\Omega(t,m,\lambda)} |f(s) - L|^p \Delta s + \int_{[t+m-\lambda, t+m-1, t+m)} |f(s) - L|^p \Delta s
\leq (M + |L|)^p \int_{\Omega(t,m,\lambda)} \Delta s + e^p \int_{[t+m-\lambda, t+m-1, t+m)} \Delta s
= (M + |L|)^p \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)) + e^p \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)) + \varepsilon \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda)),
\]
we obtain
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{e^p \mu_{\lambda_m}(\Omega(t,m,\lambda))} \left( (t + m - \lambda_i + t_0 - 1, t + m) \right) \leq (M + |L|)^p
\]
Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, the proof follows from (39) and (41).

Theorem 21. Let \( f \) be a \( \Delta_m \)-measurable function. Then, \( S_{\lambda m} - \lim f(s) = L \) if and only if there exists a \( \Delta_m \)-measurable set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{T} \) such that \( \delta_m(\Omega) = 1 \) and \( \lim f(t) = L, t \in \Omega(t,m,\lambda) \).

Proof. It can be easily proved by using similar way in the study of Turan and Duman (see [18, Theorem 3.9]).

3. Conclusions
In this study, we introduced the historical development of the notion of statistical convergence. Then we presented some fundamental notions based on statistical convergence. The concepts of \( m \)- and \((\lambda, m)\)-uniform density and uniform statistical convergence were defined on an arbitrary time scale. However, we defined \( m \)-uniform Cauchy functions on a time scale in general. Furthermore, we obtained some relations between these new notions.
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