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A class of the iteration method from the double splitting of coefficient matrix for solving the linear system is further investigated. By structuring a new matrix, the iteration matrix of the corresponding double splitting iteration method is presented. On the basis of convergence and comparison theorems for single splittings, we present some new convergence and comparison theorems on spectral radius for splittings of matrices.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the following linear system:

\[ Ax = b, \tag{1} \]

where \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) is a nonsingular matrix, \( b \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \) is a given vector, and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \) is an unknown vector. In order to solve the linear system (1) by iteration methods, the coefficient matrix \( A \) is split into

\[ A = M - N, \tag{2} \]

where \( M \) is nonsingular; then, an iterative formula for solving the linear system (1) is

\[ x^{k+1} = M^{-1}Nx^k + M^{-1}b, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{3} \]

where \( T = M^{-1}N \) is the iteration matrix in (3).

The splitting (2) is called a (single) splitting of \( A \) and the iteration method (3) is called a (one-step) linear stationary iteration method. Obviously, the iteration method (3) converges to the unique solution of the linear system (1) if and only if the spectral radius \( \rho(T) \) of the iteration matrix \( T \) is smaller than 1. The spectral radius of the iteration matrix is decisive for the convergence and stability, and the smaller it is, the faster the iteration method converges when the spectral radius is smaller than 1. So far, many comparison theorems of single splittings of matrices have been presented in some papers and books [1–8].

Woźnicki [9] introduced the double splitting of \( A \) as

\[ A = P - R - S, \tag{4} \]

where \( P \) is a nonsingular matrix. The corresponding iterative scheme is spanned by three successive iterations:

\[ x^{k+1} = P^{-1}Rx^k + P^{-1}Sx^{k-1} + P^{-1}b, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{5} \]

which can be rewritten in the equivalent form

\[ \begin{bmatrix} x^{k+1} \\ x^k \\ x^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1}R & P^{-1}S & P^{-1}b \\ I & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{6} \]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix. The iteration method given by (6) converges to the unique solution of (1) for all initial vectors \( x^0, x^1 \) if and only if the spectral radius of the iteration matrix

\[ W = \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1}R & P^{-1}S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7} \]

is less than one; that is, \( \rho(W) < 1 \).
Recently, some convergence and comparison results for double splittings of matrices are presented. In [10], some convergence theorems for the double splitting of a monotone matrix or a Hermitian positive definite matrix are presented. Compared with the results in [10], some improved convergence and comparison results for the double splitting of a Hermitian positive definite matrix are proposed in [11]. In [12], some convergence results for the double splitting of a non-Hermitian positive semidefinite matrix are established. Further, some comparison theorems for double splittings of different monotone matrices are given in [13, 14] and some convergence and comparison results for nonnegative double splittings of matrices are given in [4, 15]. In this paper, by structuring a new matrix, the iteration matrix of the corresponding iteration method from double splitting of coefficient matrix is presented. On the basis of convergence and comparison theorems for single splittings, we present some new convergence and comparison theorems on spectral radius for splittings of matrices.

2. Preliminaries

For convenience, we give some notations, definitions, and lemmas which will be used in the sequel.

The matrix $A$ is called nonnegative and is denoted by $A \geq 0$ if $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We write $A \geq B$ ($A > B$) if $a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}$ ($a_{ij} > b_{ij}$) for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The matrix $A$ is called a monotone matrix if $A^{-1} \geq 0$.

**Definition 1.** Let $A$ be a nonsingular matrix. Then, $A = M - N$ is called

(i) regular if $M^{-1} \geq 0$ and $N \geq 0$;
(ii) weak regular if $M^{-1} \geq 0$ and $M^{-1}N \geq 0$;
(iii) nonnegative if $M^{-1}N \geq 0$;
(iv) $M$-splitting if $M$ is an $M$-matrix and $N \geq 0$.

**Definition 2** (see [4, 10, 15]). Let $A$ be a nonsingular matrix. Then, the double splitting $A = P - R - S$ is

(i) convergent if and only if $\rho(W) < 1$;
(ii) a regular double splitting if $P^{-1} \geq 0$, $R \geq 0$, and $S \geq 0$;
(iii) a weak regular double splitting if $P^{-1} \geq 0$, $P^{-1}R \geq 0$, and $P^{-1}S \geq 0$;
(iv) a nonnegative splitting if $P^{-1}R \geq 0$ and $P^{-1}S \geq 0$;
(v) an $M$-double splitting if $P$ is an $M$-matrix and $R \geq 0$ and $S \geq 0$.

**Lemma 3** (see [2]). Let $A \geq 0$. Then

\[ \alpha x \leq Ax, \quad x \geq 0, \quad \text{implies} \quad \alpha \leq \rho(A), \]
\[ Ax \leq \beta x, \quad x > 0, \quad \text{implies} \quad \rho(A) \leq \beta. \]

**Lemma 4** (see [16]). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $A = M_1 - N_1 = M_2 - N_2$ be $M$-splittings of $A$ (i.e., $M_i$ are $M$-matrices; $N_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$) and

\[ N_1 \geq N_2, \quad N_1 \neq N_2, \quad N_2 \neq 0. \]  

Then, exactly one of the following statements holds:

1. $0 \leq \rho(M_1^{-1}N_2) < \rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) < 1$. In addition, if $A$ is irreducible, the first inequality is also strict;
2. $\rho(M_2^{-1}N_2) = \rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) = 1$;
3. $\rho(M_2^{-1}N_2) > \rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) > 1$.

**Lemma 5** (see [17]). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $A = M_1 - N_1 = M_2 - N_2$ be nonnegative and convergent.

1. If either $M_1^{-1}M_2 \geq I$ or $M_2^{-1}M_1 \leq I$, then $\rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) < \rho(M_2^{-1}N_2) < 1$.
2. If there exist $\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, such that $M_1^{-1}M_2 \geq (1/\alpha)I$ or $M_2^{-1}M_1 \leq \alpha I$, then $\rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) < \rho(M_2^{-1}N_2) < 1$.

3. Comparison Theorems

Let

\[ A = P_1 - R_1 - S_1 = P_2 - R_2 - S_2, \]

be two double splittings of $A$. Then, we define

\[ W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} P_1^{-1}R_1 & P_1^{-1}S_1 \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} P_2^{-1}R_2 & P_2^{-1}S_2 \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

Let

\[ A_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix}. \]

Then, $A_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ and

\[ A_\alpha^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ A^{-1}I & I \end{bmatrix}. \]

This shows that $A_\alpha$ is nonsingular whenever $A$ is nonsingular. Let $A_\alpha$ be split as

\[ A_\alpha = M_\alpha - N_\alpha, \]

with

\[ M_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} P & S \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} R+S & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

Then

\[ W = M_\alpha^{-1}N_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1}R & P^{-1}S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

In [4], some comparison theorems for the double splitting (4) through investigating the matrix splitting defined by (14) were obtained, which were described as follows.

**Theorem 6** (see [4]). Let $A^{-1} \geq 0$, and let the two double splittings (10) be nonnegative and convergent. Suppose

\[ P_1 \leq P_2, \quad S_1 \leq S_2; \]

\[ 0 \leq \rho(M_1^{-1}N_2) < \rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) < 1. \]
\[ \rho(W_i) \leq \rho(W_2). \] (18)

**Corollary 7** (see [4]). Let \( A^{-1} \geq 0 \), and let the two double splittings (10) be nonnegative and convergent. Suppose

\[ R_1 \leq R_2, \quad S_1 \leq S_2; \] (19)

then

\[ \rho(W_1) \leq \rho(W_2). \] (20)

**Theorem 8** (see [4]). Let \( A^{-1} \geq 0 \), and let \( A = P_1 - R_1 - S_1 \) be regular double splitting, and let \( A = P_2 - R_2 - S_2 \) be nonnegative and convergent double splitting. Suppose

\[ P_1^{-1} \geq P_2^{-1}, \quad P_1^{-1}S_1 \leq P_2^{-1}S_2; \] (21)

then \( \rho(W_1) \leq \rho(W_2) \).

In [4], they claimed that \( \mathcal{A} \) is nonsingular whenever \( A \) is nonsingular. In fact, we make use of the following strategy to make \( \mathcal{A} \) nonsingular. That is to say,

\[ \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{A} = I - \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{N}. \] (22)

Obviously, if \( I - \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{N} \) is nonsingular, then we immediately obtain that matrix \( \mathcal{A} \) is nonsingular too. When one discusses the convergence properties of the iteration scheme (6), it is expected that the spectral radius \( \rho(W) \) of the iteration matrix \( W = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{N} \) is less than one. In this case, the iteration scheme (6) is convergent. In this meanwhile, we also know that \( \mathcal{A} \) is nonsingular. Further, comparison theorems discussed are more meaningful as the spectral radius \( \rho(W) \) of the iteration matrix \( W = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{N} \) is less than one. Based on this idea, we can consider the choice of matrixes \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{N} \) as

\[ \mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} R & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \] (23)

In light of this choice, we also have the same as the iteration matrix \( W \),

\[ W = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1}R & P^{-1}S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \] (24)

In this case, the matrix \( \mathcal{A} \) is not

\[ \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix} \] (25)

but is

\[ \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} P - R & -S \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix}. \] (26)

Then, we have

\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} R & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \] (27)

Based on Lemma 4, we have the following results.

**Theorem 9.** Let

\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_i - \mathcal{N}_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_i & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} R_i & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2), \] (28)

be \( M \)-splittings of \( \mathcal{A} \). If \( R_1 \leq R_2 \), then exactly one of the following statements holds:

1. \( 0 \leq \rho(W_1) < \rho(W_2) < 1 \). In addition, if \( \mathcal{A} \) is irreducible, the first inequality is also strict;
2. \( \rho(W_1) = \rho(W_2) = 1 \);
3. \( \rho(W_1) > \rho(W_2) > 1 \).

**Proof.** For \( i = 1, 2 \), let

\[ \mathcal{M}_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_i & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}_i = \begin{bmatrix} R_i & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \] (29)

Then

\[ \mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{M}_i - \mathcal{N}_i, \quad W_i = \mathcal{M}_i^{-1}\mathcal{N}_i. \] (30)

Since \( R_1 \leq R_2 \), then \( \mathcal{N}_1 \leq \mathcal{N}_2 \). That is, from Lemma 4, the results in Theorem 9 hold true.

**Theorem 10.** Let

\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_i - \mathcal{N}_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_i & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} R_i & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2), \] (31)

be nonnegative and convergent. If either \( P_1^{-1}P_2 \geq I \) or \( P_2^{-1}P_1 \leq I \), then \( \rho(W_1) < \rho(W_2) < 1 \).

**Proof.** Let

\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_i - \mathcal{N}_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_i & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} R_i & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2), \] (32)

be nonnegative. By direct operation, we obtain

\[ \mathcal{M}_1^{-1}\mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} P_1^{-1}P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_2^{-1}\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} P_2^{-1}P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}. \] (33)

Since \( P_1^{-1}P_2 \geq I \) or \( P_2^{-1}P_1 \leq I \), we have \( \mathcal{M}_1^{-1}\mathcal{M}_2 \geq I \) or \( \mathcal{M}_2^{-1}\mathcal{M}_1 \leq I \). From Lemma 5, the results of Theorem 10 hold true.

Obviously, from Lemma 5, we have the following result.

**Theorem 11.** Let

\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_i - \mathcal{N}_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_i & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} R_i & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2), \] (34)

be nonnegative and convergent. If there exist \( \alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1 \), such that \( P_1^{-1}P_2 \geq (1/\alpha)I \) or \( P_2^{-1}P_1 \leq \alpha I \), then \( \rho(W_1) < \rho(W_2) < 1 \).
Compared with Corollary 7 and Theorem 8, the condition \( A^{-1} \geq 0 \) in Theorems 9, 10, and 11 is not necessary.

**Theorem 12.** Let
\[
\mathcal{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} P - R & -S \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \geq 0, \tag{35}
\]
\[
\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_i - \mathcal{N}_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_i & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} R_i & S \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2),
\]
be nonnegative and convergent. If \( P_1 \leq P_2 \), then \( \rho(W_i) < \rho(W_2) < 1 \). Then

**Theorem 13.** Let
\[
\mathcal{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} P - R & -S \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \geq 0, \tag{36}
\]
let \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{N}_1 \) be regular, and let \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_2 - \mathcal{N}_2 \) be nonnegative and convergent. If \( P_2 \leq P_1^{-1} \), then \( \rho(W_1) < \rho(W_2) < 1 \). Then
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