Research Article

Method of Integral Equations for the Problem of Electrical Tomography in a Medium with Ground Surface Relief

Tolkyn Mirgalikzy,¹ Balgaisha Mukanova,¹ and Igor Modin²

¹Faculty of Information Technologies, L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana 010000, Kazakhstan

²Faculty of Geology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119313, Russia

Correspondence should be addressed to Tolkyn Mirgalikzy; m_t85@mail.ru

Received 13 February 2015; Revised 9 May 2015; Accepted 12 May 2015

Academic Editor: Song Cen

Copyright © 2015 Tolkyn Mirgalikzy et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The direct task of the subsurface exploration of a homogeneous medium with surface relief by the resistivity method is analyzed. To calculate the resistivity field for such a medium, the method of integral equations was successfully applied for the first time. The corresponding integral equation for the density of secondary current sources on the surface of the medium was established. The method of computational grid construction, adapted to the characteristics of the surface relief, was developed for the numerical solution of the integral equation. This method enables the calculation of the resistivity field of a point source on a surface that is not smooth and allows for steep ledges. Numerical examples of the calculation of resistivity fields and apparent resistivity are shown. The anomalies of apparent resistivity arising from the deviation of the surface shape from a flat medium were quantitatively established as model examples. Calculations of apparent resistivity for the direct current sounding method were carried out using modifications of the electrical tomography approach.

1. Introduction

Accounting for the impact of ground surface relief during the solution of direct electrical problems is an important issue in the field of electrical resistivity tomography. Currently, the most commonly used methods for solving direct and inverse problems are grid methods (e.g., finite difference method and finite element method) [1–6]. Within these grid methods, several approaches to account for the influence of surface relief were developed.

In the finite difference method, two basic algorithms accounting for the effects of surface topography were developed. The first and most widely used algorithm is the geometric transformation of cells (node position) in the grid in accordance with the relief of the ground surface [7]. The second method of accounting for ground surface topography for the finite difference method is the approximation of the transition from a five-point cross pattern to a triangular pattern, which allows the use of triangular cells, similar to cells used in the finite element method [8, 9]. It is more efficient to transition to triangular cells (generalized finite

difference method) in combination with a specific approach to the elliptic problem, in which the task is carried out along the borders of the grid lines [10]. Clearly, all the circuits of the cell that have a rectangular shape contribute to geometric error when considering the effect of surface topography. It is possible to reduce the error only by increasing the number of cells, which leads to a significant increase in computational cost.

The finite element method, in conjunction with the direct problem solution methods of direct current, is rapidly developing. This applies to both the configuration of the grid and the mathematical apparatus. In the finite element method, there are several approaches to accounting for surface topography in solving direct problems [11–13]. As with the finite difference method, the first method of accounting for surface topography is a transformation of cells. The second approach is to construct a special irregular grid depending on the form of the topography [14] and the degree of heterogeneity of the section. It should be noted that BERT systems [15] use these approaches.

Finite difference methods for modeling direct current problems are based on the numerical solution of an elliptical equation using finite difference schemes:

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \cdot \operatorname{grad} \varphi) = \frac{dI}{dV}. \quad (1)$$

I is the extraneous current flowing into the volume unit of land dV , σ is a conductivity of the medium, and φ is a potential.

The idea of the method is to divide the modeled field into a grid of rectangular blocks, each of which is characterized by its value of resistivity. In the boundary nodes of the grid, the solution of (1) must satisfy the boundary conditions, including Dirichlet (the field must be equal to zero at large distances from the source, in the lower and side corners of the grid), Neumann (the normal component of the field is equal to zero at the ground surface boundary), or mixed boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} + \frac{\varphi}{r} \cos \theta = 0, \quad (2)$$

where θ is the angle between $\bar{r}$ (the radius-vector from the source to the node) and $\bar{n}$ (outward normal to the boundary).

The idea of the finite element method consists of dividing cells into triangular shapes (elements) and presenting potential φ in the form of

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i f_i, \quad (3)$$

where f_i is a set of basic functions. In this form, the desired function for each of the elements, based on the values of its nodes, is approximated. Equations for minimizing mistakes are used for each node and, together with the equations for all other elements of the grid, are used to form a general matrix equation. Solving this equation, the desired potential is defined. The boundary conditions for the boundary elements of the grid are the same as in the finite difference method.

They are universal and useful for many practical purposes but have several disadvantages. In particular, these disadvantages are as follows:

- (1) low accuracy of the field's first derivative computation and low accuracy of subsequent computations of apparent resistivity;
- (2) problems with producing artificial boundary conditions on boundaries of the computation domain and big distortions of the apparent resistivity [16];
- (3) problems with creating the grid, resulting from the complicated form of the boundary of the computation domain.

On the other hand, purposes of geophysics have high requirements on accuracy and speed of field computations. Therefore, to control the operation of grid methods, it is appropriate to apply other methods based on different ideas that enable the solution of the problem related to the direct method of mapping electrical resistivity.

As an alternative method of solving the direct problems, satisfying both of these requirements is the method of integral equations. The main new feature of the presented work is that we apply the method of integral equations for calculation of fields in a medium with a relief surface for the first time. Different applications of the integral equations method in geophysics are considered in articles [17–24] and are well established among the methods of mapping electrical resistivity.

Advantages of the method of integral equations in comparison with grid methods are the following:

- (i) A three-dimensional problem is reduced to two-dimensional one, which significantly decreases the calculations.
- (ii) It has a higher accuracy of calculations of an electrical field and apparent resistivity.
- (iii) It allows taking into account the shape of the relief and the contact boundaries of the medium almost without distortions.
- (iv) It has a clear physical meaning.

The main disadvantage of the method is that it is intended for a special class of models of mediums. Namely, it is required that medium has sharply defined geoelectrical boundaries, and it is not as versatile as the grid methods. However, because of its specialization, the method is highly efficient for its class of mediums' models.

2. The Problem with the Effect of Ground Surface Relief

The electrical exploration method is a method of excitation and observation of the electric field in order to obtain information about the structure of a geological section. One of the main methods of modern electrical exploration is vertical electric sounding with the modification of 2D electrical tomography.

Essentially, electrical tomography uses a chain of grounded metal electrodes on the ground surface, placed along the observation profile at equal distances from each other. At a certain point, an electrical voltage is applied on two electrodes, which are included in the mentioned system. Connections of certain pairs of electrodes are held alternately, according to the protocol. Voltage on the source electrodes excites an electric current in a conducting inhomogeneous medium. In turn, this current produces electric fields in the subsurface medium, and the spatial and amplitude characteristics are strongly dependent on factors such as the structure of the geologic section, subsurface heterogeneity, and ground surface relief [8–11, 13, 14, 25].

Ground surface relief greatly complicates the task of solving the inverse problem of finding the distribution of $\rho(x, y, z)$ according to physical measurement of the components of the electric field ($E_x(x, y, r)$, $E_y(x, y, r)$) on the ground surface. In almost all methods of electrical prospecting, the measurements are influenced by the ground surface topography due to a near-surface heterogeneity, which causes

a change in the flow lines. In [11] the general effects of topographic relief for a remote current source are discussed. In this case, the current flow lines diverge on the convex part of the surface and converge on the concave part. As a result, the directions of the electric field lines change. Because of this effect, the concave shape increases and the convex shape of the surface decreases the value of the apparent resistivity.

The complexity in the description of the resistivity field near the corner points of the ground surface should be noted. The disadvantages of these effects are more important when we have sharp boundaries and sharp corners in the model because even their insignificant smoothing will significantly change the field of apparent resistivity.

The overlay of distorting effects caused by the inhomogeneity of ground surface topography creates a complex picture of the resulting geoelectric pseudosections. Excluding these distorting factors in the solution of the inverse problem, or some version of a two-dimensional or three-dimensional inversion, it is impossible to get closer to the construction of final sections that are reasonably accurate.

3. Application of the Method of Integral Equations to the Solution of the Direct Problem of Electrical Prospecting with Direct Current under Conditions of Ground Surface Relief

3.1. The Method of Integral Equations and Its Development Based on Ground Surface Relief. The idea of this method is to provide the electric field as a sum of the primary field (generated by current electrodes, in this case, by one electrode) and the field of secondary charges (occurring when the electric current flows in points of homogeneity violation of the subsurface medium and on the surface of the medium):

$$E = E_0 + E_{\text{sec}}, \quad (4)$$

where E_0 is the vector of the primary electric field and E_{sec} is the vector of total electric field of the secondary charges.

Contact boundaries and heterogeneous inclusions of the geoelectric section act as secondary creators of the electric field. The field computation problem is reduced to the system of integral equations on the current density of secondary sources, inducted on contact surfaces of conductive mediums and on the ground surface of the medium. The mathematical description of this event leads to the Fredholm equations of type II, which, after discretization of the problem, leads to a system of linear algebraic equations (SLAE).

Let us consider a three-array of Schlumberger AMN, with one source (A) and two receiver (M, N) electrodes. Source electrode A is located in the center of the coordinates (Figure 1).

The electrostatic potential at points in the medium satisfies the differential equation of Laplace for stationary fields. The condition of decreasing the potential at infinity $\varphi(\infty) = 0$

FIGURE 1: Scheme of vertical electrical sounding on a ground surface with relief.

and the condition at the ground surface boundary must be satisfied:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \right|_{z=0} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Compared with a case when the surface is plain, the presence of ground surface relief makes a problem formulation for the method of integral equations more complicated. This is because we can use the method of reflections at a plain surface of discontinuity at the ground surface, which allows us to use a relatively simple system of integral equations [23, 24] (in the case of multiple internal contacts of boundaries) [22]. To calculate the field at the ground surface, we define a distribution of current densities at the surface, which compensates for an external source to satisfy the physical boundary condition without current normal to the ground surface boundary:

$$I_n|_{\Gamma} = -I_n^{(0)}|_{\Gamma}. \quad (6)$$

Here, $I_n^{(0)}$ is current in a direction normal to the surface, which might arise from a source electrode in the absence of compensatory secondary currents. According to Ohm's law (6), the condition can also be written in terms of the field strength of the external source:

$$I_n|_{\Gamma} = -\sigma \left. \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial n} \right|_{\Gamma} = -\sigma E_0|_{\Gamma}. \quad (7)$$

Here, E_0 is the normal to the surface of the component of the electric field created by the source electrode.

We write the integral equation for the distribution of secondary sources using boundary condition (7). Let M be a point on the surface of the medium Γ , denoted by $I_S(M)$, the current density of the secondary source at point M (Green's function of a point source); the mutual influence of all other sources on the source of M is calculated under the integrand. From the integral equation, it is required to calculate the current density of the secondary source, $I_S(M)$:

$$I_S(M) = -2\sigma \left(\iint_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial G(P, M)}{\partial n} I_S(P) dS_P + E_0(M) \right), \quad (8)$$

where $E_0(M)$ is the normal of the component of the electric field from the primary source at the point M ; $G(P, M)$ is the potential of a point source placed at the surface point P generated at point M (the source point of the Green function); $I_S(P)$ is the electric current density of the secondary source at point P ; and dS_P is the area of the surface

element at point P . Thus, integral equation (8) expresses the physical fact that the points M of the total surface current are equal to zero, except for the point of application of the source electrode.

3.2. The Transition from the Integral Equation to the System of Linear Algebraic Equations. The solution of integral equation (8) can be obtained by transforming the system of linear algebraic equations. To describe the distribution of current density on the surface, we will apply a grid with a logarithmic scale expanding radially, adapted for the source electrode position and the shape of the surface relief (Appendix). Thus, the surface Γ is divided into elementary cells with vertices at grid nodes.

Discrete approximation of integral equation (8) can be written in system form as

$$(I_s)_i + 2\sigma \sum_{j=1}^N C_{ij} (I_s)_j = -2\sigma (E_0)_i; \quad i = 1 \cdots N, \quad (9)$$

where

$$C_{ij} = \int_{S_j} \frac{\partial G(P, M_i)}{\partial n_i} dS_p, \quad p \in S_j, \quad (10)$$

N is the number of cells broken on the surface Γ ; i, j are the number of cells; S_j is the cell with index j ; $(I_s)_i$ is the required value of the current density of secondary sources; and $(E_0)_i$ is the value of the electric field from the primary sources. C_{ij} determines the influence of coefficients at the j th points on the power in the i th point. C_{ij} is calculated by numerical integration at the normal to the surface at M_i of the derivative of Green's function in the coordinates of the sources, located within cell S_j . Point M_i is the geometric center of cell S_j . Function (10) can be written in the following way:

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\partial G(P_j, M_i)}{\partial n_i} \Delta S_j, \quad (11)$$

where P_j is the geometric center of cell S_j and ΔS_j is area of cell S_j .

In our case (homogeneous half-space with conductivity σ), $\partial G / \partial n$, it is calculated as

$$\frac{\partial G(P, M)}{\partial n} = \frac{1}{\sigma \cdot 4\pi} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_{PM}}{r_{PM}^3} \cdot \vec{1}, \quad (12)$$

where $\vec{r}_{PM}$ is the vector connecting points P and M .

Thus, the coefficients of linear algebraic equations (9) are calculated and the system can be written in matrix form

$$A_{ij} \cdot (I_s)_i = B_i, \quad (13)$$

where A_{ij} is a square matrix of coefficients of the system, $(I_s)_i$ is a vector of unknown values of the density of secondary sources, and B_i is a vector of independent terms (right parts) of (9).

3.3. Stages of Solving a Problem by the Method of Integral Equation

(1) Creating the Model and Grid Construction. The medium is described, and the function of the surface relief is determined. The grid is constructed to break the boundaries of the cells approximating the secondary current source and the parameters of each cell (number, location of the geometric center, and area) are defined.

Construction of a grid and determination of the geometry of the cells are described in detail in the Appendix. To calculate the total current flowing through the surface of the cells, it is necessary to calculate the area for each cell. The area of the cell is identified by the following formula:

$$\Delta S_j = \int_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_{(k+1)}} \int_{r_l}^{r_{(l+1)}} r \cdot dr d\alpha, \quad (14)$$

$$k = 1 \cdots N, \quad l = 1 \cdots N,$$

where $\alpha_k, \alpha_{(k+1)}$ are low and high angles and $r_l, r_{(l+1)}$ are top and bottom radii of the cell with the number j .

(2) Construction of the Matrix with Coefficients of Mutual Influence. The coefficient matrix, the vector of unknown values, and vector of independent terms of system (13) are identified as follows:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & 1 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(I_s)_i = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ \vdots \\ I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

$$B_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$a_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2\sigma C_{ij}, \quad (16)$$

$$b_i = -2\sigma (E_0)_i;$$

here,

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

$C_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^N (1/(\sigma \cdot 4\pi)) \cdot (\vec{r}_{ij}/r_{ij}^3) \cdot \vec{1} \cdot \Delta S_j, \quad i = 1 \cdots N, \quad \vec{r}_{ij}$ is a vector directed from point i to point j ;

FIGURE 2: Effect of ground surface relief, current densities of secondary sources for different shapes of surface relief.

$(E_0)_i = I_A \cdot (1/(\sigma \cdot 4\pi)) \cdot (\vec{r}_{Ai}/r_{Ai}^3) \cdot \vec{1}$, I_A is the value of the current flowing from the current source electrode, and $\vec{r}_{Ai}$ is the vector directed from point A, where the source electrode is placed, to the point with the number i .

(3) *Solving the System of Linear Algebraic Equations and Determining the Density of the Secondary Current Sources $(I_s)_i$.* System (15) is fulfilled and can be solved by the elimination method of Gauss or any iterative methods.

(4) *Calculating the Potential on the Surface.* After solving the system of equations and finding the distribution of secondary sources, the values of the potentials on the surface are calculated by use of numerical integration. Below, the formula for calculating the potential of the secondary source P at point M is presented:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}(M) = U_0(M) + \iint_{\Gamma} \frac{I_s(P)}{\sigma \cdot 4\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{|r_{PM}|} dS_P, \quad (18)$$

$$U_0(M) = \frac{I_s(M)}{\sigma \cdot 2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{|r_{PM}|}.$$

Here, $U_0(M)$ is the potential of the source electrode at point M .

(5) *Calculating the Functions of Apparent Resistivity.* Calculating the functions of apparent resistivity along the surface is defined by the relation

$$\rho_a = K \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \frac{1}{I}, \quad K = \frac{2\pi \cdot AM \cdot AN}{MN}, \quad (19)$$

where I is the current source electrode and K is the geometric coefficient for the three-electrode Schlumberger array.

4. Numerical Results and Discussion

For a full explanation of the anomalies due to surface relief, the electric current density of the surface (with secondary sources for different surface shapes) computed by the integral equation (8) is shown in Figures 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c).

The results obtained from the numerical simulations are presented in Figures 3–7. Calculations were made for a three-electrode Schlumberger array for several models of the Earth's surface relief for a medium with resistivity $\rho_1 = 1 \Omega\text{m}$.

Here, we examine the simulation results for the model with a positive form of ground surface relief in the form of a hemispherical (semicircular) crown with sharp angles (Figure 3) and also for the same model results with smooth angles.

FIGURE 3: The shape of the surface relief and curves of ρ_a for a three-electrode array on a hemispherical crown. A star indicates the position of the current source electrode. — indicates surface with sharp angles; ---- indicates surface with smooth corners.

FIGURE 4: The shape of the simulated ground surface and ρ_a curves, for a three-electrode array on a hemispherical recess surface. A star indicates the position of the current source electrode. — indicates surface with sharp angles; ---- indicates surface with smooth corners.

From these curves of apparent resistivity, the anomalies can be observed in the vicinity of the surface irregularities, especially at the corners. On the flat areas of the surface, the ρ_a of the curves are close to the resistivity of the medium. As you approach the corner point, there is a rise and a sharp increase in ρ_a , and the uppermost minimum apparent resistivity is at the vertex. Then, ρ_a is found to increase in the vicinity of the next corner point. This is followed by a smooth decrease in ρ_a as it approaches the resistivity of the medium. From the results of the simulation of the curves of ρ_a for ground surface forms with smooth angles of inclination, it can be seen that the magnitude of the anomaly decreases with smoothing of the corner points. Increased rates are explained by distancing receiver electrodes from the current source electrode.

Figure 4 presents the results of the simulation model with the surface in the form of a hemispherical (semicircular) recess. In the corner points of the surface, a decrease in ρ_a is observed, and the center of the recess corresponds to the maximum. For the surface model with smooth angles, the anomaly is less apparent. It should be noted that, at the corners of the hemispherical (semicircular) recess surface, the anomaly is significantly smaller than for the hemispherical (semicircular) convex surface.

Here, we examine the results of the simulation model in which there are two shapes of the ground surface: a positive (hemispherical convexity) and a negative (hemispherical recess) relief (Figure 5). For this model, there are similar patterns of behavior of the ρ_a curves, as with previous models.

The value of ρ_a increases near the top of the concave corner of the ρ_a curve and decreases near the vertices of the convex corner. Additionally, there is a smooth transition from a minimum to a maximum corresponding to the transition from the positive to the negative forms of the surface.

The simulated ρ_a values of models where the surface is in the form of a symmetrical triangle, with positive and negative forms, are shown in Figures 6 and 7. The calculations are made for two slope angles: $\alpha = 45^\circ, 22.5^\circ$. For positive relief, increases in the angles of inclination result in a decrease in ρ_a found in the extreme corner points of the ρ_a curve. For negative relief, the opposite behavior is observed. It should be noted that the magnitude of the anomalies increases with increasing angle of slope for either positive or negative reliefs in the symmetrical triangles. For the same angles of slopes and for positive relief, anomalies are greater than those for negative relief.

5. Conclusion

This paper presents a mathematical model that allowed us to construct a function of apparent resistivity that is constructed using the basic laws of formation of the electric field associated with the influence of ground surface relief. The numerical mathematical algorithm and computer program solve the direct problem of electrical prospecting with direct current for arbitrary two-dimensional and three-dimensional media and account for the effects of ground surface relief.

FIGURE 5: The form of the ground surface and ρ_a curves for a three-electrode array where the model surface has both positive (hemispherical convexity) and negative (hemispherical recess) shapes. A star indicates the position of the current source electrode. — indicates surface with sharp angles; ---- indicates surface with smooth corners.

FIGURE 6: The form of the ground surface and ρ_a curves for a three-electrode array where the model surface has positive shape of symmetrical triangle. A star indicates the position of the current source electrode. (a) The angle of $\alpha = 45^\circ$; (b) the angle of $\alpha = 22.5^\circ$.

The results will improve the quality of work and the development of computer technology used in geophysics.

This research can be continued in several different directions. Firstly, the method should be adapted and tested on real formats of surfaces obtained in the field measurements. Secondly, it is necessary to generalize the method to the case of more complex models of medium containing local

inclusions, buried relief, or embossed layered structures. The last option is the most common for geophysical research. Further, it is necessary to develop a quantitative methodology of accounting of anomalies associated with the relief, in the interpretation of data. This problem requires the formulation and solution of inverse problem in terms of the integral equations method.

FIGURE 7: The form of the ground surface and ρ_a curves for a three-electrode array where the model surface has negative shape of symmetrical triangle. A star indicates the position of the current source electrode. (a) The angle of $\alpha = 45^\circ$; (b) the angle of $\alpha = 22.5^\circ$.

Appendix

Construction of a Computational Grid on the Ground Surface Relief

One of the important steps in solving direct problems of electrical exploration with direct current is to build a computational grid. The quality of the computational grid affects the accuracy of the results, the convergence of the iterative method, and time of the decision of the whole problem.

In the method of integral equations the grid is constructed of the cells broken on the surface, approximating the secondary current source.

To describe the distribution of current density on the surface, we create a grid with a logarithmic scale expanding radially, adapted for a source electrode position and the shape of the surface relief. In [26], the comparison of the results of calculations of the potential for homogenous and inhomogeneous grids with a logarithmic scale expanding is presented. It is shown that, in calculations based on inhomogeneous grids condensing in the vicinity of the source electrode, the relative accuracy of the calculation is higher

FIGURE 8: Computational grid in the polar coordinate system tied to the surface.

than on a homogeneous grid. Initially the grid nodes are defined in the polar coordinate system associated with the surface (Figure 8). This method allows one to parameterize the complex structured relief including vertical plumb lines and even recess.

The initial values and steps for each coordinate $h\alpha$ and hr are set. Then the coordinates (α_i, r_i) are determined. Step of the corner is defined by a constant $h\alpha = \text{const}$, and the step of the radius is determined by the logarithmic expanding.

FIGURE 9: Computational grid in the Cartesian coordinate system with the surface topography with elevation function $z = 0$ when $x < 0$ and $z = \sin(x)$ when $x > 0$, $x \in (-4.5; 4.5)$.

Logarithmic expanding step along the radius is calculated by the change of variables in the domain of integration Γ : $r' = \ln(1 + r)$. The results obtained in the polar coordinate system (α_i, r_i) are converted into Cartesian (q_i, y_i) and the coordinates of grid points (x_i, y_i, z_i) are calculated by taking into account the surface relief $z_i = f(x_i)$. Coordinates x_i are found by solving the differential equation $dz/dq_i = 1/\sqrt{1 + f'(x_i)^2}$ by Runge-Kutta method; the coordinates z_i are determined by the function of relief $z = f(x)$. In Figure 9 a grid is constructed by the described algorithm for the relief function $z = 0$ when $x < 0$ and $z = \sin(x)$ when $x > 0$, $x \in (-4.5; 4.5)$.

Incremental algorithm of constructing a grid on the surface of the relief:

- (1) determination of the relief function $z = f(x)$;
- (2) calculation of the polar grid nodes (α_i, r_i) , $i = 1 \dots N$, $N = m \cdot n$:

$$\alpha_j = \alpha_{(j-1)} + h\alpha,$$

$$\alpha \in [0; \pi], \quad j = 1 \dots m, \quad h\alpha = \frac{\pi}{m}, \quad (A.1)$$

$$r_k = (r_{(k-1)} + 1) \cdot e^{hr'},$$

$$r \in [0; L], \quad k = 1 \dots n, \quad hr' = \frac{\ln(1 + L)}{n};$$

- (3) conversion of coordinates obtained in the polar coordinate system (α_i, r_i) to Cartesian coordinate system (q_i, y_i) :

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &= r_i \cdot \cos \alpha_i, \\ y_i &= r_i \cdot \sin \alpha_i; \end{aligned} \quad (A.2)$$

- (4) calculation of the coordinate functions of the relief (x_i, y_i, z_i) :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz}{dq_i} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + f'(x_i)^2}}, \\ z_i &= f(x_i). \end{aligned} \quad (A.3)$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees whose comments aided to improve the paper. This work is supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Grant no. 46-12.02.2015.

References

- [1] J. H. Coggon, "Electromagnetic and electrical modeling by the finite element method," *Geophysics*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 132–155, 1971.
- [2] I. R. Mufti, "Finite-difference modeling for arbitrary-shaped two dimensional structures," *Geophysics*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 62–78, 1976.
- [3] W. H. Pelton, L. Rijo, and C. M. Swift Jr., "Inversion of two dimensional resistivity and Induced Polarization data," *Geophysics*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 788–803, 1978.
- [4] A. Dey and H. F. Morrison, "Resistivity modelling for arbitrarily shaped two-dimensional structures," *Geophysical Prospecting*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 106–136, 1979.
- [5] M. H. Loke and R. D. Barker, "Least-squares deconvolution of apparent resistivity pseudosections," *Geophysics*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 1682–1690, 1995.
- [6] M. H. Loke and R. D. Barker, "Rapid least-squares inversion of apparent resistivity pseudosections by a quasi-Newton method," *Geophysical Prospecting*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 131–152, 1996.
- [7] M. H. Loke, "Topographic modelling in resistivity imaging inversion," in *Proceedings of the 62nd EAGE Conference and Technical Exhibition*, Glasgow, UK, May-June 2000.
- [8] E. Erdogan, I. Demirci, and M. E. Candansayar, "Incorporating topography into 2D resistivity modeling using finite-element and finite-difference approaches," *Geophysics*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. F135–F142, 2008.
- [9] I. Demirci, E. Erdogan, and M. E. Candansayar, "Two-dimensional inversion of direct current resistivity data incorporating topography by using finite difference techniques with triangle cells: investigation of Kera fault zone in western Crete," *Geophysics*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. E67–E75, 2012.
- [10] S. Penz, H. Chauris, D. Donno, and C. Mehl, "Resistivity modelling with topography," *Geophysical Journal International*, vol. 194, no. 3, pp. 1486–1497, 2013.
- [11] R. C. Fox, G. W. Hohman, T. J. Killpack, and L. Rijo, "Topographic effects in resistivity and induced-polarization surveys," *Geophysics*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 75–93, 1980.
- [12] P. Queralt, J. Pous, and A. Marcuello, "2D resistivity modeling: an approach to arrays parallel to the strike direction," *Geophysics*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 941–950, 1991.
- [13] P. I. Tsourlos, J. E. Szymanski, and G. N. Tsokas, "The effect of terrain topography on commonly used resistivity arrays," *Geophysics*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1357–1363, 1999.

- [14] C. Rücker, T. Günther, and K. Spitzer, "Three-dimensional modelling and inversion of dc resistivity data incorporating topography—I. Modelling," *Geophysical Journal International*, vol. 166, no. 2, pp. 495–505, 2006.
- [15] T. Gunther and C. Rucker, "Boundless electrical resistivity tomography," in *BERT 2—The User Tutorial, Version 2.0*, 2013.
- [16] M. K. Orunkhanov, B. G. Mukanova, and B. K. Sarbasova, "Numerical modelling of electrical sounding problem," *Computational Technologies*, vol. 9, part 3, pp. 259–263, 2004 (Russian), Proceedings of the International Conference on "Computational and Informational Technologies in Science, Engineering and Education", Novosibirsk, Russia, October 2004.
- [17] L. M. Alpin, "Field source in the theory of electrical prospecting," *Applied Geophysics*, vol. 3, pp. 56–200, 1947 (Russian).
- [18] K. Dieter, N. R. Paterson, and F. S. Grant, "KP and resistivity type awes for three-dimensional bodies," *Geophysics*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 615–632, 1969.
- [19] G. W. Hohmann, "Three dimensional induced polarization and electromagnetic modeling," *Geophysics*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 309–324, 1975.
- [20] M. Hvoždara and V. Petr, "Electric and magnetic field of a stationary current in a stratified medium with a three-dimensional conductivity inhomogeneity," *Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 59–84, 1983.
- [21] M. Hvoždara and V. Petr, "Potential field of a stationary electric current in a stratified medium with a three-dimensional perturbing body," *Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 160–172, 1982.
- [22] M. K. Orunkhanov, B. G. Mukanova, and B. K. Sarbasova, "Convergence of an integral equation on geoelectric sounding problem above a local patch," *Computational Technologies*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 68–72, 2004 (Russian).
- [23] M. Orunkhanov and B. Mukanova, "The integral equations method in problems of electrical sounding," in *Advances in High Performance Computing and Computational Sciences*, vol. 93 of *Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics and Multidisciplinary Design (NNFM)*, pp. 15–21, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2006.
- [24] M. K. Orunkhanov, B. G. Mukanova, and B. K. Sarbasova, "Convergence of the method of integral equations for quasi three-dimensional problem of electrical sounding," in *Computational Science and High Performance Computing II*, vol. 91 of *Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics and Multidisciplinary Design*, pp. 175–180, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2006.
- [25] S. Yilmaz and N. Coşkun, "A study of the terrain-correction technique for the inhomogeneous case of resistivity surveys," *Scientific Research and Essays*, vol. 6, no. 24, pp. 5213–5223, 2011.
- [26] M. K. Orunkhanov, B. G. Mukanova, and B. K. Sarbasova, "Numerical implementation of method of potentials for sounding above an inclined plane," *Computational Technologies*, vol. 9, pp. 45–48, 2004 (Russian).