The Geoaccumulation Index of Some Heavy Metals in Al-Hawizeh Marsh, Iraq

M. J. S. AL-HAIDAREY, F M. HASSAN^{§*},
A R A. AL-KUBAISEY[§] and A A Z. DOUABUL[#]

College of Pharmacy, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq

[§]Department of Biology, College of Science for Women
University of Baghdad, Jadirya P.O. Box 47131, Baghdad, Iraq

[#]Canada-Iraq Marshlands Initiative
Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo200
University Avenue West, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

fik.has@ gmail.com

Received 6 March 2010; Accepted 20 May 2010

Abstract: Heavy metals have a great ecological significance due to their toxicity and accumulative behavior. The geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) in 10 stations in Al-Hawizeh Marsh, (*i.e* Al-Adaim (I & II), Um Al-Neaj (I & II), Um-Awarded, North Al-Soudah, South Al-Soudah, Al-Beda, Lissan Ejerdah and Majnoon) were calculated in this article. The sediment pollution was investigated by following the concentration of six heavy metals (As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu and Pb). Inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICPMS) was used for analysis. According to the geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) the results of all the 10 stations were analyzed and discussed in detailed.

Keywords: Geoaccumulation index, Heavy metals, Pollution, Mesopotamian marshes, Al-Hawizeh Marsh, Iraq.

Introduction

The Mesopotamian marshland in southern Iraq, has enormous ecological and environmental importance. The Iraqi marshlands are one of the finest and most extensive natural wetland ecosystems in Europe and western Asia¹. Around 85% of the Mesopotamian Marshlands have been lost mainly as a result of drainage and damming². Currently, restoration by re-flooding of drained marshes is proceeding and the ecological effects of this massive water diversion need elaborated research. Al-Hawizeh and its associated marshes are considered to be the largest within the mesopotamian marshes³. Various researches from different universities of Iraq have been studying the flora and fauna and furthermore limnology and the productivity of the Mesopotamian marshes^{4,5}.

Heavy metal contamination is widespread in different Iraqi aquatic system⁶⁻⁹. On a weight per square meter basis, the uppermost superficial sediments constitute the largest heavy metals reservoir in aquatic systems. Once accumulated in sediments, the metals continue to pose a threat to aquatic life due to re-suspension into the water column from geochemical re-cycling^{10,11}, accumulation in benthic fauna that feed on sediments and through food chain transfer, including organo elements¹².

To gauge the degree of anthropogenic influence on heavy metals concentration in the sediments used I_{geo} . Generally, the I_{geo} consists of 7 grades or classes (Table 1).

Table 1. The degree of metal pollution in terms of seven enrichment classes¹⁴

I_{geo} Value	I_{geo} Class	designation of sediment quality
>5	6	extremely contaminated
4-5	5	strongly to extremely contaminated
3-4	4	strongly contaminated
2-3	3	moderately to strongly contaminated
1-2	2	moderately contaminated
0-1	1	uncontaminated to moderately contaminated
0<	0	Uncontaminated

The I_{geo} was calculated using Muller¹³ and Abraham & Parker¹⁴ method as follows:

$$I_{geo} = \log_2 ([\text{sediment}] / 1.5 * [\text{reference sample}])$$

The factor 1.5 is introduced to minimize the effect of possible variations in the background values which might be attributed to lithologic variations in the sediments. According to Bradl¹⁵ the reference samples were As: 13, Cd: 0.3, Co: 20, Cr: 100, Pb: 20 and Cu: 50 µg/g.

Study area

Al-Hawizeh Marsh lies between 31° 00' -31°45' N, 47° 25' -47° 50' E (Figure 1). The Iranian section of the marshes is known as Al-Azim, Marsh where it is fed primarily by the Karkeh River. In Iraq, this marsh is largely fed by two main distributaries departing from the Tigris River near Amarah city, known as Al-Musharah and Al-Kahla.

Ten stations in Al-Hawizeh were selected in this study. Two stations in Al-Adaim, One station in Al-Soudah north, Two stations in Um El-Nia'j, One station in Al-Baida, One station in Um Al-Wared marsh, One station in the Al-Soudah south marsh, One station in the Majnoon marsh and One station in the Lissan Ejerdah (Figure 1). The exact position of each sampling station was recorded using global positioning system (GPS, model Geko 201/ Taiwan).

Experimental

Sediment samples were collected from the studied stations using an Ekman Grab sampler (15.2×15.2 cm). Samples were collected in May, Aug and Dec 2006. After retrieval of the sampler, the water was allowed to drain off, avoiding, disturbing the surface layer of the samples preserved using nylon zipper-sealed bags (17.7×20.3 cm). As soon as the samples were retrieved, placed in an ice box until reaching the lab they placed in plastic bags before freezing¹⁶. Before analysis, the sediment samples were drying in an oven (Model: Memmert S40) at 50 °C for over night, grinded finely in an agate mortar and sieved through a 63 µm plastic sieve.

Analysis of heavy metals was preformed according to Radojevic and Bashkin¹⁷, using the high quality concentrated (70% w/v) nitric acid, hydrogen peroxide (35%) and hydrochloric acid (38%) for sediments digestion (using digestion system model: Soil Digester 1015). In SGS Lakefield Research Limited Lab/ Lakefield-Ontario, ICPMS (Model: ICPMS - Varian MS 820) standards for elements were used in specimen analysis.

Figure 1. The study stations in Al-Hawizeh Marsh. Al-Adaim-I & II (1 and 2), Al-Soudah North (3), Um-El-Nia’j-I & II (4, 5) and Al-Baida (6), Um Al- Wared (7), Al-Soudah South (8), Majnoon (9) and Lissan Ejerdah (10).

Results and Discussion

Many studies in different region from the world have used the sediments of the wetlands as indicator for pollution^{18,19}. The highest concentrations of Cu, Pb and Cd (316, 4434, 109 µg/g respectively) were recorded in Um-El.Nia’j-I station (Table 2). This station didn’t exposure for dried processes, so it has a lot of sediments with high concentrations of metals, the main water sources of Um El-Nia’j are Al-Zubair and Umm Al-Toos Rivers and large villages are established near this marsh such as Abu Khassaf village.

The highest concentration of Cr was recorded in Al-Adaim station (818 µg/g), this station also didn’t exposure for dried processes. During the flooding season the extra surface water runs from the Al-Sanna’f marsh, the higher elevation to Al-Hawizeh *via* Al-Faisal channel.

The highest levels of Co and As were recorded in Al-Soudah South and Um-Wared stations (20892, 3353 µg/g respectively). These stations had dried and the ground was used for crops culturing, in addition to different military activities before re-flooding (Table 2).

The present study revealed that the lowest values of Cr, Pb, Cu, Co, As and Cd were recorded in completely dried marshes (Um-Wared, Um-El.Nia’j stations and Lissan Ejerdah),

may be due to many reasons such as the newly sediment's surface, low accumulated metals in the sediment particulates and organisms diversity than northern stations.

Table 2. The mean concentration (standard deviation) of heavy metals ($\mu\text{g/g}$) in sediments of Al-Hawizeh Marsh

Stations	As	Cd	Co	Cr	Pb	Cu
Al-Adaim-I	10292(8633)	36(12)	30(13)	653 (45)	2407(156)	234(75)
Al-Adaim-II	8078(136)	41(20)	35(14)	818(560)	2154(259)	207(126)
Um-El.Nia'j-I	13835(236)	109(60)	92(78)	680(252)	4434(380)	316(92)
Um-El.Nia'j-II	75(61)	15(9)	20(13)	332(316)	489(56)	82(46)
Al-Sudah N.	1687(267)	28(17)	351(57)	617(544)	511(339)	108(49)
Um Al-Wared	1120(188)	32(52)	3353(756)	94(128)	244(31)	67(69)
Al-Baidah	354(407)	30(18)	44(51)	320(32)	249(217)	100(36)
Al-Sudah South	20892(861)	98(11)	49(31)	243(142)	2127(1404)	142(0.32)
Lesan Ejerdah	3833(337)	16(0.84)	46(49)	243(160)	1084(480)	86(29)
Majnoon	9229(922)	20(17)	52(45)	191(130)	2325(2294)	127(99)

The I_{geo} classes for 6 studied heavy metals for each station are listed in Table 3. The I_{geo} values revealed that nearly Um-El.Nia'j-II and Um Al-Wared for Co and Cr respectively fell into class 0 (Figure 2).

Table 3. The results of Geochemical Index calsses.

Station	As	Cd	Co	Cr	Pb	Cu
Al-Adaim-I	3	2	1	1	2	1
Al-Adaim-II	3	2	1	1	2	1
Um-El.Nia'j-I	3	3	1	1	3	1
Um-El.Nia'j-II	1	2	0	1	2	1
Al-Soudah North	2	2	2	1	2	1
Um Al-Wared	2	2	3	0	1	1
Al-Baida	2	2	1	1	1	1
Al-Soudah South	4	3	1	1	2	1
Lissan Ejerdah	3	2	1	1	2	1
Majnoon	3	2	1	1	2	1

The I_{geo} values for Cu, Cr (except Um Al-Wared was in class 0) and Co (except Um-El.Nia'j-II, Um Al-Wared and Al-Soudah south stations were in class 0, 3 and 2 respectively) were > 0 and < 1 for all stations. This indicates that the sediments in these stations are uncontaminated to moderately contaminate by these metals (Figure 2). The I_{geo} values for Cd in all stations (except in Um-El.Nia'j-I and Al-Soudah S were in class 3) are greater than 1 (moderately contaminated).

The Pb I_{geo} values varied mostly, ranging from 0.911-2.17 (Figure 2). The most of stations fell in class 2 (moderately contaminated), except Um-El.Nia'j-I (in class 3), Um Al-Wared and Al-Baida are fall in class 1.

For the As metal, the Al-Adaim-I, Al-Adaim-II, Um-El.Nia'j-II, Lissan Ejerdah and Majnoon were found to contain similar pollution levels (fell in class 3), Al-Soudah South fell in strongly contamination class 4, while the other semidried marshes stations (Al-Soudah South Um-El.Nia'j-II, Um Al-Wared Al-Baida) were less contamination and fell in class 2.

Figure 2. The geochemical index of studied heavy metals in Al-Hawizeh Marsh during the study period

Conclusion

The sediments of Al-Hawizeh Marshes were suffering from moderately to strongly contaminate with the studied heavy metals according to I_{geo} values. The Al-Soudah South is suffering strongly from As metal and moderately from Cd. Other stations were unpolluted with Co, Se & Cu while Um Al-Wared and Al-Baidah stations were unpolluted with Pb metal.

References

1. Evans M I, The ecosystem; Nicholson E, Clark P, Eds., The Iraqi Marshlands: A Human and Environmental Study, London: Politico's, 2002, 201-219.
2. UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme), The Mesopotamian Marshlands: Demise of an Ecosystem Division of Early Warning and Assessment; Nairobi, Kenya, 2002, ISBN: 92-807.
3. Wali M and Al-Hawizeh Marsh, Anthropology Study, M.Sc., Thesis, Baghdad University, Iraq, 1967.
4. Richardson C J and Hussain N A, *Bioscience*, 2006, **56**(6), 477.
5. Hamdan M A, Asada T, Hassan F M, Warner B G, Douabul A, Al-Hilli M R A and Alwan A A, *Wetlands*, 2010, **30**, 177-188.
6. Abaychi J K and Douabul A Z, *Water Res.*, 1985, **19**, 457-462.
7. Al-Saad H T and Abaychi J K, *Marina Mesopotamica*, 1995, **10**(2), 379-410.
8. Hassan F M, Al-Saadi H A, Al-Haidari M A and Hamed H A, *Environ Res Sustainable Develop.*, 2005, **5**, 56.
9. Hassan F M, Saleh M M and Salman J M, *E-J Chem.*, 2010, **7**(3), 685-692.
10. Campbell P G C and Tessier A, *Ecotoxicology of Metals in the Aquatic Environment: Geochemical Aspects*; Newman M C and Jagoe C H Ed., Ecotoxicology: MI Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, 1996, 11-58.

11. Ahmet A, Dilek D and Fath D, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 2005, **164**, 241- 255.
12. MacFarlane G R, Schreider M and McLennan B, *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol.*, 2006, **51(4)**, 584-593.
13. Muller G, *Umschan.*, 1979, **79**, 778-783.
14. Abraham G M S and Parker R J, *Environ Monit Assess.*, 2008, **136**, 227-238.
15. Bradl H B, *Heavy Metals in the Environment*. Elsevier Academic Press, 2005.
16. Ryan J, Garabet S, Harmsen K and Rashid A, *A Soil and Plant Analysis Manual Adapted for the West Asia and North Africa Region*. ICARDA Aleppo, Syria, 1996.
17. Radojevic M and Bashkin V N, *Practical Environmental Analysis*. Royal Society of Chemistry Cambridge, 1999.
18. Dilek D and Ahmet A, *Ecological Indicators*, 2006, **6**, 388-393.
19. Syrovetsnik K, Malmstrom M E and Neretnieks I, *Environ Pollut.*, 2007, **47(1)**, 291.