Synthesis of 1,5-Diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one Amidinohydrazone Hydrochloride Under Ultrasound Irradiation

CHAO DU AND JI-TAI LI *

Key Laboratory of Analytical Science and Technology of Hebei Province
Key Laboratory of Medical Chemistry and Molecular Diagnosis
Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Environmental Science
Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P. R. China
lijitai@hbu.cn

Received 26 July 2011; Accepted 5 September 2011

Abstract: Synthesis of 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride *via* the condensation of 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one and aminoguanidine hydrochloride catalyzed by hydrochloric acid was carried out in 80-94% yield at 35-37°C within 1.5 h under ultrasound irradiation. Compared to the classical method, the advantages of this method are milder conditions, shorter reaction time and higher yield.

Keywords: 1,5-Diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride, Synthesis, Ultrasound irradiation, Condensation.

Introduction

Amidinohydrazone derivatives play important roles in medicinal chemistry due to their fragments are featured in many pharmacologically and biologically active compounds¹⁻⁵. Especially, 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochlorides take a famous effect in the amidinohydrazone derivatives. These compounds are useful as anti-tubercular and anti-malarial agents in warm-blooded animals^{6,7} and are also useful as insecticidal agents^{8,9}.

Generally, 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone salt are synthesized from 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one and amidinohydrazone salt. Tomcufcik *et al.* reported that the synthesis of four 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride was carried out in 40-70% yield under refluxing EtOH *via* the condensation of bischalcone with aminoguanidine hydrochloride catalyzed by hydrochloric acid, but a long reaction time (4-16 h) was required⁸.

Ultrasound has increasingly been considered as a clean and useful protocol in organic synthesis in recent years. Compared with traditional methods, the procedure is more convenient. A large number of organic reactions can be carried out in higher yield, shorter

reaction time, and milder conditions under ultrasound irradiation^{10,11}. It appears that the condensation of bischalcone with aminoguanidine hydrochloride by using ultrasound has not been reported earlier. Herein, we wish to report an efficient improved synthesis of 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride *via* condensation of 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one with aminoguanidine hydrochloride catalyzed by hydrochloric acid in ethanol under ultrasound irradiation (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of bischalcone aminoguanidine hydrochloride.

Experimental

1,5-Diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-ones were prepared according to the literature¹⁴

Melting points were uncorrected. MS were determined on Agilent Technologies 6310 Lon Trap LC/MS or Bruker apex ultra 7.0 T spectrometer. The ¹H NMR (600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (150 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 600 spectrometer using TMS as internal standard and DMSO-d₆ as solvent. Sonication was performed in Shanghai Branson BUG 25-06 ultrasonic cleaner (with a frequency of 25 kHz and a nominal power 250 W). The total acoustic power injected into the sample solution was found to be 0.63 W by calorimetry¹⁵.

General procedure for the synthesis of 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride

1,5-Diaryl-1,4-pentadiene-3-one (**1**, 1 mmol), aminoguanidine hydrochloride (121 mg, 1.1 mmol), hydrochloric acid (36.5%, 0.05 mL) and ethanol (10 mL) were added into a 25 mL round bottomed flask. The reaction flask was located the cleaner bath, where the surface of reactants was slightly lower than the level of the water. In the water bath of the ultrasonic cleaner, the reaction mixture was irradiated at 35-37°C for the period of time as indicated in Table 2. The reaction was monitored by TLC (silica, CH₃OH: CH₂Cl₂ = 1:4, V/V), the reaction temperature was controlled by removal or addition of water from ultrasonic bath. After the completion of the reaction, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ overnight and filtered. The solvent was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure to give the crude products, which were further purified by column chromatography on silica (200-300 mesh) eluted with petroleum ether (b.p. 60-90°C) or a mixture of CH₃OH and CH₂Cl₂ (1:30). The authenticity of the products (**2a-e**) was established by comparing their melting points with data reported in literatures⁸, the others (**2f-i**) were established by their ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and MS.

2a: 1,5-Diphenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride

¹H NMR δ_H: 7.25 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.34-7.43 (m, 6H, Ph-H), 7.45 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.54 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.62 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.70 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, NH₂), 7.90-7.92 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 7.96 (brs, 1H, NH), 12.11 (s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR δ_C: 156.4, 149.1, 139.3, 136.8, 135.4, 130.0, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 127.8, 122.9, 119.2; HRMS *m/z* (ESI): calcd for C₁₈H₁₉N₄ [M+H]⁺ 291.1604, found 291.1605.

2f: *1-(4-Methylphenyl)-5-phenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride*

^1H NMR δ_{H} : 2.33 (s, 3H, CH_3), 7.12 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.16-7.26 (m, 5H, Ph-H), 7.28 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.32 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.37 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.40 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, NH_2), 7.50-7.62 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 7.65 (brs, 1H, NH), 11.62 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR δ_{C} : 153.4, 147.1, 139.2, 135.8, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 129.0, 127.6, 127.5, 127.1, 22.1; HRMS m/z (ESI): calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 305.1761, found 305.1764.

2g: *1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-phenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride*

^1H NMR δ_{H} : 3.80 (s, 3H, CH_3), 7.24 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.31-7.44 (m, 6H, Ph-H), 7.45 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.52 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.63 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.68 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, NH_2), 7.78-7.84 (m, 3H, Ph-H), 7.87 (brs, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR δ_{C} : 160.9, 160.2, 156.5, 136.8, 130.2, 129.8, 129.1, 129.0, 128.5, 127.7, 123.1, 114.7, 55.8; HRMS m/z (ESI): calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_4\text{O}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 321.1710, found 321.1712.

2h: *1,5-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride*

^1H NMR δ_{H} : 3.81 (s, 6H, CH_3), 6.96-7.01 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 7.08 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.38 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.42 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.46 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.63 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, NH_2), 7.70 (brs, 1H, NH), 7.76-7.86 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 11.83 (s, 1H, NH); ^{13}C NMR δ_{C} : 160.9, 160.2, 156.0, 150.7, 139.2, 134.9, 130.2, 129.5, 129.2, 128.9, 120.6, 116.9, 114.6, 55.7, 55.8; HRMS m/z (ESI): calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_4\text{O}_2$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 351.1816, found 351.1818.

2i: *1,5-Bis(3,4-dioxanemethylphenyl)-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride*

^1H NMR δ_{H} : 6.06 (s, 2H, CH_2), 6.08 (s, 2H, CH_2), 6.95 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, CH), 6.98-7.07 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.25 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.31 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.38 (s, 2H, NH_2), 7.42-7.70 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 7.75 (brs, 1H, NH), 11.67 (s, 1H, NH); ^{13}C NMR δ_{C} : 156.4, 149.1, 148.4, 148.3, 148.2, 139.9, 135.4, 131.2, 130.6, 125.2, 123.5, 121.0, 116.2, 108.9, 106.9, 106.3, 101.9, 101.5; HRMS m/z (ESI): calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_4\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 379.1406, found 379.1396.

2j: *1,5-Bis(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride*

^1H NMR δ_{H} : 7.17 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.42 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.46-7.53 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 7.66 (s, 2H, NH_2), 7.75-7.81 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.83 (brs, 1H, NH), 7.87 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, CH), 8.29 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, CH), 11.97 (s, 1H, NH); ^{13}C NMR δ_{C} : 134.6, 133.9, 133.8, 133.5, 132.9, 132.5, 129.6, 129.3, 129.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 121.3. m/z (EI): 429.1 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

2k: *1,5-Bis(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride*

^1H NMR δ_{H} : 7.33 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.50 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.57 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.67-7.70 (m, 3H, Ph-H), 7.72 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.88 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, NH_2), 7.92 (brs, 1H, NH), 8.0-8.2 (m, 3H, Ph-H), 10.48 (s, 1H, NH); ^{13}C NMR δ_{C} : 137.8, 137.2, 132.3, 132.2, 131.1, 130.2, 129.3, 129.2, 128.4, 127.8, 120.3; m/z (EI): 429.1 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

2l: *1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-phenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride*

^1H NMR δ_{H} : 7.27 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33-7.45 (m, 5H, Ph-H), 7.48 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.54 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.68 (d, $J = 16$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.72 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, NH_2), 7.81 (brs, 1H, NH), 7.86-7.92 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 11.95 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR δ_{C} : 156.3, 139.2, 136.8, 136.4, 135.7, 135.4, 134.5, 133.5, 130.5, 130.1, 129.5, 129.2, 128.5, 127.7, 119.0, 118.0; HRMS m/z (ESI): calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{18}\text{ClN}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 325.1214, found 325.1217.

Results and Discussion

To examine the effect of reaction conditions on the synthesis of title compounds, the condensation of 1,5-diphenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one (**1a**) and aminoguanidine hydrochloride was selected as the model under ultrasound irradiation. The results are summarized in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, the reaction temperature had a significant effect on the condensation. While the reaction was carried out at 15°C, 25°C, and 35°C, the yield was 74%, 85%, and 94%, respectively, (Entries **1**, **2** and **3**). The yield was increased with increasing temperature and reached the maximum at 35°C. But when the temperature was raised to 45°C, the yield was dropped to 83% (Entry **4**) and we choose 35°C as the appropriate temperature.

The influence of the molar ratio of 1,5-diphenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one to aminoguanidine hydrochloride on the reaction was observed. When the molar ratio was 1:1, **2a** was obtained in 80% yield (Entry **5**). While the molar ratio was increased to 1:1.1 and 1:1.2, the yield was also increased to 83% and 85% respectively, but the improvement was not obviously (Entries **4** and **6**). In the absence of hydrochloric acid, we also did the experiment for the reaction of **1a** with aminoguanidine hydrochloride, the reaction was not taken place at all (Entry **7**). It seems that hydrochloric acid plays an important catalytic role in the reaction.

Table 1. The effect of reaction conditions on the yield of **2a** under ultrasound irradiation.

Entry	Substrate ^a /reagent ^b (molar ratio)	HCl (aq. 36.5%), mL	Time, h	Temp., °C	Isolated yield, %
1	1:1.1	0.05	2	15	74
2	1:1.1	0.05	1.5	25	85
3	1:1.1	0.05	1.5	35	94
4	1:1.1	0.05	1.5	45	83
5	1:1	0.05	1.5	45	80
6	1:1.2	0.05	1.5	45	85
7	1:1.1	-	1.5	45	NR

^a1,5-Diphenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one. ^b Aminoguanidine hydrochloride.

From the above results, a typical experimental procedure was chosen as follows: the molar ratio of substrate to aminoguanidine hydrochloride (1:1.1), hydrochloric acid (0.05 mL), EtOH (10 mL), reaction temperature (35–37°C). Using this reaction system, a series of experiments for the synthesis of 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinothiohydrazone hydrochloride were performed under ultrasound irradiation. The results were summarized in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, the condensation of 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one and aminoguanidine hydrochloride was carried out in good to excellent yield catalyzed by hydrochloric acid at 35–37°C under ultrasound irradiation.

In order to verify the effect of ultrasound irradiation, the model reaction was also performed by stirring alone under silent condition at the refluxing temperature for 4 h, the yield of **2a** was 60% (Entry **a**). While under ultrasound the reaction can be completed in 94% yield at 35°C within 1.5 h. At the same temperature (35–37°C) and time (1.5 h) by stirring, the yields (**2i-l**) were much lower than that under ultrasound irradiation. For example, the condensation of **1k** with amidinothiohydrazone hydrochloride was stirred to offer **2k** in 37% yield, whereas under ultrasound **2k** was obtained in 90% yield (Entry **k**). It's clear that ultrasound can accelerate the reaction and improve the result.

Table 2. The synthesis of **2a-l** with or without ultrasound irradiation.

Entry	R ₁	R ₂	Product	Ultrasound ^c		Stir. ^d (Lit.) ⁸		m.p., °C (Lit.) ⁸
				Time, h	Yield, %	Time, h	Yield, %	
a	H	H	2a	1.5	94	4 (16)	60 ^a (69)	223-224 (223-225)
b	2-Cl	2-Cl	2b	1.5	88	1.5 (6.5)	65 ^a (70)	243-244 (243-244)
c	3-Cl	3-Cl	2c	1.5	86	1.5 (7)	84 ^a (40)	217-219 (217-220)
d	4-Cl	4-Cl	2d	1.5	90	4 (4)	88 ^a (58)	233-234 (233-234)
e	4-CH ₃	4-CH ₃	2e	1.5	89	1.5	85 ^a	179-180 (179.5-180.5)
f	H	4-CH ₃	2f	1.5	88	1.5	86 ^a	183-184
g	H	4-OCH ₃	2g	1.5	90	1.5	83 ^a	146-148
h	4-OCH ₃	4-OCH ₃	2h	1.5	85	4	86 ^a	208-209
i	3,4-(OCH ₂ O)-	3,4-(OCH ₂ O)-	2i	1.5	86	1.5	53 ^b	234-235
j	2,4-Cl ₂	2,4-Cl ₂	2j	1.5	80	1.5	56 ^b	187-188
k	3,4-Cl ₂	3,4-Cl ₂	2k	1.5	90	1.5	37 ^b	237-239
l	H	4-Cl	2l	1.5	85	1.5	68 ^b	209-210

^aRefluxing temperature. ^b35-37 °C. ^cBath temperature 35-37 °C. ^dStirring alone without ultrasound.

Cavitation is the origin of sonochemistry. Liquids irradiated with ultrasound can produce bubbles. Under the proper conditions these bubbles undergo a violent collapse, which generates localized "hot spot" with a transient high temperature and pressures, inducing highly reactive species are locally produced, which are responsible for the chemical effects of ultrasound on homogeneous solutions. In the some case, sonication can probably provide more efficient stirring^{12,13}. These can cause the reaction to take place rapidly.

From the data listed in Table 2, we can see that the dramatic improvements were the short reaction time and high yield. According to the method catalyzed by HCl in the literature⁸, the refluxing time, and the yield of **2a** were 16 h and 69%, respectively, for the reaction of **1a** and aminoguanidine hydrochloride. The present procedure gave 94% yield at 35-37°C within 1.5 h (Entry **a**). The refluxing time and yield of **2c** were 7 h and 40%, respectively, for the condensation of **1c** and aminoguanidine hydrochloride, whereas under ultrasound **2c** was afforded in 86% yield at 35-37°C within 1.5 h (Entry **c**).

In these experiments, bischalcones, carrying either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents reacted very well and the condensation of **2a** was easier than that of other bischalcones, it may be that the steric hindrance around the carbonyl group inhibited the attack of aminoguanidine hydrochloride to carbonyl group.

From the above results, we can deduce that the yields are higher than those described in literatures. Compared with the reported, the main advantages of the method are milder conditions, higher yields, especially, a much shorter reaction time.

Conclusion

An efficient and convenient procedure for the synthesis of some 1,5-diaryl-1,4-pentadien-3-one amidinohydrazone hydrochloride has been developed under ultrasound irradiation. Compared with the reported method, this procedure provided several advantages such as milder reaction condition, shorter reaction time and higher yield.

References

1. LaFrate A L, Gunther J R, Carlson K E, and Katzenellenbogen J A, *Bioorg Med Chem.*, 2008, **16**, 10075-10084.
2. Sielaff F, Than M E, Bevec D, Lindberg I, and Steinmetzer T, *Bioorg Med Chem., Lett.*, 2011, **21**, 836–840.
3. Wright Jr W B and Tomcufcik A S, *United States Patent*, 1981 **4**, 289, 769.
4. Brown D G, *United States Patent*, 1982, **4**, 349, 553.
5. Stegelmeier H, Morich F J and Knorr A, *Eur Paent.*, 1985, 0163888.
6. Takai A and Saikawa I, *J Pharm Soc Jap.*, 1964, **84**, 1-9.
7. Takai A and Saikawa I, *J Pharm Soc Jap.*, 1964, **84**, 16-23.
8. Tomcufcik A S, *United States Patent*, 1975, **3**, 878,201.
9. Lovell J B, *United States Patent*, 1978, **3**, 087,525.
10. Mason T J and Peters D, *Practical Sonochemistry*, 2^{ed}, Ellis Horwood, New York, 2002.
11. Li J T, Wang S X, Chen G F, and Li T S, *Curr Org Synth.*, 2005, **2**, 415-436.
12. Mason T J, *Practical Sonochemistry*, Ellis Horwood, New York, 1991.
13. Dahlem O, Reisse J, and Halloin V, *Chem Eng Sci.*, 1999, **54**, 2829-2838.
14. Chen G F, Li J T, Duan H Y, and Li T S, *Chem J Int.*, 2004, **6**, 7-10.
15. Kimura T, Sakamoto T, Leveque J M, Sohmiya H, Fujita M, Ikeda S, and Ando T, *Ultrason Sonochem.*, 1996, **3**, 157-161.