X-Ray Diffraction and SEM Investigation of Solidification/ Stabilization of Nickel and Chromium Using Fly ash
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Abstract: The main thrust of the paper is mechanism of immobilization of nickel(Ni) and chromium(Cr) metal sludge by solidification/stabilization using lime fly ash and sand (LFAS). The mineralogy of fly ash used for the study has been determined on the basis of x-ray diffraction analysis (XRD). Attempts have been made to investigate the consequences of interaction of heavy metal ion with constituents of lime fly ash sand composite on the basis of XRD studies and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, except chromium).
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Introduction
Solidification/ stabilization of toxic materials by the formation of a cementitious solid is a common and economical method for the treatment of hazardous chemical waste prior the ultimate disposal. The cementitious binders used were either ordinary portland cement or the fly-ash. The solidified/ stabilized waste can be safely disposed in landfills so that contamination of soil or ground water is minimized. The mechanisms by which the waste is stabilized was generalized by several earlier workers. The present paper deals with the mechanism of immobilization of nickel sludge using x-ray diffraction study and scanning electron microscopy.

Experimental
Fly ash was collected from “Koradi” thermal power plant near Nagpur (India) and the waste containing nickel and chromium received from electroplating and tannery industries. The lime and standard Ennore sand was procured from local market.
**Procedure**

**Preparation of LFAS cubes and leaching test**

Appropriate proportions of lime fly ash and sand were mixed with suitable quantity of water. The freshly mixed paste was then poured into 5 cm³ moulds. Casting had been done by applying a load of 100 Kg/cm², the cubes were cured at an optimum pressure of 0.351 kg/cm² for 2.5 h. The representative sample was collected from one of the LFAS cubes after crushing and sieving. The sample was subjected to XRD analysis. The waste water from electroplating Industry containing nickel was mixed with selected proportion of lime-fly-sand admixtures. The cubes were cast out of this admixture and steam cured as per the procedure described.

During the second set of experiment the tannery waste containing chromium was mixed with suitable quantity of fly ash lime sand and water. Same procedure was applied for casting the cubes as mentioned above. The cubes containing nickel waste and chromium waste were crushed, powdered and a representative samples were collected and subjected to x-ray diffraction analysis. The another set of cubes containing known quantity of nickel sludge and chromium sludge cubes were subjected to leaching test as per minnesota pollution control agency using sodium acetate acetic acid buffer solution. The cubes were placed in a container containing acid buffered leaching solution maintaining solid to liquid ratio as 1:4. The container was shaken vigorously for one minute and was kept undisturbed. After the definite time interval pH of leaching medium was adjusted to 4.9 to 5.2 and volume of solution was maintained constant by adding requisite quantity of solution. Then 50 mL of leachate was withdrawn and was filtered through whatmans filter paper and pH of this solution was adjusted to 2 by adding nitric acid. Atomic absorption analysis for metal ion in solution was carried out on atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Model Z 8000 with arrangement of data processor).

**X-ray diffraction study**

X-ray diffraction study was carried out in Indian Bureau of Mines, Nagpur. The sample was powdered to 325 mesh size. The powdered sample was passed through mixture mill to make it homogenous for x-ray diffraction studies. X-ray diffraction study was carried out on Philips PW 1710 powder diffractometer. The sample was analysed under the x-ray of wave length 1.54180 produced by using copper target during the course of studies. Nickel filter was introduced in the way of x-ray beam produced to allow only Cu Kα. X-ray pass through the sample. During the course of x-ray scanning of samples the voltage used to generate x-ray was 35 Kv and current was 25 mA. The scanning speed was 10200/ min. X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained, exhibiting the characteristic peaks along with the d values in angstrom units and the corresponding peak intensities of the mineral phases present in the sample.

**Scanning electron microscopy**

The studies on morphology of unleached and leached fronts of LFAS composite containing nickel sludge was carried out by scanning electron microscopy. The electron microphotographs were recorded on a stereoscan 250 MKS, Cambridge (U.K.) electron microscope at RSIC Nagpur. This is a high resolution scanning electron microscope designed to operate easily with guaranteed resolution as high as 60 Å and 45 Å attainable. The range of magnification is 20 x 800,000 at 10 mm.
Results and Discussion

X-ray diffraction [XRD] studies on mineralogy of fly-ash

When the term mineralogy was applied to plant, it found that ashes typically refers to chemical inorganic compounds both crystalline and non-crystalline. These are constituents that react and control the initial behavior of ash when in contact with the solution required for ash utilization and disposal.

During combustion the mineral inclusions in coal decompose and fuse. Most of the mineral matters adhere to the surface of the charred particles. As the char surface recedes, the ash inclusions are drawn together and coalesce to form larger ash particles, the size and composition of which were determined by the type of ash, inclusions in the original particular fragment. The resultant fly ash size and composition was therefore determined by the fragmentation pattern of ash.

The fly ash used in the present work has been studied by x-ray powder diffraction technique using Cu kα radiation. This was done in order to determine various mineral and inorganic phases present in the fly ash. The x-ray diffractogram of Fly ash is shown in Figure 1. The main components present in fly ash were found to be – [α-Quartz [≈ 60%] followed by sillimanite 20% hematite [13%] with traces of corundum. Besides these the fly ash also contain trace amount of meghamite [(γ) Fe₂O₃] ultra traces of magnetite, fylite, pyrrholite and mullite etc. Theses phases are only observed when heavy media separation of fly-ash had been done with bromoform [Sp. gr. =2.88] and subsequently subjected to isodynamic separation. The light residue, heavy residue obtained by gravitational and magnetic separation are analysed and their x-ray diffractograms are displayed in Figure 2 and Figure 3 respectively. The main phases obtained in fly ash before and after separation are shown in Table 1.
Figure 2. X-Ray diffractogram of lighter residue (Bromoform separation)

Figure 3. X-Ray diffractogram of heavy portion (Magnetic) (Bromoform separated)
Table 1. Minerals found in fly ash before and after gravitational separation and their characteristics d value (Å)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>SiO2</th>
<th>Q (6%)</th>
<th>Q (60%)</th>
<th>Q (12%)</th>
<th>4.26(35), 3.34(100), 1.82(17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz(Q)</td>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Q (68%)</td>
<td>Q (60%)</td>
<td>3.42(100), 3.37(65), 2.204(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sillimanite</td>
<td>Al2SiO5</td>
<td>(21%)</td>
<td>(18%)</td>
<td>(12%)</td>
<td>3.479(75), 2.552(95), 2.085(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corundum</td>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>(traces)</td>
<td>(traces)</td>
<td>(traces)</td>
<td>2.532(100), 1.61(30), 1.4844(80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamatite</td>
<td>Fe2O3</td>
<td>(13%)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td>(18%)</td>
<td>3.48(95), 3.39(100), 2.206(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>Fe3O4</td>
<td>(traces)</td>
<td>(traces)</td>
<td>(traces)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghamite</td>
<td>Fe2O3</td>
<td>(55%)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mineralogy of Indian fly ash has been extensively studied by Tripathy et al. Few minerals which were reported in earlier work are not observed in the present case. This may be attributed to the different petrogenesis of coals, coal seam and type of coal used in thermal power plant. Various mineral inorganic phases detected in ash were mostly different from those which occur and found in coal. Alpha–quartz in plant probably originated from quartz inclusion in the feed coal, which was not fully melted during combustion. Weast stated that all particles were not exposed to temperature above 1710 °C i.e. the melting point of quartz. Recrystallization of quartz was most unlikely due to rapid cooling of ash particles. The clay minerals present in coal undergo decomposition during combustion.

\[ \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 2\text{SiO}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

In view of above decomposition the quartz present would have been due to the subsequent decomposition of Mullite/ Sillimanite. The iron oxide which was present in coal was basically due to decomposition / oxidation of pyrites. So it appears in the fly ash as hematite and pyrollite. The iron oxide ((α) = Fe2O3) when heated gives magnetite ((γ) Fe3O4). The presence of (γ) Fe3O4 is confirmed by XRD and same as shown in Figure 3. The study of mineral phases present in coal ash was done with a view to ascertain the changes that occurred in the mineralogical phases in hydrated product of lime fly ash sand composites. Fly ash when mixed with lime in presence of water and cured leads to the formation of calcium silicate hydrate which was a cementitious product.

\[ \text{SiO}_2 + \text{Ca}\{(\text{OH})_2 + (n-1)\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CaO}\cdot \text{SiO}_2\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} \] (Calcium silicate hydrate)

The silica in amorphous form is known to react lime readily as compared to silica in crystalline form. In fact this constitutes a different form of Fly ash and other pozzolanic materials. Although it is generally believed that lime silica reaction is the main or only reaction of interest, recent information indicates that alumina or iron also takes part in the chemical reactions which are very complex. Pozzolana concrete is usually set by reaction such as
The final strength of pozzolanic concrete varies with the amount of lime present. For most pozzolanas the optimum percentage of lime for maximum compressive strength is around 25-28% although this varies with composition of pozzolana itself. The compressive strength of pozzolana concrete is not particularly high, seldom exceeds about 15 MN/M², even several years later on. The main advantage of pozzolana cement concrete over other forms are excellent resistant to sea water and many other solutions, particularly sulphate and their relative cheapness.

**X-Ray diffraction study on lime fly ash sand (LFAS) composite containing nickel and chromium sludge**

X-ray diffraction study was carried out by using nickel waste from electroplating industry in LFAS composite. X-Ray diffractogram of lime Fly sand [LFAS] composite material obtained after steam curing at 0.35/Kg cm² for 3 h which is represented in Figure 4 where XRD pattern clearly reveals the existence of calcite, sillimanite, Quartz, corundum etc and also the formation of calcium, silicate hydrate [C-S-H]. Calcium silicate hydrate is the main cementitious product in LFAS composite which contributes towards strength. The XRD pattern shown in Figure 5 and 6 shows that the formation of C-S–H is not evident. This shows that the presence of nickel have hindered the formation of C-S-H which is the main cementitious product formed during lime fly ash reaction. Thus there will be reduction in strength of LFAS composite in presence of nickel ion. Such observation was also been reported by N. L. Thomas in his studies with Portland cement. Surprisingly the presence of calcium silicate Hydrate was clearly visible in case of lime fly ash sand composite containing chromium in the XRD pattern shown in Figure 7. This also accounts for greater physical integrity of the LFAS cubes containing Cr(OH)₃. Further the amount of leached Cr³⁺ leached out from LFAS composite in to the leaching medium was quite less as compared to Nickel as evident from Table 2. Thus it was clear that formation of C-S-H not only contributes towards the physical integrity of LFAS cubes but also in improving the immobilization of heavy metal.

![Figure 4. XRD pattern of simple lime fly ash sand (LFAS) composites](image-url)
Figure 5. XRD pattern of steam cured LFAS Cube containing nickel hydroxide sludge

Figure 6. XRD pattern of steam-cured LFAS cube containing nickel hydroxide sludge after leaching with acetic acid- sodium acetate buffer

Table 2. Percentage of nickel and chromium leached from LFAS composite into the leaching medium at different contact time. Composition of admixture: 65% fly ash +25% lime +10% Sand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Period (in Days)</th>
<th>% of Nickel leached</th>
<th>% of Chromium leached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7. XRD pattern of steam-cured LFAS cube containing Cr (OH)₃ sludge

Scanning electron microscopy on LFAS composite containing nickel sludge

The morphology of LFAS composite containing Ni(OH)₂ sludge can be seen from scanning electron micrograph shown in Figure 8. Figure 9 shows the SEM of LFAS composite containing Ni(OH)₂ sludge after leaching test which is conducted as per M.P.C.A⁸ procedure. It can be seen from Figure 8 that unleached sample possess rather uniform and compact structure whereas leached sample showed small cavities and cracks. This also explains the slight reduction of compressive strength of LFAS cubes after prolonged leaching with sodium acetate and acetic acid.

Figure 8. SEM Micrograph of unleached LFAS composite containing nickel hydroxide sludge

Figure 9. SEM micrograph of leached LFAS composite containing nickel hydroxide sludge

The scanning electron micrograph of LFAS composite containing 20% cement and nickel hydroxide sludge is shown in Figure 10. It can be seen from the figure that, attack of LFAS composite with leaching medium is more severe in this case probably because of increased porosity of cement. This explains why simple lime-fly ash sand admixtures gave better immobilization of heavy metal as compared to solidification / stabilization in ordinary portland cement.
Conclusion

The major mechanism of solidification / stabilization process for electroplating waste containing nickel and tannery waste containing chromium using fly ash seem to be adsorption and pozzolanic encapsulation. The strength of LFAS composite cube is contributed mostly by C-S-H and calcite. Metal ion like nickel is found to be inhibiting the formation of calcium silicate hydrate which is an important cementitious material that contributed towards the strength and physical integrity. However, it is interesting to note that Cr$^{3+}$ ions are not inhibiting the formation of C-S-H (calcium silicate hydrate). This accounts for the fact that LFAS composite involving tannery waste containing Cr$^{3+}$ exhibits a better physical integrity and also the immobilization of metal ion achieved in this case is more efficient than that of nickel ion present in electroplating waste.
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