An Ultrasound Assessed Extraction Combined with Ion-Pair HPLC Method and Risk Assessment of Nitrite and Nitrate in Cured Meat
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An accurate IPC-UV method was developed and validated for the determination of nitrite (NI) and nitrate (NA) in meat products. The best separation was achieved on a phenyl-hexyl column (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 3 µm) with a mobile phase composed of 25% acetonitrile and 75% buffer (2 mM disodium hydrogen phosphate and 3 mM tetrabutylammonium bromide, pH = 4). Eluents were monitored at 205 nm. Linearity ranges were 1.86 × 10⁻⁶–7.5 µg·ml⁻¹ and 0.09–5.0 µg·ml⁻¹ for NI and NA, respectively. The correlation coefficients were greater than 0.999 for NI and NA. This method was applied to a number of processed meat products in Riyadh (n = 155). NI ranged from 1.78 to 129.69mg·kg⁻¹, and NA ranged from 0.76 to 96.64mg·kg⁻¹. Results showed extensive use of NI and NA; however, concentrations were within the legal limit of Saudi Arabia except for one sample. Further, the risk assessment and dietary exposure have been estimated for both NI and NA.

1. Introduction

Nitrite (NI) and nitrate (NA) are commonly used as food additives to cured meats as red color preservers, stabilizers, and antibacterials against Clostridium botulinum. However, in the stomach, at low pH, NI reacts with primary and secondary amines to form carcinogenic species known as nitrosamine compounds. In addition, as NA is readily reduced in vivo to NI by NA reductase, it can also be a source for nitrosamines. The data available from epidemiological studies show a positive correlation between NI and nitrosamine exposure and gastric cancer, oesophageal cancer, and leukemia. Some of these nitrosamines have been classified as group 1 carcinogens by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) [1–3].

NI and NA have been regulated by several bodies and organizations worldwide. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has set 200mg·kg⁻¹ and 500mg·kg⁻¹ limits for NI and NA, respectively. A lower limit of 150 mg·kg⁻¹ for both NI and NA has been set by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), while a 100mg·kg⁻¹ limit was set for NI in sterile meat products [4]. The Standardization Organization for the Gulf Cooperation Council (GSO) has set a 125mg·kg⁻¹ limit for both NI and NA in cured meat products [5].

For the measurement of NI, a Griess reaction is commonly used, which is based on diazotisation of NI using sulfanilamide and a subsequent reaction with N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine. The yield of the colored product is quantified by spectrophotometry. To calculate the concentration of NA, it is reduced to NI. Then, the total NI
concentration is measured, and the known NI concentration is subtracted from this total value. This reduction is carried out over a copper-activated cadmium reduction column. The disadvantage of this protocol is that it is a complicated process to get a complete reduction [6–8]. Instead, NI and NA can be separated and determined using ion chromatography (IC) with conductivity detection and ion-pair chromatography (IPC) with ultraviolet detection. The advantage of IPC over IC is that IC requires more analysis time, particularly when other anions, such as bromate, sulfate, and phosphate, are in the samples [8–17].

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) is one of the countries with the highest consumption of meat. A joint study from the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) have reported that the meat consumption in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has increased to 50.8 kilograms per person per capita in 2015 [18]. Over the last two decades, a large number of meat factories have been deployed to meet the increasing demands. They provide this meat at prices much lower than fresh meat. However, there are no data about NI and NA in processed meat products consumed in KSA. The present research study has been conducted to determine the presence of nitrite and nitrate in processed meat in KSA.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling. Sample collection was designed to represent the types of processed meat products consumed in KSA. Accordingly, 164 samples (400–2500 g) were collected from different supermarkets during June 2017 and kept at −20°C until analysis. The samples comprised 15 trademarks locally placed in an ultrasonic bath and sonicated for 15 min at 40°C. The mixture was composed of 25% acetonitrile and 75% buffer (2 mM disodium hydrogen phosphate and 3 mM tetrabutylammonium bromide, adjusted to pH 4 by phosphoric acid). Standard concentrations of NI at 0.019, 0.056, 0.20, 2.0, 10.0, 20.0, 40.0, and 100.0 μg·mol⁻¹ and of NA at 0.63, 0.1, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0, and 50.0 μg·ml⁻¹ were prepared to check for linearity and quantify the analysed samples. LOD and LOQ were calculated from linearity data as per the USP protocol for method validation 2017 as follows:

\[ \text{LOD} = 3 \times \frac{\text{SD}}{\text{slope}} \]
\[ \text{LOQ} = 10 \times \frac{\text{SD}}{\text{slope}} \]

2.4. Recovery Study. The accuracy of the extraction method was tested by its recovery. Preanalysed minced fresh meat samples were spiked with concentrations of 50, 100, 150, and 200 μg·g⁻¹ of both NI and NA. For repeatability purposes, the extraction was performed three times for each concentration. Each extract was analysed in triplicate by HPLC.

2.5. Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment. The average consumption of processed red meat was reported to be 18 g per person per day and 129 g for the corresponding poultry meat as documented by the United States Department of Agriculture [19]. The average body weight per person was assumed to be 60 kg. The estimated daily intake (EDI) of NI and NA in processed meat was calculated as below:

\[ \text{dietary exposure} = \frac{\text{mean consumption (g)} \times \text{concentration (mg/kg)}}{\text{body weight (kg)} \times 1000} \]

The health risk was assessed using the margin of exposure (MOE) approach. The MOE is calculated as the ratio between the benchmark dose lower confidence limit (BMDL₁₀) that causes a 10% increase in tumour cell formation in rat and the estimated average consumption of nitrite in processed meat [20]. Nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) and nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) have been suggested to represent the most potent nitroso compounds. NDEA and NDMA, respectively, 18 and 27 μg/kg body weight/day, respectively [21]:

\[ \text{MOE} = \frac{\text{BMDL}_{10}}{\text{dietary exposure}} \]

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Validation of Extraction and Assay Method. An IPC-UV method was developed and validated for determination of NI and NA in processed meat products. In order to
3.2. Nitrite and Nitrate in Processed Meat Products. Nitrite was detected in all samples (n = 155), with a concentration range of 1.78 to 129.69 mg·kg⁻¹ and a mean of 31.71 ± 23.83 mg·kg⁻¹. NA was detected in 120 samples (75%), with a concentration range of 0.76–96.64 mg·kg⁻¹ and a mean of 13.47 ± 13.76 mg·kg⁻¹. For specific categories, NI in red meat ranged from 3.03 to 112.71 mg·kg⁻¹ with a mean of 31.67 ± 22.22 mg·kg⁻¹, while NA ranged from 0.76 to 96.64 mg·kg⁻¹ with a mean of 15.90 ± 15.89. In chicken products, NI ranged from 1.78 to 129.96 mg·kg⁻¹ with a mean of 31.75 ± 25.11 mg·kg⁻¹, while NA ranged from 0.78 to 51.34 mg·kg⁻¹ with a mean of 12.13 ± 11.61 mg·kg⁻¹, as shown in Table 3.

Our results showed that NI and NA concentration varied according to the meat products. The highest level of NI was found in chicken meatballs followed by chicken kabab, red meat kofta, and red meat burgers. In the same manner, NA was highest in red meat hot dogs, followed by chicken kababs, red meat meatballs, chicken hot dogs, and red meat burgers. NI and NA were found in minced meat at low levels, which may be due to the product containing a large percentage of soybeans or peanuts. The legal limit of NI and NA in processed meat products is 125 mg·kg⁻¹ according to GSO, the adopted regulation in the KSA [5]. However, 99.35% (n = 154) of the analysed samples were within the legal limit, while 0.65% (n = 1) was found to exceed the limit. Although most products contained NI and NA within the permissible limits, when taken in large quantities, exposure may be increased, which may contribute to an increased risk of stomach cancer.

Given the seriousness of the presence of NI and NA in meat, a number of studies have been conducted to determine their quantities and compliance with the permissible limit. Compared to those studies, the range of NI in the present study was higher than that reported in Australia (3.7–86.7 mg·kg⁻¹), Turkey (0.17–2.33 mg·kg⁻¹ and 3.288–2.622 mg·kg⁻¹), and Sudan (28.0–55.7 mg·kg⁻¹), and lower than those reported in USA (0.0–36.5 mg·kg⁻¹), Denmark (60–150 mg·kg⁻¹), Iran (96–168 mg·kg⁻¹), Korea (0.0–60 mg·kg⁻¹), and China (0.93–158 mg·kg⁻¹). On the other hand, the range of NA was lower than those reported in Australia (3.7–139.5 mg·kg⁻¹), Turkey (83.14–150.89 mg·kg⁻¹), Italy (53–400 mg·kg⁻¹), and Iran (167–763 mg·kg⁻¹) [11, 19, 27, 28–30].

3.3. Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment. The averages of the estimated daily intake (EDI) of NI and NA are shown in Table 4. The EDI for the majority of the analysed samples was found to be within the accepted daily intake (ADI) of 0–0.07 and 0–3.7 mg/kg body weight/day for NI and NA, respectively, which was adopted by Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) and JECFA [31]. Otherwise, the average concentration of NI in chicken meatball samples is more than the ADI and hence may pose a risk to the average of the consumers.

On the other hand, the MOE was also calculated for the analysed samples as shown in Table 4. The MOE is a newly developed approach for measuring the risk of carcinogens, recommended by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) [32]. The MOE was estimated for NI and NA, using response modelling set by the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) of the European Commission for NDEA and NDMA, representing the most potent nitroso compounds. The MOE equal or greater than 10,000 would be of low concern, and vice versa [21]. Our results revealed that the MOE was found to be ranged from 147 to 946 for NI in poultry meat and from 2237 to 7728 for

### Table 1: Quality parameters and method performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sodium nitrite</th>
<th>Sodium nitrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOD (ng·ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ (ng·ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity range (µg·ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.86 × 10⁻⁶–7.5</td>
<td>0.09–5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOD = limit of detection; LOQ = limit of quantification.

### Table 2: Recovery rates from meat spiked with different concentrations of nitrite and nitrate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spiked level (µg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation.
red meat and ranged from 387 to 7914 for NA in poultry meat and from 2568 to 13,027 for red meat. Unexpectedly, processed red meat products are safer than poultry products in terms of the presence of NI and NA; this is because NI and NA are used to keep meat reddening. However, NI and NA may be added in poultry meat products, with a high concentration, because they are needier than red meat to develop their taste.

Finally, NI and NA salts are used in cured meat in order to enhance textures and flavors for both poultry and red meat products, to preserve the unique color of red meat, and to prevent lipid oxidation. Now, there are many alternatives which can be used instead of NI with less potential health risk such as green tea extract, rosemary and oregano extracts, annatto (*Bixa orellana* L.), grape seed extract, pine bark extract, and rosemary oleoresin. However, even with all these alternatives and the health risk attributed to the use of NI, it is still commonplace in meat manufacturing [1]. The challenge remains for regulators to apply these or other alternative preservatives, which can reduce the cancer risks associated with exposure to NI and NA salts.

### 4. Conclusion

To conclude, the use of NI and NA in meat as a preservative is of great interest, with consequent health risks, for the formation of carcinogenic nitroso compounds. In the present study, an accurate and precise IPC-UV method for determination of NI and NA was developed and validated. The incidences of NI and NA in meat products in Riyadh, KSA, were tested, and the majority of the samples were
found to be within the permissible limit for both NI and NA, according to GSO. However, the dietary exposure to NI in some chicken meatball samples exceeds the ADI. Further, the presence of NI and NA in these products in low concentration may still pose a risk due to chronic exposure. In general, poultry products have been found to contain higher concentrations of nitrite than that of red meat products. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report about NI and NA in processed meat products in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. It has been recommended to analyse NI and NA in vegetables and fruits and to expand this work to cover other areas in the KSA.
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