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Application process of variational iteration method is presented in order to solve the Volterra functional integrodifferential equations which have multi terms and vanishing delays where the delay function
\[ \theta(t) = q t \]
for
\[ 0 < q < 1, \quad t \geq 0 \]

Either the approximate solutions that are converging to the exact solutions or the exact solutions of three test problems are obtained by using this presented process. The numerical solutions and the absolute errors are shown in figures and tables.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, understanding also from the work of Brunner [1], we are faced with some important problems including the numerical analysis of Volterra functional equations with vanishing delays. He exposed open problems about numerical analysis of \( k \)-th-order Volterra functional integrodifferential equations (VFIDE):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^{(k)}(t) &= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{l+1}(t) u^{(l)}(t) + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \beta_{l+1}(t) u^{(l)}(\theta(t)) + g(t) \\
    &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (V^l u)(t) + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (V^l_\theta u)(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( t \in I \) \( (k \geq 1) \) for \( l = 0, \ldots, k - 1 \),

\[
(V^l u)(t) = \int_0^t K_{l+1,0}(t, s) u^{(l)}(s) \, ds,
\]

\[
(V^l_\theta u)(t) = \int_0^{\theta(t)} K_{l+1,0}(t, s) u^{(l)}(s) \, ds,
\]

as well as the multidelay pantograph-type VFIDE in [1]. During 1990s, Brunner et al. [2] and Hu [3] introduced geometric mesh concept in collocation methods in order to obtain the collocation solutions of the problems. On the other hand, the pantograph differential equations are employed for their numerical solutions by using various methods such as Taylor matrix method [4], variational iteration method [5, 6], differential transform method [7], and methods in other papers [8–12]. In [5], the process of VIM is given for the first order multipantograph equations. In [6], the variational iteration method is applied to some examples in order to obtain the numerical or exact solutions of the multipantograph equation where the coefficient \( a_1(t) \) of \( u(t) \) is a constant and additionally the Lagrange multiplier is given for (1) without terms (2) and (3), that is, only for the extended multipantograph equation.

The variational iteration method which obtains the analytical or numerical solutions of a wide spectrum of differential equations, as well as integral equations, was proposed in the late 90s by He [13–15] and has been used in hundreds of papers by many authors in order to solve the well-known famous equations and to show the effectiveness, straightness, and convergence of that powerful method [16–20]. Furthermore, since it is a useful mathematical tool that ensures its reliability, the method has been developed according to the needs [21–24] and has also been extended...
for fractional differential and fractional integrodifferential equations [25–28].

The basic idea behind variational iteration method is to construct an iteration formula for the considered equation. After finding optimized Lagrange multiplier for constructed correction functional (i.e., iteration formula), the method takes into account that corrected iteration formula and starts to iterate with an initial function. In most cases the method provides exact solutions or the series form of exact solutions.

In addition to [28], in this paper, the procedure of the variational iteration method is presented for the Volterra functional integrodifferential equations with vanishing derivatives (1), where the Volterra integral terms are as in (2) and the delayed Volterra integral terms are as in (3); then this extended scheme is applied to three test problems for the delayed Volterra integral terms are as in (3); then the correction functional (6) can be written as

$$\delta u_{n+1} (t) = \delta u_n (t)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \lambda (\tau, t) \left\{ \delta u_n^{(k)} (\tau) - \delta \bar{r} (\tau) \right\} d\tau,$$

for $$n \geq 0,$$

where except the name of the variable $$t$$ involved for $$l = 0, \ldots, k - 1$$ $$(\delta u_n^{(l)}) (\tau)$$ and $$(\delta \bar{r} (\tau))$$ are as in (2) and (3), respectively. In order to specify the iteration, the Lagrange multiplier has to be found. The form of the Lagrange multiplier that will be determined by transferring the derivation from $$u$$ to $$\lambda$$ can be either a linear or a nonlinear function of $$\tau$$ and $$t$$. Supposing all the functions on the right hand side of the kth-order derivation in (6) as a function such that

$$f = f \left( u^{(l)} (\tau), u^{(0)} (\theta (\tau)), g (\tau), (V^l u) (\tau), (V^l u) (\tau) \right),$$

$$0 \leq l \leq k - 1,$$

the correction functional (6) can be written as

$$u_{n+1} (t) = u_n (t)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \lambda (\tau, t) \left\{ u_n^{(k)} (\tau) - f \left( u_n^{(l)} (\tau), u_n^{(0)} (\theta (\tau)), g (\tau), (V^l u) (\tau), (V^l u) (\tau) \right) \right\} d\tau,$$

for $$t \in I \ k \geq 1, n \geq 0$$. Making the above correction functional stationary and noticing that $$\delta \bar{r} (\tau) = 0$$ which represents all variables to be restricted, we obtain

$$\delta u_{n+1} (t)$$

$$= \delta u_n (t)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \lambda (\tau, t) \left\{ \delta u_n^{(k)} (\tau) - \delta \bar{r} (\tau) \right\} d\tau,$$

for $$t \in I \ k \geq 1, n \geq 0$$.

Now the above idea can be extended as follows. Considering kth-order Volterra functional integrodifferential equation (1) with (2) and (3), the correction functional according to relation (5) can be written as

$$u_{n+1} (t)$$

$$= u_n (t)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \lambda (\tau, t) \left\{ u_n^{(k)} (\tau) - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_{l+1} (\tau) u_n^{(l)} (\tau) \right\} d\tau,$$

$$- \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} b_{l+1} (\tau) u_n^{(l)} (\theta (\tau)) - g (\tau)$$

$$- \int_0^t \lambda (\tau, t) \left\{ u_n^{(k)} (\tau) - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \lambda (\tau, t) u_n^{(l)} (\tau) \right\} d\tau,$$

$$t \in I \ k \geq 1,$$

where $$\lambda$$ is a general Lagrange multiplier which can be identified by variational theory, $$u_0 (t)$$ is an initial approximation with possible unknowns, and $$\bar{u}_n$$ is considered as restricted variation, that is, $$\delta \bar{u}_n = 0$$ [14].

Now the above idea can be extended as follows. Considering kth-order Volterra functional integrodifferential equation (1) with (2) and (3), the correction functional according to relation (5) can be written as

$$u_{n+1} (t)$$

$$= u_n (t)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \lambda (\tau, t) \left\{ u_n^{(k)} (\tau) - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_{l+1} (\tau) u_n^{(l)} (\tau) \right\} d\tau,$$

$$- \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} b_{l+1} (\tau) u_n^{(l)} (\theta (\tau)) - g (\tau)$$

$$- \int_0^t \lambda (\tau, t) \left\{ u_n^{(k)} (\tau) - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \lambda (\tau, t) u_n^{(l)} (\tau) \right\} d\tau,$$

$$t \in I \ k \geq 1,$$
After repeating this process \( k-1 \) times, we will eventually obtain an expression which \( u^{(l)}_n (0 \leq l \leq k-1) \) can be decomposed from and their coefficients yield the stationary conditions. Thus, as it is indicated by using the analogue way in [22], the Lagrange multiplier is calculated as the polynomial type

\[
\lambda (r; t) = (-1)^k \frac{(r - t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}. \tag{12}
\]

Therefore, on account of (12) the iteration functional is

\[
u_{n+1} (t) = u_n (t) + (-1)^k \int_0^t \frac{(r - t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \times \{ u^{(l)}_n (r) - f \left( r, u_n (r), u_n (\theta (r)), g (r), \right) \}
\]
\[
\left( V^0 u_n (r), \left( V^0_0 u_n (r) \right) \right) \} \, dr. \tag{13}
\]

where \( 0 \leq l \leq k-1, t \in I, k \geq 1, \) and \( n \geq 0. \)

Nevertheless, for \( k = 1 \) the correction functional becomes

\[
u_{n+1} (t) = u_n (t) + \int_0^t \lambda (r; t) \times \{ u^{(l)}_n (r) - f \left( r, u_n (r), u_n (\theta (r)), g (r), \right) \}
\]
\[
\left( V^0 u_n (r), \left( V^0_0 u_n (r) \right) \right) \} \, dr. \tag{14}
\]

and Lagrange multiplier is evaluated as \( \lambda (r; t) = -1 \) from (12).

But it can also be chosen as a nonlinear function in order to accelerate the convergent rate of correction functional (14) so that the function \( f \) can be modified as \( f_1 \) by excepting the function \( u_n (r) \) with its coefficients. Now we have

\[
u_{n+1} (t) = u_n (t)
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t \lambda (r; t) \times \{ u^{(l)}_n (r) - a_1 (r) u_n (r) - f_1 \left( u_n (\theta (r)), g (r), \right) \}
\]
\[
\left( V^0 u_n (r), \left( V^0_0 u_n (r) \right) \right) \} \, dr. \tag{15}
\]

Making (15) stationary and noticing that \( \delta \tilde{f}_1 = 0 \), it is obviously obtained that

\[
\delta u_{n+1} (t) = \delta u_n (t) + \int_0^t \lambda (r; t) \times \{ u^{(l)}_n (r) - a_1 (r) u_n (r) \}
\]
\[
\left( V^0 u_n (r), \left( V^0_0 u_n (r) \right) \right) \} \, dr, \tag{16}
\]

and then we have the following stationary conditions:

\[
\delta u_n (t) : 1 + \lambda (r; t) |_{t=r} = 0, \tag{17}
\]
\[
\delta u_n (r) : \lambda (r; t) + a_1 (r) \times \delta u_n (r) = 0,
\]

which are the same conditions obtained in [5], so the Lagrange multiplier is found:

\[
\lambda (r; t) = -e^{\int_0^t a_1 (\xi) d\xi - \int_0^r a_1 (\xi) d\xi}, \tag{18}
\]

Accordingly, the correction functional for \( k = 1 \) is

\[
u_{n+1} (t) = u_n (t) + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^t a_1 (\xi) d\xi - \int_0^r a_1 (\xi) d\xi} \times \{ u^{(l)}_n (r) - a_1 (r) u_n (r) - b_1 (r) u_n (\theta (\xi))
\]
\[
- g (r) - \left( V^0 u_n (r) \right) - \left( V^0_0 u_n (r) \right) \} \, dr. \tag{19}
\]

By using formulae (13) and (19), with the given initial term \( u_0 \), the sequence \( (u_n) \) is identified and the \( n \)th term of the sequence should be the approximate solution of problem (1).

3. Numerical Examples

In this section we show how the method can be applied to such problems so we give some examples that are the modifications of (1) for the numerical verification of the presented method in Section 2.

Example 1. Firstly, we have

\[
\dot{u} (t) = u (t) + (t - t^2) \times (u \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t e^{-s} \times u (s) \, ds + \int_0^{t/2} (t^2 - 2s - 2) \times u (s) \, ds, \tag{20}
\]
\[
u (0) = 1,
\]

where the functions are considered as \( k = 1, a_1 (t) = 1, b_1 (t) = t - t^2, \theta (t) = t/2, g(t) = 0, K_{0,1} (t, s) = t e^{-s}, K_{1,1} (t, s) = t^2 - 2s - 2 \) in (1) with (2) and (3).

In order to solve the problem (20) by means of VIM, we use the proposed procedure in Section 2. Considering
the nonlinear Lagrange multiplier (18), since $a_1(t) = 1$, the Lagrange multiplier is directly calculated $\lambda(\tau; t) = -e^{t^2}$ so that the correction functional is

$$u_{n+1}(t) = u_n(t) - \int_0^t e^{t-t} \left\{ a_n' (\tau) - a_n (\tau) - (\tau - \tau^2) u_n (\frac{\tau}{2}) \right\} d\tau - \int_0^{\tau} e^{t-s} u_n (s) ds - \int_0^{\tau/2} (\tau^2 - 2s - 2) u_n (s) ds d\tau.$$  
(21)

Starting with $n = 0$ and since the initial function is $u_0(t) = 1$, from iteration formula (21)

$$u_1(t) = -\frac{3}{2} + \frac{9}{4} t^2 - \frac{3}{2} t - \frac{1}{4} t^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-t} + \frac{1}{4} e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2},$$

$$u_2(t) = \frac{125}{16} t + \frac{61}{16} t - \frac{125}{16} e^{-t} - \frac{73}{16} e^{-t} + \frac{5}{36} t e^{-2t} - \frac{11}{8} t^3 e^{-t} + \frac{5}{108} e^{-2t} - \frac{247}{864} t^2 + \frac{11}{32} t - \frac{125}{32} e^{-t} - \frac{39}{32} t^2 + \frac{1}{12} t^2 e^{-2t} - \frac{8}{3} (1/2)^t e^{-t} - \frac{1}{4} t^4 e^{-t} + \frac{1}{128} t^6 + \frac{41}{384} t^4 - \frac{11}{960} t^5 + e^{-(1/2)t} t^2 + \frac{1}{3} t^3 e^{-1/2}.$$  
(22)

The other terms of the sequences ($u_n$) can be found by using the iteration formula with the previous terms and for the large values of $t$, because the exact solution of (20) is $u(t)$, $u_n(t)$ for $n = 3, 4, 5$ have more terms than previous ones and are not necessary to write here, but we can give these limitations

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{u_3(t)}{e^t} = 1.0912, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{u_4(t)}{e^t} = 1.0130,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{u_5(t)}{e^t} = 1.0007.$$  
(23)

It is obviously seen that using this iteration formula (21), the approximate solution $u_n(t)$ of the problem (20) that is convergent to the exact solution even for the large values of $t$ is found in the beginning terms of the sequence ($u_n$). Tables 1 and 2 show the values of solutions for comparison purposes and Figures 1 and 2 support the efficiency and the accuracy of the method.

Example 2. Now, we have

$$\ddot{u}(t) = u(t) + u \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) - \frac{5}{3} t^4 + 4t + 2 + \int_0^t 3s u(s) ds + \int_0^{t/2} 2t u(s) ds$$  
(24)

$$u(0) = -1,$$

where the functions are considered as $k = 1$, $a_1(t) = 1$, $b_1(t) = 1$, $b_2(t) = t/2$, $g(t) = -((3/5)t^4 + 4t + 2$, $K_{0,1}(t, s) = 3s$, $K_{1,1}(t, s) = 2t$ in (1) with (2) and (3).

To solve the problem (24) by means of VIM, we consider the linear Lagrange multiplier evaluated from (12) for this problem. Since $k = 1$, the Lagrange multiplier is directly calculated $\lambda(\tau; t) = -e^{t^2}$ so that the correction functional is

$$u_{n+1}(t) = u_n(t)$$

$$- \int_0^t \left[ 5 \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) - \frac{5}{3} t^4 - 4t - 2 + \frac{5}{3} \right] + \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) - 4t - 2$$  
(25)
Table 1: Numerical results of Example 1 for the approximate solution \( u_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>Exact solution ( e^t )</th>
<th>( u_1(t) )</th>
<th>( u_2(t) )</th>
<th>( u_3(t) )</th>
<th>( u_4(t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0000000000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1051709180756476</td>
<td>1.1050857918050277</td>
<td>1.1051709180753588</td>
<td>1.1051709180747629</td>
<td>1.1051709180753588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2214027581601911</td>
<td>1.2214027581601911</td>
<td>1.2214027581601911</td>
<td>1.2214027581601911</td>
<td>1.2214027581601911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3498580757508363</td>
<td>1.3498580757508363</td>
<td>1.3498580757508363</td>
<td>1.3498580757508363</td>
<td>1.3498580757508363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4918246976725808</td>
<td>1.4918246976725808</td>
<td>1.4918246976725808</td>
<td>1.4918246976725808</td>
<td>1.4918246976725808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

as in (13). To be more accurate in finding the solution, it is obvious to start the initial function as a polynomial type of order two because of the structure of the equation in (24). Starting with \( n = 0 \) and since the initial function is \( u_0(t) = at^2 + bt + c \), from iteration formula (25)

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1(t) &= \left( -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6}a \right)t^5 + \frac{5}{16}bt^4 + \left( \frac{5}{6}c + \frac{5}{12}a \right)t^3 \\
    &\quad + \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4}b \right)t^2 + (2 + 2c)t + c
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

is found. From the condition \( u(0) = -1, c = -1 \) so (25) is

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1(t) &= \left( -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6}a \right)t^5 + \frac{5}{16}bt^4 + \left( \frac{5}{12}c - \frac{5}{6}a \right)t^3 \\
    &\quad + \left( 2 + \frac{3}{4}b \right)t^2 - 1
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

and because this must correspond to the initial polynomial function, \( a = 2, b = 0 \). Thus the first iteration solution of the problem (24) is (27) with substituting \( a = 2, b = 0 \) which is the exact solution.

Example 3. Finally, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    \dot{u}(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-q t}) + \int_0^t u(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^q u(s) ds, \\
    u(0) &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

where the functions are considered as \( k = 1, a_1(t) = 0, b_1(t) = 0, \theta(t) = qt \) for \( 0 < q < 1, q(t) = -(1/2)(1 + e^{-qt}), K_{0,1}(t, s) = 1, K_{1,1}(t, s) = -1/2 \) in (1) with (2) and (3).

This example is different from other two examples and now it is not important which formula of the Lagrange multiplier will be used, because the coefficient \( a_1(t) \) is zero and both of the linear form (12) and nonlinear form (18) give the desired multiplier as \( \lambda(t; t) = -1 \) so that the correction functional is

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{n+1}(t) &= u_n(t) - \int_0^t \left[ u_n' (\tau) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-q\tau}) \right] d\tau - \int_0^t u_n(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^q u_n(s) ds \right] d\tau.
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

Starting with \( n = 0 \) and since the initial function is \( u_0(t) = 1 \), from iteration formula (29)

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1(t; q) &= 1 - \frac{2 + 2qt - 2e^{-qt} - 2qt^2 + q^2 t^2}{4q}, \\
    u_2(t; q) &= 1 - \frac{2 + 2qt - 2e^{-qt} - 2qt^2 + q^2 t^2}{4q} \\
    &\quad + \left( 24 - 48q + 12q^4 t^2 + 24q^3 t - 24t^3 q^3 ight) \\
    &\quad - 8t^3 q^4 + 48q e^{-qt} + 4t^4 q^4 - 2q^5 t^4 + 4t^3 q^6 \\
    &\quad - 24e^{-qt^2} - 2q^2 t^4 + q^3 t^4 \times (96q^4)^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

(30)

are obtained. The other terms of the sequences \( (u_n) \) can be found by using the iteration formula with substituting the previous terms. The fourth iteration solution \( u_4(t; q) \) coincides with the exact solution \( e^{-t} \) of (28), and the approximate solution \( u_4(t; q) \) is changed infinitesimally by the parameter...
Table 2: Comparison of the absolute errors for Example 1.

| \( t \) | \( u(t) = e^t \) | \( |u(t) - u_1(t)| \) | \( |u(t) - u_2(t)| \) | \( |u(t) - u_3(t)| \) | \( |u(t) - u_4(t)| \) |
|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0.0   | 1.0517091807565 | 8.51269946525971e-5 | 1.7457113546810226e-8 | 8.84672945669598e-13 | 2.887607321029178028e-13 |
| 0.1   | 1.22140275816017 | 6.90788722494057e-4 | 6.067149968050524e-7 | 1.5131072313594238e-10 | 2.1257056607238188e-14 |
| 0.2   | 1.34985880757600 | 2.3492022573089736e-3 | 4.888604136212232e-6 | 3.192290838225691e-9 | 9.19466036766947e-13 |
| 0.3   | 1.49182469764127 | 5.5751072323744174e-3 | 2.14510240620706504e-5 | 2.7970257746148669e-8 | 1.618638512514924e-11 |
| 0.4   | 1.64872127070013 | 1.0833081768523104e-2 | 6.7049632705358174e-5 | 1.4981135703130950e-7 | 1.547733666027635e-10 |
| 0.5   | 1.8221880390511 | 1.8505096601492435e-2 | 1.6837440679562657e-4 | 5.8409988053979630e-7 | 9.6338934386879802e-10 |
| 0.6   | 2.0375270747048 | 2.8857933387086391e-2 | 3.6227749531063778e-4 | 1.8215888289364710e-6 | 4.449504674571616e-9 |
| 0.7   | 2.2254092849247 | 4.20100127082214599e-2 | 6.940057732511601e-4 | 4.80925648734251589e-6 | 1.64617117390721096e-8 |
| 0.8   | 2.4596031115695 | 5.7897349235393542e-2 | 1.21312732209689873e-3 | 1.11518412348543253e-5 | 5.1318052763985064e-8 |
| 0.9   | 2.71828182845905 | 7.62381335476117146e-2 | 1.96690809540478171e-3 | 2.3289387084185628e-5 | 1.39522434776416469e-7 |
Table 3: Comparison of the approximate solutions $u_i(t)$ for $q = 0.5, 0.9$ obtained by VIM and exact solution for Example 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>$u_1(t)$</th>
<th>$u_2(t)$</th>
<th>$u_3(t)$</th>
<th>$u_4(t)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>$\exp(-t)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9048374181</td>
<td>0.9049794245071400910</td>
<td>0.90483748741072702427</td>
<td>0.90483748105242138249</td>
<td>0.90483741803596020044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8187307531</td>
<td>0.81983741803596020044</td>
<td>0.81873293843608496519</td>
<td>0.81873075307914074618</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7408182207</td>
<td>0.7444579642505780725</td>
<td>0.7408182207573123809853</td>
<td>0.7408182207573123809853</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.670300461</td>
<td>0.67873075307914074618</td>
<td>0.67032030516271146820</td>
<td>0.6703204666164520758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6065306597</td>
<td>0.62250783071404868625</td>
<td>0.6065318792522035286</td>
<td>0.60653066399458711921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5488116361</td>
<td>0.5758182206817866605</td>
<td>0.54881594959954198138</td>
<td>0.5488165795787522201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4968530388</td>
<td>0.53843808977183433439</td>
<td>0.49659783614616353313</td>
<td>0.496593409431628837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4493289641</td>
<td>0.50130204603563900775</td>
<td>0.4493046249936486908</td>
<td>0.4493292433896528241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4065696598</td>
<td>0.4913781516217739211724</td>
<td>0.40664059717392112754</td>
<td>0.40657047465508326676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3678794412</td>
<td>0.48153065971263432636</td>
<td>0.36802591071733624760</td>
<td>0.3678815233602633522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>$u_1(t)$</th>
<th>$u_2(t)$</th>
<th>$u_3(t)$</th>
<th>$u_4(t)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9048374181</td>
<td>0.9049794245071400910</td>
<td>0.90483748741072702427</td>
<td>0.90483748105242138249</td>
<td>0.90483741803596020044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8187307531</td>
<td>0.81983741803596020044</td>
<td>0.81873293843608496519</td>
<td>0.81873075307914074618</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7408182207</td>
<td>0.7444579642505780725</td>
<td>0.7408182207573123809853</td>
<td>0.7408182207573123809853</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.670300461</td>
<td>0.67873075307914074618</td>
<td>0.67032030516271146820</td>
<td>0.6703204666164520758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6065306597</td>
<td>0.62250783071404868625</td>
<td>0.6065318792522035286</td>
<td>0.60653066399458711921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5488116361</td>
<td>0.5758182206817866605</td>
<td>0.54881594959954198138</td>
<td>0.5488165795787522201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4968530388</td>
<td>0.53843808977183433439</td>
<td>0.49659783614616353313</td>
<td>0.496593409431628837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4493289641</td>
<td>0.50130204603563900775</td>
<td>0.4493046249936486908</td>
<td>0.4493292433896528241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4065696598</td>
<td>0.4913781516217739211724</td>
<td>0.40664059717392112754</td>
<td>0.40657047465508326676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3678794412</td>
<td>0.48153065971263432636</td>
<td>0.36802591071733624760</td>
<td>0.3678815233602633522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Comparison of the absolute errors for $q = 0.5, 0.9$ for Example 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>$e_1(t)$ for $q = 0.5$</th>
<th>$e_2(t)$ for $q = 0.5$</th>
<th>$e_3(t)$ for $q = 0.5$</th>
<th>$e_4(t)$ for $q = 0.5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9048374181</td>
<td>1.420664647543594e-4</td>
<td>6.937511313111e-8</td>
<td>1.646180933e-11</td>
<td>2.43155e-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8187307531</td>
<td>1.1066495797711448e-3</td>
<td>2.1807656263748e-6</td>
<td>2.07892070592e-9</td>
<td>1.15888751e-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7408182207</td>
<td>3.6397557433994118e-3</td>
<td>1.627081685960135e-5</td>
<td>3.504952023246e-8</td>
<td>4.07648389e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6703200461</td>
<td>8.410704234255791e-3</td>
<td>6.738054375053324e-5</td>
<td>2.591270216746e-7</td>
<td>5.808127744e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6065306597</td>
<td>1.6020123358714446e-2</td>
<td>2.021166601797282e-4</td>
<td>1.219539692926e-6</td>
<td>4.2819536956e-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5488116361</td>
<td>2.7006854876914334e-2</td>
<td>4.944364133166875e-4</td>
<td>4.31350551554875e-6</td>
<td>2.18635878938e-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4965853038</td>
<td>4.1852785927303919e-2</td>
<td>1.050824746165566e-3</td>
<td>1.252782320403843e-5</td>
<td>8.66429067367e-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4493289641</td>
<td>6.0991019184177093e-2</td>
<td>2.0149204157144432e-3</td>
<td>3.14983821402776e-5</td>
<td>2.852217436909e-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4065696598</td>
<td>8.4808491881741812e-2</td>
<td>3.5716301825918244e-3</td>
<td>7.09374333220606e-5</td>
<td>8.14914412479e-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3678794412</td>
<td>1.136512185411191020e-1</td>
<td>5.9509343943865667e-3</td>
<td>1.46469545893926e-4</td>
<td>2.08218958401362e-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Exact solution</th>
<th>$e_1(t)$ for $q = 0.9$</th>
<th>$e_2(t)$ for $q = 0.9$</th>
<th>$e_3(t)$ for $q = 0.9$</th>
<th>$e_4(t)$ for $q = 0.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9048374181</td>
<td>9.657378138941948e-5</td>
<td>3.27051349073e-8</td>
<td>5.74139096e-12</td>
<td>6.2728e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8187307531</td>
<td>7.5269706950581513e-4</td>
<td>1.02756966760297e-6</td>
<td>7.2447942848e-10</td>
<td>3.1746709e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7408182207</td>
<td>2.4759423837651259e-3</td>
<td>7.663255100431432e-6</td>
<td>1.20476554969e-8</td>
<td>1.20630774e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6703200461</td>
<td>5.7223573371557310e-3</td>
<td>3.172132588210038e-5</td>
<td>9.016539013769e-8</td>
<td>1.5881468019e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6065306597</td>
<td>1.09016467439072948e-2</td>
<td>9.511362494392321e-5</td>
<td>4.24026068794109e-7</td>
<td>1.1697952084e-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5488116361</td>
<td>1.8381374870742368e-2</td>
<td>2.328709410406167e-4</td>
<td>1.49870662825066e-5</td>
<td>5.9678057571e-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4965853038</td>
<td>2.84934745457559508e-2</td>
<td>4.9413985647599353e-4</td>
<td>3.49471803465698e-6</td>
<td>2.3629431291e-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4493289641</td>
<td>4.1533400398488082e-2</td>
<td>9.471788792480909e-4</td>
<td>1.09290483805125e-5</td>
<td>7.77213599791e-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4065696598</td>
<td>5.776815482770115184e-2</td>
<td>1.6784463922660356e-3</td>
<td>2.459729456944614e-5</td>
<td>2.2187992678166e-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3678794412</td>
<td>7.7457036462238516e-2</td>
<td>2.7953794267327664e-3</td>
<td>5.075582693458194e-5</td>
<td>5.664754940028e-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
q (0 < q < 1) in the 0 ≤ t ≤ 2. For example, for q = 0.5 the fourth iteration solution is

\[ u_4(t) = -0.5104166667t^3 - 5.079365079 \times 10^{-11}t^4 + 0.00001709908248t^8 + 108.5t + 85e^{-0.5t} - 4096e^{-0.0625t} + 5440e^{-0.125t} - 1428e^{-0.25t} - 0.003531901041t^5 + 5 \times 10^{-13}t^6. \]

So it is clearly seen from Figure 3 that the fourth iteration solution is the approximate solution with the errors indicated in Tables 3 and 4.

4. Conclusion

In this study, the process of variational iteration method for the Volterra functional integrodifferential equations with vanishing delays (1), where the Volterra integral terms are as in (2), the delayed Volterra integral terms are as in (3), and \( \theta(t) = qt \) for 0 < q < 1, t ≥ 0 is the linear delay function, is constructed and it is applied to the problems that are the different types of problem (1). In Section 2, two types of Lagrange multiplier are given, that is, linear one and nonlinear one. From also the previous papers [19, 26], it is understood that sometimes the nonlinear multiplier yields the more accurate approach than the linear one. The method is applicable also in the pantograph-type differential equations and Volterra integrodifferential equations with linear delay functions.
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