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The purpose of this paper is to investigate the strong convergence problem of a modified mixed Ishikawa iterative sequence with errors for approximating the fixed points of an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense and an asymptotically quasi-pseudo-contractive-type mapping in an arbitrary real Banach space. The results here improve and extend the corresponding results reported by some other authors recently.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

It is well known that fixed point theory has emerged as an important tool in studying a wide class of nonlinear elliptic systems and nonlinear parabolic systems, obstacle, unilateral, and equilibrium problems, optimization problems, theoretical mechanics, and control theory, which arise in several branches of pure and applied nonlinear sciences in a unified and general framework. This alternative formulation has been used to study the existence of a fixed point as well as develop several numerical methods. Using this idea, one can suggest some iterative methods for fixed points and study the convergence of their iterative sequences.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $E$ is a real Banach space, $E^*$ is its dual space, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the dual pair between $E$ and $E^*$, and $D(T), F(T)$ denote the domain of a mapping $T : D(T) \to E$ and the set of all fixed points of $T$, respectively.

Let $J : E \to E^*$ be the normalized duality mapping defined by

$$J(x) = \{ f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \| x \| \| f \|, \| f \| = \| x \| \}, \quad \forall x \in E.$$  \hfill (1)

**Definition 1.** Let $G$ be a nonempty subset of a real Banach space $E$ and $T : G \to G$ a mapping.

(1) The mapping $T$ is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive, if there exists a number sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1$, such that

$$\| T^n x - T^n y \| \leq k_n \| x - y \|, \quad \forall x, y \in G, \ n \geq 1.$$  \hfill (2)

(2) The mapping $T$ is said to be asymptotically pseudo-contractive, if there exists a number sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$, such that

$$\langle T^n x - T^n y, j(x - y) \rangle \leq k_n \| x - y \|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in G, \ n \geq 1.$$  \hfill (3)

(3) The mapping $T$ is said to be uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian, if there exists a constant $L \geq 1$, such that

$$\| T^n x - T^n y \| \leq L \| x - y \|, \quad \forall x, y \in G, \ n \geq 1.$$  \hfill (4)

**Remark 2.** It is easy to see that if $T : G \to G$ is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, then $T$ is uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian ($L = \sup_{n \geq 1} \{k_n\}$); and if $T$ is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, then $T$ is an asymptotically pseudo-contractive mapping. But the converse is not true in general. This can be seen from the example in [1].

In 1972, Goebel and Kirk [2] introduced the concept of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings which was closely
related to the theory of fixed points of mappings in Banach spaces. Nine years later, the concept of asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping was introduced by Schu [3] in 1991. The iterative approximation problems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings were studied extensively by many authors; see [1, 4–11]. The concept of asymptotically nonexpansive self-maps in the intermediate sense was introduced and studied by Bruck et al [12]. When \( D(T) \) is bounded, the class of asymptotically nonexpansive self-maps is the special case of the class of asymptotically nonexpansive self-maps in the intermediate sense.

**Definition 3** (see [12]). Let \( T : G \to G \) be a mapping, if for each \( x, y \in G \), there holds the inequality

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \sup_{x, y \in G} \left( \| T^nx - T^ny \| - \| x - y \| \right) \right\} \leq 0, \tag{5}
\]

then \( T \) is called an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense.

The concept of asymptotically quasi-pseudocontractive-type mapping was first introduced by Zeng [13] in 2004. On this basis, the asymptotically quasi-pseudo-contractive-type mapping can be given as follows.

**Definition 4.** Let \( T : G \to G \) be a mapping.

1. The mapping \( T \) is said to be of asymptotically quasi-pseudocontractive type, if there exists a number sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1 \), such that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \sup_{x, y \in G} \left( \inf_{j \in J(x-y)} \| T^nx - T^ny \| - \| x - y \| \right) \right\} \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in G. \tag{6}
\]

2. The mapping \( T \) is said to be of asymptotically quasi-pseudocontractive type, if there exists a number sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1 \), such that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \sup_{x, y \in G} \left( \inf_{j \in J(x-y)} \| T^nx - p \| - \| x - p \| \right) \right\} \leq 0, \tag{7}
\]

\[
\forall p \in F(T) \neq \emptyset.
\]

**Remark 5.** From the Definitions 1 and 4, it is easily known that the class of asymptotically quasi-pseudo-contractive-type mappings contains that of the asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with fixed points, the asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings with fixed points, and asymptotically pseudocontractive-type mappings with fixed points.

**Definition 6** (see [4, 5]). (1) Let \( T : G \to G \) be a mapping, \( G \) a nonempty convex subset of \( E \), \( x_0 \in G \) a given point, and \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\delta_n\} \) and \( \{\gamma_n\} \) four number sequences in \([0,1]\). Then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) defined by

\[
x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n) x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n + \gamma_n u_n,
\]

\[
y_n = (1 - \beta_n - \delta_n) x_n + \beta_n T^n x_n + \delta_n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{8}
\]

is called the modified Ishikawa iterative sequence with errors for approximating fixed points of \( T \), where \( \{u_n\} \) and \( \{\gamma_n\} \) are two bounded sequences in \( G \).

(2) If \( \beta_n = 0 \) and \( \delta_n = 0 \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) in (8), then \( y_n = x_n \), the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) defined by

\[
x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n) x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n + \gamma_n u_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{9}
\]

is called the modified Mann iterative sequence with errors of \( T \).

The modified Ishikawa and Mann iterative sequences with errors were studied by Zeng. He [4] proved the strong convergence of the modified Ishikawa iterative sequence with errors for the uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in an arbitrary real Banach space with the bounded range of \( T \). Zeng [4] investigated the strong convergence of the modified Ishikawa iterative sequence with errors for the non-Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in an arbitrary real Banach space and gave the necessary and sufficient condition that \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded and \( \| T^n x_n - x \| \to 0 \).

In this paper, motivated by the above results, we introduce a strong convergence theorem of the modified Ishikawa iterative sequence with errors for approximating fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense and asymptotically quasi- pseudo-contractive-type mapping in an arbitrary real Banach space. The results here generalize and improve the recent results announced by many other authors to a certain extent, such as [1, 4, 5, 12, 13].

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 7** (see [14]). Let \( E \) be a real Banach space and \( J \) the normalized duality mapping. Then

\[
\| x + y \|^2 \leq \| x \|^2 + 2 \langle y, j(x + y) \rangle \tag{10}
\]

holds for all \( x, y \in E \) and \( j(x + y) \in J(x + y) \).

**Lemma 8** (see [15]). Let \( \varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be a strictly increasing function with \( \varphi(0) = 0 \), and let \( \{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_n\} \), and \( \lambda_n \) be nonnegative real sequences, such that \( \sigma_n \equiv \alpha(\lambda_n) \), \( \Sigma_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty \), and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n = 0 \). Suppose that

\[
\theta_{n+1} ^{2} \leq \theta_n ^{2} - \lambda_n \varphi(\theta_{n+1}) + \sigma_n, \quad n \geq 0; \tag{11}
\]

then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n = 0 \).

**2. Main Results**

**Theorem 9.** Let \( G \) be a nonempty convex subset of a real Banach space \( E \), \( T : G \to G \) be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense and an asymptotically...
quasi-pseudo-contractive-type mapping with sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty), \lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1 \). Assume that \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \) and \( \{\delta_n\} \) are four number sequences in \([0, 1] \) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) \( \alpha_n + \gamma_n \leq 1, \ \beta_n + \delta_n \leq 1; \)

(ii) \( \alpha_n \to 0, \ \beta_n \to 0, \ \delta_n \to 0, \) (when \( n \to \infty \));

(iii) \( \gamma_n = o(\alpha_n), \ \Sigma_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = +\infty. \)

Let \( x_0 \in G \) be a given point and the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) the modified Ishikawa iterative sequence with errors defied by (8). Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to a fixed point \( p \in F(T) \) if only if there exists a strictly increasing function \( \varphi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) with \( \varphi(0) = 0 \) such that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \inf_{j(x_{n+1} - p) \in J(x_{n+1} - p)} \left[ \langle T^a x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle - k_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \varphi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) \right] \leq 0. \tag{12}
\]

**Proof (sufficiency).** Since \( T: G \to G \) is an asymptotically quasi-pseudo-contractive-type mapping, we know \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \) and we can choose a point \( p \in F(T). \)

Assume there exists a strictly increasing function \( \varphi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) with \( \varphi(0) = 0 \) such that the inequality (12) is satisifed. We can let \( \varepsilon_n = \inf_{j(x_{n+1} - p) \in J(x_{n+1} - p)} \left[ \langle T^a x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle - k_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \varphi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) \right], \)

\( \varepsilon_n = \max[\varepsilon_n, 0] + \frac{1}{n}, \) for all \( n \geq 1. \)

By the definition of infimum, there exists \( j(x_{n+1} - p) \in J(x_{n+1} - p) \) such that

\[
\langle T^a x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle - k_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \varphi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) < \varepsilon_n + \frac{1}{n} \leq \varepsilon_n. \tag{13}
\]

By using (12), we have \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0. \) Hence \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0. \)

Since \( \{u_n\} \) and \( \{v_n\} \) are two bounded sequences, we can let

\[
M = \sup \{\|u_n - p\|, \|v_n - p\|\} < \infty. \tag{14}
\]

From (8) and (14), we have

\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\| = \|(1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n) (x_n - p) + \alpha_n (T^a y_n - p) + \gamma_n (u_n - p)\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|T^a y_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|T^a y_n - p\| + \gamma_n M \leq \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|y_n - p\| + \gamma_n M.
\]

\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|T^a y_n - p\| + \gamma_n M + \gamma_n \|u_n - p\|. \tag{15}
\]

Since \( T \) is an asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense, and \( \alpha_n \to 0, \delta_n \to 0, \gamma_n \to 0, (n \to \infty), \) there exists \( n_1, \) such that for all \( n \geq n_1, \)

\[
\sup_{x,y \in G} (\|T^a y - T^a x\| - \|y - x\|) \leq \frac{1}{3}, \ \forall x, y \in G, \tag{16}
\]

From (15), (16), we have

\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq 3 \|x_n - p\| + 1. \tag{17}
\]

By virtue of (13), we have

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle T^a x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle - k_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \varphi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) \leq 0. \tag{18}
\]

Thus there exists \( n_2 \geq n_1, \) such that for all \( n \geq n_2, \)

\[
\langle T^a x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle - k_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \varphi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) \leq 1. \tag{19}
\]

Hence

\[
\varphi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) \leq k_{n_1} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 - \langle T^a x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle + 1 \leq k_{n_1} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 - \langle T^a x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle + 1 \leq \left( k_{n_1} - 1 \right) \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 - \|T^a x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| + 1. \tag{20}
\]
Since \( \varphi \) is a strictly increasing function, we obtain
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \varphi^{-1}(a_0),
\]
(21)
where \( a_0 = (k_{n_0} - 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|T^{n_0}x - x_n\|\|x_n - p\| + 1 > 0. \)

Now we claim that for all \( n \geq n_2, \)
\[
\|x_n - p\| \leq 2\varphi^{-1}(a_0) .
\]
(22)
Indeed, when \( n = n_2, \) it is easy to know that the result has been established by (21). Assume if for \( n \geq n_2, \) \( \|x_n - p\| \leq 2\varphi^{-1}(a_0) \) holds, we want to prove \( \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq 2\varphi^{-1}(a_0) \). Reduction to absurdity, assume that \( \|x_{n+1} - p\| > 2\varphi^{-1}(a_0) \). Then, since \( \varphi \) is a strictly increasing function, we have
\[
\varphi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) > \varphi(b_0) > 0,
\]
(23)
where \( b_0 = 2\varphi^{-1}(a_0) \). Using (17), we obtain for all \( n \geq n_2, \)
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq 3\|x_n - p\| + 1 \leq 6\varphi^{-1}(a_0) + 1 .
\]
(24)
From Lemma 7 and (8), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \| (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n) (x_n - p) + \alpha_n (T^{n}y_n - p) \\
&+ \gamma_n (u_n - p) \|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&+ 2\alpha_n \langle T^{n}y_n - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
&+ 2\gamma_n \langle u_n - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&+ 2\alpha_n \langle T^{n}y_n - T^{n}x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
&+ 2\alpha_n \langle T^{n}x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
&+ 2\gamma_n \langle u_n - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle .
\end{align*}
\]
(25)
Now we consider the second term on the right side of (25),
\[
2\alpha_n \langle T^{n}y_n - T^{n}x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
\leq 2\alpha_n \| T^{n}y_n - T^{n}x_{n+1} \| \| x_{n+1} - p \| \\
\leq 2\alpha_n \| T^{n}y_n - T^{n}x_{n+1} \| (6\varphi^{-1}(a_0) + 1) ,
\]
(26)
Using (8) and \( \|x_n - p\| \leq 2\varphi^{-1}(a_0) \) for all \( n \geq n_2, \) we have
\[
\|y_n - x_{n+1}\| = \| \beta_n T^{n}y_n - \beta_n x_n + \delta_n y_n - \delta_n x_n \\
- \alpha_n T^{n}y_n - \gamma_n u_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n + \gamma_n x_n \| \\
\leq \alpha_n \| T^{n}y_n - x_n \| + \gamma_n \| x_n - x_n \| \\
+ \beta_n \| T^{n}x_n - x_n \| + \delta_n \| y_n - y_n \| \\
\leq \alpha_n (\| T^{n}y_n - p \| + \| x_n - p \|) \\
+ \gamma_n \| u_n - p \| + \| x_n - p \| \\
+ \beta_n \| T^{n}x_n - p \| + \| x_n - p \| \\
+ \delta_n \| y_n - p \| + \| x_n - p \| \\
\leq \sup_{x,y \in G} (\| T^{n}y - T^{n}x \| - \| y - x \|)
\]
\[
+ \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n - \delta_n) x_n + \beta_n T^{n}x_n + \delta_n y_n - p \\
+ \alpha_n \| x_n - p \| \\
+ \sup_{x,y \in G} (\| T^{n}x - T^{n}y \| - \| x - y \|)
\]
\[
+ 2 \beta_n \| x_n - p \| + \gamma_n \| x_n - p \| \\
+ \delta_n M + \| x_n - p \| \\
\leq 3 \sup_{x,y \in G} (\| T^{n}x - T^{n}y \| - \| x - y \|)
\]
\[
+ 2(\alpha_n + \alpha_n \beta_n + 2 \beta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n) \varphi^{-1}(a_0) \\
+ (\alpha_n \delta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n) M .
\]
(28)
Using (29) in (27), we have \( \| y_n - x_{n+1} \| \rightarrow 0 \). Substituting (29) in (27), we have \( \| T^{n}y_n - T^{n}x_{n+1} \| \rightarrow 0 \). By virtue of (26), we know for all \( n \geq n_2, \)
\[
2\alpha_n \langle T^{n}y_n - T^{n}x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \leq \alpha_n C_n ,
\]
(30)
where \( C_n = 2\| T^{n}y_n - T^{n}x_{n+1} \| (6\varphi^{-1}(a_0) + 1) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty) \).
Next we make an estimation for the third term on the right side of (25). Using (13), we have
\[
2\alpha_n \langle T^{n}x_{n+1} - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\
\leq 2\alpha_n \| T^{n}x_{n+1} - p \| - 2\alpha_n \varphi(\| x_{n+1} - p \|) .
\]
(31)
Finally we estimate the last term on the right side of (25). Using (24) and \( y_n = o(\alpha_n) \), we assume that \( y_n = d_n \alpha_n, \)
\( d_n \rightarrow 0, (n \rightarrow \infty) \), and we have
\[
\begin{align*}
2\gamma_n \langle u_n - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle &\leq 2\gamma_n \| u_n - p \| \| x_{n+1} - p \| \\
&\leq 2\| u_n - p \| \| x_{n+1} - p \| \\
&= 2d_n \alpha_n M (6\varphi^{-1}(a_0) + 1) = h_n \alpha_n ,
\end{align*}
\]
(32)
where \( h_n = 2d_n M (6\varphi^{-1}(a_0) + 1) \rightarrow 0, (n \rightarrow \infty) \).
Substituting (30), (31), and (32) in (25), we obtain
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \epsilon_n + 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - p\| + h_n \alpha_n.
\] (33)

Using (33) and \(\|x_n - p\| \leq 2\phi^{-1}(\alpha_n) = b_0\), we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + (C_n + 2\epsilon_n + h_n) \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (C_n + 2\epsilon_n + h_n) \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + h_n \alpha_n
\]
\[
- \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - 2\alpha_n k_n} \|x_{n+1} - p\|
\]
\[
= \|x_n - p\|^2 + \left(\frac{-2 + \alpha_n + 2k_n}{1 - 2\alpha_n k_n}\right) \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + \left(\frac{C_n + 2\epsilon_n + h_n}{1 - 2\alpha_n k_n}\right) \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|
\]
\[
- \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - 2\alpha_n k_n} \|x_{n+1} - p\|
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \left(\frac{-2 + \alpha_n + 2k_n}{1 - 2\alpha_n k_n}\right) \alpha_n \epsilon_0^2 + \left(\frac{C_n + 2\epsilon_n + h_n}{1 - 2\alpha_n k_n}\right) \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|
\]
\[
- \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - 2\alpha_n k_n} \|x_{n+1} - p\|
\]. (34)

Since \(1 - 2\alpha_n k_n \to 1\), \((n \to \infty)\), there exists nonnegative integer \(n_0 > 0\), such that when \(n \geq n_0\), \((1/2) \leq 1 - 2\alpha_n k_n \leq 1\). Without loss of generality, for all \(n \geq n_0\), \((1/2) \leq 1 - 2\alpha_n k_n \leq 1\).

Let \(\eta_n = \left(-2 + \alpha_n + 2k_n\right)\epsilon_0^2 + C_n + 2\epsilon_n + h_n \to 0\), \((n \to \infty)\). From (34), for all \(n \geq n_2\), we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\eta_n \alpha_n - 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - p\| + h_n \alpha_n.
\] (35)

Substituting (23) in (35), we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\eta_n \alpha_n - 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - p\| \tag{36}
\]

Since \(\eta_n \to 0\), \((n \to \infty)\), there exists \(n_1 \geq n_2\), for all \(n \geq n_3\), \(\eta_n \leq (\phi(b_0)/2)\). Without loss of generality, for all \(n \geq n_2\), \(\eta_n \leq (\phi(b_0)/2)\). So, from (36) and the condition (iii), we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n \phi(b_0), \quad \forall n \geq n_2.
\]
\[
\alpha_n \phi(b_0) \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2.
\] (37)

This is a contradiction. Therefore for all \(n \geq n_2\), we have \(\|x_n - p\| \leq 2\phi^{-1}(\alpha_n)\).

Again, by (35) and the conditions (ii), (iii), we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \phi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) + 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2, \tag{38}
\]

Consider \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0\), \(\lim_{n \to \infty} (2\eta_n \alpha_n/2\alpha_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n = 0\), and \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty\). So by Lemma 8, we obtain \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p\| = 0\); that is, \(x_n \to p\).
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