Assessment of the Deterioration in Physiochemical and Microbiological Quality of Shivnath River Water in Durg District, India

SEEMA.A.BELORKAR

Microbiology Research Laboratory, Department of Microbiology,
St.Thomas College, Bhilai,(C.G) 490006, India.

seema_a1811@rediffmail.com

Received 27 August 2009; Revised 11 November 2009; Accepted 5 January 2010

Abstract: The water samples collected from Shivnath river in Durg Dist., India, were analyzed for physical properties like color, temperature, turbidity and odor, chemical properties like pH, alkalinity, total hardness, calcium hardness, magnesium hardness, total solids {Total dissolved solids (TDS) and total suspended solids (TSS)}, sulphates, nitrates were analyzed. Microbiological characteristics like detection of coliforms, quantitative analysis and most probable number (MPN) of coliforms was also performed. Incidences of *Escherichia coli* 0157 (Thermo tolerant strain) and *Salmonella* species were analyzed. All stated properties were analyzed for both upstream and downstream sampling points to determine the effect of residential and industrial discharges on the quality of river water. The addition of discharges has shown many fold increase in all the analyzed physiochemical parameters. The MPN/100 mL for upstream sample was 900 and down stream sample showed rises up to 1600. The heterotrophic plate count (HPC) also increased from $1.30 \times 10^4/100$ mL to $1.53 \times 10^4/100$ mL. Incidences of *E.coli* 0157 (Thermo tolerant strain) and *Salmonella* species were both found even before the addition of discharges.

Keywords: MPN, *E.coli* 0157, TDS and TSS, River water quality.

Introduction

Water is the most basic and vital resource of our planet. According to the UN (United Nations) reports, 1978 consumable water levels are up to 2.7% of the total water content. 1% of the ground water levels are threatened either directly or indirectly by pollution¹. River water acts as receiving end of industrial wastes, residential area discharges which increase the pollution stress on these surface water bodies².

Non pathogenic faecal organisms are best indicators of faecal pollution. However, in all cases faecal coliform counts and *Escherichia coli* is used as the major tool in the assessment of the health risks borne by pathogens in water³.

Many researchers constantly undertake work on assessment of physiochemical and microbiological quality of water bodies in different parts of the country^{4,7}. In Chattisgarh state many small and big rivers co-originate. Shivnath river is main tributary of river Mahanadi. After originating from Panbara in Ambagarh tehsil of Rajnandgaon, it flows initially north wards about 40 km and at Ambagarh chouky it turns at right angles to flow in the east direction.

It is the second important river of chattisgarh in terms of carrying of water and length measured in the state. It flows about 290 km through Rajnandgaon, Durg and Janjgir, Champa District. The main tributaries are Lilagar, Maniyari, Surahi, Tandula *etc.* Shivnath is facing a great deal of pollution due to dumping of variety of wastes. The present study focuses on assessment of impact of the discharges on the physicochemical and microbiological quality of Shivnath river water in Durg Dist.

Experimental

River water samples were collected at two different sites hence forth cited as upstream and down stream. Shivnath River enters Durg; the spot chosen for upstream sampling was at Shivnath Bridge, near Vrindavan Hotel, Durg. The Pulgaon Channel which is one of the major sources of residential and industrial area discharges mixes into the river at Pushpavatika (Ganjpara, Durg) and is referred as downstream in the observations given below.

Collection of water samples for physiochemical analysis

Five liters of grab samples were collected. The samples were collected in plastic containers. The collected samples were analyzed for physiochemical analysis.

Collection of water samples for microbiological analysis

The water samples were collected in clean, sterilized narrow mouthed glass bottle of 250 mL capacity. The collected samples were analyzed for microbiological parameters.

Methodology

APHA⁸ (American Public health Association) was used for analysis. The method used for studying physical properties like color was by visual observation and odor by smelling, temperature by thermometer (0°-1000°), turbidity by turbidometer, chemical properties like pH by pH meter, DO, BOD, COD, alkalinity, total hardness, calcium hardness, magnesium hardness by titrometric methods, total solids (TDS and TSS) by gravimetric method, sulphates, nitrates were analyzed by colorimetric method. Microbiological properties like detection of coliforms, quantitative analysis (MPN) of coliforms, incidences of *E.coli* 0157(Thermo tolerant strain) and *Salmonella* species were analyzed for both upstream and downstream sampling. All the chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Results and Discussion

The study revealed that, addition of discharges into the river water has enhanced the pollution load of all the parameter taken under study like TDS, TSS, alkalinity, hardness, (Biological oxygen Demand) BOD, COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand), nitrates, nitrites and sulphates. The results of analysis are also represented in graphical manner.

pH, Temperature, Turbidity, Color and Odor

The upstream and down stream water samples were found to be colorless and odorless with 23.8 (°C) and 25.2 (°C) temperature respectively. The permissible limit for pH is 6.5 to 8.5 for use of the river water for drinking purpose. The addition of the discharges resulted in increase of the pH beyond the BIS⁹ (Bureau of Indian Standards) tolerance limit. Table 1 reports the observation of pH, temperature, turbidity, color and odor.

Table 1. pH, temperature, turbidity, color and odor of upstream and downstream samples.

S.No	Parameters	Upstream	Downstream	BIS ⁹ (tolerance limit)
1	pH	8	9	6.5-8.5
2	Temperature, °C	23.8	25.2	-
3	Turbidity (NTU)	6	12	-
4	Odor	Odorless	Odorless	unobjectionable
5.	Color	colorless	colorless	300Hazen units.

Figure 1. Comparisons of pH, Temperature and turbidity of upstream and downstream samples.*TDS and TSS*

The values of TDS were found to be within limits even after the effluent introduction into the river. Observations of the stated parameters are given in Table 2.

Table 2. TDS and TSS of upstream and downstream samples.

S.No	Parameters	Upstream	Downstream	BIS ⁹ (tolerance limit)
1	TDS, mg/L	420	680	1500
2	TSS, mg/L	180	250	-

Figure 2. TDS and TSS of upstream and downstream samples.*DO, BOD and COD*

The BOD values of both the samples were observed to be quite high than the BIS tolerance limit indicating high organic matter content in river water. Observations of the stated parameters are given in Table 3.

Table 3. DO, BOD, COD of upstream and downstream samples.

S.NO	Parameters	Upstream	Downstream	BIS ⁹ (tolerance limit)
1	D.O, mg/L	6.89	5.02	4
2	B.O.D, mg/L	8	15	3
3	C.O.D, mg/L	14	20	-

Figure 3. DO, BOD and COD (in mg/L) upstream and downstream samples.

Hardness, Sulphates, Nitrates and Nitrites

The introduction of the effluent caused the nitrate of river water to exceed the tolerance limit. According to the BIS 10500: 1991 the desirable limit of calcium should be 75 mg/mL and the permissible limit is 400 mg/mL. The calcium content of river water was observed to exceed the desirable limit but was within permissible limit. Observations of the stated parameters are given in Table 4.

Table 4. Hardness, sulphates, nitrates and nitrites of upstream and downstream samples.

S.No	Parameters	Upstream	Downstream	BIS ⁹ (tolerance limit)
1	Ca hardness, mg/L	60	85	75-
2	Mg-hardness, mg/L	180	260	-
3	Sulphates, mg/L	40	10	400
4	Nitrates, mg/L	20	60	50
5	Nitrites, mg/L	4	15	-

Figure 4. Hardness, sulphates, nitrates and nitrites of upstream and downstream samples represented in mg/L.

Alkalinity

The river water shows increase in alkalinity beyond the limit. This is attributed to the routine washing and bathing activities conducted at the banks of the river. Observations of the stated parameters are given in Table 5.

Table 5. Alkalinity of upstream and downstream samples.

S.No	Parameters	Upstream	Downstream	BIS ⁹ (tolerance limit)
1	Alkalinity, mg/L	180	290	200(BIS 10500 : 1991) ¹¹
2	Phenolphthalein - Alkalinity, mg/L	20	10	-
3	Methyl red - Alkalinity, mg/L	170	260	-

Alkalinity P-Alkalinity M-Alkalinity (P= Phenolphthalein; M= Methyl red)

Figure 5. Alkalinity upstream and downstream samples.

Microbiological analysis

Table 6. Standards of acceptable total coliform in inland surface water, according to Indian Standards 2296¹⁰. (Indian standards of drinking water-specification BIS 10500: 1991) .

Classification	Uses	Acceptable standard
A	Drinking water without conventional treatment, but after disinfecting	50 or less
B	Outdoor bathing	500
C	Drinking water source with conventional treatment followed by disinfecting	5000
D	Propagation of wildlife and fisheries	No standard
E	Irrigation, industrial cooling & controlled waste disposal	No standard

Table 7. Microbiological analysis of upstream and downstream samples.

S.No	Experiment	Upstream	Downstream
1.	MPN /100 mL	900	1600
2.	HPC	1.30x10 ⁴ /100 mL	1.53x10 ⁴ /100 mL
3.	Incidences of <i>Salmonella</i> spp	Present	Present
4.	Incidences of 0157 <i>E.coli</i>	Present	Present

Microbiological studies revealed that the effluents induced microbial growth in the river water by increasing the MPN from 900 to 1600/100 mL .The HPC of upstream river water sample was 1.30x10⁴/100 mL .The downstream sample revealed the increased value of 1.53x10⁴/100 mL. Incidences of *Salmonella* spp and 0157 *E.coli* were found in both upstream and downstream samples. According to the BIS standards the water is unsuitable for bathing .Raw river water cannot be used for drinking purpose.

Conclusion

The above studies reveal that there was slight increase in physiochemical parameters .The BOD, calcium content and alkalinity exceeded the BIS limits. Significant impact was found on microbiological characteristics. The MPN of coliforms nearly doubled . The quality of

river water deteriorated and cannot be used for bathing purpose .The river water is unsuitable for drinking without any disinfection.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the minor research project of University grants commission. The author acknowledges her sincere thanks to the Head of the Department, Dr. M.G. Roymon, Principal of the College Dr. Renny George for their support and cooperation during the tenure of the project.

References

1. Davis M L and Cornwell D A, Introduction to Environmental Engineering. Mc Graw Hill. PWS, Publishers, New York, 1991, 93.
2. Ajayi S O and Ossian O, *Environ Pollut., (Series B)*, 1981, **2**, 87-95.
3. Byamukama D, Kansiime F, Mach R L and Farnleitner A H, *Appl Environ Microbiol.*, 2000, **66**, 864-868.
4. Nath D, *J Inland Fish Soc India*, 2001, **33(2)**, 37-41.
5. Vijender Singh, *Res J Chem Environ.*, 2006, **10(3)**, 62-66.
6. Mishra A and Bhatt V, *E Journal of Chemistry*, 2008, **5(3)**, 487-492
7. Ramteke P N, Battacharjee J W , Pathak S P and Karla N, *J Appl Bacteriol.*, 1992, **72**, 352.
8. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (20th Ed.). Washington DC, USA. APHA (American Public Health Association), AWWA (American Water Work Association) and WEF (Water Environment Federation), Washington DC, 1999.
9. Tolerance limits for inland surface waters subject to pollution. Bureau of Indian Standard, New Delhi, (BIS: 2296-1982.), 1982.
10. Indian standards of drinking water-specification, BIS 10500: 1991.