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We investigate a new higher order iterative method for computing the generalized inverse $A^{(2)}_{T,S}$ for a given matrix $A$. We also discuss how the new method could be applied for finding approximate inverses of nonsingular square matrices. Analysis of convergence is included to show that the proposed scheme has at least fifteenth-order convergence. Some tests are also presented to show the superiority of the new method.

1. Introduction

The traditional generalized inverses, the Moore-Penrose inverse, the weighted Moore-Penrose inverse, the Drazin inverse, the group inverse, the Bott-Duffin inverse, and so forth are of special interest in matrix theory. They are extensively used in statistics, control theory, power systems, nonlinear equations, optimization and numerical analysis, and so on. Most of these generalized inverses are outer inverses of the form $A^{(2)}_{T,S}$ having the prescribed range $T$ and null space $S$. For a given complex matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ such that $XAX = X$, $R(X) = T$, and $N(X) = S$ is known as the outer inverse or 2-inverse denoted by $A^{(2)}_{T,S}$ of $A$ with the prescribed range $T$ and null space $S$. This also plays an important role in singular differential and difference equations, the stable approximations of ill-posed problems, and in linear and nonlinear problems [1, 2]. As a result, it has been extensively studied by many researchers and many methods [3–16] are proposed in the literature for computing them. There are some techniques to tackle this problem, which are basically divided into two parts: the direct solvers such as Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting (GEPP). However, direct methods usually need much cost in both time and space in order to achieve desired results; sometimes they may not be able to work at all. In contrast, iterative method compensates for individual and accumulation of round-off errors as it is a process of successive refinement.

The well-known second order Schulz method in [17] firstly presents Newton's method:

$$X_{k+1} = X_k \left(2I - AX_k\right),$$

(1)

where $I$ is the identity matrix. In 2011, Li et al. in [18] gave the following locally cubically convergent scheme:

$$X_{k+1} = X_k \left(3I - AX_k \left(3I - AX_k\right)\right)$$

(2)

and also proposed another iterative method:

$$X_{k+1} = X_k \left(I + \frac{1}{2} \left(I - AX_k\right) \left(I + \left(2I - AX_k\right)\right)\right).$$

(3)

Krishnamurthy and Sen in [19] provided the following fourth-order method:

$$X_{k+1} = X_k \left(I + Y_k \left(I + Y_k \left(I + Y_k\right)\right)\right),$$

(4)
Let the symbols $\rho(A)$, $\rho(X)$, and $\|X\|$ denote the range, the null space, the conjugate transpose, spectral radius, and Frobenius norm of $X$. It is well known that $A$ converges; that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} A^n = 0$ if and only if $\rho(A) < 1$.

The following lemmas are needed in what follows.

**Lemma 1.** If $P_{k,M}$ denotes the projector on a space $L$ along a space $M$, then

1. $P_{L,M}Q = Q$ if and only if $R(Q) \subseteq L$;
2. $QP_{L,M} = Q$ if and only if $N(Q) \supseteq M$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $A \in C^{m \times n}$; let $T$ and $S$ be the subspace of $C^n$ and $C^m$, respectively, with $\dim T = \dim S = t \leq r$. Then, $A$ has a unique outer inverse $X$ denoting $A^{(2)}_{T,S}$ such that $R(X) = T$ and $N(X) = S$ if and only if

$$AT \oplus S = C^m.$$  

It is well known that, for $A \in C^{m \times n}$, the Moore-Penrose inverse $A^\dagger$, the Drazin inverse $A^D$, the weighted Moore-Penrose inverse $A^+_{M,N}$, and the weighted Drazin inverse $A_{D,W}^+$ can be represented by

1. $A^\dagger = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^*),\mathcal{N}(A^*)}$;
2. $A^D = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^*),\mathcal{N}(A^*)}$, where $k = \text{Ind}(A)$;
3. $A^+_{M,N} = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(N^{-1}A^*M),\mathcal{N}(N^{-1}A^*M)}$;
4. $A_{D,W}^+ = (WAW)^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}((AW)^*A),\mathcal{N}((AW)^*A)}$, where $k = \text{Ind}(AW)$.

**3. A New Method for Finding the Generalized Inverse $A^{(2)}_{T,S}$**

Inspired and motivated by the classical method (1) for finding the inverse of a matrix $A$, it should be pointed out that Newton's method for the matrix equation [17] is as follows:

$$F(V) := V^{-1} - A = 0. \quad (7)$$

To construct a new matrix iteration, we must find a non-linear equation solver, which is more efficient than Newton's method when it is applied to (7). Toward this goal, we apply the following rational iteration function:

$$Y_k = V_k - F'(V_k)^{-1} F(V_k),$$

$$Z_k = V_k - \left[2^{-1} F'(V_k) \right] \left[ F'(V_k)^{-1} + F'(V_k)^{-1} \right],$$

$$W_k = Z_k - \left[ (Z_k - Y_k)^{-1} F(Z_k) - F(Y_k) \right]^{-1} F(Z_k), \quad (8)$$

$$V_{k+1} = W_k - \left[ 2^{-1} F(W_k) F'(W_k)^{-1} \right] \times [2 + F'(W_k) F(W_k)^{-1} F'(W_k)^{-1}]$$

on the matrix equation (7), to obtain the following efficient high-order method after simplifying:

$$V_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{8} V_k \left(-11I + 25AV_k - 30(AV_k)^2 \right.$$}

$$\left. + 20(AV_k)^3 - 7(AV_k)^4 + (AV_k)^5 \right) \times \left(12I - 66AV_k + 271(AV_k)^2 - 730(AV_k)^3 \right.$$}

$$\left. + 2060(AV_k)^4 - 1982(AV_k)^5 + 1260(AV_k)^6 - 1572(AV_k)^7 \right.$$}

$$\left. + 870(AV_k)^8 - 340(AV_k)^9 + 89(AV_k)^{10} - 14(AV_k)^{11} + (AV_k)^{12} \right). \quad (9)$$
Now, by simplification, we suggest the following matrix
iteration:
\[ \psi_k = AV_k, \]
\[ \chi_k = -11I + \psi_k (25I + \psi_k (30I + \psi_k (7I + \psi_k (20I + \psi_k (7I + \psi_k))))), \]
\[ \theta_k = \psi_k \chi_k, \]
\[ V_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{8} V_k \chi_k (12I + \theta_k (6I + \theta_k)) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (10) \]
where \( I \) is the identity matrix.

Note that it is also known that for \( Y \in C_{r}^{\text{max}} \) with \( R(Y) = T \) and \( N(Y) = S \), and the initial approximation \( V_0 = \alpha Y \), with \( 0 < \alpha < 2/(\rho(AY)) \), it holds that
\[ ||I - AV_0|| < 1. \quad (11) \]
We are about to use this fact in the following theorem so as to find the theoretical order of the proposed method (10) for finding the generalized inverse \( A_{T,S}^{(2)} \).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( A \in C_{r}^{\text{max}} \), let \( T \) be a subspace of \( C^{n} \) of dimension \( s \leq r \), and let \( S \) be a subspace of \( C^{m} \) of dimension \( m - s \). Suppose \( Y \in C_{r}^{\text{max}} \) with \( R(Y) = T \) and \( N(Y) = S \) and the initial approximation \( V_0 = \alpha Y \); the sequence \( \{V_k\}_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \) generalized by (9) satisfies the following error estimate when finding \( A_{T,S}^{(2)} \):
\[ \left| \frac{A_{T,S}^{(2)} - V_k}{A_{T,S}^{(2)}} \right| \leq \left| I - AV_0 \right|^{15}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \quad (12) \]

**Proof.** We use notations that \( E_0 = I - AV_0 \) and subsequently \( E_k = I - AV_k \). Then,
\[ E_{k+1} = I - AV_{k+1} = I - \frac{1}{8} AV_k \left(-11I + 25AV_k - 30(AV_k)^2 + 20(AV_k)^3 - 7(AV_k)^4 + (AV_k)^5 \right) \times \left(12I - 66AV_k + 271(AV_k)^3 - 730(AV_k)^3 + 1405(AV_k)^4 - 1982(AV_k)^5 + 2060(AV_k)^6 - 1472(AV_k)^7 + 870(AV_k)^8 - 340(AV_k)^9 + 89(AV_k)^10 - 14(AV_k)^11 + (AV_k)^{12} \right) \]
= \[ I - \frac{1}{8}(2I - AV_k)^3 (I - AV_k)^{15} \]
= \[ \frac{1}{8} (I + E_k)^3 E_k^{15} \]
= \[ \frac{1}{8} \left( E_k^{15} + 3E_k^{16} + 3E_k^{17} + E_k^{18} \right). \]

By taking an arbitrary matrix norm on both sides of (13), we attain
\[ \|E_{k+1}\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left( \|E_k^{15}\| + 3\|E_k^{16}\| + 3\|E_k^{17}\| + \|E_k^{18}\| \right). \quad (14) \]
In addition, since \( \|E_0\| \leq 1 \), by relation (14), we obtain that
\[ \|E_1\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left( \|E_0^{15}\| + 3\|E_0^{16}\| + 3\|E_0^{17}\| + \|E_0^{18}\| \right) \leq \|E_0^{15}\|. \quad (15) \]
Now if we consider \( \|E_k\| \leq 1 \), therefore
\[ \|E_{k+1}\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left( \|E_k^{15}\| + 3\|E_k^{16}\| + 3\|E_k^{17}\| + \|E_k^{18}\| \right) \leq \|E_k^{15}\|. \quad (16) \]
Using mathematical induction, we obtain
\[ \|E_{k+1}\| \leq \|E_0^{15}\|, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (17) \]
Using this fact \( V_0 = \alpha Y \) with \( R(Y) = T \) and \( N(Y) = S \) in conjunction with (9), we conclude that
\[ R(V_k) \subseteq T, \quad N(V_k) \supseteq S, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (18) \]
It is not difficult to verify that
\[ A_{T,S}^{(2)} AV_k = V_k, \quad V_k AA_{T,S}^{(2)} = V_k, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (19) \]
Therefore, the error matrix \( F_k = A_{T,S}^{(2)} - V_k \) satisfies
\[ F_k = A_{T,S}^{(2)} - V_k = A_{T,S}^{(2)} - A_{T,S}^{(2)} AV_k = A_{T,S}^{(2)} (I - AV_k) = A_{T,S}^{(2)} E_k. \quad (20) \]
From the last identity and (17) we have
\[ \|F_k\| \leq \left\| A_{T,S}^{(2)} \right\| \|E_k\| \leq \left\| A_{T,S}^{(2)} \right\| \|E_0^{15}\|, \quad (21) \]
which is a confirmation of (12). \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.** Let \( A \in C_{r}^{\text{max}} \), let \( T \) be a subspace of \( C^{n} \) of dimension \( s \leq r \), and let \( S \) be a subspace of \( C^{m} \) of dimension \( m - s \). Suppose \( Y \in C_{r}^{\text{max}} \) with \( R(Y) = T \) and \( N(Y) = S \). If the initial approximation \( V_0 = \alpha Y \) is \( Y \) in accordance with (11). The sequence \( \{V_k\}_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \) generalized by (9) converges with fifteenth order to \( A_{T,S}^{(2)} \).

**Proof.** From (13), we know
\[ E_{k+1} = \frac{1}{8} \left( E_k^{15} + 3E_k^{16} + 3E_k^{17} + E_k^{18} \right). \quad (22) \]
Set \( F_k = V_k - A_{T,S}^{(2)} \). We have
\[ AF_{k+1} = AV_{k+1} - AA_{T,S}^{(2)} \]
\[ = AV_{k+1} - I + I - AA_{T,S}^{(2)} \]
\[ = -E_{k+1} + I - AA_{T,S}^{(2)} \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{8} \left( E_k^{15} + 3E_k^{16} + 3E_k^{17} + E_k^{18} \right) + I - AA_{T,S}^{(2)}. \quad (23) \]
On the other hand, from conditions (18) and (19), we can obtain the equation
\[
\left( I - AA_{TS}^{(2)} \right)^{t} = I - AA_{TS}^{(2)}, \quad t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \quad (24)
\]
\[
\left( I - AA_{TS}^{(2)} \right) AF_{k} = \left( I - AA_{TS}^{(2)} \right) \left( AV_{k} - AA_{TS}^{(2)} \right)
\]
\[
= AV_{k} - AA_{TS}^{(2)} - AA_{TS}^{(2)} AV_{k} + AA_{TS}^{(2)} AA_{TS}^{(2)}
\]
\[
= AV_{k} - AA_{TS}^{(2)} - AV_{k} + AA_{TS}^{(2)} = 0.
\]
According to the relations (22)–(25), we have
\[
AF_{k+1} = \frac{1}{8} \left( f_{k}^{15} + 3 F_{k}^{16} + 3 F_{k}^{17} + F_{k}^{18} \right).
\]
Therefore
\[
\| AF_{k+1} \| = \frac{1}{8} \left( \| AF_{k} \|^{15} + 3 \| AF_{k} \|^{16} + 3 \| AF_{k} \|^{17} + \| AF_{k} \|^{18} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \| AF_{k} \|^{15}.
\]
It would be now easy to find the error inequality of the high-order iterative as follows:
\[
\| F_{k+1} \| = \left\| V_{k+1} - A_{TS}^{(2)} \right\| = \left\| A_{TS}^{(2)} AV_{k+1} - A_{TS}^{(2)} AA_{TS}^{(2)} \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left\| A_{TS}^{(2)} \right\| \left\| AV_{k+1} - AA_{TS}^{(2)} \right\| \quad (28)
\]
\[
= \left\| A_{TS}^{(2)} \right\| \left\| AF_{k+1} \right\| \leq \left\| A_{TS}^{(2)} \right\| \left\| A \right\|^{15} F_{k}^{15}.
\]
Thus, \( V_{k+1} - A_{TS}^{(2)} \to 0 \); that is, the sequence \( \{ V_{k} \}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) converges to the generalized inverse \( A_{TS}^{(2)} \) in at least fifteenth-order as \( n \to 0 \). This ends the proof.

Since the Moore-Penrose inverse, the weighted Moore-Penrose inverse, the Drazin inverse, and the weighted Drazin inverse of a matrix over \( \mathbb{C} \) always exist, the below corollary follows immediately by Theorems 4.

**Corollary 5.** Suppose the condition of Theorem 3 holds. Let \( V_{k} \) be defined by Theorem 3. Denote \( V_{\infty} = \lim_{k \to \infty} V_{k} \), the sequence \( \{ V_{k} \}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) generalized by (9) and using the initial approximation \( V_{0} = \alpha Y \) and such that \( 0 < \alpha < 2/(\rho(AY)) \), if and only if one of the following holds:

1. \( V_{\infty} = A^{\dagger} \) with \( Y = A^{*} \);
2. \( V_{\infty} = A^{D} \) with \( Y = A^{t} \), \( I \geq \text{Ind}(A) \);
3. \( V_{\infty} = A_{MN}^{1} \) with \( Y = N^{-1} A^{*} M \), where \( M \) and \( N \) are Hermite positive definite matrices of the orders \( m \) and \( n \), respectively;
4. \( V_{\infty} = A^{DW} \) with \( Y = (AW)^{t} A, I \geq \text{Ind}(AW) \), where \( W \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \).

By taking special matrix, we can get some desired results. We discuss the applications of (9) in computing matrix inversion \( A^{-1} \) as follows.

**Corollary 6.** Let \( A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \) be a nonsingular real or complex matrix. If the initial approximation \( V_{0} \) satisfies
\[
\| I - AV_{0} \| < 1,
\]
then the iterative method \( V_{k} \) converges with at least fifteenth-order to \( A^{-1} \).

**Proof.** From (13), we know
\[
E_{k+1} = I - AV_{k+1}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{8} \left( E_{k}^{15} + 3 E_{k}^{16} + 3 E_{k}^{17} + E_{k}^{18} \right).
\]
Hence, by taking arbitrary matrix norm on both sides of (30), we attain
\[
\| E_{k+1} \| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left( \| E_{k} \|^{15} + 3 \| E_{k} \|^{16} + 3 \| E_{k} \|^{17} + \| E_{k} \|^{18} \right).
\]
In addition, since \( \| E_{0} \| < 1 \) by relation (13), we obtain that
\[
\| E_{k} \| < \frac{1}{8} \left( \| E_{k} \|^{15} + 3 \| E_{k} \|^{16} + 3 \| E_{k} \|^{17} + \| E_{k} \|^{18} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \| E_{0} \|^{15} < 1.
\]
Now if we consider \( \| E_{k} \| < 1 \), therefore
\[
\| E_{k+1} \| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left( \| E_{k} \|^{15} + 3 \| E_{k} \|^{16} + 3 \| E_{k} \|^{17} + \| E_{k} \|^{18} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \| E_{k} \|^{15} < 1.
\]
Using mathematical induction, we obtain
\[
\| E_{k} \| \leq \| E_{k} \|^{15}, \quad k \geq 0.
\]
Furthermore, we get that
\[
\| E_{k} \| \leq \| E_{0} \|^{15} \leq \cdots \leq \| E_{k} \|^{15k+1}.
\]
That is, \( I - AV_{k} \to 0 \), when \( k \to \infty \) and
\[
V_{k} \to A^{-1}, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.
\]
Thus, the new method \( (9) \) converges to the inverse of the matrix \( A \) in the case \( \rho(AY) < 1 \), where \( \rho \) is the spectral radius. Now, we prove that the order of convergence for the sequence \( \{ V_{k} \}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) is at least fifteen. Let \( \sigma_{k} \) denote the error matrix \( \sigma_{k} = A^{-1} - V_{k} \); afterwards
\[
E_{k} = A\sigma_{k} = I - AV_{k}.
\]
The identity (13) in conjunction with (37) implies that
\[
\sigma_{k+1} = \frac{1}{8} \left( (A\sigma_{k})^{15} + 3(A\sigma_{k})^{16} + 3(A\sigma_{k})^{17} + (A\sigma_{k})^{18} \right).
\]
\[
\text{Therefore, using invertibility of } A, \text{ it follows immediately that}
\]
\[
\sigma_{k+1} = \frac{1}{8} \left( (A\sigma_{k})^{14} + 3(A\sigma_{k})^{15} + 3(A\sigma_{k})^{16} + (A\sigma_{k})^{17} \right) \sigma_{k}.
\]
Table 1: Comparison of the computational complexity for different methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of convergence</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of matrix multiplications</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency index ((E))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.678</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By taking any subordinate norm of (39), we obtain

\[
\|\sigma_{k+1}\| = \frac{1}{8} \left( \|A\|^{14} + 3\|A\|^{15}\|\sigma_k\| + 3\|A\|^{16}\|\sigma_k\|^3 + \|A\|^{17}\|\sigma_k\|^{15} \right). 
\]

(40)

Consequently, it is proved that the iterative formula (9) converges to \(A^{-1}\), and the order of this method is at least fifteen.

4. Complexity of the Methods

Let us consider two parameters \(\rho\) and \(\kappa\) which stand for the rate of convergence and the number of matrix-by-matrix multiplications in floating point arithmetics, respectively. Then the comparative index could be expressed by

\[
E = \frac{\rho}{\kappa}. 
\]

(41)

According to Table 1, we can see that the iterative process (9) reduces the computational complexity by using less number of basic operations and leads to the better equilibrium between the high speed and the operational cost.

5. Numerical Examples

In this section, we will illustrate a numerical experiment on our method and give some theoretical and numerical comparison with the existing solution methods. All these numerical experiments are executed in the Matlab 7.13.0.564 (R2011b) (Build 7601: Service Pack 1) of the software used on intel(R) core (TM)2 Duo CPU T6500 2.10 GHz with 2 GB RAM memory running on the windows XP Professional Version 2002 Service Pack 3.

Example 1. Consider the singular M-matrix \(A\) of order 6 \times 6 in [20]

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}. 
\]

(42)

Clearly, \(k = \text{ind}(A) = 2\). Now, taking \(Y = A^k\) given by

\[
Y = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -3 & 2 & 5 & -4 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & -3 & -4 & 5
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(43)

such that \(R(Y) = T, N(Y) = S\). We must take \(\alpha\) which satisfies \(0 < \alpha < 0.074074\) to satisfy the convergence condition \(0 < \alpha < 2/(\rho(A^k))\). The Drazin inverse \(A^d\) is obtained as

\[
A^d = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -5 & 7 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -7 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 12 & -5 & 3 & 3
\end{bmatrix}. 
\]

(44)

Table 2 compares the number of iterations \(N\) and error bounds of the existing methods [17, 18] and the fastest method of [20] with the stopping criteria \(\|X_{k+1} - X_k\| \leq 10^{-10}\). It is found that our method takes fewer numbers of iterations and gives accurate estimations of \(A^d\) in comparison to the method compared.

Example 2. Consider the following 12 \times 12 matrix investigated in ([21] Example 3.2):

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.4 \cdot 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.4 \cdot 2
\end{bmatrix}. 
\]

(45)

Then \(k = \text{ind}(A) = 3\). Now, \(Y = A^k\) with \(\alpha = 2/\text{tr}(A^{k+1})\).
Table 2: Comparison of \( N \) and error bounds for different values of \( \alpha \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>( \alpha = 0.03 )</th>
<th>( \alpha = 0.04 )</th>
<th>( \alpha = 0.05 )</th>
<th>( \alpha = 0.06 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>787 iteration</td>
<td>50 iteration</td>
<td>15 iteration</td>
<td>11 iteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( |A_{12}(2) - X_k| )</td>
<td>0.025 - 0.25 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 -0.0625 -0.0625 0</td>
<td>0.1563</td>
<td>0.025 -0.25 0 -0.875 -1.25 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( |X_{k+1} - X_k| )</td>
<td>12.5 1.25 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 -0.625 1.875 0.6875 0.1563</td>
<td>13.438</td>
<td>1.25 1.25 1.484384 2.5781 3.3203 6.6406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.5 1.25 1.4844 2.5781 4.5703 8.5156</td>
<td>7.5 7.5 6.375 12.5624 15.9766 33.7891</td>
<td>7.5 7.5 6.375 12.5624 15.9766 33.7891</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iteration number</td>
<td>787 iteration</td>
<td>50 iteration</td>
<td>15 iteration</td>
<td>11 iteration</td>
<td>10 iteration</td>
<td>5 iteration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 3, our method algorithm only needs 5 iterations to converge with \( \|X_k - X_{k-1}\| \leq 10^{-8} \). We obtain \( A^D = [A_{11} A_{12}] \). Consider

\[
A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.25 & -0.25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1.25 & 1.25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1.6641 & -0.9922 & 0.25 & -0.25 & 0 & 0 \\
-1.1953 & -0.6797 & -0.25 & 0.25 & 0 & 0 \\
-2.7637 & -1.0449 & -1.875 & -1.25 & -1.25 & 1.25 \\
14.1094 & 6.3008 & 6.625 & 3.375 & 5 & -3 \\
-19.3242 & -8.5078 & -9.75 & -5.25 & -7.5 & 4.5 \\
-0.625 & -0.3125 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1.25 & -0.9375 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -0.0625 & 0.6875 & 0.1563 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -0.0625 & 0.1875 & 0.6875 & 0.1563 \\
1.25 & 1.25 & 1.484384 & 2.5781 & 3.3203 & 6.6406 \\
1.25 & 1.25 & 1.4844 & 2.5781 & 4.5703 & 8.5156 \\
-5 & -5 & -4.1875 & -8.5 & -10.5078 & -22.4609 \\
7.5 & 7.5 & 6.375 & 12.5624 & 15.9766 & 33.7891 \\
0 & 0 & 0.25 & -0.25 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -0.25 & 0.25 & -0.875 & -1.25 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1.25 & 1.25 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.25 & 0.25 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A_{12} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -0.0625 & -0.0625 & 0 & 0 \\
1.25 & 1.25 & 1.484384 & 2.5781 & 3.3203 & 6.6406 \\
1.25 & 1.25 & 1.4844 & 2.5781 & 4.5703 & 8.5156 \\
-5 & -5 & -4.1875 & -8.5 & -10.5078 & -22.4609 \\
7.5 & 7.5 & 6.375 & 12.5624 & 15.9766 & 33.7891 \\
0 & 0 & 0.25 & -0.25 & -0.875 & -1.25 \\
0 & 0 & -0.25 & 0.25 & -0.875 & -1.25 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1.25 & 1.25 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.25 & 0.25 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[(46)\]
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